SACRIFICE IN THE BRAHMANA-TEXTS

Thesis Submitted
for the Degree of Ph. D.
(Faculty of Arts)
UNIVERSITY OF POONA

(Under the Guidance of Prof. Dr. G. V. Devasthali)



By

GANESH UMAKANT THITE

Formarian with 15.

Compliants.

Compliants.

Compliants.

Centre of Advanced Study in Sanskrit University of Poona POONA - 7.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements

I	Introduction	1
II	Origin and growth of the sacrifice according to the Brahmana-texts.	15
III	Vicissitudes of the sacrifice	33
IV	Discussions in the Brahmana-texts	61
V	Elevation of the sacrifice in the Brahmana-texts	102
VI	Sacrifices and ancillary rites	225
VII	The performers of sacrifice	419
VIII	The result of the sacrifice	487
IX	The doctrine of the sacrifice	521
X	Henoritualism of the Brahmana-texts	652
XI	Ritualism and Spiritualism	681
XII	Recapitualition	710

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely acknowledge the guidance and help I received from various persons in preparing this thesis and thank them. First I want to thank my research-guide Prof. Dr. G. V. Devasthali, who has guided me from the beginning to the end of the thesis with great enthusiasm and keen interest. Further I thank Mr. A. J. Karandikar and Prof. V. V. Gokhale, who taught me German and French. Prof. Dr. R. N. Dandekar, Prof. Dr. T. G. Mainkar, Dr. C. G. Kashikar, Dr. V. G. Rahurkar, Dr. K. P. Jog, Mr. A. A. Kulkarni and Mr. V. P. Bhatta have helped and encouraged me in various ways. I thank them cordially. Prof. J. Gonda, sent me a copy of his valuable research on Pratistha. I thank him heartily. A special mention may be made of the library-staff and of the libraries of the Centre of Advanced Study in Sanskrit, Poona University (Jaykar Library), Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Deccan College, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Fergusson College, Vaidik, Samshodhana Mandala, De Nobiles College and Bombay University from which I could borrow the books. I am thankful to them.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

(a) Nature and scope :

In this thesis entitled "Sacrifice in the Brahmana-texts" an attempt is made to study the sacrifice in general according to Brahmana-texts. Even though sometimes some individual sacrifices are considered, it is for the sake of understanding some general characteristics of the sacrifice. Here the study is limited to the sources known as the Brahmana-texts only and even though occasionally for either supporting any of the arguments or for comparison and contrasts etc. other vedic and non-vedic texts or writings of modern scholars are mentioned or quoted, the main source of this study, of course, is the Brahmana-texts.

Sacrifice before the Brahmana-texts :

In the Rgveda there are various suktas which, according to the later vedic ritual, are texts to be used in the ritual. It is, however, a problem whether these hymns were originally intended for the ritual, created for the ritual and by ritualists or by the poets and as poems. Ad. Kaegi says about the hymns in the Rgveda that most of the songs in the Rgveda are invocations and glorifications of the deities and their key-note is throughout a simple outpouring of the

heart "... dem Drang seines Herzens will der Sanger beredten Ausdruck geben". He sees in the hymns a fresh breath of vigorous primival poetry. 1 Thus Kaegi lays emphasis on the poetical aspects of the Rgveda. Oldenberg, on the contrary, sees ritualism in the Rgveda. According to him the hymns in the Rgveda are sacrificial songs, litanies. The singers of the Rgveda do not want to tell about the God but to praise him. "Eine poesie diser Art konnte nur in den abgeschlossenen Kreisen priesterlicher opfertechniker entstehen". 2 Winternitz, being aware of both these extremes, tries to strike the golden mean, by pointing out that there are earlier and later portions in the Rgveda and further that even the contents of the hymns are different and their origin is also different from each other. There are some hymns in the Rgveda which did not originate in the sacrificial circle and there are others which are definitely connected with the ritual. So it cannot be denied that the Rgveda, if not wholly, at least to a considerable degree, is concerned with the ritual.

^{1 &}lt;u>Kaegi</u>, <u>Der Rgveda</u>, <u>die alteste Litteratur der Inder</u>
(2nd Edition) Leipzig, 1881 (quoted by <u>Winternitz</u>,

<u>GIL</u> p.64 <u>HIL</u> p.62f.)

² Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.3f.

³ Winternitz, GIL p.65f; (HIL, p.63f).

Certainly the Rgveda is not a treatise containing a detailed treatment of the sacrifice and we cannot get therein any direct idea about the sacrifice. From the scattered material on the subject of the sacrifice in the Rgveda we have to draw a picture of the sacrifice in the Rgveda; and Hillebrandt and in details Potdar have made considerable efforts to study the sacrifice in the Rgveda. In the Rgveda there are many ritual words such as yajna, sunvat, pacat, samsat, sasamana, ijana, stuvat, etc. which show the sacrificial duties and also refer to the priests who used to perform them. Similarly the Vedi, grahas, daksinas, pressing of the soma etc. are mentioned. The sacrifice is variously called yajna, adhvara, vidatha, etc. Rgveda X.52.4 and X.124.1 describe the sacrifice as being pancayama, trivrt and saptatantu. The word pancayama can be connected with the word pankta (fivefold) very often met with in the Brahmanatexts. The words trivrt and saptatantu directly refer to the classification of the sacrifices. Thus as is explained in the GB.V.5.23 and 25, there are three classes of sacrifice and in each class there are seven sacrifices. GB.I.5.25

⁴ Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.llff.

⁵ Potdar, Sacrifice in the Rgveda.

names the three classes as sutya, pakyajnas, and haviryajnas; and GB.I.5.23 enumerates the seven sacrifices in each class (for details see further under the classification of the sacrifices). Thus the Rgveda seems to know all the principal twentyone basic sacrifices and it is not difficult that many other sacrifices, if not in the same form and with the same details, but at least to a considerable similarity or sameness might have been in existence in the Rgveda-period. Thus we can say that the Rgveda knows very elaborate ritual.6 Of course, the ritual was growing even in the Rgveda-period and in the later periods also. The Yajurveda-samhitas and the Samaveda-samhitas are more directly connected with the ritual and show more grown ritual. The growth of ritual is particularly seen in the Brahmana-texts, 8 and in the sutratexts further efforts are seen mainly in connection with the arrangement of the ritual rather than any other additions to it. For the study of the sacrifice and its growth etc., therefore, the Brahmana-texts are more interesting than any other kind of texts.

Haug, The Aitareya-Brahmana of the Rgveda, p.7ff;

Bloomfield, The Religion of the Veda, p.31; Hillebrandt,

Rituallitterature p.1; Keith, RPV, p.16.

⁷ Winternitz, GIL, p.138f; (HIL p.138f).

⁸ Potdar, Sacrifice in the Rgveda, p.2.

The point of view in this thesis:

While studying the Brahmana-texts Max Muller was very much disappointed as regards their literary merits. His criticism of the Brahmana-texts is very interesting. "No one would have supposed that at so early a period, and in so primitive a state of society, there could have risen up a literature which for pedantry and down-right absurdity can hardly be matched anywhere. There is no lack of striking thoughts, of bold expressions, of sound reasoning and curious traditions in these collections. But these are only like the fragments of a torso ... The general character of these works is marked by shallow and insipid grandiloquence by priestly conceit, and antiquarian pedantry. It is most important to a historian that he should know how soon the fresh and healthy growth of a nation can be blighted by priestcraft and superstition ... These works deserve to be studied as the physician studies the twaddle of idiots and the raving of mad men ...". It is clear that the Brahmanatexts are not the literary works as such and there is no wonder that Max Muller was disappointed when he looked at them from this point of view. The Brahmana-texts in any case

⁹ Max Muller, HASL, p.352f.

are not to be studied from our modern point of view. That is bound to lead us to disappointment. The Brahmana-texts, as is mentioned by Max Muller also, are meant for describing and explaining the sacrifices. They represent as Winternitz rightly says the "science of sacrifice", 11 in their own way. The logic pertaining to this science is a mysterious one. The Brahmana-texts, if seen from our point of view are, as Oldenberg has already aptly described "prescientific science" (vorwissenschaftliche Wissenschaft).12 In this case our attitude towards these texts should not be merely literary. In fact it should be radically different from it. We must first try to understand what is said in the Brahmana-texts, try to collect similar ideas together and try to give as far as possible a faithful picture of any matter we are studying on the basis of the material thus put together.

More than seventy years ago was published S. Levi's

¹⁰ Max Muller, HASL, p.388.

¹¹ Winternitz, GIL p.165 (HIL p.165).

These words are included in the very title page of the Oldenberg's work, Die Weltanschauung der Brahmana-texte.

very important book - La doctrine du sacrifice dans les Brahmanas. Levi in that book has tried to give a constructive exposition of the various thoughts about the sacrifice in general, scattered in the Brahmanas. H. Oldenberg in his Die Weltaanschauung der Brahmana-texte has given in an authoritative way a constructive survey of the philosophy of the Brahmana-texts in general. These two books have very ably shown in what way the Brahmana-texts are to be studied from the point of view of religion, philosophy, etc. In these works an effort is made to get interested in the Brahmana-texts and understand them as deeply as possible. We cannot and should not underrate a work because it is illogical, abnormal and "twaddle"-like, unless we dive quite deep in it and make strenuous efforts to understand it. Even though there was rather a kind of general ridicule and negligence towards the study of the Brahmanas, these two works made it clear how the study of the Brahmana-texts is important and fruitful if done in all seriousness.

As far as the ritual in the Brahmana-texts is concerned the work of Levi is very important and instructive. He has collected the scattered data about the sacrifice in general in the Brahmanas (except the JB which is of great importance) and the Brahmana-portions of the Yajurveda samhitas, without taking any help of non-brahmanical texts and also of the

any other literature either ancient or modern, belonging to other religions. Levi has discovered some of the very essential characteristics of the sacrifice in the Brahmanas. Thus his observations on the relations of the Gods and sacrifice or Prajapati and sacrifice are mostly acceptable. He has discovered and maintained along with the numerous examples of directly quoted texts side by side with their french translations, many theories underlying sacrificial performance according to the Brahmana-texts. Thus, for example, Levi has maintained the theories of sacrifice containing an idea of rna (debt) or that of generation.

Levi had, however, limited himself to the doctrine of the sacrifice only. The Brahmana-texts give us many ideas about the sacrifice in general in other respects also. Thus the material supplied by the Brahmana-texts about the origin of the sacrifice, its growth, vicissitudes, differences of opinions and practices, the performers (the sacrificer, his wife and the priests) some ancillary rites such as diksa, prayascitti, daksina, etc. the result of the sacrifice, the philosophical speculations in connection with the ritual, was not taken into consideration directly in details by Lévi. In the following pages an attempt is made to study these topics on the basis of the Brahmana-texts.

Even though the importance of the Brahmana-texts for the religious history of India has been generally accepted, some times disregard or rather distrust for the explanations given by the Brahmana-texts is seen lurking in the minds of some scholars. Thus, for example, Keith is not willing to accept the explanation of Pravagrya as "divine pairing (deva-mithuna)" given by AB.I.140 because the mantras do not contain any word meaning that. Then he expresses his opinion about the explanations of the Brahmana-texts in the following words - " ... the explanations of the Brahmana-texts are always to be taken with utmost care". 13 It is now obvious that Keith's argument about the Pravargya is based on silence of the mantras about the devamithuna significance of the pravargya. It is true that the Brahmana-texts embelish to a considerable extent the original significance of any rite and it becomes often difficult to understand what exactly is the "original" significance, of a rite. The Brahmana-texts, nevertheless, allow many traces of the likely original or at least the "main" significance of any rite and among the explanations given by the Brahmana-texts it is likely that someone may be genuine, original, etc. So the explanations given by the Brahmana-texts if studied seriously can throw a good light

^{13 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, RPV, p.333.

upon how the vedic persons understood the ritual. describing the importance of the Brahmana-texts Max Muller has said, "It is true that the ceremonial, the vidhis can be better studied in the sutras, but if we want to know what meaning was assigned to every act of the sacrifice, such as it had been handed down and become fixed in the Brahmanic society of India, long before the composition of any Brahmana, we must consult these works. Though their professed object is to teach sacrifice they allow a much larger space to dogmatical, exegetical, mystical and philosophical speculations, than to the ceremonial itself. Winternitz has also said, "The Brahmanas are as invaluable authorities to the student of religion, for the history of sacrifice and of priesthood as the samhitas of the Yajurveda are for the history of prayer". 15 In the following pages an attempt is made to study the sacrifice in the Brahmana-texts fully assuming this importance of the Brahmana-texts. The Brahmanatexts, as we know, give ample explanation of the various rites they describe. 16 For a proper understanding of the vedic ritual as the vedic persons understood it the best help

¹⁴ Max Muller, HASL, p.388.

¹⁵ Winternitz, HIL p.164 (GIL p.164).

¹⁶ Cp. Heesterman, Consecration, p.5.

11

As has been said above the Brahmana-texts are "pre-scientific science", we have never, therefore, taken for granted that in the Brahmana-texts there is any consistent or particular view point about any sacrificial rite or a sacrifice or the sacrifice in general. We have merely mentioned the possibility of any significance being original or main and mentioned other significances also. The Brahmanatexts show "plurality" of view points. They never say that this alone is the significance of a particular action or this action alone will lead to this particular result. In fact, according to the Brahmanical way of thinking practically any action in the ritual is potent enough to give any result. Of course, we need not trouble ourselves by finding out the significances which are not directly mentioned by the Brahmana-texts; but the way of their thinking allows any significance of any action. Therefore, for example, to say that the main significance of the Vajapeya is connected with fertility is to state only the 'main' significance according to our observations in the Brahmana-texts. The Brahmana-texts

have connected the Vajapeya with such results as obtaining Prajapati. heaven. autocracy and supremacy, women (in the next world), all speeches, Brahmavarcasa- nay even 'all' (see the section on the Vajapeya). Thus according to the Brahmana-texts, there are many significances of a single action. To try, therefore, to find only one particular significance of a rite in the Brahmana-texts will. I think, amount to imposing our own theory on the Brahmana-texts. According to the Brahmana-texts anything can be connected with anything else: anything can result from anything: everything is all. This principle of the ritualism is at the basis of the 'spiritualism', the philosophy of the Brahmanas and that is further developed in the Upanisadic way of thinking in which in spite of the later classical dualist thinkers, some stray, non-systemetised currents of monism are found.

In this thesis an attempt is made to see everywhere the latent connections of the Brahmanical 'ritualism' with the Upanisadic 'spiritualisms'. Even though the present day scholars do not generally see any exaggarated differences between the interests of the Brahmana-texts and the interests

¹⁷ Gonda Change and Continuity, p. 243.

of the Upanisad-texts, there was a time when some indologists tried to explain the assumed revolution of the ksatriya-class represented in the so-called anti-ritual philosophy of the Upanisads against the ritualism of the Brahmana-class represented in the Brahmana-texts. Even though all what they said was not completely imaginary or unsupported by any evidence, it was certainly not without exaggaration, devoid of over-enthusiasm for maintaining new theories. The later scholars have studied the philosophy of the Brahmana-texts and have shown to some extent the latent connections of the Brahmanas and Upanisads. In this thesis, the same kind of effort has been made in the ritual context.

At the first sight a reader of the Brahmana-texts will find that the sacrifice according to the Brahmana-texts is an end in itself or a mechanism full of rigidity, dogmatism, minute rules etc. He will also feel that the sacrifice is a machine-like process and even the Gods are below the

¹⁸ For a short but effective discussion of the views of those who find revolution of the ksatriyas, in the Upanisadic thoughts and an effort to find out golden mean see Winternitz, GIL, p.196ff (HIL, p.197ff).

sacrifice or even slaves of the magical power it has. He may further feel that the sacrificer is a puppet in the hands of the priests in whose hands the future - good or bad - depends; that the priests are greedy and, therefore, very attractive (e.g., cows, gold, women) daksinas are prescribed in the ritual; that the ritual sticks to the 'letter' of the rule, not caring for the 'spirit' of it; that according to the Brahmana-texts the sacrifice is a static phenomenon, without any change. In this thesis an attempt is made to find out how far these impressions at the first reading of the Brahmana-texts are correct and to try to show that practically all these impressions are what first impressions generally are known to be.

CHAPTER II

ORIGIN AND GROWTH OF THE SACRIFICE ACCORDING TO THE BRAHMANA-TEXTS

Introduction :

The Brahmana-texts in their own way, give the origin of a rite or a ritual detail they are describing. Sometimes they describe the origin of the sacrifice in general. The stories describing the origin of the sacrificial rites or sacrifice in general can throw light upon what the Brahmana-texts thought about the origin of the sacrifice.

Prajapati 'saw' the sacrifice :

The most important source of the sacrifice according to the Brahmana-texts is Prajapati. Prajapati is said to have discovered the sacrifice which is threefold and what seven threads thus having twentyone forms (GBI.1.12). He then performed that sacrifice (GB I.1.13). He also saw many particular sacrifices. Thus the origin of Anghihotra-offerings is told in the following manner - Prajapati was alone. He wanted to create beings. He practised penance and created fire. But there was no food for the fire. Prajapati was afraid that the fire would burn him. So he rubbed his hands

l cf. <u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p.455.

and produced ghee and milk. When he offered the offering into Agni, he was not satisfied. Then Prajapati rubbed his hands again. Then he remained doubting whether to offer or not. His own mahima (greatness) told him to offer. As his own (sva) greatness told (aha) he offered with the utterance svaha. This is the origin of the Agnihotra-offerings, the material of the offerings in the Agnihotra and the sacred utterance, viz., svaha which is to be uttered at the time of offering (SB II.2.4.1-6; TB II.1.2.1-3).

Prajapati had various desires at different times and he got those desires fulfilled by seeing various sacrifices and performing them. Thus, for example, he desired to be many, to create and then he saw the sacrifice named Agnistoma and having performed it he created the beings (TMB VI.1.1). Prajapati desired to obtain Vaja (food, vigour, etc.) and to go to the heavenly world, and then he saw the Vajapeya (TMB XVIII.7.1). Prajapati created the beings. The beings after being created, did not worship Prajapati. He desired that they should worship him. He saw the sacrifice named Apaciti and by performing it, obtained the desired worship from the beings (JB II.1000).

Prajapati also saw some other details of the sacrifice.
Thus for example, after having created the beings he thought

himself milked out and emptied out. Then he saw the apriverses as ajya-lauds and by means of them he gratified (aprinat) himself (TMB XV.8.2). Prajapati created the beings. But those beings could not give birth to any being. Then Prajapati saw the saman named sakamasva (TMB XX.4.5).

Prajapati 'gave' the sacrifice :

Prajapati not only saw the sacrifice or sacrifices and performed them, he also gave the sacrifice to the Gods. (e.g., SVB I.1.1.15; TMB VII.2.1). In SB V.1.1.1f; XI.1.8.1f we are told a story of Gods and Asuras struggling. The Asuras were proud and offered in their own mouth. But Gods offered in the mouth of each other. Then Prajapati gave himself to them. The body itself of Prajapati was the sacrifice. Elsewhere we are told a story of Prajapati who was practising penance. At that time his glory (sri) went away. Gods robbed the glory. She asked how to re-obtain what was robbed off. Prajapati then told her to re-obtain from the Gods themselves and then she was able to see the offering Mitravinda (SB XI.4.3.1ff). Here then we know how the glory of Prajapati was able to know the Mitravinda offering with the help of Prajapati. When the Gods were passing upwards the heaven, the Asuras enveloped them in the darkness. The Gods entered upon a sacrificial session of a hundred Agnistomas and could dispell the darkness as far as one may

see whilst sitting; but the entire earkness was not removed. They then went to Prajapati and requested him to teach how by dispelling the Asuras and the darkness they would find the world of heaven. Prajapati then taught them the sacrificial session and corrected their mistakes of a hundred Atiratras. The Gods could then repell the darkness and the Asuras and find the world of heaven (SB XI.5.5.lff). Here Prajapati is shown to be removing the mistakes and thus helping the performers. Prajapati gave the sacrifice named Upahavya to Indra (TMB XVIII.1.9). Similarly he gave the Vratyastoma sacrifice to the divine vratyas (JB II.221). Prajapati gave the saman named kaleya to the Gods (TMB VIII.3.1).

Sometimes Prajapati is said to have given the sacrifices to the Gods but reserved some sacrifice for himself. Thus he gave all the sacrifices to the Gods but reserved Vajapeya for himself. The Gods then asked for some share in it. He then gave them the Ujjiti offerings (TB I.3.2.5-6). He is also said to have given all the sacrifices to them but reserved the Asvamedha for himself. When the Gods asked for some share in it, he gave them the Annahomas (ŚB XIII.2.1.1). Here in these two examples, the origin of Ujjiti offerings and Annahomas is told chiefly and secondarily the origin of sacrifice in general is told and Prajapati is told to have given the sacrifices. Though he first seserved the Vajapeya or the Asvamedha he gave some share to Gods afterwards.

Indra 'gave' :

Indra has given or taught sacrificial rites. Thus
Indra explained the stomabhagas to Vasistha (TMB XV.5.24;
TB II.2.13). He gave the knowledge of expiations to Vasistha (SB XII.6.1.38ff). He also taught the Uktha to Visvamitra (SadB I.5.1; cp. JUB III.4.1.1). He told brahman to Vasistha (SadB I.5.1; JUB III.4.1.2 says that Manu has told brahman to Vasistha).

The activity of Gods in general:

The Gods in general have tried very much in discovering the sacrifice. Gods and Asuras both of them sprung Prajapati were contending with each other. Both of them were soulless and mortal. Agni alone was immortal. The Gods went on praising and practising austerities hoping to overcome the Asuras. Then they saw the Agnyadheya (SB II.2.2.8-9). At another place it is told that the Gods and Asuras born of the same father, i.e., Prajapati were struggling for obtaining the sacrifice. Then the Gods practised austerities and devised the Agnistoma feast. By means of it they could remove all the Asuras and obtain the entire sacrifice (SB IV.2.4.11-12). In JB II.104 we are told that the Gods desiring to defeat the Asuras found out the Abhibhū sacrifice. JB II.158 gives the origin of the Rtapeya sacrifice. Food left the Gods. That food was identical with the soma-ahuti and viraj. They

practised penance and found out the Rtapeya sacrifice which was glorious (viraj).

Activity of individual Gods :

Individual gods are also described to have discovered some sacrificial rite. Indra at the beginning, when there was equality among all the beings, desired to be superior and saw the Sodasi-graha and drew it for himself (SB IV.5.3.1-2). TMB XII.13.1 gives the story differently. "Indra and the Brhat came together. The Brhat surpassed Indra through one of its manifestations. Indra was afraid of this (manifestation), lest it (viz., the Brhat) should overcome him by means of it. He (Indra) said, "Let this be for thee the Sodasinsoma-feast". It became the Sodasin. TMB XIX.18.2 ascribes the origin of the first Vighana to Indra. Indra desired to kill the evil enemy and then having seen this sacrifice killed. him. He also saw the Indrastoma sacrifice for getting supremacy at another time (JB II.139). Indra desirous of lustre (tejas) and desirous of energy (haras) saw the saman called Harayana (TMB XIV.9.34). Indra slew the son of Tvastr with three heads. An inauspicious voice addressed him. He resorted for help to Agni. This (God) saw this Agni-laud (Agnistotra) and having assigned it to himself he sacrificed with it on behalf of him (of Indra). By means of it, he drove away his inauspicious voice (TMB XVII.5.1). When there

was equality among the Gods Indra along with Agni and Surya desired to get supremacy and saw the Atigrahya cups (SB IV. 5.4.1-2) and obtained it.

21

Tvastr is said to have beheld the Punaradheya (re-establishment)(ŚB II.2.3.4.). The All-Gods (Viśvedevaḥ) began to assign a cake to Heaven and Earth (ŚB II.4.3.8). Savitr saw the Savitra libations in connection with the Agnicayana (ŚB VI.3.1.1).

Some sacrificial rites were added through some deity in order to remove some difficulty etc. When sacrifice went away from Gods, the Gods were unable to find it out. The Gods approached Aditi and said, "Through thee let us discern it". She chose a boon, "Let a sacrifice begin from me and end with me". The boon was granted and, therefore, there is a pap to Aditi as introductory offering and a pap to Aditi as concluding offering (AB I.1). The origin of milk-mess at the time of Bahispavamāna is also similar. An Asura woman named Dīrghajīvī licked the morning pressing of the Gods. It became then drunk. Gods said to Mitrāvaruna to remove the intoxication. They chose a boon of a milkmess at the Bahispavamāna (AB II.22).²

² cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.155.

Further development by Gods:

Gods were interested in developing the sacrifice which they obtained either from Prajapati or from Indra or saw themselves. We have mentioned how some sacrifices or sacrificial details also were discovered by Gods in general or individually. Now let us see how Gods were making efforts in the development of the sacrifice. Many details of the sacrifice were fixed as they proceeded with the performance. Gods and Asuras obtained both kinds of speeth - truth and untruth - from Prajapati. The Gods developed the truth and the Asuras the untruth. Thus the Gods obtained that truth also which was first with the Asuras and the untruth which was with the Gods went to the Asuras. The Gods then desired to spread that truth. They prepared the Consecration offering. The asuras were aware of this and approached to that where the Gods were performing, before the samistayajus was offered. Therefore, the samistayajus is not offered at the time of the consecration ceremony. The Gods then offered the openingoffering. The Asuras came there when the Samyos formula had been pronounced. Therefore, that offering is upto samyos formula. Then they performed the guest-offering. The Asuras arrived there when ida was ivoked. Therefore, this offering ends with the invotation of ida Thus various details were fixed by the Gods as they proceeded (SB IX.5.1.12ff cp. TB I.5.9.1ff). TB II.2.5-6 we get the origin of the

materials (sambharah) of the sacrifice Gods were not able to make the sacrifice firm. Then they collected the sambharas and then their sacrifice was firm. Thus we know how Gods were trying to overcome the difficulties and then how the sacrifice was developing. SB VI.2.3.10 tells us that Prajapati saw the first layer of the fire-altar. Gods saw the second. Indra, Agni and Viśvakarman saw the third layer, the seers the fourth and Paramesthi the fifth. Here we get a clear idea of how sacrifice was in the making, how it was being developed and how various personalities have some share in its development according to the Brahmana-texts.

Sacrifice as 'created' :

The Brahmana-texts have no definite position about whether the sacrifice was 'seen' or 'created'. Sometimes they described it as 'seen' and sometimes as 'created'. We have given above some examples of Prajapati or Gods having seen it. Let us now see some references where the sacrifice is described as 'created'.

TMB VIII.6.3 says that Prajapati has created the sacrifice from the yajnayajniya saman. SB XI.1.8.3 says that after giving himself to the Gods Prajapati created his counterpart (Pratima), viz., the sacrifice. Elsewhere we are told that Prajapati created the Madhyandina savana (GB II.3.23) and Indra is said to have created the third savana (JB I.156).

Prajapati created the sacrifice in general according to AB VII.19. It is described how Gods created various sacrificial details. From out of faith the Gods fashioned the consecration, from out of Aditi, the opening offering; from out of Visnu the guest-offering; from out of the Sun the Pravargya; from out of the svadha the Upasads; from out of Agni and Soma the day of fasting and from out of this world the opening Atiratra. From out of the year (they fashioned) the Caturvinsa day, from out of the priesthood the Abhiplava (sadaha), from out of the nobility the Prsthya-sadaha, from out of Agni, the Abhijit, from out of the waters the svarasaman days, from out of the Sun the Visuvat ... from out of Indra the visvajit ... from out of Mitra and Varuna the Go and Ayus, from out of the Visve devah, the Dasaratra, from out of the regions the Prsthya-sadaha of the Dasgratra, from out of these worlds the Chandoma-days. From out of the year (they fashioned) the tenth day; from out of Prajapati the Mahavrata and from out of the world of heaven the Udayaniya Atiratra ... (SB XII.1.2.1-3; cp. GB I.4.7). Here the origin of sacrifice or of the sacrificial details is described in the form of creation (by the Gods).

Mentioning the performance :

Sometimes the origin of a sacrificial rite is not mentioned in the words meaning that it was 'given' or 'seen'

or 'created': but only a mention is made about the performance made in the past times. For example, there was once discord among the Gods and in order to memove that discord, Gods performed the Tanunaptra. (AB I.24; SB III. 4.2.1ff; GB II.2.2). The origin of fast is told by describing the fast of the Gods. The nectar of immortality departed from the Gods. The Gods decided to seek it by toil and penance. They, having become consecrated were living on fast-milk. Then they heard the sound of the nectar. Then they resorted to three teats. Then they became able to see the immortality. Then the Gods resorted to two teats and saw it still nearer. Then they resorted to one teat. immortality approached to them; but still they were not able to catch hold of it. Then they practised entire abstention from food. On the second day they took hold of the immortality and having pressed soma offered into fire, bestowed immortality upon Agni. Agni is the body of the Gods; therefore, they bestowed immortality upon themselves. The sacrificer also follows what the Gods did and lives accordingly on four, three, two, one teat respectively. Finally he does not take anything at all (SB IX.5.1.1ff). Here the origin of the fast is told in the performance of Gods. The origin of stambayajurharana is told thus. The Gods were desiring to vanquish the Asuras. Agni said to them "By fleeing northwards they escape from us. I will go round the northern side and you will shut them in

from the sacrificial ground and whilst shutting them in we will put them down by these three worlds". It was done in that manner and the Asuras were put down. Now also Agnidhara goes round to the north. For he is Agni himself. Then the Adhvaryu shuts the grass-bushes and removes them (SB I.2.4.8ff). Here also the particular manner of stambayajurharana has its origin in the ancient performance.

Origin in the divine imitation :

Sometimes a clear mention is made that some sacrificial detail was performed by the Gods in such and such manner and now also it is to be done in that manner. When soma is brought they should speak inaudibly while bringing him. This is done in imitation of Gods. For soma was previously among the Gandharvas. The Gods and seers meditated upon him. Speech (Vac) said to them. "The Gandharvas love women. With me as a woman you barter the soma. I shall return, when you need me". With her they bought soma and now also with a cow soma is bought and at the time of bringing soma they speak inaudibly for at that time the speech is with the Gandharvas (AB I.27). The origin of Asapatnestakas is also in the divine imitation. Gods desired to remove all the enemies and removed them by means of these istakas (bricks). Now also evil is removed by means of them. (SB VIII.5.1.1ff). The Gods decided to support the third pressing with the adityas and, therefore,

the third pressing now also begins with Adityas (AB III.29). Thus many rites have their origin in imitation of Gods. Not only they have their origin there, but it is an essential rule that one should perform the sacrifice in imitation of Gods. 3

Origin in past incident :

Some sacrificial rites have their origin in some past incident. There was a disputation between Mind and Speech. Each offe of them claimed to be superior. Mind said, "I am better than you. For you do not speak anything that is not understood by me. Thus you are a follower of me". Speech said, "I am better than you; what you know, I make known; I communicate". Then they went to Prajapati for decision. He decided in the favour of mind and said to speech, "Mind is better than you. For you are an imitator, a follower of Mind. Inferior is he who imitates". Speech then got angry at that time and said, "I shall never be your oblation-bearer". Hence whatever is performed to Prajapati, it is performed in a low voice (SB I.4.5.8ff). Here this particular manner of offering to Prajapati is said to be originated in a past incident according to Brahmanas. At the time of killing Vrtra, Indra promised a cake to Agni and Soma and, therefore,

³ cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.85.

there is a cake to Agni and Soma in the Darsa and Purnamasa offerings (SB I.6.3.1ff).

The role of seers in obtaining the sacrifice :

The sacrifice and sacrificial rites either obtained from Prajapati or Indra or seen by the Gods were not easily obtained by met. We know that Gods tried to hide the sacrifice so that men would not know it and having performed them come to heaven. By means of the sacrifice the Gods made the conquest of heaven. When they had conquered, they said, "How may this celestial region be made unattainable by men ?" They then sipped the sap of the sacrifice as bees would suck out honey. They drained the sacrifice and effaced the traces of it with the sacrificial post. The seers heard this and went on praising and toiling. For by praising and toiling the Gods had attained whatever was to be attained and the seers did in the same manner. The seers came to the place where Gods had sacrificed. There they found the sacrificial cake which had become a tortoise and was creeping about. Then they thought "This must be the sacrifice". They said, "Stand still for Asvins ! Stand still for Indra !" but it did not stand still; it crept on. When they said, "Still for Agni". It then stood still. Having enveloped it in the fire they offered it completely. Then the sacrifice shone forth to them. They produced it and spread it. This sacrifice was

taught by the former to the later. The father teaches it to his son when he is a student (brahmacarin)(SB I.6.2.lff; cp. AB II.l which mentions the men along with the seers and does not refer to the story of sacrifice as tortoise and to the spreading of it by seers from generation to the generation.)

The story given by SB above is very important for the understanding of the origin of sacrifice. According to it the performance of the sacrifice was previously done by the Gods. Here it is not clearly said how the Gods themselves have obtained it. From other sources we may understand that the sacrifice was obtained from Prajapati and was further developed by the Gods. Though the Gods tried to hide the sacrifice, the seers could obtain it and they produced it again and spread. Then the sacrifice was taught by the older to the younger and thus it remained continued.

The role of seers is mentioned elsewhere also. The seers along with men went to see where the Gods had offered the omentum of a victim. At that place, they found the victim without omentum and came to understand the importance of the offering of omentum (AB I.13). The seers saw the fourth layer of the fire altar (SB VI.2.3.10). GB I.5.25 says, "The Angirasas perform all the seven soma-sacrifices, the seven paka-yajkas and seven haviryajñas - in all

twentyone and the new sacrifices which the seers (rsayah) create and the sacrifice which are created by ancient seers."

This verse is very important for it informs us that the ancient seers have created the sacrifices and the seers still continue to create new sacrifices. The Brahmana-texts thus maintain that the sacrifice was ever developing and growing and the seers creating the new sacrifices had there played a significant role.

Individual seers have 'seen' many sacrifices. Thus Gautama desired to obtain cattle and saw the sacrifice is now known as the Catustoma of Goutama (JB II.173). Atridesirous of four sons saw the sacrifice called Caturatra (JB II.281). Jamadagni saw the sacrifice which came to be called Jamadagnya (JB II.284). Vasistha when his sons were dead saw the Vasistha saman and obtained many sons and cattle (JB II.26). Yudhajiva Vaisvamitra desiring to get firm foundation (pratistha) practised penance and saw the saman which came to be known as Yaudhajaya (JB I.122).

Thus we know how the seers have been connected with the discovery of the sacrifice and its further development.4

See further also on the role of seers in the discovery of the sacrifice and sacrificial rites of. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.148.

The role of man in general:

The man is also told to have originated the sacrifice. Prajapati in creating living beings created Agni. Agni as soon as born, sought to burn everything here. The creatures tried to crush him. Then Agni went to man and said, "Having reproduced me maintain me in this world, even so will I reproduce and maintain thee in yonder world". The man accepted him and maintained him (SB II.3.3.1ff). Here the origin of Agnihotra is said to be in the contract done by the man and Agni.

Concluding remarks :

The variety of stories which try to explain the origin of sacrifice in general or of some particular rites show that there was no definite view held about the origin of the sacrifice during the Brāhmaṇa-period. There are some stories which make us believe that the sacrifice was seen by Prajāpati, some other in which we find him (i.e. Prajāpati) as a creator of the sacrifice or sacrificial rites. There are some other stories where Gods in general or individual are said to have seen or created the sacrifice or sacrificial rite. Again we read that the sacrifice was given by Prajāpati or Indra. Seers either saw the ritual or obtained from Gods and spread it. Man is also at the origin of the rite like Agnihotra

This will lead to the conclusion that the sacrifice at the time of the Brahmana-texts was a well-established institution and, therefore, the Brahmana-texts really know very little about its origin. Thus, for example, it is said that Devabhaga Srautarsa knew the method of division of the savniya victim. Then somebody other than man Babhravya (anyo manusyebhyah - GB, amanusyah - AB) told it to Girija Babhravya and from him it came into vogue among men. Here it is obvious that the tradition is not clear and, therefore, only an indefinite mention is made (GB I.3.18; AB VII.1). There are differences in the stories of origin. In SB I.6.2.1ff mention is made of the sacrifice found in the form of tortoise and being spread from generation to generation. But AB II.1 which mentions the performance and hiding of the sacrifice in the same way as SB does, mention men also along with the seers and does not speak about the discovery of sacrifice in the form of tortoise etc. Thus it can be concluded that the origin of sacrifice was not definitely known at the time of the Brahmana-texts and the sacrifice was already a wellestablished institute. Nevertheless, it was a developing institute. Many sacrificial rites were 'seen' by different seers etc. and were added. The sacrifice was still growing. The further details of this growth of the sacrifice will be seen in the next section.

of. <u>Devasthali</u>, <u>Religion and Mythology of the Brahmanas</u> p.109.

CHAPTER III

VICISSITUDES OF THE SACRIFICE

Introduction :

The sacrifice was a well-established institution at the time of the Brahmanas. But still it was not static. There were many ups and downs, various vicissitudes in the history of the sacrifices. Though the Brahmana-texts do not give us a consistent and succinct history of the sacrifice, they in their own way refer to some of the vicissitudes of the sacrifice or its details. They tell us how the sacrifice is said to have gone away; they tell us how some difficulties arose and were removed in the case of the sacrifice; they refer to the old practices, and the new practices, etc. and thus supply us with various vicissitudes of the sacrifice. A study of such vicissitudes will surely enable us to understand the dymamic nature of the sacrifice and its history.

Expansion of the sacrifice :

The sacrifice was expanding and growing. The expansion of the sacrifice can be known from the story given by SB I.4.1.10ff. Mathava, the king of Videgha carried Agni

For the vicissitudes of sacrifice cf. <u>Devasthali</u>

<u>Religion and Mythology of the Brahmanas</u>, p.110f; 116f;

119f.

Vaisvanara in his mouth. The seer named Gotama Rahugana was his family priest. When addressed by Gotama Rahugana, the king made no answer lest the fire might fall from his mouth. The seer began to invoke Agni with the verse of the Rgveda. But still the king did not give any answer. The seer went on addressing. When he uttered the expression - "buttersprinkled one", Agni Vaisvanara flashed forth from the king's mouth. The king was unable to hold him back. The fire issued from his mouth and fell down on this earth. Mathava, the king was at that time on the river Sarasvati. Agni went there burning along this earth towards the east and Gotama Rahugana and Videgha Mathava followed after him as he was burning along. Agni burnt over (dried up) all these revers. Agni, however, could not burn over the river called Sadanira. In the former times the Brahmanas did not cross that river because it was not burnt over by Agni Vaisvanara. At that time the land to the east of the river Sadanira was very much uncultivated, very marshy, because it was not tasted by Agni Vaisvanara. Now-a-days, however, the Brahmanas have caused (Agni) to taste it through sacrifices.

In the above story three successive stages in the eastward migration of the Aryans along with their fire-cult are mentioned. At the time of Videgha Mathava the Aryans were extended upto the river Sarasvati. Then under the

leadership of Videgha Mathava they went as far as the river Sadanira. For some time the Aryans could not go beyond the river Sadanira. But after some time they went beyond the river and established the sacrifice there also. Agni Vaisvanara can represent the sacrifice in general and the progress of Agni Vaisvanara can be supposed to be the progress and expansion of the sacrifice.²

The expansion of sacrifice can be known indirectly from the story of Viṣṇu who is identical with the sacrifice. The Asuras wanted to possess the world. The Gods, placing Viṣṇu, the sacrifice at their head, went to the Asuras. The Asuras offered the earth as much as Viṣṇu - who was very dwarf at that time - would lie upon. The Gods having enclosed him (Viṣṇu = sacrifice) on all three sides, having placed Agni on the east side, went on toiling and worshiping with the sacrifice and then obtained all the earth (ŚB I.2.5.lff). Here we know how Gods pervaded all the earth by means of sacrifice and this indicates that they spread the sacrifice all over the earth. Thus we can understand that the sacrifice was expanded at the time of the Brahmana-texts in a very great measure.

For this legend and remarks on it cf. Weber, Indische Studien, I, p.170ff.

Growth of the sacrifice :

Though the ritualism in general was already established at the time of the Brahmanas, the sacrifice was always developing. New requirements and demands made it always necessary to develop the ritual further. 3 The Brahmana-texts represent this development. GB I.5.25 implies that the new sacrifices were being created by Rsis (seers). While dealing with the origin and growth of the sacrifice we have given the examples of sacrifices being 'seen' or 'created'. Thus in the Brahmana-period the number of sacrifices was growing and these new sacrifices were admitted in the ritual sphere of the Brahmanas. Again while dealing with the elevation of the sacrifice, we shall also see how some popular rites were admitted into the ritual of the 'classes' represented by the Brahmana-texts. Such rites were given when possible, the appearance of the ritual of the classes and were elevated from ritual of the "masses "to the ritual of the classes". Their importance was also stated in very glowing terms. Thus it is clear that the sacrifice grew considerably during the period of the Brahmana-texts.

³ cf. Gonda, "Bandhu in the Brahmanas" Brahma-vidya, The
Advar Library Bulletin, XXIX, p.5. According to Keith,
RPV, 26lf the additions and alterations were simply for
the purpose of introducing elements of magic potency.

The sacrifice running away :

But this growth was not always going on without any hindrance. There were some occasions when the sacrifice ran away. 4 Thus the sacrifice is said to have gone away from the Gods and it is added that it roamed about in the form of a black antelope. The Gods found it, stripped it of its skin and brought the skin away with them. Its black, white and brown hair represent the three vedas, threefold science, which is the same as the sacrifice. The skin of the black antelope is to be used, therefore, for the completness of the sacrifice (SB I.1.4.1f; cp. TB III.2.5.6; 6.1f). The sacrifice is said to have gone away from the Gods a second time, when it took the form of the well-winged falcon (suparna) and wandered. The Gods caught hold of the sacrifice by means of the Sauparna saman (TMB XIV. 3.10). The sacrifice was once lost and it was found out by means of the libations (ahutis) (AB I.2) and at another time by means of directions (praisa) (AB III.9; cp. I.2). The sacrifice once said to the Gods, "I shall not be your food", and went away from the Gods. The Gods crushed it. It being taken apart was not sufficient for them. The Gods said, "It will not be sufficient for us,

For sacrifice running away, see Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 142ff.

being taken away. Let us gather together the sacrifice". Then they gathered together the sacrifice and requested Asvins to heal it (AB I.18; GB II.2.6). Elsewhere sacrifice is said to have gone away from the Gods and wandered in the form of a horse. The Gods rushing after it took hold of its tail (vala) and tore it out and having torn it out, they threw it down in a lump. The hair of the horse's tail grew up as those of plants (asvavala grass) (SB III.4.1.17; cp.TMB VI. 7.18). Therefore, the prastara-bunch is to be made of asvavala-grass. AB VII.19 gives us another story of sacrifice going away. Prajapati created the sacrifice. After the creation of the sacrifice the holy power and the lordly power were created. Both the kinds of offsprings were created, viz., those who eat the oblations and those who do not eat them. The Brahmanas are the offsprings eating the oblations; and the Rajanya, Vaisya and Sudra classes are the offsprings not eating the oblations. From them the sacrifice departed. The holy power and the lordly power pursued it. The weapons with which the holy power pursued were the utensils of the sacrifice. The weapons with which the lordly power pursued were the horse-chariot, the corslet, the bow and arrow. The lordly power returned without attaining the sacrifice; from its weapons the sacrifice turned away trembling. The holy power followed the sacrifice and obtained it (AB VII.19). Here the importance of the use of the sacrificial utensils is suggested.

In many of the stories mentioning the sacrifice as running away, we find that ultimately importance of the use of something, e.g., the black antelope skin, the sauparna saman, asvavala-grass, sacrificial utensils, etc., is meant to be suggested. It seems that though the sacrifice was gone away at certain occasions, by using something it was re-obtained and thus the use of those things becomes introduced in the ritual. Though the sacrifice ran away many times, its running away itself has marked some progress in the development of the ritual.

Individual rites such as the consecration (dikṣā) are also said to have gone away. Thus when the Dikṣā went away, from the Gods, they sought to grasp it with the two months of spring. But it was not obtained. Then they sought to grasp with the two months of the summer; then of the rainy season; then of the autumn; and then of the winter. But they were not successful. Then they sought with the two months of the cool season and they were, then successful. Therefore, the dikṣā of the sacrificial session is to be taken in the two months of the cool season (AB IV.26). Here also we find that the Brāhmaṇa-text wants to prescribe the particular period for the Dikṣā. But it refers to the trial and error method adopted by the Gods for obtaining the Dikṣā when it had gone away. Thus through these vicissitudes the sacrifice

was developing and becoming definite according to the Brahmanas.

No performance of the sacrifice :

We saw above some examples where the sacrifice went away and was re-obtained by using something. In the Brahmanatexts also we get reference to the incidents of no performance of the sacrifices by those who are expected to perform the sacrifice. SB I.2.5.24ff can be mentioned in this context. The performers of the ancient time used to touch the altar and oblations. They became poorer (papiyamsah) and those who washed the hands after the preparation of Vedi they became prosperous. Then unbelief took hold of men. "Those who sacrifice, become poor; and those who do not sacrifice, they become prosperous", they said. Then no sacrificial food came to the Gods from this world. The Gods subsist on what is offered up from this world. Then the Gods requested Brhaspati Angirasa to ordain the sacrifice to men. Brhaspati approached them and after listening to what they said, he explained to them, "What we have heard of as produced for the Gods that is this sacrifice that is to say the cooked oblations - therewith you have performed while touching. That is why you have become poorer. Sacrifice, therefore, without touching. For thus you will become prosperous". "How long ?" they asked. "Till the spreading of the sacrificial grass

on the altar" he said. By sacrificial grass, the altar becomes appeased ... He who knows this sacrifice without touching and becomes prosperous.

Here we know how unbelief spread when the performer of the sacrifices became poorer. As the Gods depend upon the sacrifice they had to make efforts to remove the unbelief and encourage men to recontinue the sacrificial performances. Brhaspati has made here a significant service in the resurrection of the sacrifice. Brhaspati has elsewhere helped Indra in removing away the Asuras who were creating hindrance in the performance of sacrifice. At the time when the Gods were about to come up to perform this sacrifice, the Asuras, the mischievous friends, tried to smite them from the south, saying, "you shall not sacrifice! You shall not perform the sacrifice". The Gods said to Indra, "Thou art the highest and strongest of us. Do thou hold these fiends in che check". "Let the Brahman(w) be my ally !", he said. "So be it". They made Brhaspati his ally; for Brhaspati is the Brahman and having had the Asuras, the mischievous fiends, chased away in the south by Brhaspati and Indra they spread this sacrifice in a place free from danger and delivery. (SB IX. 2.3.2-3). Thus the performance of sacrifice even though interrupted sometimes remained continuous and Brhaspati along with Indra has made some efforts for its continuity.

JB III.216 gives another story in which also the performance of sacrifice was stopped when the Gods had gone to heaven taking the sacrifice along with them. Men could not know the sacrifice then. Then the performance of the sacrifice was stopped. Both the Gods and the men remained hungry. For no libations went from this world upwards and no rains came from that world downwards. Then the Gods told Ayasya to give the sacrifice to the men. Thus even though the performance of the sacrifice was stopped for some time, it was recontinued after some period with the help of Brhaspati or Indra or Ayasya.

Difficulties in the performance :

Occasionally some difficulties arose and they were removed by some means. Thus cattle would not serve the Gods for serving as food. Then the Gods saw the sacrificial post as a thunderbolt. They raised it up against the cattle and fearing it the cattle came back (AB II.8). Thus the difficulty was removed by means of the sacrificial post. The pressings of the Gods were not firm. The Gods then saw the cakes and offered them at each pressing to support them and then the pressings became firm (AB II.23). Here the difficulty is removed by means of the cakes. Whatever weapon the Gods used against the Asuras, that the Asuras perceived and countered. Then the Gods saw the silent praise (Tuṣṇimsamsa)

and hurled it against the Asuras. Then the Gods prospered and the Amuras were defeated (AB II.31). Here the discovery of Tüṣṇimśamśa proves to be useful for removing away the Asuras. The Angirases were performing a sacrificial session in order to obtain the world of heaven. They were falling into confusion whenever they reached the sixth day. Nabhanediṣṭha's father told Nabhanediṣṭha to recite two hymns in the performance of those Angirases. He recited them (RV X.61 and 62) and the performance was then done well (AB V.14). Here the recital of the two hymns removes the confusion.

Thus we see that in the performance of the sacrifice some difficulties arose, but they were removed by certain means, and thus the sacrifice was being developed.

Sacrifice is said sometimes to have been exhausted. The Gods were very much anxious to replenish the sacrifice, to render it more efficient and practise with it when it was exhausted. What was left in the juhu, of that butter with which they had established the sacrifice, with that they sprinkled the havisdishes and thereby replenished them again and rendered them efficient (SB I.5.3.24).

Allusions to the old practices :

The sacrifice was developing inspite of the hindrances as we have seen. But not only it was developing, it was

adopting some new practices also. Lévi has rightly remarked

- "Ainci de l'aveu meme des Brahmanas le cours du temps
modifie et transforme les rites du sacrifice". We find that
sometimes the Brahmana-texts mention the old practices along
with the new practices which they want to prescribe. Such
references to the ritual of the ancient time will be very
useful for understanding the vicissitudes and the history
of the sacrifice.

TMB (VIII.6.5f) says that previously all the sacrifice was with the Asuras (asuresu hi sarvo yajña asit). The Gods saw the yajñayajñiya saman and by using it they obtained Agnihotra, Darsa and Purnamasa, Caturmasyas, the Soma sacrifice and in this way all the sacrifice. SB XII.9.3.7 mentions that the Sautramani sacrifice was in the beginning (agree) among the Asuras. This point is very significant.

Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.138. cf. also Weber Indische Studien, X p.156 ff. Keith, RPV, p. 261f.

⁶ Ronnow, Trita Aptya, p. 12 tries to maintain that the Soma sacrifice was originated among the Asuras.

For agre which means "in the beginning" "previously" and "which refers to the mythical example existing 'before' chronological time, but making its influence felt in time". See Gonda, Visnuism, p.51, 74; the same, Inleiding tot het Indische denken, p.40.

It probably indicates that many rites were adopted by the Gods from the Asuras. The ritual of the Vedic Aryans was being developed and it is possible that some rites must have been taken in ancient period from the rites of the popular nature or sometimes from the non-aryan rites and for obvious reasons they were ascribed to the Asuras in the mythological manner.

Some of the details of the sacrificial performance were done differently in the old times. Thus previously all the stotras were sung with the yajnayajnaya only (JB I.173). In the beginning the Brahman priest was sharer of half of the Daksinas with the other priests (AB V.34; JUB III.4.3.5; GB I.3.4). Formerly the Brahmana priest-hood belonged to Vasistha family. But now any one who knows the vyahrtis can be the Brahman priest at the sacrifice (SB XII.6.1.41). Previously the Yupa was entirely thrown into the fire. The later persons saw the chip of the Yupa as a fragment of the sacrifice and threw that chip into the fire (AB II.3). To the call for preparing the oblation material the wife of the sacrificer used to rise to act in the ancient times. But now either she or some one else rises in answer to this call (SB I.1.4.11-13). Previously, five animals, viz., the man, the horse, the bull, the ram and the he-goat were killed and their heads were used in the course of fire-building (Agnicayana). Thus, for example, they were used in the

fire-building of Aṣaḍha Sauśromateya; but he quickly died after that (ŚB VI.2.1.37). ŚB VI.2.1.39 mentions that it was Prajapati who first slaughtered the five animals and Śyaparna Sayakayana the last. In the interval people used to slaughter them. But now-a-days only these two are slaughtered - the one for Prajapati and the one for Vayu. Here the change of the practice seems to be because of the tendency to avoid the slaughter of the man in the ritual - the tendency which marks the later period and which is reflected in the Brahmana-texts.

Among the references to the old practices we sometimes find that there is some growth in the ritual in the later period. Thus there was only one pressing, viz., the morning pressing, in the old days (GB II.4.23). Previously there was no priest namely the Gravastut. Arbuda Kavaşeya introduced this priest in the ritual according to KB XXIX.1. Now there are three pressings and also the priest named Gravastut in the ritual. So this seems to be growth in the ritual which is noted by the Brahmaṇa-texts. The sacrifice must be ever developing and growing but the Brahmaṇa-texts have made reference to a very few practices as the occasions allowed them. But on the basis of those we may say that there were many modifications, alterations and additions in the ritual at the time of the Brahmaṇa-texts as compared to the ritual of the previous day.

Vicissitudes of the animal-sacrifice :

The animal sagrifice is included in the Soma sacrifice and there is also a separate form of it named Pasubandha. Both kinds of the animal sacrifice were performed at the time of the Brahmanas. But there must have been various changes as far as the kind of the victim is concerned. Thus we get a story in which successive stages of the change in the victim are shown. At first the Gods slew the man as the sacrificial victim. When he had been slain his sap went out. It entered into the horse. Therefore, the horse became fit for the sacrifice and him whose sap had been departed they dismissed; he became Kimpurusa. They slew the horse and the sap went away from him and entered into the ox. The horse became a Gomrga. When the ox was slain, the sap went away and entered a sheep. Then the sheep became worthy of sacrifice and the ox became a Gayal. The sheep was slain but the sap went away from the sheep and entered into a goat. Therefore, the goat became worthy of sacrifice. The sheep became a camel. The sap remained in the goat for a very long time. Therefore,

Kimpurusa means 'monkey' according to Weber, Indische
Studien, IX, p.246; Keith, RBT, 140. Haug translates
the word as 'deformed' or 'dwarf' (his translation
p.90 and note there).

the goat is employed very often. They slew the goat and the sap went to the earth. The goat became a Sarabha. The animals from whom the sap was departed are not worthy of sacrifice. The sap in the earth became rice and in that they offer also a cake (which is made of the rice) they do it because they think, "Let our sacrifice be with a victim with sap; let our sacrifice be with a victim whole" (AB II.8; cp. SB I.2.3.6f). Various vicissitudes of the animal sacrifice are seen here. The story suggests that the man was in the ancient period supposed to be a victim worthy for sacrifice. The horse was also a regular sacrificial animal for some time. Remnants of these two practices can be seen in the Purusamedha and in the Asvamedha. The he-goat was definitely used for the longer time and in more proportion and, therefore, at the time of the Brahmanas as well as in the later periods the he-goat continued to be a wictim for the sacrificial use. The cake which represents the animal has a wide use and particularly in the sacrifices classified under the name isti. The istis in which no animal was used and only cake (purodasa) was used seem to be the most sophisticated form of the sacrifice. Though the vicissitudes of the animals may not be taken in the necessarily chronological connotations, we can at least presume that there were different ideas about the sacrificial victim at different times and places. The origin of thoughts of Ahimsa also can

be seen in such references (for details see the section on animal-sacrifice).

The Purusamedha:

In the vicissitudes of the sacrifice the Purusamedha deserves a more detailed study. There is a difference of opinion about whether the killing of a human creature was done in the ancient ritualism of the Aryans. Therefore, let us see what light the Brahmana-texts throw upon the Purusamedha.

Origin of the Purusamedha:

SB XIII.6.1.1ff gives the origin of the Puruşamedha as follows: "Puruşa Narayana desired, "Would that I overpassed all the beings! Would that I alone were everything here (this universe)!" He beheld this sacrificial performance of five days, the Puruşamedha, and took it, and performed offering therewith and having performed offering therewith, he overpassed all beings and became everything here". Thus the origin of the Puruşamedha is ascribed to Puruşa Narayana and some divine sanctity has been in this way attached to it.

Performance of the Purusamedha:

There are twenty-three dikṣās, twelve upasads and five sutyās (somadays) in the Puruṣamedha (ŚB XIII.6.1.2). On the Upavastha (day) there are eleven victims sacred to Agni and

Soma (ŚB XIII.6.1.4). On the sutyā days there are the savanīya victims (ŚB XIII.6.1.5). The first (of the sutyā days) is an Agniṣṭoma; then follows an Ukthya; then an Atirātra; then an Ukthya and then an Agniṣṭoma (ŚB XIII. 6.1.7).

On the central day, the Purusas (men) are seized (SB XIII.6.2.2). The SB does not enumerate the details of the Purusas and deities to whom they are to be offered. At TB III.4.1.1ff various persons of various castes and particularities are mentioned along with the deities to whom each of the persons is to be offered. SB merely mentions some of them. "To the priesthood a brahmana .. to the nobility, a kṣatriya .. to the Maruts, a vaisya .. to the Penance, a sudra ... " (SB XIII.6.2.10). At the time of the bringing up of the victims three oblations to Savitr are offered (SB XIII.6.2.11), the Brahman priest seated to the right (south) of them, praises with this sixteen-versed hymn (RV X.90; VS XXXI.1-16) 'The thousand-headed purusa ... ' the men bound to the sacrificial posts (SB XIII.6.2.12). The men are set free after the fire has been carried round them (cf. SB XIII.6.2.13). After the Udayaniya offering eleven barren cows are offered to Mitra-Varuna, the Visve-devah and Brhaspati (SB XIII.6.2.16). The Traidhatavi is the final offering (udavasaniya) (SB XIII.6.2.17).

Daksinas :

SB XIII.6.2.18 describes the daksinas of the Purusamedha as follows: "What there is towards the middle of the kingdom, other than the land and property of the brahmanas but including the men, of that the eastern quarter belongs to the Hotr, the southern to the Brahman, the western to Adhvaryu, the northern to the Udgatr and the Hotrakas share this along with them?. If the performer of the Purusamedha is a Brahmana, then he should bestow all his property as daksina (SB XIII.6.2.19).

Etymology of the word Purusamedha:

The SB XIII.6.2.1. gives the etymology of the word Purusamedha. "As to why it is called Purusamedha? The stronghold (pur) doubtless is these worlds and the Purusa is he that blows here (the wind), he bides (sete) in this stronghold (pur) hence he is the Purusa. And whatever food there is in these worlds that is its 'medha'; its food; and inasmuch as this is its 'medha', its food, therefore, (it is called) Purusamedha. And inasmuch as at this sacrifice the Purusas worthy of sacrifice are killed, therefore, it is called Purusamedha".

Here two etymologies are given out of which the second is important. This etymology suggests that the essential

feature of the Purusamedha sacrifice is the seizing of the Purusas worthy for sacrifice (medhyan purusan alabhate). It is seen that the tendency to not actually to kill the purusas, but to treat them as animals in the sacrifice symbolically and then to release them is reflected in the Brahmanas. But still the etymology shows that the essence of Purusamedha was in the sacrificing of the Purusas.

References to the human sacrifices in the Brahmana-texts :

In the Agnicayana (fire building ceremony) the heads of animals are to be put (ŚB VII.5.2.1). Among these animals Puruṣa is killed first for the Puruṣa is the first of all the animals. (ŚB VI.2.1.18). ŚB VI.2.1ff tells us that Prajapati saw the five animals, the Puruṣa, the Horse, the Bull, the Ram, and the He-goat, as the forms of the Agni.

There seems a lot of hesitation about the use of animal heads and this is particularly due to the inclusion of Purusa in the list. Thus SB VI.2.1.37 we read that some put (in the ancient period)(all) the animal heads. But they then became mortal creatures. For Asadhi Sausramateya these animal-heads were put but he then died quickly. Some make the golden heads saying that they are immortal bricks. But they are false bricks according to the SB. Again some make earthen heads. SB rejects this practice also and prescribes that the same five animals are to be used as far as possible. Prajapati

was the first to offer them. Syaparna Sayakayana was the last. In the interval the people used to slaughter them but now only two are slaughtered - one for Prajapati and one for Vayu.

Here it will be seen how SB is hesitating. It wants to prescribe all the animals but it has also to mention that this practice is not prevailing now and has stopped with Syaparna Sayakayana. It will also be seen how some performers substitute the animal-heads by golden heads and some others by earthen heads, and how they are opposed. The third practice more prevailing is to slaughter only two animals. Now killing the human beings in the course of building is found in Roman, German and Slavish customs and its function is to strengthen the buildings. It is possible that once this practice was actually followed in the course of firebuilding also but the Brahmana texts are trying to make it symbolical.

A mythological reference to human-sacrifice is found in the following story (SB I.1.4.14ff). Manu had a bull. A voice which could kill the Asuras entered in it and its

Weber, Über Menschenopfer bei den Indern der Wedischen Zeit ZDMG, 18 (1864), p. 273f; Indische Strifen I, 1868, p. 71.

roaring then killed the Asuras. Kilata and Akuli, the priests of the Asuras, they went to Manu and made him sacrifice with that bull. When the bull was killed, the voice went from him and entered Manavi, the wife of Manu. When the Asuras and Rakshases heard her speak, they became crushed. Kilata and Akuli made Manu sacrifice with Manavi. When she was killed, the voice went from her and entered into the sacrifice itself, into the sacrificial vessels and the Asura priests were unable to remove it from there. In this story Manavi, wife of Manu, is said to have been sacrificed with and this also perhaps shows the ancient practice of human sacrifice.

In the Asvamedha sacrifice there is an offering to Jumbaka at the time of the Avabhrtha-bath. This offering is offered on the head of a white-spotted bald-headed man with protruding teeth and reddish brown eyes (SB XIII.3.6.5). In this connection Weber has rightly remarked that the offering has significance only if the man is drowned. This man represents Varuna and the offering is meant for redeeming oneself from Varuna. Although the texts available to us do not describe this offering as a killing of man, this Jumbaka

¹⁰ Weber, ZDMG 18 (1864) p. 268, Indische Streifen, I. p.63. cf. also Johanson, Dhisana, p.131.

offering seems to indirectly represent itself as a remnant of an ancient Purusamedha. The Brahmana-texts seem to have tried to remove the element of killing of man in it.

At AB VII.13ff we read the story of Sunahsepa who was to be sacrificed to Varuna, but was released due to the favour of the deity Usas. This story also shows the view of the Brahmana-texts towards human sacrifice. Human sacrifice was not altogether unknown to the Brahmana-texts. But the Brahmana-texts also refer to the Purusas being set free and thereby appear to support the symbolical nature of human sacrifice.

The Sarvamedha includes Puruşamedha and it is performed on the sixth day (SB XIII.7.1.8). This Puruşamedha (part of the Sarvamedha) is to be done like the Puruşamedha discussed above and, therefore, is symbolical in nature.

Tendency to avoid killing of the man :

While describing the vicissitudes of the animalsacrifice we have already noted that once Purusa was the
first victim but a tendency came there to avoid Purusa being
offered in the sacrifice. The tendency of avoiding slaughter
of man is also seen in SB XIII.6.2.12-13. "When Narayana
was sacrificing, at the time of the shaughtering of the
Purusas a voice said to him, 'Purusa, do not consummate these

victims. If thou wert to consummate them, man would eat man'. Then the Purusa set free the human victims". This passage is very important; for, it clearly reflects the tendency to avoid the slaughter of man and also gives the reason for it. Due to this tendency possibly the human sacrifice must have become symbolical as the Brahmana-texts seem to indicate.

Views of moder scholars :

Modern scholars do not agree upon the problem of the Puruşamedha, viz., whether the man was ever actually killed or not and whether Puruşamedha is ancient or is introduced in the ritualism only at a later period and artificially.

According to Wilson¹¹ Puruşamedha was factual. He has taken support of the Sunahsepa story. Max Müller¹² is also of the same opinion. Colbrook, 13 however, says that Puruşamedha was not a real performance. It was only allegorical. Oldenberg¹⁴

ll <u>Wilson</u>, <u>JRAS</u>, 13, 1852, "On human sacrifice in the ancient religions life of India" p. 96ff.

¹² Max Muller, MASL, p. 381.

¹³ Colbrook, Miscellaneous Essays, I. p.61f.

¹⁴ Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p. 363, Johanson, Dhisana p. 132 follows Oldenberg.

says that the Purusamedha is a product of fantasy and is based upon the Asvamedha. Keith follows Oldenberg and says that the Purusamedha is a mere priestly imagination, "unless as is possible the conceptions of the priest were occasionaly made real by a king". He further supports himself by pointing out the fact that the Brahmanas do not give the description of actual slaying of man. 15 Elsewhere he has said that the ritual of the Purusamedha is a mere priestly invention to fill up the apparant gap in the sacrificial system which provides no place for man. 16 As regards the use of the human head in the fire-building (Agnicayana) he agrees that there we have the record of a very widely spread usage of slaying a human being to act as the guardian of the foundations of a building, a custom which is world-wide and has often been exemplified in India. 17 Winternitz also agrees with Oldenberg and maintains that the Purusamedha probably existed only as part of sacrificial mysticism and theory and occurred very hardly in reality. 18 Weber saw various traces of killing of

^{15 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p. 347.

^{16 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>VBYT</u>, p. CXXXVIII, cf. <u>Eggeling</u>, <u>SBE</u>, Vol. XLIV, p. xliv.

^{17 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>VBTT</u>, p. CXXXIX. For this point cf. e.g. <u>Frazer</u>

<u>Golden Bough</u>, p. 192.

¹⁸ Winternitz, GIL, I. p. 153; HIL, p. 153.

a man in the vedic ritual and studied the story of Sunahsepa the Jumbaka-offering offered on the head of a Varuna-representing man in the water etc. and tried to show that the killing of man was once done. But when the practice became generally recognised that the sacrificer and the priests should eat a portion of the offered victim, it must have tended to make human sacrifice impracticable. Eggeling agrees with this explanation. According to Hillebrandt the Purusamedha is a remnant of the barbaric old times. 22

Conclusion of the Purusamedha studies :

As far as the Brahmana-texts are concerned we think that the views of Weber can be accepted. The Brahmana-texts know the rites in which man was (or was to be) killed; but the Brahmana-texts themselves do not prescribed the actual killing of human victims. They want to avoid it. Therefore,

^{19 &}lt;u>Weber</u>, <u>ZDMG</u>, 18, 1864; p.262ff; <u>Indische Streifen</u>, I. 1868, p. 54ff.

²⁰ Weber, ZDMG, 18, 1864, p. 275; Indische Streifen, I. 1868, p. 72.

²¹ Eggeling, SBE, Vol. XLIV, p. xli.

²² Hillebrandt, Rituallitegratur, p. 153.

they have described the human sacrifice to be performed symbolically. The Brahmana-texts are not indulged with giving place to man newly or filling the gap in the list of animals for the sacrifice as some scholars say; on the contrary they are interested in avoiding human killing or in making it symbolical. This is a sign of changing mentality. The Brahmana-texts represent sometimes some sophisticated mentality which will not appreciate human slaughter. The origin of Ahimsa is thus seen here remotely and a stem from ritualism to spiritualism is also observed.

Conclusions of the studies on the vicissitudes of the sacrifice:

The sacrifice was being developed and was growing at the time of the Brahmana-texts. The Brahmana-texts know certain vicissitudes of the sacrifice. The sacrifice expanded to a very large extent. But sometimes it "ran away" and at those times some ritual details were added. Sometimes unbelief came or some difficulties arose; but through some device the continuity of the sacrifice was re-obtained. The animal sacrifice has undergone special vicissitudes. Some time in the past the Purusa also was offered. But the Brahmana-texts have tried to stop the practice of killing man and made the Purusamedha almost symbolical. In the vicissitudes of

animal sacrifice the cake-offering which mystically symbolizes the animal-offering is an important stage. 23 It shows the origin of the principle of Ahimsa and the beginning of spiritualism in the ritualism.

²³ For the vegetable sacrifices substituting the animal-sacrifices see <u>Hubert</u>, <u>Mawss</u>, "Sacri,", p. 41, n.1.

CHAPTER IV

61

DISCUSSIONS IN THE BRAHMANA-TEXTS

Introduction:

In the Brahmana-texts we find that the sacrifice is being developed. It is growing in various ways; but as the sphere of the ritual is a very extensive one, it is possible that some differences of opinions about some points in the ritual may arise. In the Brahmana-texts we do not find ritual which is fixed hard and fast; on the contrary the differences of opinion noticed in them show the freedom of thinking. The Brahmana-texts try to take into consideration there differences of opinion. They not only mention the right view which is to be followed, but they also note the argumentations and reasoning for the views which are not to be followed showing ultimately why they are not to be followed. There are certain discussions which are of the nature of questions and answers and there discussions are merely informative. As the Brahmana-texts are rightly called "Prescientific Science" we do not get here "scientific" discussions in our sense. But still they pose to be scientific; the "science", however, is peculiar to the Brahmana-texts. A study of these discussions will be useful for understanding the "sacrifice in the Brahmana-texts".

Differences of opinions

Scope of the differences of opinions:

Differences of opinions give rise to discussions.

These differences have a very wide scope. They pertain matters ranging from minor details to the complete form of a sacrifice. Thus, for example, there is a difference of opinion about the material of the spade. Some make it of bamboo-wood and some suggest that it should be made of gold (SB VI 3.1.41-42). As an example of other extreme we may mention the various ways of performance of the Asvamedha sacrifice mentioned by SB XIII. 5.4.1ff. Thus the scope of differences is very wide and it is very essential to classify these differences under different headings so that we can get a general idea about them.

a) recitation of the Mantras etc. :

There are some differences of opinions which are conected with the recitation of the Mantras. Thus in the eighth samidheni verse some utter hota yo viśvavedasah instead of hotaram viśvavedasam (ŚB I.4.1.35). Sometimes the difference is about the metre of the verses to be used. Thus there is a difference of opinion about the metres of the vajya and puronuvakya of the Darśapurnamasa sacrifices. Some have prescribed Tristibh and some others Anustubh. Bhallaveya made

the anuvakya of Anustubh metre and yajya of Tristubh metre. The Brahmana-text prescribes either of the two metres for both the yajya and puronuvakya (SB I.7.3.17-19). There is a difference of opinion about the "seer" whose verses are to be used. Thus the Apri-verses for the animalsacrifice in the Asvamedha are according to some of the seer named Vamadeva; but according to the Brahmana-texts they must be of the seer named Jamadagni (SB XIII.2.2.14). In a sacrifice of three days there is a difference of opinion whether the Retasya verse is to be sung with or without the Himkara. According to some it is to be sung without Himkara. But according to Satyayani (whose opinion is acceptable to the JB) it is to be sung without the Himkara only on the first day; and on the other two days it is to be sung with Himkara (JB I.315). Some were of the opinion that the Prataranuvaka should be recited according to the metre. Others held that it is to be recited by feet. Still others opined that it is to be recited by half-verses. AB II.18 accepts the third view. In the Dvadasaha about the making of Nyunkha, there was a difference of opinions. According to some it was to be made with the first four syllables, according to Langalayana Maudgala with one syllable and according to AB with two syllables (AB V.3).

b) interpretation of the Mantras:

The difference of opinion sometimes pertained to the interpretation of the Mantras. Thus the mystic significance of the Mantras which are to be used in placing the Pancacudestaka as understood by Mahitthi is different from what is understood by the author of the SB. For instance in the verse Ayam daksina viśvakarma etc. (VS XV.18) the words Menaka Sahajanya occur. Mahitthi understands here, the quarter and subquarter to be meant by these words as Apsarases. The author of the SB, however, understands here, Heaven and Earth to be the Apsarases meant by them (SB VIII 6.1.17). Such differences of interpretation are seen in the following verses also (SB VIII. 6.1.18-20).

c) number, measure, etc. :

Sometimes the differences are connected with the number, measure, etc.. Thus the number of lotuses out of which a garland is prepared after the Avabhrtha in the Rajasuya, varies between twelve and three according to different authorities. JB, however, after mentioning the opinions of others prescribes twelve as its approved number (JB IF200). The number of fire-pans is according to some three, so that if one or two fire-pans are broken another can be used. But the author of the SB prescribes only one fire-pan (SB VI.5.2.22). Similarly the number of nipples (stanas)

made to the fire-pan is according to some eight and according to others two; but the ŚB is of the opinion that it should be four (ŚB VI.5.2.18-19). The number of verses to be recited in Prataranuvaka is either hundred, or three-hundred and sixty, or seven-hundred and twenty, or eight-hundred, or one thousand, according to the different desired objects. But the AB says down here the number 'unlimited' (AB II.17). There are differences of opinion about the measure also. Thus there are various opinions about the length of the sacrificial post (yūpa) to be cut. According to different authorities it is five, six, nine, or even eleven cubits etc.; but the measure acceptable to the ŚB is 'unlimited' (ŚB III.6.4.17-20).

d) timings :

Differences are found in the Brahmana-texts about the timings of the rites. There is a lot of discussion about the timings of the establishment of fires. Thus Kṛttika, Purve Phalgunyau, Citra and similar nakṣatras are prescribed for various purposes and for various sacrificers. Again, various seasons are prescribed for sacrificers of various castes; but in the end, it is stated that one may establish fires at any time when one intends to sacrifice (TB I.1.2.1-8; cp.ŚB II.1.2.1-3.9). The Atigrahya-cups are drawn from Putabhṛt at the midday pressing after drawing the Agrayana,

but some say that these cups are to be drawn after the Ukthya-cups are drawn. This view of others is, however, to be rejected (SB IV.5.4.6-7). About the animal-sacrifice in the Agnicayana also there is a difference of opinion. According to some, this animal-sacrifice takes place on the new-moon-day but the SB lays it down to be performed on the full-moon-day. (SB VI 2.2.16-17). According to some, the Mahavrata-ceremony is to be performed in the middle of the year. But according to TMB and JB this view is not to be followed and the ceremony is to be performed at the end of the year (TMB IV.10.3f; JB II.410). According to some the consecration-ceremony for the Gavamayana-session is to be done on the Ekastaka (i.e. the eighth day after the full-moon-day of the month of Magha). According to some others this consecration-ceremony is to be done in the month of Phalguna. But both these views are rejected by TMB according to which it is to be performed on the fourthday before the full-moon-day of the month of Caitra (TMB V. 9.1ff).

e) how many times :

Some differences of opinions concern the problem of how many times a particular action is to be done. The Ajyagrahana in the Darsapurnamasa sacrifice is such a case. Some prescribe that one should put butter (ajya) with the

sruva into the juhu three times; thrice into the Upabhṛt and thrice into the Dhruva. But the Brahmana-text prescribes that the butter (ajva) should be put in all these three laddles only once each (SB I.3.2.18). Priyamedha Bharadvajas were learned in the field of ritual; but there was a difference of opinion among them about the Agnihotra. One of them offered the Agnihotra once a day, the second twice a day, and the third thrice a day. The GB, however, prescribes after mentioning this, that one should offer the Agnihotra twice a day (GB. I.3.15).

f) Order :

Sometimes the order of actions to be done has given rise to a difference of opinion. "Some say," Him, Soma, for whom that strengthening (apyayana)(meal), the guest-offering (atithya) is prepared, they ought first to strengthen, and then (ought to perform the Avantaradiksa) and thereupon the Tanunaptra." But let him not do this. For such indeed was the course of the sacrificial performance; discord arose among them (the Gods), thereat they attained to their former tranquillity; then the Avantardiksa and finally the strengthening" (SB III.4.3.12). Thus the order of Tanunaptra, Avantardiksa and Apyayana is told. There was a difference of opinions regarding the order in which the sounding holes (uparava) were to be dug. Some say,

"Let him dig first the left one of the two behind, then the right one of the two behind; then the right one of the two in the front; and finally the left one of the two in the front". But the SB does not accept this order. According to it the order to be accepted is as follows: (a) right one in the front (b) left one behind (c) right one behind and (d) left one in the front. Thus the left one in the front should be dug last (SB III.5.4.6-7).

g) fires in which offerings are made etc. :

Opinions also differ about the fires in which the offerings are to be made or the place of performance of any action. About the Agnihotra some say that it is to be offered in the Ahvanīya fire; but this view is rejected and it is said that the Agnihotra is to be offered in all the fires and thus four offerings are to be made in the Garhapatya, four in the Anvaharya and two in the Ahavanīya (KB II.3). According to some, Prakrama-offerings are to be made (in the Asvamedha-sacrifice) either in the southern fire or on the foot-print of the horse. But the SB prefers them to be offered in the Ahvanīya fire (SB XIII.4.3.4; cf. the commentry of Harisvāmin on this). According to some the sour-milk

¹ cf. also Eggeling, SBE, Vol. XLIV, p.363 n.3

(in connection with the Dadhigraha) is to be put in the centre of the Aditya-cup. But the prescribed way is to put it in the back part of the Aditya-cup (ŚB IV.3.5.13).

h) Origin of the sacrifice :

There is difference of opinions about even the origin of the sacrifices. Thus the origin of the sacrifices named Satrajit and Prtanajit is according to some from Gods.

Gods desiring to get victory saw these sacrifices according to them. But according to some it was Prajapati who saw these sacrifices (JB II.91).

i) Sacrificer :

Variety of opinions was held about the sacrificer also. The JB discusses the various opinions about who should sacrifice with the sacrifices named Udbhid and Balabhid.

Some say that one who is desirous of getting the brahman-luster should perform them, while according to others one who is desirous of life should perform them. According to some these sacrifices are for one who is desirous of heaven and according to others they are for one who is desirous of cattle. The JB, however, is of the opinion that they are for one who is desirous of offspring (JB II.89). About the sacrifice named Viratsvarajau also there is a difference of opinion. Some say that this sacrifice is a only for one

who is already rich and not for one who is aspiring to get richness; but some others say that this sacrifice is for both i.e. for him who is already rich and for him who is aspiring to be rich (JB II.94). The KB indulges itself in the discussion about who is the Lord of the sacrifice in general. According to some it is the sacrificer who is the Lord of the sacrifice. But the KB denies it and says that the Lord of the sacrifice is the deity and not any human being (KB X.4).

j) Prayascittis :

There are some differences of opinions about the prayascittis. Thus, for example, if the Agnihotra-cow lies down when she is being milked some make her get up with the words "udasthad devyaditih" etc. and perform an offering; but on this point Yajnavalkya says, "Let him make her get up by pushing her with a staff". According to these two viewpoints it is inauspicious if a cow lies down. But Aruni interprets this occasion differently. According to him the cow lies down because she cannot bear the glory and greatness of the sacrificer. The sacrificer should know thereby that he is going to be more glorious. Thus Aruni understands this occasion as a good omen and prescribes no prayascitti (expiation) (SB XII.4.1.9-12). If the Garhapatya-fire goes out when the Ahavaniya-fire has not gone out, some take

new fire from that same Thavanīya-hearth and carry it forwards. According to others another Garhapatya fire is to be churned out; while some others extinguish the Thavanīya and churn out another. But according to the SB these practices are not to be followed. SB, on the other hand declares! One should lift the two fires on the two churning sticks and betaking oneself northwards and having churned out the fire one should remain there offering. In the morning, having taken out the ashes and smeared (the fire-places) with cowdung, one should lift the two fires on the churning sticks and return to the offering-ground. Having then churned out the Garhapatya, taken out the Thavanīya and brought the Anvahāryapacana (to the southern hearth) one should prepare a cake on eight potsherds to Agni Pathikrt and offer it (SB XII.4.3.6ff).

k) The whole mode of performance :

We saw above differences of opinions about some details of the sacrifices; but sometimes we find that the whole mode of performance is different according to different authorities. Thus the Pancasardiya-sacrifice is according to some, of the Pancaratra nature. Others say that it is of Atiratra-nature. There were others who believed it to be of Agnistoma-nature. The prescribed or current view is that it is of Uktha-saptadasa nature (JB II.177). The Agrayana

offering made after the rice harvest or the barley harvest is different according to different practices. According to one practice a cake on twelve potsherds for Indra and Agni, a pap for Visvedevas and a cake on eight potsherds for Sky and Earth are to be offered. But according to another way one can offer the oblation of Darsapurnamasa sacrifices with the new plants or one may offer in the morning and in the evening the Agnihotra with the barley gruel of the new plants. Or, having made the Agnihotracow to eat the new plants one should offer in the morning and in the evening the Agnihotra with the milk of that cow. Having mentioned these possibilities the KB says that though these ways are there, one should follow the established practice of three oblations (KB IV.14). The Rajasuya is according to some of the Ukthya type. But AB gives its decision that it should be a Jyotistoma of the Agnistoma form (AB VIII.4). The normal form of the Asvamedha is Catustoma-Agnistoma as the first-day. Ekavimsa-Ukthya as the second and Sarvastoma-Atiratra as the third (TMB XXI.4.1). But SB mentions some other forms of the Asvamedha which were accepted by some ancient persons. Thus in the Asvamedha performed for Ugrasena, the first two days were the same but the third was Jyotis Atiratra (SB XIII.4.1.3). In the Asvamedha performed for Para Atnara, the Kausalya King, there were the same first two days, but the third was an Abhijit-Atiratra (SB XIII.4.1.4) and so on.

1) Performance itself :

Still more important cases of the discussions are those where the way performance of a rite itself is challenged or it is said that a rite should not to be performed at all. Thus there are various opinions about the equipments (sambharas) of the sacrifice. SB II.1.1.1-12 we find five equipments described. TB I.1.3.1-12 mentions seven earthen equipments and seven equipments from trees. But there were some according to whom one should equip with a single equipment. For all the equipments are on the earth and when one establishes the fire on this earth the earth, of itself obtains all these equipments and thus there is no necessity of equipments. But this view is, of course, rejected (SB II.1.1.14). There is a long discussion in the SB about whether to approach the fires (in the evening; in connection with the Agnihotra) or not. First the reason why one should approach the fires is given. In Agni the Gods deposited their all beasts, wild and domestic, thinking that Agni should protect them. Agni coveted them, and seizing them entered the night with them. Gods came there and when night returned in the evening they approached him and demanded the beasts. Agni gave them the beasts. For this reason in imitation of the Gods one should approach the fires. But there are others who challange this and put forth their opinion, viz., one should not approach the fires. This view is, however, rejected (ŚB II.3.4.1-8). There were some persons who challanged the performance of Vajapeya. "One must not offer Vajapeya; for one who offers the Vajapeya wins everything here - for he wins Prajapati and Prajapati is everything. He leaves nothing remaining here and then his children would be worse. The answer to this view, of course, is that one should perform the Vajapeya. The priests for the Vajapeya must be very proficient and then the sacrifice will be successful (ŚB V.1.1.9-10). Similarly some persons challanged the performance of the Sautramani but there view is not accepted (ŚB XII.8.1.17).

Questions and Answers

We saw above how there were differences of opinions and discussions because of them. There are some other discussions which are of question and answer type. Instead of always putting forward a different opinion, there are some persons who ask questions and answers are given to them in those discussions.

a) information about some details of the sacrifice :

Sometimes information about some details of the sacrifice is asked and answers are given. Thus it is asked whether Hotr should eat first the subdivided sacrificial food or should he partake of the Hotr's goblet first. The answer is,

he should first eat the subdivided sacrificial food and then he should partake of the Hotr's goblet (AB II.30). It is asked, "It is by the rc that the Hotr becomes Hotr; by the yajus that the Adhvaryu becomes Adhvaryu, by the saman that the Udgatr becomes Udgatr; by what does the Brahman becomes Brahman ?" The answer to this question is that by means of the sap of brilliance which he developed from the three-fold vedic lore the Brahman becomes Brahman (KB VI.11). They ask, "Seeing that a Brahmana, a Rajanya or a Vaisya when about to consecrate himself ask a Ksatriya for a place of sacrifice; whom is the Ksatriya to ask ?" The answer is that he should ask the divine lordly power. The divine lordly power is the Sun, who is the overlord of beings (AB VII.20). They ask " To what deity the butter portions belong ?" The answer is, "To Prajapati" (SB I.6.1.20).

The prayascittis (expiations) are generally introduced by means of questions and answers. Some ask, "What is the rite and what is the expiation if such and such thing happens?" And then by way of reply the expiation is mentioned. Thus, for example, they ask, "If a man's Samnayya milked in the evening becomes spoiled or some one carried it away, what is the expiation?" Then the answer given is that the morning milking is to be divided into two; and one half of it is to be

curdled and that is to be offered (AB VII.4). Thus the expiations mentioned in the AB, ŚB, JB etc. are detailed through question and answer method (AB VII.3ff; ŚB XII.4.1. 2ff; JB I.5lff).

b) (apparent) inconsistency :

There are some questions about the (apparent) inconsistency and answers are given to them which show the consistency. They ask why do they call the Subrahmanya priest a female though he is a male? The answer is that the Subrahmanya priest is identical with the speech (vak) which is female (AB VI.3). They ask, "Seeing that the performers of a year's session become consecrated for a year, how does their Agnihotra come to be uniterrupted?" The answer is, "By the fast-milk". Thus goes on the discussion about the Darsapurnamasa, about the offerings to the Fathers, about the offerings of the first fruits, about the animal-sacrifice, etc. and the answers are given to show how all such sacrifices are uninterrupted during the period of the consecration for the one year's session(ŚB XII.3.5.3-11).

c) mystic significance :

Some questions and answers are related with the mystic significance of some ritual details. They ask, "How does that sand (in connection with the fire-altar building) put on by

the days and nights become complete for him neither deficient nor superabundant ?" The answer given is - The days and nights are endless and the sand is also endless. It is thus that, put on by the days and nights, it becomes complete for him, neither deficient nor superabundant (SB VII.3.1.39). They ask, "If the Dviyajus brick is that same sacrificer who is that gold man, which is then the real form of him ?" The answer is that the gold man is his divine body and the Dviyajus brick is his human body. That gold man is his immortal divine form because gold is immortal. The brick is made of clay, therefore, it is his human form (SB VII. 4.2.17). They ask, "What is done here in the building of the altar whereby the sacrificer conquers the recurring death ?" The answer is - He who builds an altar becomes the deity Agni; and Agni is the immortal element (SB X.1.4.14). In the following question and answer we get the mystical significance of Satarudriya by means of numerical speculations. It is asked how the Satarudrya attains to conformity with the year and Agni. The answer is that the Satarudrya includes three hundred and sixty formulas and other thirty and thirty-five. There are three hundred and sixty days in a year. Thereby it obtains the days of a year. There are thirty other formulas and there are thirty nights of the month. As to the thirty-five formulas, they are the thirteeth month. Agni's self - the body consists of thirty

limbs (viz., twenty fingers and toes, the upper and lower arms, the thighs and shanks and the hands), the feet of two, the breath of two (inbreathing and outbreathing) and the head is the thirty-fifth. So much is the year. In this way the Sataradriya attains to conformity with the year, Agni and corresponds with the year, Agni (ŚB IX.1.1.43).

Learning and teaching :

There are some discussions in the Brahmana-texts which are of learning and teaching nature. On the fire-altar after having laid down the Vikarni and Svayamatrnna bricks one scatters the golden bricks. Then the Anvaharya is placed. As regards the golden chips, there was disputation between the teacher and student, viz. Sandilya and Saptarathavahini. Saptarathavahini said that these chips were the hair of Prajapati and sacrificer. But Sandilya told him that it was his form; for, the form can be either with hair or without hair (SB X.1.4.10-11). Similarly Svaidayana taught and explained the significance of Darsapurnamasa sacrifices to Uddalaka Aruni. He explained to him how the growth of the creaters is in mystic connection with the Darsapurnamasa-sacrifices (SB XI.4.1.1-16). Thus he explains - " Inasmuch as the fore-offerings are without invitatory formulas, therefore, the creatures are born without teeth; and inasmuch as the chief oblations have invitatory formulas, therefore, they (the teeth) grow in

them and inasmuch as the after-offerings are without invitatory formulas, therefore, they (the teeth) decay in them; and inasmuch as the Patnisamyajas have invitatory formulas, therefore they (the teeth) come to remain permanently with them; and inasmuch as the Samistayajus is without invitatory formula, therefore, they all decay again in the last stage of life. And inasmuch as after uttering the invitatory formula he offers with the offeringformula, therefore, the lower (teeth) grow first, then the upper ones; and inasmuch as, after uttering a Gayatri verse as invitatory formula, he offers with a Tristubh verse therefore, the lower (teeth) are smaller, and the upper ones are broader; and inasmuch as he pours out the two libations of ghee in a forward direction, therefore, the incisors are longer; and inasmuch as the two samyajyas are in the same metre, therefore, the molars are of equal size. And inasmuch as he spreads a cover of sacrificial grass (on the vedi), therefore, creatures here are born with hair; and inasmuch as he for the second time, as it were, spreads the prastara-bunch, therefore, for the second time, as it were, the hair of the beard and the arm-pits, and other parts of the body grow; and inasmuch as at the first he only throws the prastara-bunch after (the oblations into the fire), therefore, it is on the head that one first becomes grey; and inasmuch as he then throws after it all

the sacrificial grass of the altar-ground therefore, in the last stage of life, one again becomes grey all over. And inasmuch as the fore-offerings have ghee for their offering material, a boy's seed is not productive, but like water; for ghee is like water; and inasmuch as, in the middle of the sacrifice, they sacrifice with the sour curds, and with the cake, therefore, it is productive, in his middle stage of life; for thick-flowing, as it were, is (that havis) and thick-flowing as it were is seed; and inasmuch as the after-offerings have ghee for their offeringmaterial, it again is not productive in his last stage of life, and is like water; for ghee, indeed, is like water" (SB XI.4.1.12ff). Similarly, Varuna taught his son the mystery of Agnihotra and explained the various scenes the son had seen in the following way, "As to those men whom thou sawest in the eastern region being dismembered by men hewing off their limbs one by one and saying, 'this to thee, this to me ! they were the trees; when one puts fire-wood from trees on (the fire) one subdues the trees and conquers the world of trees. And as to those men whom thou sawest in the southern region being dismembered by men curring up their limbs one by one and saying, 'this to thee, this to me', they were the cattle; when one makes offering with milk one subdues the cattle ... And as to those men whom thou sawest in the western region who, whilst sitting still, were being

eaten by men sitting still, they were the berbs; when one illumines (the Agnihotra milk) with a straw, one subdues the herbs ... And as to those men whom thou sawest in the northern region who whilst crying aloud, were being eaten by men crying aloud, they were the waters; when one pours water to (the Agnihotra milk) one subdues the water ... And as to those two women whom thou sawest one beautiful and one over-beautiful - the beautiful one is Sraddha; when one offers the first libation (of the Agnihotra) one subdues Śraddha and conquers Śraddha and the overbeautiful one is Asraddha: when one offers the second libation, one subdues Asraddha ... And as to the black man with yellow eyes who was standing between them with a staff in his hand, he was Krodha; when having poured water into the spoon, one pours (the libation into the fire) one subdues Krodha ... And verily whosoever, knowing this offers the Agnihotra, thereby conquers everything, subdues everything" (SB XI 6.1.1ff; cp.JB I.42ff).

Discussions leading to philosophical thought:

There are some discussions leading to philosophical thought also. Dhīra Satapurneya went to Mahasala Jabala. Jabala asked him the nature of Agni he understood. Dhīra Sataparneya described Agni as the speech, then the eye, then mind, then ear and then everything here. Finally Jabala

explains to him Agni as the breath (prana) (SB X.3.3.1-5). Some ask, "Is the Death one or many?" The answer to this question is that he is both one and many. For, inasmuch as he is that (man in the Sun) in yonder world, he is and and inasmuch as he is numerously distributed among the living beings, there are also many of them (deaths). Similarly they ask, "Is the death near or far away ?" The answer is "Both - near and far away". For, inasmuch as he is here on earth in the body, he is near, and inasmuch as he is that one in yonder world, he is also far away (SB X.5.2.16-17). Janaka Videha performed a sacrifice and gave many daksinas to the priests. Setting apart one thousand cows, he said that only the one who is learned in sacred writ should take them. Yajnavalkya took them. Others raised objections against him and Sakalya as one of those opponents began to ask Yajnavalkya some questions about the number of Gods. Yajnavalkya in reply to successive questions gave the number of Gods three hundred and three, and three thousand and three, thirty-three; the two, one and half, and finally one. When he was asked to narrate in details, he explained that there were thirty-three Gods, and three hundred and three and three thousand were there powers. The thirty three gods are the eight Vasus, eleven Rudras and twelve Adityas along with Indra and

Prajapati. Vasus are Agni, the earth, Vayu, the Air, the Sun, Heaven, the moon and the stars. The Rudras are the ten vital airs and the self. The Adityas are the twelve months. Indra is thunder and Prajapati the sacrifice. Thus these are the thirty-three Gods. As regards the three Gods, they are the three worlds for therein the Gods are contained. The two Gods are food and breath. The one and a half God mean Vayu. The one God is the breath. At this stage Yajjnavalkya told Sakalya that he (Sakalya) had gone asking beyond the deity, he would die soon and even his bones would not reach his home; and this came true in the case of Sakalya (SB XI.6.3.1-11).

Reasonings and counterreasonings in the discussions:

It may be interesting to take note of the reasonings and the counterreasonings that are said to have taken place in the discussions in the course of discussions.

at Reasonings - likely danger pointed out :

The advocates of a particular view some times point out the likely danger if a particular action in the sacrifice is done according to the view of others; or they show that something which is not wanted may be done if the action is done according to the view of others. Thus, for example, the reason why one should not eat flesh of the sacrificial

victim is that as the sacrificer is identical with the victim itself, if the sacrificer eats the flesh of the victim he would eat his own flesh (KB X.3). In the Agrayana-offering the pap for Viśvedevas is to be prepared according to some from old grain. For, Indra and Agni (to whom a cake on twelve potsherds is prepared in the Agrayana) are the ksatra. The Viśvedevas are the viśah. He should use, therefore, old grain for the Viśvedevas, lest the sacrificer should exalt the viśah to the level of the Ksatra and this is not desirable (ŚB II.4.3.7).

b) some result will be achieved:

Some reasonings show that if a particular way of performance is accepted, some good result may be obtained. Those who give their opinions about the recitation of the Prataranuvaka by feet, argue that cattle have four feet and when one recites the Prataranuvaka by feet, one gets cattle (AB II.18). Some argue that the sacrifice named Rajasuya performed by a Ksatriya should be Ukthya which has fifteen stotras and sastras. The Pancadasa stotra is might, lordly power, etc. and the Rajanya is also might, lordly power, etc. Thus the Rajanya is made to prosper. Again, it has thirty stotras and sastras and Viraj has thirty syllables. Viraj is food and food is obtained thereby. Therefore, the Rajasuya should be a fifteenfold Ukthya (AB VIII.4)

c) "year-gaining" ideology :

Sometimes the argument is based on the "year-gaining" ideology. Thus if a particular action is done in a particular manner the performer gains the 'year' which is very much desired. Thus the number of verses in the Prataranuvaka varies because of the year-gaining ideologies. Everybody prescribes a particular number which is shown by him to be connected with the year. Thus according to some the number of verses should be three hundred and sixty. For the number of the days of a year is three-hundred and sixty. According to some others the number should be seven hundred and twenty. For there are seven hundred and twenty, days and nights together (AB II.18).

d) imitation of Gods :

The theologins sometimes support their view by saying that their view is based upon the imitation of Gods. They say that the sacrificial dishes are to be cooked on the Ahavaniya fire, for Gods did the same and ascended to the heaven (SB I.7.3.26) and in order to imitate the way of Gods one should cook the sacrificial dishes on the Ahavaniya.

Counter-reasonings :

The opinions which are acceptable to the Brahmanatexts are also accompanied by reasonings. Those who hold some particular views, refute the opinions of others and try to support their views by giving counter-reasonings.

a) likely danger pointed out :

The counter-reasonings point out the likely danger if the views of others are accepted. Thus the words to be uttered at the time of touching the calves when they meet their mothers are vayavah stha (VS I.1). Some add here upayavah stha. The SB says that this is not to be done. For, thereby another (an enemy) approaches the sacrificer (SB I.7.1.3).

b) danger said to be likely can be removed etc. :

Some counter reasonings have occasionally been advanced to prove how the danger or something unwanted said to be likely by the other theologians can be averted. Thus some argue that there should not be Sodasi-stotra in the Ukthya-sacrifice. For Ukthas are identical with the cattle and Sodasi is identical with the thunderbolt; and the thunderbolt would fall on the cattle if Sodasi-stotra is used. But the JB says that this danger can be averted by using water which is of pacificatory nature (JB I.202). The opponents say in connection with the animal-sacrifice that occurs in the Agnicayana that there should be neither the samistayajus nor the avabhrtha-bath. For, this is the

commencement of Agni. The samistayajus are a gracious dismisal of the deities and the avabhrtha-bath is the completion (of the sacrifice). If the samistayajus and avabhrtha are performed, there will be completion at the very commencement. The danger of untimely completion put forward by the opponents is accepted by SB but still it says that one should nevertheless complete the sacrifice thereby. A story is given then. Prajapati, having offered that animal, saw that he had not reached the end of him, Agni. Therefore, the sacrifice is to be completed. Again. that animal-sacrifice is his vital air and if anything were to cut him off from that, it would cut him off from the vital air; and if anything were to cut him off from the vital air, he would die. Therefore, in order to avoid this one should rather complete the sacrifice by performing samistayajus and avabhrtha (SB VI.2.2.38).

c) possibility of good result :

The counter reasonings sometimes mention the possibility of gaining good result if the way supported by them is accepted. The opponents say that the Devika-havimsi in connection with the Agnicayana should not be offered. For if they are offered, then something excessive (which is not required) would be done. The answer to this is that these offerings are to be made for fulfilment of special wishes and,

therefore, they are to be offered and then those wishes will be fulfilled (SB IX.5.1.40).

d) possibility of 'year-gaining' :

The year-gaining ideology is useful also in the counterreasonings. Some say that the Rajasuya should be of Ukthya type. Rejecting this the AB puts forth the view that the Rajasuya should be of Jyotistoma-Agnistoma nature. The reasoning to prove this is based upon the year-idealogy. The Jyotistoma-Agnistoma has twenty-four stotras and sastras; the year has twenty-four half months. In the year is all proper food. Therefore, it should be Jyotistoma-Agnistoma nature. (AB VIII.4). The savaniya victims in connection with the Asvamedha are according to some, twentyone in number and for Agni alone. But the view is not to be accepted. According to the SB there are twentyfour victims for twelve deities. The reason for the number twelve months is that there are twleve months in a year and the year is everything. The Asvamedha is also everything. Then everything is obtained. (SB XIII.5.3.11).

e) imitation of Gods :

In the counter-reasonings also, the force of imitation of Gods is used. The offering of a he-goat to Prajapati is a part of the animal-sacrifices in connection with the Agnicayana.

Some say that it is to be slaughtered on new-moon-day. For Prajapati is yonder moon, during that night of the new-moon, he dwells upon here (on the earth) and it would be just as if he slaughtered him while staying near. To this argument answer is given that this offering should nevertheless, take place on full-moon. The reasoning for this is that the victim is the yonder moon and him the Gods made slaughtering at full-moon. In imitation of that the victim is to be killed on full-moon day (SB VI.2.16-17).

f) practical consideration :

Sometimes a view of the opponents is rejected and another is established on the basis of mere practical consideration. The sacrificer who has been consecrated, breaks silence, according to some, after seeing the first star in the evening. That is a sign by means of which one becomes sure that the Sun has set. But this view is not to be followed. For, what can be done when the sky is cloudy? Therefore, he should break silence as soon as he thinks that the Sun has set(\$B III.2.2.5). The Prayujam havimsi, twelve in number, are to be performed, according to some, month by month. The objection is, "Who knows about the (life of) man?" i.e. there cannot be certainty about the life of a man, that he will live so long. Therefore, these offerings are to be made together (\$B V.5.2.2). There is a difference of opinion about

the mounting of the altar. Some mount it from the front (east) towards back or from the back towards the east. But this is not to be done. For that Agni (the fire-altar) is an animal and if he mounts an animal from the front towards the back, it strikes him with its horns; and if he mounts it from the back towards the front it strikes with its feet. Let him mount it only by the middle body. For the animal which people mount by the middle body, carries them forwards and does not hurt them (SB VII.3.2.17).

g) quoting authority :

In order to maintain a particular view the Brahmanatext sometimes quotes authority for which it has some regard.

Some persons raise objection on the Ajya Śastra. They say,

"As is the stotra, so is the śastra. The samasingers sing
two verses for Soma, the purifying. The Hotr recites the

Ajya to Agni; how, then does he follow in recitation the
verses to Soma, the purifying?" The answer to this objection
is that Soma, the purifier is Agni, as it is declared by a

Seer of RV "Agnih rsih pavamanah ... (Agni, the Seer, the
purifying)" (RV IX.66.20) (AB II.37). Thus here an ancient
authority from the Rgveda is quoted in order to prove the
opinion.

h) verses quoted :

Sometimes verses are quoted in support of what one wants

to say. In order to support the view that the Agnihotra should be offered after the sunrise verses are quoted. Thus the discussion goes on as follows - "Day and night are the wheels of the year; verily thus with them he goes through the year. If he offers before sunrise, that is as if one were to go with (a chariot with) a single wheel. But if he offers after sunrise, that is as if one were swiftly to perform a journey with (a chariot with) wheels on both sides. As to this the sacrificial verse (yajnagatha) is recited - -

'This goeth yoked with Brhad and Rathantara,
All that hath been and is to be;
With them should be go who is wise taking the fires
By day should he offer one, by night another'.

The night is connected with the Rathantara, the day with the Brhat; Agni is the Rathantara, Aditya the Brhat. Those deities make him attain the vault of the tawny one, the world of heaven, who knowing thus offers after sunrise. Therefore, should one offer after sunrise. As to this, the sacrificial verse is recited -

'As one may go with a single horse,

Having nothing else for harnessing,

So many men go,

Who offer the Agnihotra before sunrise'(AB V.30).

i) stories told :

Stories are told sometimes, in order to prove one's point. In order to maintain that one should establish fires on such and such asterisms stories are told. Thus, for example, it is said that one should establish fires on Rohini For it was on Rohini that Prajapati, desirous of progeny, set up fires and obtained progeny. Similarly one who establishes fires on Rohini would get progeny (SB II.1.2.6). For, establishing fires on Citra asterism also a story has been told. Gods and Asuras both were desiring to rise to the heaven. The Asuras constructed the fire-altar called Rauhina. Indra, under the disguise of a Brahmana came there with a brick, pretending to help them put his brick there. When the fire-altar was almost completed, Indra pulled out his brick with the result that the whole construction toppled down and the Asuras were ruined. The Gods expressed their wonder on this by the word "Citram". This is the wonderful nature of Citra brick. One who establishes fires on this constellation kills his enemy (SB II.1.2.13-17).

j) calling others' opinion "mimamsa" :

In some discussions we find that the opponents have an opinion about a rite; but that view is mere speculation(mimamsa)²

² On Mimamsa see Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p.224 and n.2 on that page; Keith, RPV, p.483.

The rite is not performed according to it at all. So the Brahmana-texts point out that the opponent's view is not followed by anybody. It is merely something like a view for view's sake. There were some thinkers according to whom one should press five times at each turn for one who is desirous of cattle. For the cattle consists of five parts. The fact however, is that this is a mere speculation (mimansa). The manner in which the performance is done has been mentioned earlier i.e. eight times, then eleven times and then twelve times (SB IV.1.1.16). SB IV.5.3.8 allows first that one may draw the Sodasin-cup at the midday pressing after drawing the Agrayana-cup. But then it says that this is a mere speculation. Let one draw it at the morning pressing (SB IV.5.3.8).

Conclusions of the discussions:

a) other practices rejected totally :

Sometimes we find that the practices mentioned by the others and which are not acceptable to the Brahmana-text which mention them are rejected totally. The ways of rejecting are simple. The AB uses the phrase "tat tan nadrtyam" (e.g. AB II.3; II.26 etc.) i.e. "that is not to be regarded". The same text also uses another phrase "tat

^{3.} cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.140.

tatha na kuryat" (e.g. AB VI.21; VIII.26 etc.) i.e. "One should not do it in that manner". AB rejects the view that in the pot in which one throws the introductory offering (prayaniya) into that one should throw the concluding offering (udayaniya) so that they prosper in the yonder world. This view is rejected with the words "avidyayaiva tadahuh" i.e. "they say this through ignorance" (AB I.11). The usual phrase of the SB for rejecting the views of others is "tad u tatha na kuryat" (e.g. SB I.1.1.7-10; 9.2.2 etc.) i.e. "that should not, indeed, be done in that manner".

b) option prescribed:

Sometimes in the cases of difference of opinion, option is prescribed as the conclusion of the discussion. It is said that the sacrificer or performer should do as he may like to do. As regards the measure of the sacrificial cake (purodasa) some say that it should not be too broad. Some say that it should be as broad as a hoof of horse. The conclusion of this discussion is that the size may be decided by the performer himself (SB I.2.2.9-10). In the building of the sepulchral mound, in the case of one who has not built a fire-altar, there is a difference of opinion.

Some say that pebbles should be used instead of bricks. Some do not accept this. In such a position there is option given by the Brahmana-text; and one may do as he thinks(SB XIII.8.4.11). Some say that the altar for the Darsa-purnamasa

sacrifices should measure three cubits long on the eastern line. But the ŚB says that there is no fixed measure. Let him take it as long as he thinks fit in his own mind (ŚB I. 2.5.14).

c) views of others accepted :

Sometimes a Brahmana-text agrees with the opinions of others and accepts them. Concerning the Stotriya oblations, the SB first states that there should be one thousand of them. But it mentions the opinion of others according to whom if one offers a limited, specific number of offerings, one will get a limited result. In order to obtain something unlimited, one should offer unspecified oblations. This view of others is accepted (SB XIII.8.4.11). Some say that one should offer to both Agni and Surya in the morning and in the evening. When there are two guests in the house one has to honour both. Therefore, the formulas of offering are-"Agnir jvotirjyotih suryah svaha" - in the evening and "Suryo jyotirjyotir agnih svaha" in the morning. Thus both the Gods are honoured (TB II.1.2.9-10).

d) compromise :

Sometimes the discussions end in compromise. In connection with the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices Asadha Savayasa proposed that while observing the rules of the sacrifice one should not eat anything. For the Gods are residing in his

house and it would be unbecoming if he were to take food before them. So he should observe a complete fast. To this rigid view Yajnavalkya suggests compromise. Yajnavalkya argues that if nothing is eaten then the sacrificer becomes a sacrificer to the manes; and if anything is eaten it would be eaten before the Gods which is not proper. So there should be some golden mean. He should eat what will be counted as non-eaten. That is of which no offering is made, even though it is eaten, it is considered as not eaten (SB I.1.1.7-10). About the lute playing and singing in the Asvamedha sacrifice there are some ritualists according to whom both the singers should be Brahmanas. In this case there is a likely danger, viz., the political power would go away from him. If both are Rajanyas, then the spiritual power would go away. Therefore, there is a compromise. One of them should be a Brahmana and the other should be a Rajanya (SB XIII.1.5.1 ff).

Who are the opponents :

There can be a curiosity as to whom the views quoted in the Brahmana-texts, belong. They are not always specifically mentioned. The reference to the opponents is many times totally indirect and the views of them are introduced with the words "tad ahuh (so (they) say)". It is for us to suppose that these are some thinkers on ritual who belong to some other school

or they are students who are either disputing with or inquiring to their teachers (SB X.1.4.10-11). Sometimes the other views are ascribed to Brahmavadinah (theologians) 4. Sometimes the opponents are ridiculously called 'kuśala manyamanah' (e.g. SB XI.4.2.1; 4; 13 etc.) "supposing themselves to be clever".

Some views are ascribed to some individual ritual thinkers. There were many such ritual thinkers e.g. Yajnavalkya (e.g. ŚB III.1.2.21), Kausītaki (e.g. KB II.9; VII.4 etc.)⁵ Śatyayani (e.g. JB I.291) etc.

Some views are ascribed to the groups of persons e.g.
Kurapancalas or Carakadhvaryus. The offerings according to
the SB have fourfold cutting; but according to the
Kurupancalas they are of fivefold cutting (SB I.7.2.8). The
Carakadhvaryus lay down different and additional bricks as
the holders of the downward air of the circulating air, of
the outward air, of the pervading air, as eyeholders, ex.

For the word Brahmavadin which means "expounder of the Veda" and "theologien" see Macdonell, Keith, Vedic Index, p.79.

⁵ For Kausitaki see Keith, RBT, p.24.

But the ŚB does not accept this practice. According to it this is in excess, and the bricks that hold the upward air themselves will do the work of holding other airs etc. (ŚB VIII.1.3.6.7).

Generalities about the discussions :

In general we can say that the Brahmana-texts represent the development of the sacrifice. There were various customs, practices, views, etc. and the Brahmana-texts having taken into consideration all of them tried to give a particular way of performance. In doing this they sometimes made compromises. The discussions in the Brahmana-texts are as Max Muller has said, "Not bona fide". He further says, "Never was dogmatism more successfully veiled under the mask of free discussion than in the Mimamsa or discussion of the Brahmana". But when we see the "conclusions" of the discussions in the Brahmana-texts (see above) we can hardly feel that there is any dogmatism in the real sense. There is no doubt, effort for achieving a some system, definiteness etc. But this aim is not completely obtained. The Brahmana-texts are trying to collect all the practices as far as possible.

⁶ Max Muller, HASL, p.389

⁷ Max Muller, HASL, p.389

The Brahmana-texts are the beginnings of the sacrificial science; they are the 'prescientific science'. 9 Of course, it is not the 'science' in the real sense. There are no hard and fast rules observed in argumentation, reasonings, etc. The reasonings are mainly of the mystical nature. The year-ideology, the numerical speculations. 10 identifications etc. Were very loose weapons which could be used by any party and as conveniently as one requires. SB I.3.3.10 prescribes that the grass should be spread threefold. The reasoning is that the sacrifice is threefold. Now this reasoning is elsewhere used by the opponents also. Those opponents say (in another connection) that thrice one should take the butter with sruva into juhu: for sacrifice is threefold. But this reasoning and the opinion of the others are rejected. We have already seen in describing the reasonings and counter-reasonings how the year-ideology and numerical speculations are used by both the opponents and the Brahmana-texts. Those who hold that the whole sacrifical post (yupa) is to be thrown into the fire they tell us that this is for the sake of imitation of Gods. Gods had done the same and, therefore, one should do it in that manner.

⁸ Winternitz, GIL, p.170.

⁹ Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, includes the words
"Vorwissenschaff-liche Wissehschaft" in the title of the Book.

¹⁰ On the numerical speculations see Heesterman, Consecration, p.34f.

But further story of the Gods and Asuras is told by the other party to prove that one should throw only a chip of that sacrificial post into the fire. Thus when the Gods threw the whole sacrificial post into the fire, the Raksas sipped the sacrifice (= Soma). Therefore, the Gods said said to their Adhvaryu to throw only one chip of the post into the fire. Then the Raksas did not sip that sacrifice. Therefore, the conclusion is that one should not throw the whole post, but only a chip into the fire. Then also it is an imitation of the Gods (SB III.7.1.29-32). Thus both the parties use the same reasonings and the discussions in the Brahmana-texts are not rigid, dogmatic but rather loose. Again, many times in the conclusions of the discussions. the Brahmana-texts allow the sacrificer's good will to take decisions. We have already given some examples of this point. We may add a following example very interesting in this connection. Thus after prescribing various asterisms, seasons, days, etc. for the sake of establishment of the fires it is said that one may establish fires at any time when one feels called upon to sacrifice and should not put it off from one day to the morrow. For "Who knows the morrow of the man ?" (SB II.1.3.9ff; cp. TB I.1.2.8). This will show how there is not as much rigidity, dogmatism etc. as it would appear at first sight.

The reasonings are of supreme importance. Thus the Arkasvamedhasamtati oblations (in the fire-building ceremony) are said to be five. But there, we find that freedom is given for inserting any other oblation. The condition for this is only that there must be some reasoning, an explanation - a Brahmana 11 for supporting it (SB IX 4.2.27). Some raise objection to it saying that those additional oblations would be in excess. But the answer to such objection is that there is nothing excessive in desires and these oblations are to be offered according to the desires (SB IX.4.2.28). We can see thus how freedom of performance is given. In the Brahmana-texts though they are in the main connected with the ritual, we thus find there is no strict rigidity no rigid exphasis on mere "letter": but the Brahmana-texts are interested in the "spirit" of the ritual action also. This is how the Brahmana-texts show us how the ritualism and the spiritualism both had their own scope. The discussions leading to the philosophical thought may be mentioned here for the spiritualism (though rudimentary) in the Brahmana-texts.

¹¹ For the word Brahmana see Winternitz, GIL, p.104; Silburn Instant et cause, p.64.

CHAPTER V

ELEVATION OF THE SACRIFICE IN THE BRAHMANA-TEXTS

Introduction :

In the Brahmana period we find that the sacrifice is being developed. Many new sacrifices are created, many new rites are added to the existing sacrifices and many rites which are already being performed outside the vedic sphere of ritualism are included in the vedic ritual. This third category is connected with the ritual of the "masses" which was absorbed in the ritual of the classes with some modifications if required. In the Brahmana-texts we find the process of elevation of sacrifice for establishing these new

¹ For this point, viz., many rite existing outside the vedic sphere of ritualism were included in the vedic sphere of ritualism see Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, I, p. 304, n.1; Johanson, Dhisana, p. 40; Arbmann, Rudra, Chapter IV; Keith, RPV, p. 56, 474; K. Ronnow, "Zur Erklarung des Pravargya, des Agnicayana und des Sautramani", in Le Monde Orientale, 1929, p. 113, 131; etc.; Gonda, "Ancient Indian Religions Terminology", in History of Religions, I (1966) p. 270f; the same, Change and Continuity, p. 335f.

rites as well as for increasing the importance of the already established rites. The Brahmana-texts are very alert to give sanction to many ritual points about which objections were likely to be raised or sometime even actually raised. Elevation was a very effective means of the sanctioning. Let us see how the elevation was brought about in the Brahmana-texts.

Connection with Soma :

In order to elevate any sacrificial rite efforts were made to show how the rite has some connection with Soma. Thus the Darsa-purnamasa sacrifices are shown to have the nature of soma sacrifice. At Purnamasa offering on the first day a cake is offered to Agni-Soma. This represents the victim to Agni-Soma on the fast day of the Soma-sacrifice. On the next day, there are Agni's cake and Indra's Samnayya. Agni's cake represents the morning pressing at the Soma-sacrifice because it also belongs to Agni and the Samnayya represents the midday pressing because it also belongs to Indra. At Darsa a cake is offered to Indra and Agni on the first day. This represents the third pressing. For the third pressing is

For the disinclination to perform certain ritual adopted from outside see <u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p. 474.

sacred to All Gods and Indra and Agni are the All gods. On the next day there are Agni's cake and Mitra Varunas curds, Out of these the curds for Mitra-Varuna represents the barren cow to Mitra-Varunas in the some-sacrifice. Thus by performing the Darsa and Purnamasa sacrifices one gains as much as one would gain by performing the Somesacrifice (SB.II.4.4.11-14). The animal sacrifice is said to have the characteristics of the Soma-sacrifice. The foreofferings in it are the morning pressing, the after-offerings, the third pressing and the cake, the midday pressing (SB XI.7. 2.3). SadB IV 1.6., 9-11 we find that Agnihotra is shown to have the nature of the Soma-sacrifice. Thus when the householder's fire is purified that presents the consecration (diksa). When the fire is purified in the South and the eastern fire it represents the introductory offering (prayaniyaisti), when fuel is laid on the fire that represents the upsad rites. The offering in the householder's fire is equal to the morning pressing; that in the southern fire represents the midday pressing and that into the eastern fire represents the third pressing. When the sacrificer cleanses that is the form of the Avabhrtha-bath. The food sacrifices represent the Udayaniya and Udavasaniya offerings. Thus the Agnihotra is shown to be of the nature of the Somasacrifices. The Caturmasya-sacrifices contain many popular rites and seem to have originated among the ritual of the

masses. They are also connected with the Soma-sacrifice in the following manner. The gods in order to get superiority over the Asuras made the Vaisvadeva-parvan the morning pressing, the Varunapraghasas the midday pressing, the varunapraghasas the midday pressing, the Sakamedhas the third pressing and performed the Pitrmedha and the Tryambakayaga at the third pressing (KS XXIII.7). The Caturmasyas are not only the Habiryajnas but can be performed in the Soma sacrifice manner also (for the description of these soma-type Caturmasyas see JB II.228 ff. TMB XVII 13.1.ff etc). There were objections as regards the nature of the Vajaneya and it seems that Vajapeya was included in the list of Soma-sacrifices very later. The Vajapeya is identified by MS I.11.5 KS XIV. 3; TB I.3.23 with the Soma and Vajapeya is thus elevated. It is worth remembering that the Vajapeya is also containing many popular rites and it was elevated by connecting with Soma.

Secrecy:

In order to give importance to a particular rite and elevate it some kind of secrecy is attached. Thus in connection with the Purusamedha it is said that this sacrifice is not to be taught to any or every one; lest one should teach everything to any and every one. For Purusamedha is equal to everything. Therefore one may teach it only to one who is known to oneself and who is versed in sacred writ and who is dear to one. (SB XIII 6.2.20). Thus here some kind of secrecy is attached to the purusamedha. In the case of

Pravargya which has also its origin in some popular rite secrecy is attached, and it is said that Pravargya is not to be taught to any and everyone but only to him who is already familiar or having sacred knowledge or very dear one. (SB XIV.1.1.26). Further it is said that Pravargya is to be taught to one dwelling with him as a pupil for a year. For the year is the Sun and the Pravargya is also the Sun (SB XIV, 1.1.27). From this it can be seen how secrecy was attached to Pravargya and it was elevated.

Performance by Gods:

In order to elevate a rite some divine connection is shown. The Upasad rite was performed by Gods and then the Gods became victorious. Therefore the performance of the Upasads leads to victory (AB I.24). The Sodasin sacrifice is identified with Indra (KB XVII.I). The Dvadasaha is called the session of the Gods (devastra) and session of Prajapati (prajapati-sattra) (JB II.338). JB III.374 tells us how the Dvadasaha was performed by Gods. Brhaspati was the Udgatr at that time. Go and Ayasya were Prastotr and Pratihartr. Prajapati was the Grhapati. Indra was the Brahmanacchamsin. Mitra and Varuna were Maitra Varuna and so on. Then the Dvadasaha is called 'the sacrifice of all deities' (sarvadevatayajna). The Dvadasaha is also said to be 'the home of the Gods' (Oko vai devanamdvadasahah) (TMB X.5.15). In the

Vajapeya, there is the race of chariots which is obviously a popular rite. In the worldly practice the left horse is yoked first. But there the right horse is yoked first. The reason is that this is the divine way (SB V 1.4.7). Here the device of divinization is made to elevate the rite. The Agrayanesti is said to be created by Gods (devasrsta) and elevated (SB V.2.3.9).

Connection or identification with Prajapati:

The Brahmana texts elevate a sacrificial rite by showing its connection or identification with Prajapati. The morning litany is called the litany of Prajapati (AB II.17). Dvadasaha sacrifice is called the sacrifice of Prajapati (AB IV.25). The stomas of Dvadasaha are described as the powerful sons of Prajapati (JB III 302). Vajapeya is said to belong to Prajapati (JB II 192,193). The Caturmasya sacrifices are identified with Prajapati, the twentyfour-fold year. The vaisvadeva parva is his mouth; the new and full moon sacrifices are his joints. The days and nights are his bones and marrow. The Varunapraghasas are his two arms. The three offerings in the Sakamedha his expiation, inspiration and cross breathing. The Great Oblation (Mahahaviryaga) is his body. The other offerings are the deities within him. The Sunasiriya-parvan is his foundation. Thus the Caturmasyas are identical with Prajapati (KB.VI.15; GB II.1.26). The

Vamadevya satra is elevated by identifying it with Prajapati.

As all the knowledge of existence rests on Prajapati so also all the stotras rest on the Vamadevya (JB III.301). Prajapati's highest importance in Brahmana-texts and in the Brahmanical ritualism is famous. Therefore by connecting a rite with Prajapati the Brahmana-texts elevate a sacrificial rite very effectively.

Comparative Superiority :

In order to elevate a rite it is maintained that it is superior to other rites. The Mahavrata day is said to exceed the other days. For in it the verse in Aticchandas metre is used (TMB V.2.11). Praising the Agnistoma it is said that the Agnistoma is the sacrifice. Then it is added that the other sacrifices are performed for the obtainment of one particular desire; but the Agnistoma is performed for all (TMB VI.1.12). Thus the superiority of the Agnistoma is shown. The Somasacrifice is said to be superior to the Havis-sacrifice. When it is asked why there are the Pravrtta offerings only in the Soma-sacrifice and not in the havis-sacrifice, the answer is that the Soma-sacrifice is the complete sacrifice and it belongs to the Gods (GB.II.2.17). Here indirectly the superiority of the Soma-sacrifice is suggested. SB V.1. 1.12-13 we find how an effort is made to show that the Vajapeya is superior to the Rajasuya. The sacrificer

becomes king (Rājā) by performing the Rājasuya; but by performing the Vājapeya he becomes emperor (Samrāt). The office of the king is the lower and the office of the emperor is the higher. Thus here Vājapeya is shown to be superior to Rājasuya and is elevated.

Supremacy:

The further step in the process of elevation is to call the particular rite the supreme among all the rites. For the performance of Sarvamedha sacrifice greatest possible fire-altar is built. For the Sarvamedha sacrifice is the supreme (parama) among all the sacrificial performances (SB XIII.7.1.2). The Dvadasaha sacrifice is described to be the best (srestha-yajna), for it was the best of the Gods (i.e. Prajapati) who sacrificed with it for the first time (AB IV. 28). Agnistoma is also the chief sacrifice (Jyesthayajna). The reason is given as follows. Prajapati created the beings. They did not yield him the supremacy. He saw the Agnistoma and practised it. Then the beings yielded him the supremacy. The equal yield supremacy to him who knows this (TMB VI.e.8.10. cp. JB.1.67; II.378). Elsewhere it is said that the Triratra is the highest (varsistha) of all the sacrifices (JB.II.284). When the Dasaratra is performed according to the way of performance, it is the excellence (jyaisthya) of the ritual activities (JB II.392).

Sarvajyoti sacrifice is also said to be the supreme (parama) (TMB XVI.4.2). The Ajyadoha samans are called the excellent and the best among the samans (<u>Jyesthasamani</u>; <u>śresthasamani</u>) (TMB XXI.2.3).

Includes (mystically) other sacrifices :

A sacrifice is shown to include many other sacrifices and then the importance of that particular sacrifice is established. Agnistoma is said to include all the sacrifices in the following manner. As in the ocean all streams, so in it all the sacrificial rites are resolved. There the consecration offering is performed. Thereby all the offerings (istis) are resolved in the Agnistoma. The sacrificial food is invoked. The sacrifices of cooked (food) have the form of the sacrificial food, therefore all the sacrifices of cooked (food) are resolved in the Agnistoma. The fast milk is given to the sacrificer in the morning and evening. That represents the Agnihotra and so it is resolved in the Agnistoma. Fifteen kindling verses are recited at the introductory offering and fifteen in the New and Full moon sacrifices. Therefore the New and Full moon sacrifices are resolved in the Agnistoma. Soma, the king is bought. Soma is connected with plants. With plants healing is done. Therefore all medicines are resolved in the Agnistoma. Agni is kindled by friction at the guest offering in the Agnistoma so also at the Caturmasyas. Therefore the Caturmasyas are resolved in the

Agnistoma. With milk and Pravargya is performed and with milk the Daksayana sacrifice is performed. Through Pravargya, Daksayana is resolved in the Agnistoma. There is a victim on the fast day and through that all animal sacrifices are resolved in the Agnistoma. The Idadadha rite is performed with curds. With curds the pot of curds in the Agnistoma is performed. There the Idadadha is resolved in the Agnistoma. There are fifteen stotras and fifteen sastras in the Ukthya. That make up a month: By months the year is arranged. Agni Vaisvanara is the year. The Agnistoma is Agni. Through the year the Ukthya is resolved in the Agnistoma. Through the resolution of Ukthya, Vajapeya is resolved for it is an Ukthya. There are twelve night rounds all in the Pancadasastoma; taking these by two it becomes thirty. The Sodasin Saman is Ekavimsa. The Sandhi Saman is Trivrt. These are thirty, the month. The nights of the month are thirty. The year consists of months. Agni Vaisvanara is the year. The Agnistoma is Agni. Through the year the Atiratra is resolved in the Agnistoma. Through the Atiratra is resolved in the Agnistoma. Through the Atiratra the Aptoryama is resolved; for it is Atiratra. Thus all the sacrificial rites are resolved in the Agnistoma (AB III.30-41; cp II 49). JB I.38 shows how by means of some difference the Agnihotra can represent the other sacrifices. When Agnihotra is performed for three days with milk, it is the form of

Agnistoma. When for three days with curds, that is the form of Vajapeya. When with melted butter for three days that is a form of Asvamedha and when for three days with waters that is the form of Purusamedha. At the end, on the thirteenth day a soma sacrifice or an animal sacrifice is to be performed and one may retire then from Agnihotra. JB.I.40 shows the Agnihotra including other sacrifices in the following manner. When he lifts the first sruc that is the form of Darsa and Purnamasa. When the second, that of Catunnasyas; when the third, that of the offerings and Animal-sacrifices; and when the fourth, that of the Tryambaka, Vajapeya and Asvamedha (JB I.40). The fire-altar building-ceremony is said to contain many sacrifices. When an animal is slaughtered in the fire altar building, that represents the Agnyadheya; when materials for fire-panare collected that represents the oblations of the Agnyadheya. The consecratory offering represents Agnihotra. The consecrated puts two legs on the fire and this represents the two oblations of the Agnihotra. The driving about (of the fire in the pan) and taking down to the water of the ashes, these two constitute the New and Full moon offerings. When Garhapatya hearth is built, that is the Caturmasya. What takes place from the building of the Garthapatya up to the sowing of the herbseed, that constitutes the Istis and what takes place after the all herbsseeds-sowing and prior to the building of the layers that

is the animal sacrifices. The Visnustrides and what muttering of formulas there is that is the Vatsapra. The first layer is the Vatsapra, second the Rajasuya, the third the Vajapeya; the fourth the Asvamedha; and fifth the Agnisava. Samans sung around the built altar are the Mahavrata saman. The Udgatr's preliminary muttering of the text of his chants is the Satarudriya. The Vasordhara is the Great Litary. What takes place subsequently to the singing of the Samans and prior to the Vasordhara that is equal to Hotr's preliminary muttering on that occasion. What takes place after the Vasordhara, that is the Grhamedhas. (SB X.1.5. 1 ff). Thus many sacrifices are included in the Fire-building ceremony and thus it is of great importance. About Rajasuya it is said (SB V.4.5.14; 5.5.11) that one who performs the Rajasuya gains for himself all sacrificial Istis, all offerings, and all the spoonful offerings. Thus we see the Rajasuya is elevated.

"All" :

A rite is identified with 'all' (sarva) for the sake of elevating it. Thus the Traidhatavi offering is identical wing "all" (SB V5.5.13). The Asvamedha sacrifice (SB XIII.4.2. 17), The Caturmasya.sacrifices SB XIV.3.2.28; KB VI.15; GB II.1.28), the Pravargya (SB XIV.3.2.22 ff) are identified with "all" and thus they are elevated.

Possibility of many results :

In the Brahamanatexts there is "plurality of results" i.e. one rite can give many good results to the performer of that rite. The agnihotra can remove evils (SB II.3.1.6), can give freedom from death (SB II.3.3.7-10) and can be a ship leading to the heavenly world (SB II.3.3.15). Though the main result of Vajapeya is to obtain food (anna) many other results like obtainment of Prajapati (e.g. SB V.L.2.7; TB I.3.6 3-4; TMB XIII.6.4; JB II.192), obtainment of heaven (SB V 2.1.12; TMBXVIII.7.1; GB II5.8), Obtainment of autocracy and supremacy (TB I.3.2.1-3; cp MS.I.II.5; KS XIV.5), etc. are mentioned and lastly it is said by means of Vajapeya one obtains "all" (SB V.1.1.8). Similarly in the case of Caturmasya-sacrifices the results like possessing both the arms (in good condition) in the next world (TB I.6.4.2); reaching the highest place. the supreme goal (SB II.6.4.9) etc. are mentioned. This attribution of various results is of course for elevating a sacrificial rite.

Concluding observations:-

(1) In the Brahamanaperiod we find the tendency of establishing the sacrifices firmly and for that purpose the Brahmana-texts try to elevate a sacrificial rite.

- (2) For this they used various devices like connecting the rite with Soma, attaching secrecy, giving divine connection etc.
- (3) In this process many popular rites are elevated and they have obtained an important place in the Srautaritual.
- (4) Thus by elevating some rites the Brahmana-texts have made a very significant progress in the development and growth of the sacrifice.

(A) Caturmasya - Sacrifices

Introduction :

Among the sacrifices listed in the seven Haviryajnas1 Caturmasya-sacrifices are the most complicated and containing a remarkable number of the traces of popular rites. Moreover, the exact significance of the Caturmasya-sacrifices as well as of the rites involved in it is also vague. It is a wellknown fact that in the vedic rutual of the "classes", many rites of the "masses" are accepted and they are given colour of the high vedic rutual. These rites are praised in a very effective way and finally they are elevated to the highest position. Really speaking, the acceptance of such popular rites of the masses in the sphere of the rites of the classes itself is a kind of elevation and in this connection the Caturmasyas deserve particular attention. Let us now see what was the original and principal significance of the Caturmasya-sacrifices and the rites involved in them and how various other significances came to be attached to the Caturmasya-sacrifices and also to see the process of the elevation of these sacrifices.

^{1.} For the list of the Haviryajnas see GB I.6.7; and 23; Drahyass XIII.4.16 etc.

^{2. &}lt;u>Hillebrandt</u>, Ritualitteratur, p.116, A.B.<u>Keith</u>,

VBYT. p.cv.

A brief summary of the Caturmasya sacrifices 3

The Caturmasyas are divided in the four parts called parvans: (i) Vaisvadeva, (ii) Varumapraghasa, (iii) Sakamedha and (iv) Sunasīrīya. The first is to be performed on the full-moon-day of the Phalguna, the second on the full-moon-day of the Asadha, the third on the full-moon-day of the Karttika and the fourth of the first bright day of the Phalguna.

To the description of the Caturmasya-Sacrifices see

TS 1.8.2-7, MS 1.10.1 ff; KS XXXVI.1 ff, KB V.1 ff;

GB II.1.19 ff (very similar and somewhat identical to
the relative portion in KB). SB II.5.1.ff; V.2.3. 10 ff

TB 1.4.9.1 ff; 6.2.1 ff; Weber, Indische Studien,

X.337 ff; Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.115 ff, #.

Oldenber Religion des Veda. 441 ff, A.B. Keith, VBYT

p.cv. RPV p. 321 ff; P.V.Kane, History of Dharmasastra

II.2 Poona, 1941, p. 1091 ff Caturmasyajna paricaya
Mimamsavidyalaya, Poona 1956. Heesterman, Consecration
p.27 ff; Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I, p.146 F.

The fourth parvan Sunasiriya is not recognised when only at times, three parvans are mentioned. see e.g. MS I. 10.5; KS XXXV.20; KPKS XLVIII.18. For the likely independent existence of the sunasiriya see <u>Heesterman</u>.

Consecration, p.27.

In the Vaisadeva-parvan a sacrificial cake on eight potsherds to Agni, pap to Soma, a cake on eight or twelve potsherds to Savitr, pap to Sarasvati, pap to Maruts or Maruts Svatavats, payasya to Visvedevas and a cake on one, potsherd to Dyavaprthivyau are offered.

In the Varunaprayhasa-parvan the first five offerings of the Vasvadevo-parvan are common. In addition to them a cake on twelve potsherds to Indra and Agni, amiksa to varuna, amiksa to Marut and a cake on one potsherd to Ka are offered. In addition to these there the offerings of the karambhas and an ewe made of the barely are also offered. Before this, there is the confasion of the sacrificer's wife about how many paramours she has. In the end there is the avabhrthabath in the same way as there is an avabhrthabath at the end of a Soma sacrifice.

In the third, viz. the Sakamedha-parvan, on the first day a cake on eight potsherds to Agni Ahikavat in the morning; pap to Maruts Samtapanas in the noon; and pap cooked in milk to Maruts Grhamedhins in the evening are offered. Next day in the morning there is the Darvi-homa. Then a cake on seven potsherds to Maruts Kridins and pap to Aditi are offered. Then the first five offerings in the Vaisvadeva-parvan added by the offerings of a cake on twelve potsherds pap to Mahendra and a cake on one potsherd to Visvakarman are made. In the

afternoon there is the Pitryajna in which Pitaras Samavants or Soma Pitrmat, Pitars Barhisads and Pitars Agnisvatta are the deities. Then there is the Tryambakesti.

In the fourth viz. the Sunasiriya-parvan, the first five offerings of the Vaisyadevaparvan are made with the addition of the offerings of a Sunasiriya on twelve potsherds, milk or yavagu to Vayu and a cake on one potsherd to Surya.

The significance of the Caturmasyas:

Most of the modern authorities e.g. Joanson Meyer 6

Gonda Heesterman etc. have connected the rites in the Caturmasya-sacrifices with fertility, generation etc.

⁵ Johanson Dhisana Upsala, 1917, e.g.p.62.

Meyer Trilogie, III.255 describes Varunnapraghasa as the fertility rite, p. 256 as a rainmagic, Johnson and Meyer have, however, treated only the Varunapraghasa and not the Caturmasya-sacrifices completely.

⁷ Gonda, "The vedic concept of Ahas" II J, I. p.46 in connection with the Varunapraghasa only.

⁸ Heesterman, Consecration, connects the Caturmasyas which occur in the Rajasuya with the generation p. 28 f.

But our discussion here will show that the Caturmasya-sacrifices are mainly connected with 'healing, curing,' etc. The connection with the fertility and generation is of course supported by some vedic evidences themselves. But that seems to be an after-thought and we will see that the significances of the Caturmasyas were increased in number and many other results are also said to be obtained by means of the Caturmasya-sacrifices. We have to fix our attention on the direct mention of the nature of the Caturmasyas - and that concerns healing, curing etc. The other ideas may be said to be a later appendage or addition.

The Caturmasya_sacrifices are called <u>bhaisajya-yajñas</u> (KB V.I. GB II.1.19) The main significance of the sacrifices becomes clear from the remark "therefore they are performed in the joinings of the seasons (rtusandhi). For in the joinings of the seasons disease is born" (added by KB V.I. and GB II.1.19)? It is a well known fact that when there is some change in the climate it is likely to cause and spread diseases. Accordingly in another context JB. I.247 remarks - 'There are six mouths of the death,

⁹ Bhaisajyayajna va etc - yac caturmasyani. Tasmadrtusandhisu prayujyante, Rtusandhisu hi vyadhir jayate.

viz. the season themselves (sad mrtyor mukyani rtava eva);

of JB I.246 also. Similarly in a popular verse it is said

"Autumn is the mother of the physicians. Spring is their
father. 'Jaws of Yama (yamadamstra) the last days of the
Asvina and the whole Karttika considered as a period of
general sickness) is their sister, and their enemy is one
who eats whatever is good for the body and of limited
quantity. Thus the connection of the seasons and diseases
is here clearly suggested. The Caturmasya-sacrifices which
are performed in the 'joinings of the seasons' should, therefore be said to be primarily connected with healing.

The diseases are often supposed to be connected with evil beings like Raksas and Asuras. Thus KB X.5 says, 'Evil beings attach to him who is diseased (raksamay-etam sacante va amayavi). The gods defeated Asuras by means of Caturmasyas. (TB I 4.9.3) Arajapati also saw the Caturmasyas and removed Asuras (MS I 10.5; KS XXXV.20; KPKS XLVII.18). Now JB I.81 reads, Svarbhanu a son of an Asura, pierced the sun with darkness. To him (the sun) the gods

Vaidyanam Saradi mata pita ca kusumakarah yamadamstra svasa prokta hitabhun mitabhun ripuh. For the work yamadamstra see Monier Williams, Sanskrit English Dictionary, ρ. 846.

ll For the idea of diseases as evil beings see <u>James</u>

<u>Hastings</u> (ed.) <u>ERE</u> IV.729 a.

and seers <u>cured</u> (<u>svarbhanur va asura adityam tamasavidhyat</u> <u>tam devascarṣayascabhiṣajyan</u>). Here the use of the word <u>abhiṣajyan</u> is important and it shows that the attack of the Asuras is nothing but the disease and the removal of the Asuras means the removal of the disease. So the Caturmasyas were used by Gods as well as Prajapati, according to the Brahmanas for removing diseases.

We shall see how there are numerous traces of the idea of healing in the various rites involved in the Caturmasya-sacrifices.

Vaisvadevaparvan:

Though there are no traces of popular rites in the Vaisvadeva-parvan there is some evidence to show the relation of Vaisvadeva-parvan with healing or curing. The Vaisvadeva-offerings are called Vartraghna i.e. killing Vrtra (MS I.10.5; KS XXXV.5; KPKS XLVIII.18). Now Vrtra is identified with the Papman more than once (SB XI. 1.5.7; XIII 4.1.13). We shall see in our discussion about Varunapraghasa, how Papman and disease are conceptually identical according to the Brahmana-texts. In the other offerings for curing also there is the part of the deities to which the offerings are made in the Vaisvadeva. Thus e.g. from TB III.9.17ff we know how the offerings are made to Agni, Soma and Savitr and how the sacrificer cures

(bhisajyati) the horse when the horse is suffering from the disease named <u>upatapat</u>. The horse then becomes diseaseless (agada). Further if the borse is suffering from some skin disease then an offering is made to Pusan. Thus we know that Agni 12 Soma, 13 Savitr and Pusan have the curing capacities. For Sarasvati as having part in the curing see MS II.3.5; 4.1

comments 'In that he sacrifices to Maruts Svatavats, the Maruts Svatavas are terrible (ghora); verily thus he makes healing (bhaisajyam eva kurute). TB I.6.2.3 understands that this offering to Maruts is for safety (literally- not killing 14) of the animals (pasunam aghataya). For the explanation of this TB has given a story; When offsprings were created by Prajapati Maruts killed them. (I.6.2.2.) Thus Maruts are themselves like disease, death etc. and an offering to them is obviously for the purpose of pealing.

¹² For other examples of Agni having curing capacity see

MS II.3.1; TS II. 1.2.7. One third of the curing body

of Asving is in Agni Cf. KS XXVII.4.

¹³ For other example of Soma having curing capacity see
TS II.1.2.7; 2.10.4.5;

Positive idea see Gonda, Four studies "why are ahimsa and similar concepts often expressed in a negative form" p. 95 ff.

Varunapraghasaparvan:

In the Varunapraghasa, the rite in which there is the confession of the wife is very important and it seems to be of popular origin. Before going to the rite it is necessary to note a few points here.

Disease as a punishment:

The idea of the disease as a punishment 15 for breaking

For the idea of disease as a punishment in other 15 societies see Hastings ERE IV. 740 a, b. The conception of disease as punishment for the known or unknown sins and offences of the individual the family, or the community is widespread and is not confined to any particular stage of culture either in the Old World or in the New. For the idea of Varuna punishing those who break the order in the Form of disease see Filliozat, La doctrine classque de la medecine indienne, p. 79 etc. For God's anger, sickness, impurity, sin etc. amounting the same, see G. Van der Leeuw, REM p. 520. For the details of which sin causes which disease see e.g. Manusmrti (XI-49 ff). Satatapasmrti gives a list of sins and their corresponding diseases along with the expiating rites. For the disease and sin connection see also Vasisthasmrti VI.6, XX.48 ff. Likhitasmrti 61;

the rule norm, agreement, oath etc. or in other words for any anrta sin etc. is often found in the vedic texts. Thus the famous story of Sunahsepa may be remembered here, in which king Hariscandra suffered from disease as a punishment from Varuna for breaking his agreement (AB VII.13 ff). Again the famous story of soma and Prajapati and his daughters may be recalled here. "Prajapati had thirty-three daughters. He gave them to Soma, the king of them, he (Soma) associated with Rohini. They (the other daughters) returned in anger, then Soma followed them and asked them back. Prajapati did not

Laghuharitasmṛti 75 ff; Haritasamhita (in JA, 1934, p.125 ff); Atrismṛti IV.2; Yajnavalkyasmṛti III.209 ff; Madana pārijāta IX.701 f and the works like Madanamaharnara, Sāragrāhaka mavipāka, Virasimhāvaloka, Vivāgasuya etc. cf. also Jolly, Medicin, p.5; Y.G.Diksit Āryavaidyakalāmidhi athavā ārogyaraksanāca māhitagār 223 ff; for diseases as a punishment of Vyabhicāra (adultery) f. Manusmṛti IX.30. Vyabhicārat tu bhartuh strī loke prāpnoti nindyatām sṛgālayonim cāpnoti pāparogais ca pidyate; in the Astāngasamgraha (Nidānasthāna) adhyāya I, we get a description of the origin of diseases. According to this description when all the people had the power of punya, were religious completely, then there was no disease; but when they began to behave against the dharma then the disease took place.

return them. He said "Swear on oath that thou wilt equally associate (with them); then will I return them to you". He took an oath (rtam amit) and Prajapati returned them. He (Soma) associated with Rohini alone. Then illness (yaksman) seized him (TS II.3.5.ff, of MS II 2.7, KS XI.3).

A very similar story occurs elsewhere. The nights of the half month were the wives of king Soma. Of these he did not approach the new moon night and the full moon night.

They grasped him about and illness (Yaksman) seized him (TS II.5.6 4-5). For disease as a punishment see also JB III.77.

Varuna's punishment = disease :

Varuna punishes any beings that go against him. Thus while reading the origin of the Varunapraghasas, we know "By means of Vaisvadeva sacrifice Prajapati created the offsprings. They being created but not born, ate the barley of Varuna. Varuna grasped them with Varuna's nooses. The offsprings having run up to the Father Prajapati said 'Do thou defise that sacrificial rite by which we may sacrifice

Barley is allotted to Varuna.of KS X.4: etad vai varunasya bhagadheyam yad yavah KS XXXVI.6: Varunyo vai yavah Varunadevatyah SB II 5.2.1, IV 2.1.11: Varunyo yavah. Meyer, Trilogie, III.217 f.

and be free from Varuna's nooses, 17 from all ill. Then Prajapati saw this sacrificial rite and sacrificed with it. having sacrificed with it he delighted Varuna. Varuna being delighted freed offsprings from all evil (Papman)" (KB V.3 GB II.1.21 of also MS I.10.10 KS XXVI 5. SB II.5. 2.1-4; V 2. 4.2 JB II 231) Somewhat different but with the same motif of Varuna punishing those who dishonour him texts are TB I 6.4.1 ff; MS II.5.6; KS XIII.2, SB II.5, 2.3 expressly uses the word abhisajyat 'cured' in the context of making free the offsprings from Varuna's nooses, in which they were caught because they ate Varuna's barley. JB II 2.231 uses the word abhisajyani. Thus Prajapati says 'May I cure these beings" cf. the expression of KS XXVI.5 bhesajam aicchat (Prajapati) desired a medicine for curing the offsprings. Thus here the Varuna's nooses are obviously understood as some disease . Accordingly Hillebrandt 18

To eat whatever that is not worthy to be eaten is to make a sin (Papa) by means of belly. see JB II.135

esa ha va udarena papam karoti yo'nasyannasyannamatti.

This papa is obviously some disease which will follow by eating something unworthy. Cf.KS XXXVI.5 jagdhad vai varuna grhnati (Through whatever is eaten Varuna catches").

^{18 &}lt;u>Vedische Mythologie</u>, Hildesheim, 1965, II.p.23

has remarked about Varuna's nooses "Seine of genannte Fessel lst nicht nur die Wassersucht; Krankhelt aller Art und selest der ted suchen den heim, der Varuna's Zorn verfällt. Thus the nooses are equal to disease and freeing from them is equal to curing. This curing was done by means of Varunap praghasas.

The idea of disease is often mentioned as being "caught by Varuna."

Thus the expression one who is diseased

(amayavi) is caught by Varuna (Varuna-grhito va esa ya amayavi)

occurs sometimes. See for example MS II.1.2; KS X.4; XII.1.2

etc. KSXIII.6 says, when a disease (yaksama) takes hold of an ill man, it is Varuna who takes hold of him (Varuno va enam grhnati yam vye (A?) manam yaksmo grhnati).

Thus being caught by Varuna is also the same as to be diseased 21 and

¹⁹ Meyer, Trilogie, III. 306 (in the note)

cf. L. Schroeder, ZDING KLIX, p. 168-169 where the different readings are discussed. Eschroeder referring to Patanjali and Kaşika on Panini VI.4.120 accepts the reading 'vyemanam' meaning "an ill man" and remarks that Vyomanam is meaningless.

According to JB I.96, however, "who is diseased is caught by Agni and Varuna" and thus Agni is additionally mentioned. It is interesting further that MS II.2.1 says

it follows that Varuna and disease are identical.

Varuna is not only identifal with the disease but he is also identified with the death KS XII.2 (mrtyur vai varunah)

The above texts will show how to break some norm, go against rta etc., i.e. any sin is connected with the disease. This sin²² and disease relation is based on the idea that sin causes disease. But to the vedic texts they are just identical. Thus KS XIII, 2 and 7 read, "Caught by the evil (sin etc. papman) is one who is diseased (papmana-esa grhito ya amayavi).

The similarity in treatment of mistakes, sins, disease etc. in the Vedic ritual has been noted by Oldenberg who remarks "So ja im Vedaritual überhaupt Sünde aller Art wie ein Krankheitsstoff weggewaschen, fortgeschwemmt, weggebrannt,

[&]quot;Agni is the form of the death (mrtyor etad rupam yad agnih)" of. also KPKS XXXI.1"Death verily is Agni (mrtyur va agnih)"

²² For the concept of disease as the consequence of the sin in the idealogies of other societies see Hastings, ERE. IV. 7546, 757b etc. For the concept of disease as the consequence of robery or similar crime see IV. 725a.

mit einem wot fort gezaubert. 23 Keith also remarks similary "The evil in fact is treated prefisely like a disease and is to be dealt with in just the same manner. 24 To be diseased, to be sinful, to be caught, either by Varuna's nooses or by Varuna himself etc. all there ideas are conceptually one and the same. Cf. MS II.5.6.; IV 3.7: Varunea vaesa papmana grhitah athaitasva jyogamayati.

Being free from sin = disease = Varuna's nooses etc.

Now being from sin, diesease, Varuna's nooses, Varuna, anrta, or papman etc. all these ideas also are not different. Thus the reason why in the Varunapæaghasa the unreal animals viz. a ram and an ewe made out of flour are used is that thereby the members of the family are freed from Anrta, from Varuna (anrtad avaina varunan muncati. KS XXXVI 6).

Similarly in many other offerings meant for curing, the words meaning "to be free from Varuna's nooses" or "to be free from Varuna" are used. Thus one who is diseased should offer a barley pap to Varuna and a cake on twelve potsherds to Agnivaisvanarau. As the result of the pap to Varuna, it is said that one becomes from Varuna. (KS X.4;

²³ Weltanschauulag, p. 209. For the enas as disease see also p. 189.

^{24 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u> I, p.265.

MS II.1.2.) It is now for us to understand that he becomes free from Varuna means from disease.

MS II.3.1 prescribes a cake on eight potsherds to Agni and payasya to Mitra and Varuna if the sacrificer is a Brahmana; to Indra and Varuna if the sacrificer is a Rajanya and to Agni and Varuna if he is a Vaisya. Thereby the sacrificer becomes free from Varuna and it means from the disease. The similar text in KS XII.1 omits the cake to Agni TS (II.3.13.1-2) prescribes this same offering which is in MS for the sacrificer caught by papman. It is noteworthy that while MS and KS directly mention the sacrificer as amayavi i.e. diseased, TS uses the word 'caught by evil (papmanagrhitah). This supports our observation that disease, amrta, papman etc. are conceptually the same and phrases like being free from Varuna or Varuna's nooses as the result of the offering show that these also mean the same as the disease etc.

The confession of the wife:

In the Varunapraghasa, there is a rite 25 in which the

²⁵ see for this e.g. Weber IS X 338f; Hillebrandt,
Rituallitteratur p.117, Oldeberg, Religion des
des Veda, p. 320 etc.

priest Pratiprasthatr asks the sacrificer's wife: "With whom holdest thou intercourse? (SB II.5.2.20 Kena carasi).

The she mentions the name or names of the paramour or paramours. If she has none then she tells that she has none. Katya V.5.7 adds that if she feels shy to mention the paramour, she holds up as many stalks of grass as many paramours she has. Then the paramours before caught by Varuna. SB remarks "When a woman belonging to one (man) carries on intercourse with another, she undoubtedly commits (a sin) against Varuna... when confessed, the sin becomes less. And whatever she confesses not that indeed will turn out injurious to her relatives" (SB II 5.2.20). According to TB I.6.5.2, MS I 10.1, KS XXXVI.5. the priest makes the wife purified (medhya) by asking this question.

This rite is described by <u>Winternitz</u> as a 'brutaler Opferbrauch' throwing a glaring light upon the sexual morality of that period. 27 <u>Johanson</u> sees in it an ...

Varunyam va etat svikaroti yadanvasya sati anyena carati niruktam va enah kaniyo bhavati satyam bhavati tasmadeva prechati. Sa yan na pratijanita jnatibhyo hasyaitadahitam syat. cf. MS I.10.11, KS XXXVI.5.

²⁷ Winternite, GIL I.p. 180; 6. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice p. 157 had also recognized the 'brutality' here.

'ursprunglicher fruchtbarkeitszauber' 28 and describes it as a popular remain. Meyer also understands it to be a fertility rite and gives the example of the Betschauan people among whom in a ceremony before the crop the wife is asked whether she has been unfaithful to her husband. 29 Keith gives this rite as an example of 'brutal morality of the priests' and sees an insult of the wife here. 30

The Confession is for curing :

It is, however, important to note that confession is a means of purification and is supposed to be a means of curing. I cite from the <u>James Hastings</u> ERE, VI, p.734 b The medicine man gives 'pretended emetic' to one whose breaking of some tabu is manifested by pains, sickness, misfortune. Confession itself effects a deliverance; sin and evil consequences are lessened by confession'. That the

²⁸ Johanson, Dhisana. p.62.

²⁹ Meyer, Trilogie, III. 255 f.

^{30 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p. 475.

For the confession of women and thereby purification among the Huichol Indians of Mexico see Frazer, The Golden bough, p. 23-24. For the confession leading to purification see f.v.der Leeuw, REM,p.442 cf.

Manusmrti (XI.227f), Visnusmrti 28 48 f; Sankhasmrti

confession is used as a means of curing is also mentioned in the <u>ERE</u> V. 636. Elsewhere in the verses of confession prayer is made thus:

"remove the evil sickness from my body.

take away whatever is evil of my flesh and my sinews 32

This is how a Babilonian prayer goes on which is of the nature of confession and a prayer is made there for curing.

In another prayer the speaker confesses
The forbidden thing of my god I have Catch ...
and he further says -

" A known or unknown god hath opressed me. A known or unknown goddess hath caused me pain". This makes us remember how the offsprings ate the barley of Varuna and Varuna caught them i.e. they became ill.

In another Babilonian prayer of confession³³ the speaker says - 'My god, my sins are seven times seven free me from my sins'. This makes us remember AV.IV 16.6 ye te pasa varuna saptasapta tredha tisthanti visita rusantah

XVII. 62; Samvartasmrti 114; Brhadyamasmrti 5; Laghuśatatapasmrti 2; Vasisthasmrti XX.37 f.

³² ERE, III.826a.

³³ ERE, III. 826b.

chinantu sarve anrtam vadantam yah satyavadyati tam srjantu

Here, seven times, seven nooses of Varuna are mentioned and one who is a 'speaker of truth (satyvadi)' is prayed to be spared from the nooses. This also refers to the confession as a means of curing. The sin (which leads to disease) is lessened and becomes truth when confessed as in Varunapraghasa (SB II.5.2.20th niruktam va enah kaniyo bhavati satyam bhavati). When the sin is removed naturally the disease will also be removed (for rta and satya as a medicine see SadB IV.5.2).

Thus it can be seen that the sacrificer's wife when she confesses her sin becomes magically redeemed. The disease, like sin or the debt, can be transferred and the paramour whose name is mentioned by the sacrificer's wife becomes caught by Varuna's nooses i.e. he becomes diseased. (Cf.TB.I 6.5.2 - Varunapasenaiyainam grahavati Cf. also Baudha's V.7, Bhar's VIII.9.5, Mass I.7.4.11).

Further rites in the Varuna-praghasa :

The confession rite cures the sacrifice's wife. For the curing of other members of the family the following rite is observed. After the confession, the wife offers³⁴ the

³⁴ Meyer Trilegie III. 266.

Karambhapatras which are as many as there are members, in the family added by one. This additional one is for the sake of those who are not yet born. When these are offered, all the persons born as well as unborn (or yet to be born) become free from Varuna's nooses i.e. from the disease. (See SB II.5.2.22; TB I.6.4.5) MS I.10.11 and KS XXXVI.6 use expressions meaning 'sacrificially removing (ava-yaj) amhas and 'freeing from Varuna'. This also ultimately amounts to making free from physical distress, evil, disease etc.

Along with the offerings of the Karambhapatras there are the ram and the ewe made of the remaining flour of the Karambhapatras. The ram and the ewe are placed in the payasya and are offered. SB II.5.2.16 says that the ram is an animal of Varuna and by using it the offsprings are freed from Varuna's nooses, i.e. they are cured. According to MS I 10,11, KS XXXVI.6 the aihas is sacrificially removed from the animals and it seems that these texts use the offering of the ram and ewe for curing the animals also.

Varuna is not only connected with the disease, he is also connected with the curing. He has a hundred physicians. Indra when desired to be strong and firm, he

For the concept of apphas see Gonda III, I, p. 33 ff

For amhasah avesti in the Varunapraghasa, see p.48.

Johansa, Phisara, connects this with fertility (p.62).

(satabhisaj) and to the medicines (bhesaja). Then Indra became strong and firm (See TB III.1.5.9). In the Avabhrtha bath at the end following words are uttered 'O king Varuna a hundred are your physicians, a thousand (satam te rajan bhisajah sahasram RV.I.24.9; TS I.4.45.1; VI.6.5.2; MS I. e.39; IV.8.5; KS IV.13; XXIX.3; APSSVIII. 7.25 Mass I.4.36 etc). Thus the Avabhrtha bath has also some healing capacities and it is attached to the Varunapraghasa for the same purpose of healing or curing.

Sakamedha-parvan:

Let us see the traces of curing in the Sakamedhaparvan. The offspringswere freed from varuna's nooses by
prajapati. Then he gave them a firm foundation (Pratvasthapayat) by means of the Sakamedha. The sacrificer also gives
firm foundation (pratistha) to the beings by means of Sakamedha
(TB.I.6.8.1). The idea of pratistha has some connection
with the curing according to the Vedic texts. Thus TMB XVI.

13.4 remarks "Without a firm foundation is he who is suffering from a disease (apratisthito va esa ya amayati)". So
to give pratistha is to cure and therefore as the Sakamedha
parvan is connected with giving pratistha it is connected
with the curing. To this we may add JB. II.232 where it is

said, while the offsprings were being freed from Varuna, Vrtra the Papman caught them. He (Prajapati) thought 'well I shall cure (bhisajyani) them from this. He saw the Sakamedhas of the three days. He brought them, sacrificed with them and freed the off-Springs from Vrtra, the papman. Where one performs the Sakamedhas of three days one frees the offsprings from Vrtra, the papman. The papman as we have seen is the disease and the use of the word bhisajyani also suggests that the Sakmedhas have some connection with the curing.

In the Sakamedhaparvan we find that Maruta with their various aspects receive offerings. Thus there are the offerings to (i) Maruts Santapana, (ii) the Maruta Kridins, and (iii) Maruts or Maruts Swatavats (in the five offerings common to the Vaisvadevaparvan. Now the popular character of Maruts is famous. 37 Maruts, among the Gods, are not the oblation-caters. (ahutado vai devanam marutah) (SB IV 5.2.16). Maruts are, further offen identified with the people (vin marutah TS.III.5.7.2. MS.I.10.6; KS X.#1 etc).

³⁶ Johanson, Dhisana, p.62 has connected this offering with fertility.

³⁷ see e.g. in R.N. Dandekar 'Rudra in the Veda' in JUPHS vol. I. p. 120.

Maruts are, next connected with the death etc. and they must have been originally the spirits of the dead. 38 We have already seen in the Vaisvadeva-parvan how Maruts are called ghora, how they killed offsprings, and how for the sake of safety (aghata) a cake is offered to them.

It seems, therefore, that the reference to Maruts in the Sakamedhaparvan has also some hidden significance towards curing, healing, etc. For by pleasing the causes of the diseases by means of offerings to them it is hoped that they may be removed and one will become free from diseases.

Traces of curing in the Pitryajna.

In the Sakamedha-parvan after the Mahahaviryaga Pitryajña is performed. The offerings to the fathers, and the pindadana in particular, belong originally to the popular cult as has been rightly noticed by Arbmann. 39 Here we want to see how the Pitryajña has some relation with the curing or healing which is the chief and original function of the Caturmasya-sacrifices.

The departed ancestors are aften identified with the rtus. Thus e.g. rtayo vai pitarah (SB II.6.1.32) rtayah

³⁸ see e.g. Meyer Trilogie, III.141.

³⁹ Arbman, Rudra, p. 79.

pitarah (KB V.7; SB II.6.1.42; GB.II.1.24); Pitaro va rtavah (MS I.10.17) Pitara rtavah (KS XXI .12). We have already shown the connection of the rtus with the disease 40 and death. It naturally follows that thus the ancestors are connected with the disease and death. The dead ancestors are the subjects of Yama (cf SB XIII.1.3.4 - yamo vaivasvato rājaityāha tasya pitare visah)

In the performance of the Pitryajna the following traces of curing are found. 'The Prayajas (fore-offerings) are without the barhis. For offsprings are equal to barhis. Thereby offsprings are removed from death' (TB.I.6.9.2. 'apabarhisah prayajan yajati praja vai barhih vai mrtyor utsrjati). The same significance is attached to the anuyajas (after-offerings) which are also without barhis (TB I.6.9. 10)⁴¹ The reasons why the two ajyabhagas (butter-portions) are offered with the word meaning 'living' is that the sacrificer is thereby made to live (KB V.7.; GB.II.1.25). Further in that they cleanse themselves with the water in the place where the filters are, and the waters are

⁴⁰ For the disease ascribed to the ancestral spirit cf. ERE, I. 429a; cf. also ERE. VIII. 24.

⁴¹ cp. for both prayajas and anuyajas KB V.7.

healing and medicine verily thus at the end healing and medicine is produced (KB.V.7 - Atha yat pavitravati marjayante santir vai bhesajam apah santir evaisa bhesajam antatah kriyate cf. GB II.1.25). Here the medicinal significance is clearly mentioned. During the Pitryajña the sacrificer's wife does not sit behind the garhapatya as she normally does, and in the Pitryajña, the patnisam yajas are not offered. If these are done, then the wife is likely to die. In order to protect her these things (i.e. the patnisamyajas) are not done (TB I.6.9.10).

The Tryambakahaviryaga which follows the Pitryajña is very important from our point of view. In this Tryambakahariyaga as many cakes as many there are the members in the family added by one for those yet to be born are prepared. The offerings are to be made to Rudra on the cross-way. The additional cake is buried in a mile-hill. After returning from the mole hill all members walk thrice round the altar beating their thighs. The scrificer then takes the remains of the cakes into his joined palms and throws them upward higher than a cow can reach. Having then placed them into two net-work baskets and tied them to the ends of either a bamboo staff or of a beam of a balance the sacrificer goes to the north and fastens them to a tree on a stake or a bamboo or an anthill. The sacrificer

and the priests then come back without looking backward and touch the water.

In this rite obviously having popular shades, we get some traces of curing. 'The gods removed 'Rudra' by means of this Tryambakayaga and the sacrificer also removes Rudra by means of it' (TB I.6.8.1). Now, Rudra is famous for bringing disease. Rudra himself is the disease in the point of view of ritual texts. The autumn (sarad) in which the Sakamedha and in it the Tryambakayaga are performed is a period very famous for the disease. Blanford says "The period which immediately follows the rains upto October is the most unhealthy season in the year 42. Thus MS I.10.20 remarks "Autumn is the womb of Rudra. Therefore he kills very much in the autumn...(tasmad va esa saradi bhuyistham hanti), ef KS XXXVI.14. TB I.6.10.4. says that the autumn is Ambika, sister of Rudra (cf. KS XXXVI.14) and by means of her Mudra kills. Rudra is thus the same as the disease. Further Rudra is connected with the death 43 and therefore. as we shall see, he is prayed to save from death.

Blanford, A practical guide to the climate and weather of India etc. p. 129 referred to by Hillebrandt,

Vedische Mythologie, II.446.

⁴³ For Rudra as a death god see Dandekar JUPHS I.p.118

Rudra, however, has a double character viz. 'maleficent demon and beneficent physician. He is the medcin
divin" "le plus medcin des medecins (Bhisajam bhisaktama
RV II.33.4) He is connected with both the disease and healing. 46 KB V.1 and GB.II.1.25 give the significance of
Tryambakayaga as to delight Rudra (yat tryambakaiscaranti
rudram eva tat svayam disi prinayanti. Thus by removing
Rudra's anger and by pleasing him healing is made. Oldenberg
rightly connects the Tryambakyaga with the healing when he
says, "Neben der Todtenfeter aber steht ein oper an Rudra
Tryambaka, den gefärlichen bogenführenden Gott dessen
Angriffe man von sich und den Heerden entferhen, dessen
heilende Kraft man sich Zuwenden will" 47

Now let us see how the vedic texts themselves interpret the rites in the Tryambakahaviryaga. The reason why init as many are prepared sacrificial cakes as there are members in the family is that thereby all the members that are born are

⁴⁴ Dandekar, JUPHS, I.p.96

⁴⁵ A.Bergaigne, La religion Védique d'après les hymnes du Rgveda, III.p.22.

Cf. Oldenberg, Religion des veda, p.220 where we read
"Die Macht des Gottes Aussert sich in Krankheit, die er
sendet, aber auch in Heilung." Cf. also Keith RPV, p.143.

⁴⁷ Oldenberg, Religion der veda, p. 442

freed from Rudra and by means of the preparation of the additional one those members still unborn are freed. (TB I.6.101; SB II,6.2.2.4; cf KS XXXVI.14). The offering is made on the crossway for it is the favourite hunt of Rudra. (SB II 6.2.7: cf. MS I.10.20: KS XXXVI.14). The result of burying the additional cake is that the animals are freed from Rudra. (SB II.6.2.10; MS I.10.20; KS XXXVI.14), Another significance attached to the burying is to free the unborn offsprings from Rudra (SB II.6.2.10). Returning to the fire the words are muttered in which the following words occur - 'Thou (O Rudra), are the medicine for the ox (or cow), a medicine for the horse, a medicine for the man, a blessing for the ram and ewe (bhesajam asi, bhesajam gave asvava purusaya bhesajam sukham mesaya mesyai: KarkS VIII.10). 'Medicine for ox or cow, for horse, for man, medicine for us, medicine, that it be rich in healing, good for ram and ewe (bhesajam gave asvaya purusaya bhesajam atho asmabhyam bhesajam subhesajam yathasati sugam mesaya mesyai') (TS I.8.6.1.2). Here a clear reference is made to medicine and thereby all members of the family along with the animals are expected to be cured. While walking around the fire beating the thigs which represents some kind of dance or game, a verse is uttered in which a prayer is made for freedom from death and not from immortality.

(Mrtyor muksiya mamrtat - VS III.60; TS.I.g.6.2; MS I.10.4. KS IX.7 KpKs VIII.10 RV VII.59.12). This dance also can be understood as a healing magic. 48 Throwing of the remainings of the offerings in the sky is also with a medicinal purpose. (Bhesajam eva kurvate SB II.6.2.16). At the time of hanging the remains of the offerings, prayer is made in which Rudra is requested to go beyond the muyat fat 49 region. (SB II.6.2.17; VS III.61; MS I 10.4; KS IX.7; XXXVI. 14; KpKS VIII.10). It may be remembered here that Takman, a disease is also prayed to go to mujavat (AV V.22. 7)50 and Rudra who also is a disease personified has been prayed to go to that region. The reason why the priests and the sacrificer etc. come back to the home without seeing back is that Rudra should not follow them (MS I.10.20, KS XXXVI.14).

As a remote parallelism for dance connected with medicine we may refer to ERE. III.507a. For games as a means of curing see ERE, IV. 733f. S.R..Rajawade
Nasadiyasuktabhasya, uttarardha, Khanda 2, p. 1544f sees in this rite a fertility ceremony.

For Mujavat region see Macdonell, and Keith, Vedic Index, II. 169-170.

⁵⁰ cf. Grohman, Indische studien, IX, p 411 f. (in "Medicinsches aus dem Atharvaveda".)

That there are some popular traces in this Trymbakhaviryaga is already mentioned. Rudra has a very little place in the Srauta ritual in general and wherever he has any, it is generally for removing him away. 51 The fire is brought to the crossway and then offerings are made. This offering in the crossway is of popular nature and Frazer has mentioned the depositing of the fever of crossroads. A cake is buried in the molehill and this is a popular trace for 'the offerings made except in Agni are deficient'. Cf. Vyrddha va esahutir yam anagnau juhoti. KS XII.1; XXIV.4; KpKS XXXVII.5.1. XL.I. To walk round the fire while beating the thighs also represents some popular dance and finally the hanging of the remains of the cakes is also of popular nature connected with the tree worship etc. Arbman⁵³ rightly comments 'Zweifeisohne bezeichnet dies Aufhangen der Gabe - als die primitivere Opferweise

For Rudras place in the Ritual see <u>Oldenberg Religion</u>
des Veda. p 217 ff, <u>Hillebrandt</u>. <u>Vedische Mythologie</u>
II. 434 ff, <u>Arbman Rudra</u>, p. 98 ff, <u>Dandekar</u>, <u>JUPHS</u>,
I. 97.

^{52 &}lt;u>Arbman</u>, <u>Rudra</u>, p. 57; <u>Hillebrandt Vedische Mythologie</u>, II.442; <u>Frazer</u>, <u>Golden Bough</u>, p. 544

⁵³ Arbman Rudra, p. 61

das ursprüngliche Tryambakaopfer und die verwendung des Feuers eine spätere Neuerung priesterlichen Ursprungs."

Sunasiriyaparvan also for curing :

The fourth part of the Caturmasya-sacrifices is called 'Sunasiriya'parvan' KB V.8 - remarks 'In that the sacrifices Sunasirau, and Sunasirau are healing and medicine verily thus at the end in the sacrifice healing and medicine are produced.' The significance of sunasira according to JB II 234 is to obtain the valour of Indra, the killer of Vrtra and the milk of the conquered year. These are by JB respectively called Suna and sira. 54

After a man is cured he requires to be strong for as MS II.4.1. remarks "One is devoid of strength and valour who is diseased for a long time" (Indrivena va esa viryena vyrdhvate yasya jyogamayati), and this rite Śunasiriyaparvan seems to provide hims with that strength. In order to understand why milk (payas) is mentioned as to be obtained

athaisa sunasiryo yad va indrasya vṛṭram jaghunsah indriyam viryam asit tacchunam yat samvatsarasya prajitasya payas tat siram tad yad indrasya vṛṭram jaghnusah indriyam viryam yat samvatsarasya prajitasya payas tadubhyam avarundhamaha iti.

we may see TS II.3.13.2, where we read "Milk (payas) departs from him and then he is caught by evil (papman)". Now papman is conceptually the same as the disease and this is clearly seen when we read KS XII.1 according to which "Man is the milk. One's milk is diseased when one is diseased. (payah purusah paya etasyamayati yaswamayati.cp. MS II.3.1. payo vai purusah paya etasyamayati). Thus Śunāsīriyaparvan is for curing and healing.

Ramaining rites :

In the end again we read "When they perform the expiations and the substitutions in that they offer the libations, verily thus do they produce a benediction (svastyana) for the healing of the sacrifice (yajnasya santyai) and the medicine of the sacrificer (yajamanasya bhisaiyavai) (KS V.9. cp.GB II.I.26).

"Winning the year"

It is a very curious fact that the significance of Caturmasyas is sometimes said to "win" the year. 55 (SB II.6.3.1) See also TB I.4.10-3; They say "one wants to obtain the year (samyatsara) who sacrifices with the

⁵⁵ cf. Heesterman, Consecration. p. 28 f.

Caturmasyas. (Samyatasram va esa ipsatityahuh yas caturama syair yajate" 16 MS I.10.7 identifies the Caaturmasyas with the samvatsara (Cf. SB XIII.2.5.2.also) In another context it is explained "The Caturmasyas are performed for a whole year. Obtaining them through the year, one holds them firm". (MS IV-3.3) Cf. SB XIII.2.5.2." They say unheld is the year of him who spreads out (performs sacrifice for) a year in any other way than by means of the Caturmasya-sacrifices. The Caturmasyas are manifestly the year ... " In connection with the Caturmasyas performed as a soma sacrifice, JB II. 234 says "The sacrificer of the Caturmasyas is performer of a year's session." (samvatsarasad ha khalu va esah yascaturmasyayaji). Now the identification of Prajapati and Samvatsara is well known. The sacrificer of the Caturmasyas becoming artu (season) goes to samvatsara after the death. Prajapati is identical with samvatsara. He becomes Prajapati. (TB I.4.10.10), GB II.1.26 says "The Caturmasyas are verily this Prajapati, the samvatsara."

According to TB I.4.10 1 ff one gets Agni, the samvatsara by means of Vaisvadeva, Aditya, the Parivatsara by means of Varunaprghasas, Candramas, the Idayatsara by means of Sakamedha and Vayu, the Anyvatsara by means of Sunasiriya; cp. TMB, XVII.13.17.

Before this sentence the GB (II.1.26) identifies the Caturmasyas with the Prajapati twentyfour (the number of halfmonths in a year) and explains "Vaisvadeva is his mouth; Varunapraghasas are his arms; the three istis (in the Sakamedha) are his prana, apana and vyana. Mahahaviryaga is his body (atma) Sunasira is his foundation (pratistha) Caturmasyas are this Prajapati, samvatsara." Cf. KS VI

The "winning of the year" (Samvatsara) has various shades. The traces of the chief and original significance of the Cturmasyas viz. the Caturmasyas as a magic for healing or curing can be found in the idea of winning the year. In the MS IV.6.8; KS X.4; XI.8, we get the identification of the life (Ayu) and the year (samvatsara). KS XXXVII.16 rightly says "The life is the highest object of desire (ayur vai paramah kamah) and the winning of the years (samvatsara) i.e. the life is naturally the highest aim. 57 It is obtained through the Caturmasyas.

For ayur vai paramah kamah see also Kathakasankalana ed. by Suryakant, 72.4. Further for the year equal to the objects of desire, see "The year is all the objects of desire. For indeed, outside the year there is no object of desire whatsoever (tasmadahuh samvatsarah sarve kamah iti. Na ha samvatsarad kascana --bahirdha kamosti"; SB X.2.4.1)

Samvatsara is also identical with the Death (mrtyu). "The year doubtless is the same as Death, for he, it is who, by means of day and night, destroys the life of mortal beings and then they die. (SB X.4.3.1 esa vai mrtyur yat samvatsarah esa hi martyanam ahoratrabhyam ayuh ksinotyatha mriyante). Cf. JB I. 246" That who is Death it is verily the year. Seasons are its mouths (sa yo ha sa mrtyus samvatsara eva sah. Tasya ha rtava eva mukhani)". For Death and Samvatsara identification see also JB II.350. Further we may observe that Varuna is also identical with the year (SB IV.1.4.10; 4.5.18: samvatsarah hi varunah) Now as we have seen above how Varuna is connected with sickness, death etc. when one gets victory over Samvatsara one naturally gets victory over the sickness, death etc. The connection of sickness and samvatsara is expressly mentioned by MS IV. 3.6. "The year of him, verily has gone wrong who is diseased (samvatsaro hi va etasya mugdhah athaitasyamayati)! {Similarly, KS XII. 8 we read, "The year of him has been greedy who is diseased. (samvatsaro hi va etasya lubdhah athaitasyamayati). The winning of samvatsara as curing one physically can be understood when it is said that Prajapati (the year) was cured by the Gods by means of the Haviryajnas and the beginning of the seasons (rtumukha) were cured by means of the Caturmasyas. SeeSB I.6.3.35 ff. "After Prajapati created the living beings, his joints

15

(parvan) were relaxed. Now Prajapati, doubtless is the year and his joints are the two junctions of day and night (i.e. the twilights), the full moon and new moon, and the beginnings of the seasons. He was unable to rise with his relaxed joints and the Gods healed (abhisaiyan) him by means of these Haviryajnas. By means of the Agnihotra they healed that joint (which consists of) the two junctions of day and night and joined that together, by means of the full moon and the new moon sacrifice they healed that joint (which consists) the full and new moon and joined that together and by means of the three Caturmasyas they healed that joint (which consists of) the beginnings of the seasons and joined that together". Here we know, how the somvatsara, i.e. Prajapati was cured by means of the Caturmasya-sacrifices. The word used for joints is Parvan. This same word is used for the individual Caturmasya-sacrifice viz. Vaisvadevaparvan, Varunapaghasa-parvan etc. This again shows the connection of the Caturmasyas with the curing. To this can be added SB XI.5.2.1 ff where we know in detail how Prajapati created his body by means of the Caturmasyas. "Prajapati fashioned for himself a body by means of the Caturmasyas. The sacrificial food for Vaisvadeva, he made to be this right arm of his. The oblation to Agni thereof this this thumb; that to Soma this (fore finger); and that to Savitr this (middle finger) ... That (oblation to Sarasvati is this (third) finger; and that to Pusan this (little

finger). And that oblation to the Maruts is this joint above the hand (the wrist): and that to Visvedevah is this (elbow) and that to Dyavaprthivyau is this arm. ... The Varunapraghasa offerings are this right leg; the five oblations which this has in common (with the other seasonal offerings) are these five toes: and the oblation to Indra and Agni is the knuckles That (oblation) to Varuna is this (shank); that to the Maruts this (thigh) ... and that cake to Ka is this back-bone ... The offering to (Agni) Anikavat (in the Sakamedha) is Prajapati's mouth ... Samtapaniya pap is the chest ...; the Grhamedhiya (pap) is the belly ... the Kraidina oblation is the male organ ... the offering to Aditi is this downward breathing. The great oblation is this left leg; the five oblations which it has in common (with the other seasonal offerings) are these five toes; and the oblation to Indra and Agni is the knuckles The oblation to Mahendra is this (shank); that to Visvakarman this (thigh) ... The Sunasiriya is doubtless this left arm. The fire oblations which it has in common (with the other seasonal offerings) are these five fingers. The Sunasiriya is that joint of his above the hand; that oblation to Vayu is this (elbow); that to Surya this arm... Thus Prajapati fashioned for himself a body by means of the Caturmasyas and in like manner does the sacrificer who knows this fashions for himself a body by

means of the seasonal sacrifices". It will be clearly known how the Caturmasyas can rebuild the body of a man and how their significance is related with healing.

The "winning of the year" has another shade viz. that of fertility, generation etc. Thus "The year (Samvatsara) is the giver of food and nourishment. (Samvatsaronhadyasya pradata MS IV.3.3: Ks.X.3) in connection with the Caturmasyas in the Rajasuya, MS IV . 3.3. says that one who is desirous of food and nourishment should sacrifice with the Caturmasyas (annayakamo yajeta). "Food is produced according to the year" (Samvatsara hyannam anuprajayate KS X.3).58 In connection with the generation we may recall SB XI.1.6.2 "In a year's time, a man, this Prajapati, was produced therefrom and hence a woman, a cow or a mare brings forth within the space of a year. (... tasmadu samvatsara eva striva gaur va vadava va vijayate" "From the seasons the offsprings are born (rtubhyo vai prajah prajayante" MS IV.6.7) Thus, Prajapati performed the Caturmasyas and created the beings (JB II. 229.cf. MS I.10.8). Vaigadeva is connected with generation (e.g.MS I.10.8). The offsprings (Praja) are called "Vaisvadevi" (e.g. MS I.10.8). So, the vedic texts also may be said to support those who

⁵⁸ cp. JB II.303, 307; Samvatsarah krtsnam annadyam pacati

see in the Caturmasya rites some connection with the fertility, generation etc.

Elevation of the Caturmasyas :

We have mentioned above how there are many traces to show that the Caturmasya-sacrifices have numerous traces of "healing or curing disease". There are many popular rites in Caturmasyas and it seems that originally the Caturmasyas must have been some popular magical rites for healing. Now such rites as well as the performers of these rites (viz. those who were physicians-priests) were rather looked down. Thus for example even the divine physicians (divinau vai devanam bhisajau : TS II.3.11.2.3; MS II.5.6; III.2.9; IV.1.2; AS I.18; KS XV III.1; GB II.2.6: 5.10; TB.I.7.3.5, TA V.7.3. cp KpKS XXXI.12) viz. the Asvins were also looked down to some extent. Thus they had earlier no share in the Soma sactifice. (Cf. SB IV.1.5.13; JB III. 124. See also TS VI.4.9.1; KpKS XLII.4)59 They were also supposed to be impure as it were. Thus the gods said at the time of expressing their unwillingness for admitting the

⁵⁹ cf. Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie I. 478f; cf. also Scheftloitz ZDMG, Vol. 74, p.202.

Asvins soma-drink. "Impure are they, wandering among men, physicians. (aputau va imau manusvacarau bhisajau) (TS VI.4.9.1-2)60 TS VI.4.9.2 then says, "Therefore a Brahmana should not practise medicine; for, the physician is impure, unfit for the sacrifice. (tasmad brahmanena na hesajam karyam aputo hyeso: medhyo yo bhisak.) Thus the work of a physician was looked down and a Brahmana was not allowed to do it. The Caturmasyas in their original form also must have been looked down. process of the development and expansion of the vedic ritual of the classes, however, many popular rites were adopted in it. These rites received the due modifications and additions and omissions. The rites were thus so to say elevated. Then there were also some efforts to show that a particular sacrifice is of great importance; one gets many attractive results which are of course added to that sacrifice very artificially, the rite has a divine origin or origin from Prajapati and not from the popular customs; etc. The Caturmasya-sacrifices also appear to have gone through this process and we shall see the details of this process.

⁶⁰ KpKs XLII.4 informs us that Asvins were purified by means of Bahispavamana before they were given a sama.

Thus Asvins were elevated.

a) connection with soma-sacrifices :

In the process of elevation to connect a rite with the Soma sacrifices is a very important and effective device. For, the institution of Soma sacrifice was by itself of great importance and belonging to the high ritual of the upper classes. Many of the popular rites of masses could be elevated and get a place in the ritual when they were connected somehow with the Soma-sacrifice or were allowed to be entered into it. 61

Thus while telling how the Caturmasyas were created by Prajapati MS I.10.5 and KS XXXV.20; KpKS XLVIII.18 inform us that Vaisvadevaparvan was created from Agnistoma, Varunapraghasa from Ukthya, and Sakamedha from Atiratra. 62 BharaŚS VIII.25.12-13, we read "After offering the Caturmasyas, one should sacrifice with the Soma. It is said that Caturmasyas are a form of Soma." Thus the Caturmasyas are connected with the Soma sacrifice. At the end of the

Thus for example in Vajapeya there are many popular rites. But the Vajapeya was also elevated and was entered into the Soma-sacrificial institution.

⁶² Here, no mention of Sunasiriya is made.

Varunapraghasa, there is the Avabhrtha which principally belongs to the Soma sacrifice. Thus indirectly some connection with the high ritualistic element is obtained. The inclusion of Caturmasyas in the Rajasuya sacrifice can also be understood as an effort to elevate the Caturmasyas and establish them firmly in the vedic srauta ritual of the classes.

For elevating the Caturmasyas, they are connected with the soma sacrifice in a story of the Gods, and Asuras. There, in order to be superior to the Asuras, the Gods, among other things, made the Vaisvadeva the morning pressing, the Varunapraghasas the midday pressing, the Sakamedhas the third pressing, and performed the Pitrmedha and Tryambakayaga at the third pressing (KS XXXIII.7).

Moreover there are the Caturmasyas which are to be performed under the soma-sacrifice category. 64 The saumika form definately elevates the Caturmasyas from their popular level to the high rank in the Srauta ritual.

⁶³ See e.g. MS I.10.13; KS XXXVI.7; SB II.5.2.46; TB I.6.5. cf etc.

⁶⁴ For the description of these see JB II. 228 ff; TMB XVII.13 1 ff.

b) Various results obtained :

The performance of the Caturmasyas is elevated by SB II.6.3.1 by saying "Verily imperishable is the righteousness of him who offers the Caturmasyas" (aksayyam ha vai sukrtam caturmasyavalino bhavati). 65 This is further explained as "For, he gains the year and hence there is no cessetion for him ... the year means whole; and the whole is imperishable. He becomes a season and goes to Gods. but there is perishableness in the Gods, (still) there is imperishable righteousness for him..." The sacrificer of the Caturmasyas is "Sahasrayaji" (yas caturmasyair yajate sa sahasrayaji. MS I.8.6.) i.e. equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice in which one thousand cows are given as daksinas. TMB XVII \$4.1. elevates the Caturmasya-sacrifices (of the saumika nature) to the top of the sacrifices and shows that the sacrificer of the Caturmasyas gets more than the sacrificer of other sacrifices". By sacrificing with the Agnihotra, he reaches in one day, 'ten houselords' (i.e. he becomes equal to them). By sacrificing with the ten Agnihotra during the ten years, he becomes equal to one who performs the sacrifices of Full and New -moon. By

The sentence is quoted by SatyaSS V.1; ApSS VIII.1.1; VaikhaSS VIII.3.

sacrificing during ten years with the sacrifices of Full and New moon, he becomes equal to one who performs & the sacrifices of soma. By offering ten Agnistoma sacrifices he becomes equal to one who performs a sacrifice of a thousand cows as daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who performs a sacrifice with ten thousand daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice with a hundred thousand daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice of a million daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice with a 10 million daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice with 100 millions daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice with 1000 millions daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes equal to one who sacrifices with a sacrifice with 10000 millions daksinas. By offering ten of these, he becomes equal to one who offers with a sacrifice of 100000 millions daksinas. By offering ten of these he becomes the ox. When becomes ox, then he becomes the Fire; when he becomes the Fire, he becomes equal to the houselord (grahapati) of the year. When he becomes the houselord of the year, he reaches the measure of the Vaisvadeva..." The mere Vaisvadeva performance is of this value, then we can only imagin of how

much value the performance of all the Caturmasyas must be.
This is of course for the elevation of the Caturmasyas.

"The sacrificer of the Caturmasyas possesses both the arms (in good condition), in that world (tasmaccatarmasyavaji amusmin loke ubhayabahuh.) (TBI.6.4.2). According to SB II.6.4.8-9 the sacrificer "when he performs the Vaisvadeva, becomes Agni and attains to union with Agni and to co-existence in his world. When he performs the Varunapraghasa, he becomes Varuna and attains to union with Varuna and to co-existence in his world. When he performs the Sakamedha, he becomes Indra and attains to union with Indra and to co-existence in his world. The performer of the Caturmasyas reaches the highest place, the supreme goal. (sa paramam eva sthanam paramam eva gatim gacchati caturmasyayajī)"

Though the Caturmasyas must have been originally connected with the healing, in the later period they are connected with many other results and Bharss VIII.28.1.ff we read the kamya Caturmasyas which are to be performed by the sacrificer with the desire of getting cattle (pasukamah), village (grhakamah), "firm foundation" (pratisthakamah) etc. This is the development of the Caturmasya sacrifices, when they were being adopted in the ritual of the classes and being established and elevated.

c) Connection with Gods:

The stories told of the origin of the Caturmasyas help to understand how the sacrifice was elevated. The origin is told to be from the Gods. Thus SB V.2.3.10 says "created by the Gods, verily is this sacrificial rite viz. the Caturmasyas." Thus the origin of Caturmasyas is divine one.

TB I.4.9.3. gives the story of the Gods seeing the Caturmasyas "... Those Gods thought 'these (asuras) obtained this (power-urk) what we are ? Then they saw these Caturmasya-sacrifices. Then the Gods won and the Asuras were defeated."

MS I.9.5 we read the Gods created the Caturmasyas by means of Pancahotras.

Thus the Caturmasyas are said to be connected with the Gods because their origin is from Gods and not, as we sould otherwise say, from the practices of the masses.

d) Connection with Prajapati :

The origin of the Caturmasyas is ascribed by some texts to Prajapati. Thus MS I.10.5, KS XXXV.5, KapKs XLVIII.18: 'There were Gods and Asuras in this world. Prajapati thought 'May I remove the Asuras and create the

beings. He saw the Caturmasyas. Cf. JB II 229 where also Prajapati is told to have seen the Caturmasyas. Prajapati is of a very high rank in the ritual. By connecting the Caturmasyas with him they are elevated.

e) Connection with well-established rites :

In the process of elevation, the Caturmasya sacrifices are connected with some of the rites which are well established in the Srauta ritualism and that of a very high rank. Thus, for example, in connection with the Vaisavadeva-parvan of the Caturmasyas KB.V.2 remarks, "In that he sacrifices to Agni and Soma first of the deities, it is because these are the deities of New and Full moon sacrifices (Darsapurnamasa)." At the end of the rites in the Varunapraghasa, in which there are popular elements, the sacrificer after having churned out fire sacrifices with the Paurnamasa sacrifice. For the Paurnamasa sacrifice is adapted (klpta) and established (pratisthita). (SB II 5.2.48). The same is done after the tryambakahoma in which also there are popular elements and the same reasoning is given (SB II 6.2.19). According to the MaSS IX.3.7.1.ff. one can perform the Caturmasyas combined with animal sacrifices which were well-established ones. The association if a well-established rite is obviously for elevating the popular rites.

f) Identification with sarva (all) :

In elevating something it is usually identified by the Brahmana texts with the "all" (sarva). Thus the Caturmasyas are also identified with Sarva SB XIV.3.2.28; sarvam vai caturmasyani. see also KB VI.15; GB II.1.26 sarvam caturmasyani.

This sarva concept is further detailed in various places. One gets all by means of this all (Caturmasya sacrifices) (KB VI.15). KS VI.15 earlier to this says that gods have obtained the heavenly worlds, all the desired objects, all the offerings all the immortality. (caturmasyair apnuvan svargan lokansarvan kaman sarva istih sarvam amrtatvam cf. GB II.1.26. This is of course the highest stage in the process of elevation

Conclusion :

Summarising our argument we may conclude with the following.

- 1) The original nature of the Caturmasya-sacrifices must have been "a curing magic" performed by masses.
- 2) In the vedic texts we find that there are various traces of the Caturmasya-sacrifice as a curing magic but is mixed with other characteristics of the ritual of the

classes. Subsequently the Caturmasya-sacrifices are also connected with other results.

3) The vedic texts have elevated the Caturmasya sacrifice by various ways in order to establish it firmly in the ritual systems of the classes.

(B) Vajapeya

Introduction :

The great sacrificial system as we have it, is a result of an activity carried on by many schools of ritualists through a very long time. Many new sacrifices were formed, many details were added to those sacrifices already known and many popular rites were included in the ritual system. Vajapeya, as we shall see, was included in the ritual system only with special efforts and then a high position was given to it. Now it is intended to see how Vajapeya gets a high position in the ritual system and in this connection the points like the meaning of the word Vajapeya, the importance of food in it, the elevation of it, the popular rites in it are considered.

Meaning of the word Vajapeya:

The word Vajapeya is interpreted in different ways by different scholars. Weber derives the peva part of it from the root pa to protect and thus understands it as "protection of strength". Weber argues that there is no trace of a drink

Weber, Überden Vajapeya, p.10 cf. for this view rejected Keith, VBYT, p.cix, the same, RPV, p.340; Minard, Trois Enigmes, I, p.84.

in connection with this rite and therefore he is not inclined to connect peva with the root pa to drink. He understands Vajapeya originally to be a calebration of victory. Leith, however, strongly opposes weber. He says that Vajapeya as we have it is essentially a soma-rite and the drinking of soma is a part, and in the priestly view the essential part of it. He then seems to understand the word as meaning "drink of strength", the meaning accepted by many other scholars. Thus for example Eggeling translates "drink of strength" (Eggeling mentions an alternative as "the race-cup"), Wackernagel as "Krafttrunk", Oldenberg also as "Krafttrunk", Renou as "boisson de vigueur" the same scholar, in his Vocabulaire mentions both the meanings: "breuvage (ou: protection) de-puissance", and Minard translates as "boire-de-puissance".

² Weber, uber den Vajapeya, p.10

³ Keith, VBYT, p.cix.

⁴ Eggeling, SBE, Vol. XLI, p.XI.

⁵ Wackernagel, Altindische Grammatik II.1.192.

⁶ Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p. 473.

⁷ Renou, Anthologie Sanskrite, p.35

⁸ Renou, Vocabulaire du rituel Védique, p.135;

⁹ Minard, Trois enigmes, I, p.49, 84 etc.

The Brahmana-texts understand vaja as food, (Annam Vai vajah SB VI.3-2-10; IX 3.4.1; TMB XIII 9.21; JB II.192 ff; III 151 etc.) Gonda discusses this word and says "vaja, generally speaking expresses not a concept in modern sense of the word but a group or complex of ideas, beliefs, conceptions, experiences converging in a "Daseinmacht" a power usually conceived of as substantial in character and hence very apt to materialize, a power manifesting in animal and vegetative life, strength, potency, in the capacity to life, to grow, to last, in vigour - the last word being an approximately correct English "equivalent". 10 Gonda accordingly translates the word as "Drink-of-vigour". 11 The Brahmana-texts have no definite point of view about the exact explanation of the word Vajapeya. In an attempt to give the derivation of the word Vajapeya it is understood as Vajapya. Thus instead of the root pa, the root ap is understood and it is said that the Gods desired to obtain (aipsan) Vaja(food) by means of Vajapeya (TB I.3.2.3). Sometimes the Vajapeya is understood as a dvandva compound and the remark annapeyam ha vai namaitad yad Vajapeyam suggests that Vajapeya means food and drink (SB V.1.3.3:4.12etc.) But in any case the Brahmana-texts are prone to understand the meaning of the word vaja as "food".

¹⁰ Gonda, Visnuism, p. p.48 and following.

¹¹ Gonda, Visnuism, p.50, the same, Kingship, p. 84

Importance of food in the Vajapeya -

The main fruit of the Vajapeya is gaining food. Various rites which are included in Vajapeya are shown to have food as their fruit. The Soma and Sura form the two foods of Prajapati and by using the cups of Soma and Sura both the foods are obtained (SB V.1.2.10). TB I.3.3.2-3, and KS XIV.5 say that Soma is the highest kind of food of Gods and Sura: is the highest kind of food of men. With the best kind of food the sacrificer obtains the lower kinds of food. There is a spotted sterile cow to be offered to Maruts. Maruts are peasants (Visah). Peasants are food and thereby food is obtained (SB V.1.3.3). At the midday soma-pressing there is the race of chariots. The chariot is taken down with the words (VS IX.5) "Thou art Indra's thunderbolt". The chariot is thus identified with Indra's vajra. Vajra is to be understood as the means by which Vaja is obtained. 12 The sacrificer is identical with Indra and in the mantra it is further said, "May this one win vaja by thee" and the Brahmana-text adds that Vaja is food and it is wished that the sacrificer should get food (SB V.1.4.3). At the time of sprinkling the horses the mantra is said whereby the horses are desired to be possessed of vaja(VS IX.5) "Within the waters is ambrosia,

¹² For Vajra and food cf. Gonda, Visnuism, p. 34ff, p.52

in the waters is medicine, at the praises of horses may ye become Vajinah o horses "Then" divine waters, what rushing, high-peaked, vaja-winning wave you have, therewith may this one (horse) win vaja. Here also vaja is interpreted as food and it is desired that food might be won (SB V.1.4.9). In the mantra to be used at the time of yoking the horse, the horse is desired to be vajin and balavan by means of the hidden speed (VS.IX.90 (SB V.1.4.10). The horses are similarly called Vajinah, Vajajitah at the time of making them smell the rice pap for Brhaspati (VS IX.9,19) (SB V.1.4.15.21), as well as when they are said to run the race (VS IX.13)(SB V.15.17). At the time of beating the drum, Brhaspati (if the sacrificer belongs to Brahmana class) or Indra (if the sacrificer belongs to Rajanya class) is addressed to win vaja (VS.IX.11)(SB V.1.5.8-9). The sacrificer touches the rice-pap for Brhaspati with the words "May the treasure of vaia come unto me (VS.IX.19) (ŚB V.1.2.26). The sacrificer having ascended upon the sacrificial post touches wheat. For wheat is food and the sacrificer of Vajapeya obtains food (SB V.2.1.13). A seat of Udumbara wood is brought for the sacrificer. The tree Udumbara is sustenance (Urk) and therefore identical with food (SB V.2.1.23: TB I.3.8.2). The same is said about the use of Udumbara wood when in a vessal of Udumbara wood a kind of food is brought for Vajaprasaviya offering(SB V.2.2.1-2). A goat skin is spread on it with the words (VS IX.22).

"Thee for tilling (kṛṣyai); thee for peaceful dwelling
(kṣemāya); thee for wealth (rayyai); thee for thrift(poṣāya)
(ŚB V.2.1.25).

From the above given examples it can be seen how in Vajapeya the obtaining of vaja, that is food according to the Brahmana-texts is important. The explanation of Vajapeya as annapeya can throw some light upon the original nature of the sacrifice namely a fertility rite. The reference to the Krsi, rai, posa is very important from this point of view. From that it can be clear that the original rite had a connection with agriculture and it seems that the Vajapeya must be originally a popular fertility rite, an utsava for obtaining abundant crop, in which chariot-race, climbing upon a post, beating the sacrificer with salt etc. were the chief elements. But in the course of the development of the ritual this popular rite obtained the nature of a great soma-sacrifice, that is to say, this rite became elevated. The Brahmana-texts which form a period of development of sacrifice tried to establish the Vajapeya firmly in the system of soma-sacrifice. The meaning of the word Vajapeya as a drink of vigour i.e. of Soma which Keith supposes as an essential feature of the Vajapeya which we have, is correct only when the Vajapeya was established in the Soma-sacrifices gradually. But before that it was only annapeya "food and drink" and had no reference to Soma. The Brahmana-texts not only want to establish the Vajapeya as a soma-sacrifice but also the elevate it in a special manner. The ways of elevating this sacrifice are various: removing the objections on the sacrifice or on some part of it, connecting the sacrifice or the details of it with Prajapati, connecting it with daties in general or some particular deity, showing the comparative superiority of the sacrifice to another sacrifice, adding many attractive fruits to the original and principal fruit of the sacrifice, elevating the person who sacrifices with it, and so on. Now we shall see the details of the process of elevation of Vajapeya.

Process of Elevation of the Vajapeya-

a) Vajapeya: - a soma-Sacrifice.

The nature of Vajapeya is rather complex. It is neither an Agnistoma, nor Ukthya, neither Sodasi nor Atiratra. So the Brahmana-texts tried to give it a separate position. Thus it is called a distinct rite (Atiriktayajna). Prajapati is also distinct (atirikta) and by means of the Vajapeya, Prajapati is obtained (Jg.II.192). There was objection due to this and some said, "Nobody should sacrifice with the Vajapeya at all. For thereby one obtains everything, Prajapati is everything. Then nothing remains for his offerings

and they will be poor." This objection is removed by saying that there should be learned priests and then there cannot be any harm (SB V.1.1.9-10) When such objections were removed there was a tendency to elevate the Vajapeya. Thus the sacrifice is identified with Soma (TBI 3.2.3) and thereby the nature of Vajapeya as a soma-sacrifice is fixed. Efforts are made to show that all the sacrifices are included in the Vajapeya sacrifice. The Brahmavadins then asked. "As it is neither Agnistoma, nor Ukthya, neither Sodasi nor Atiratra, then how all the sacrifices are included in the Vajapeya ?" The answer was thus, "by means of the sacrificial animals offered in the Vajapeya all the sacrifices are included in it. As there is an animal victim to Agni, thereby the Agnistoma is included. By means of an animal victim to Indra and Agni, the Ukthya; by means of an animal victim to Indra, the Sodasi; by means of an animal victim to Sarasvati, the Atiratras and by means of an animal victim to Maruts the Vajapeya are included"(TBI.3.4.1).

b) Vajapeya connected with Prajapati.

The stories narrated to give the origin of the Vajapeya are also given with the view of elevating it. Prajapati gave all sacrifices to the Gods, but reserved the Vajapeya for himself. The Gods then said, "This is the sacrifice viz. the Vajapeya. Let us also have a share in it. Then they obtained the Ujjiti formulas from Prajapati (TB I.3.2.5). Here twofold

elevation is made separately. The mention that the Gods did not have share in the Vajapeya can be understood in the sense that it was a popular rite of masses and the Gods of high rank got share in it only afterwards. Secondly here it is described as the sacrifice and thus its importance is established. At another place also Prajapati is said to be at the origin of this sacrifice, for it was he who saw the Vajapeya (TBB XVIII.7.1; GB II.5.8). The Vajapeya is also said to be belonging to Prajapati (prajapatyah) (TB II.192; 193) The connection of a rite with Prajapati whose importance was supreme in the field of ritual is an effective device in elevating that sacrificial rite.

c) divinization :

Divinization or connecting a rite with individual deities or deities in general is a further device. Accordingly the Vajapeya is connected with Brhaspati (SB V.2.1.19) and Indra for they won the race (SB V.1.1.11). Vajapeya is also connected with him who shines in the sky i.e. the sun (GB II. 5.8). The order in which horses are yoked to the chariot in the race is noteworthy. In the worldly practice the left horse is yoked first. But here the right horse is yoked first. But here the right horse is yoked first. The reasoning is that this is the divine way (SB V.1.4.7). Again the reasoning for the number three of the horses yoked is that what is threefold belongs to the Gods (SB V.1.4.11).

d) comparative superiority -

For the sake of elevation some ritualists also use the device of showing Vajapeya as superior to the Rajasuya. SB is very active in this respect. The sacrificer becomes kind (raja) by performing the Rajasuya; but by performing Vajapeya one becomes emperor (samrat). The office of the king is the lower and the office of emperor is the higher (SB V.1.1.12-B) Again it is said that a sacrificer who has performed Vajapeya should not sacrifice with the Rajasuya. For that will be like coming down from the high seat or like an emperor (samrat) becoming a king (raja)(SB IX 3.4.8) Katyayana following SB prescribes in this respect that the Rajasuya may be performed by a king who has not yet performed Vajapeya (Katyass XV.1.1-2). It is implied thus that Vajapeya is more than sufficient equivalent for the Rajasuya. 13

e) various fruits of the Vajapeya and of the rites in it.

Though the obtainment of food is an important result of the Vajapeya, in the process of elevation of the Vajapeya and the individual rites in it, various other good results are assured to be obtained by the sacrificer. The obtainment of Prajapati, a very high achievement, is often mentioned(SB V.1-2-7; 10; 13; TBI 3.6.344; TMB XIII 6.4; JB II.192).

¹³ Eggeling, SBE, Vol. XLI, p. xxiv, Keith, VBYT, p.cix

Obtainment of heaven is also possible by means of Vajapeya (SB V.2.1.12; TMB XVIII.7.1; GB II.5.8). The Vajapeya sacrificer gets authoracy and supremacy (TBI.3.2.1-3). Vajapeya is also prescribed to be performed by one who desires to obtain the Brahman -- splendour (brahmavarcasakamah) JB II.192). He obtains all speeches (TBI, 3.2.7). He not only becomes possesor of food (Vaji) but also gets a son who is also a possessor of food (Vaji) (TBT.3.2.3) He himself gets food and gets a son, eater of food (TBI 3.2.4). There are both somagrahas and suragrahas used in the Vajapeya sacrifice. Soma is male and sura is female. This is a productive pair (mithuna). The sacrificer satiates himself with the somagrahas and satistes his wife with the suragrahas. Then it is added, " Therefore the sacrificer of Vajapeya gets women in the next world. For he has obtained the ability be means of Vajapeya (TBI. 3.3.4). The last stage in this respect is when it is said that by the performance of Vajapeya one gets all (SB V.1.1.8). It is also noteworthy that the obtainment of vaja is also occasionaly said to imply the obtainment of all (TMB XVIII.9.20).14

f) elevation of the sacrificer of the Vajapeya.

The Vajapeya in its popular form might have been performed by those who are concerned with agriculture namely the vaisyas

¹⁴ Gonda, Kingship, p. 86.

and sudras. But alongwith the sacrifice the sacrificer also was elevated and thus the Vajapeya could be performed only be a person belonging to the Brahmana or the Rajanya class (TB I.3.2.3). Thus the sacrifice is elevated from lower classes to higher classes.

The sacrificer of the Vajapeya is elevated in another manner. Thus he is at a time identified with Indra (TBI 3.6.3) and at another time said to be connected with Brhaspati(TBI. 3.6.8A9). As he obtains all speeches that are in the earth, in the fire, in the Rathantara, and in the sky etc. - he deserved to be a rtvij (artvijInah) (TBI.3.2.7). This is of course a very high honour when we take into consideration the importance of rtvijs in the view of the ritualists. The sacrificer does not get down from his seat before any one (TB I.3.9.1; TMB XVIII 6.12). He is purified (putah), worthy of sacrifices (medhyah) and worthy of giving daksinas (daksinyah) (TBI. 3.3.6-7).

Popular rites -

There are some popular rites in the Vajapeya and having elevated them, the Brahmanatexts establish them as a part of the Vajapeya. The process of elevation is well applied here also.

a) The race of chariots can be seen from this point of view. There was an objection upon the race because it is done

outside the firealter. Thus some said "Those who run a race outside the fire-alter go away from the sacrifice. For only that part which is performed inside the firealter is yajna." Then they allowed the race within the firealter. Others used to say, "They should nevertheless run the race. For by means of Rathantara-Saman they win the heavenly race and by means of chariot they win the human race. Therefore they should certainly run the race in order to obtain both the races (JB II.193) Once it is said that those who run the race they win the heaven. Therefore they go to the cast. For the heaven is eastwards. Then it is said that those who run the race, they fall from the heavenly world. Therefore they should turn to northwards (TB I.3.6.5-6). Elsewhere it is also said that by means of the race this world is won (SB V.1.5.1). Alongwith heaven Prajapati is said to be obtained by the race. (JB II.192). Here it would seem that there was no definite position as regards the chariot race and its fruit. 15

Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, Vol.I. p.484, Stresses on the importance of chariot race and says "Die dabei mit grosser Feierlichkeit veranstalteten Wagenrennen machen das Fest zu einer Art von olympischen Spielen der alten Inder" and thus compares the race with Olympic games. On the purpose of race and similar games see Gonda, Visnuism p.47 and the literature cited there; Heesterman consecration, p.133. For a chariot race as a means of obtaining rain and abundant crops, see Meyer, Trilogie II.199. For race as a popular element in Vajapeya see also Hillebrandt, Ritualliteratur p.141.

But by connecting the race with the heaven and Prajapati, it is definitely elevated.

- b) At the time of the race drums are beaten. The importance of the sound of drums is emphasized in following manner. The height (varsma) of the speech went away from the Gods. It entered the trees. The sound of the drum is the same as that speech in the trees. Therefore the sound of the drum supersedes all the other sounds. The sound of the drum is the highest kind of sounds and the sacrificer wins the height of speech thereby. Thus the sound of drums is elevated by describing it as the highest kind of sounds. (TBI. 3.6.2; ŚB V.1.5.6)
- c) The further popular rite is when the sacrificer alongwith his wife ascends the sacrificial post. While he ascends, he says, "We have become the Prajapati's children." Then it is added that one who sacrifies with Vajapeya becomes the child of Prajapati.(SB V.2.1.10-11; TBI 3.1.4-5) Thus the sacrificer of Vajapeya and the ascendance on the sacrificial post is elevated. Then the sacrificer touches the wheat. Wheat is food and one who sacrifices with Vajapeya obtains food (SB V 2.1.12-13). While the sacrificer is on the post, peasants(visah) throw bags on salt upto the sacrificer. Salt means cattle and cattle is food. One who sacrifices with Vajapeya, obtains food (SB V 2.1.16-17).

¹⁶ Gonda, Kingship, p.85 4.546; Meyer, Trilogie III.185.

In the process of elevation some texts prescribe that the great priests instead of peasants throw salt towards him(MS I.11.8).

Another popular rite is when the Vajasrts make quarrel. The purpose given is the obtainment of energy (indriva) (TB I.3.8.4-5).

The use of suragrahas can also be mentioned as another popular feature of the Vajapeya 17 and the comment that Sura is the best food of men (TB I.3.2-3) is obviously for elevating it.

About these popular features <u>Keith</u> remarks "in sacredotising the rite, the priests have still retained its
popular features which make its inclusion as a form of soma
sacrifice obviously secondary one"18. It is true that the
Vajapeya is "sacredotised" but the popular rites are not
only retained but also are elevated by the very fact that
they are included in a soma sacrifice i.e. the new Vajapeya
which is also described in high words. Again the popular
rites do not make the inclusion of Vajapeya in the soma
sacrifices, secondary one; for the inclusion was very essential
for the establishment of the Vajapeya and the fact seems
to be that not only the Vajapeya but also the popular rites are

¹⁷ Keith, VBYT, p.cxi.

¹⁸ Keith, VBYT, p. cx.

included in the soma sacrifice-system and the inclusion is not secondary but very important in the process of elevation.

Conclusion:

The various details collected above will lead to the following conclusions -

- (i) Vajapeya must have been popular fertility rite, an utsava, connected with obtaining plenty of food. 19
- (ii) During the process of development of the ritual the Vajapeya was included in the soma sacrifices. This was a kind of elevation in itself.
- (iii) In order to establish the Vajapeya firmly various efforts were made to elevate it.

¹⁹ Meyer, Trilogie, III. 184. "Die Vajapeya-feier 1 st mir ursprünglish, nach allen Anzeichnen, ein Fmchtbarkeits and Entefest - "

(C) Sautramani.

Introduction :

In the established seven haviryajnas (also called istis), the Sautramani has a place. But it seems that the Brahmana-texts must have tried their best for the firm establishment of the Sautramani in the list of sraut a sacrifices. It contains the popular feature, viz., the use of sura which is rarely used except in another sacrifice viz. Vajapeya which also obviously contains many popular features and is established and elevated by the Brahmana-texts. Now let us study the Sautramani and see how the Brahmana-texts have elevated it.

The objections on Sautramani removed :

In SB XII.9.3.7 we get the important statement that the Sautramani was, in the beginning among the Asuras (asuresu va eso'gre vajna asit sautramani). The sacrifice Sautramani, then being afraid of the Asuras went to the waters. The waters led the sacrifice forward. When the fore-offerings had been offered but the fire had not been carried round the oblations, the Asuras came after the Sautramani. By means of circumambient fire the Gods shut the way of the Asuras (SB XII.9.3.7ff). Here we know that the sacrifice was originally with the Asuras and then it came to the Gods.

This will perhaps reveal to us the original popular character of the Sautramani as well as the use of <u>sura</u> in it. There are objections to the use of <u>sura</u> and to the performance of Sautramani. But they are refuted by the Brahmanas by the device of elevation of these both.

"Supplied with sura indeed is this brahis-seated sacrifice, namely, the Sautramani", says SB XII.8.1.2. Thus the Brahmana-text has expressly said that the sura is the chief characteristics of the Sautramani. But XII.9.3.5 we get an objection raised on the use of sura. Balhika Pratipiya, the Kauravya King, expresses the objection before Sthapati Cakra who was performing the Sautramani for the sake of Dustaritu Paumsayana. He mentions that there is an objection against the offering of the sura either in the Ahavaniya fire, or in fact anywhere else than in the Ahavaniya fire. Sthapati Cakra said that he would not offer the sura in the Ahavaniya fire nor anywhere else than in the Ahavaniya. He further told that the offerings of the milk are to be made on the northern fire and those of the sura in the southern fire. Inasmuch as these two fires are taken from the Ahavaniya, they are Ahavaniyas; and inasmuch as they do not again reach the Ahavaniya, they are not Ahavaniyas; one thereby obtains both kinds of oblations, that which is offered on the Ahavaniya and that which is

offered not on the Ahavaniya (SB XII. 9.3.5ff). Thus the problem of sura offering is solved and though it is a popular feature it has not been removed while the Sautramani was brahmanised. 1 Not only the objection against the use of sura is removed and its use allowed in the Sautramani but it has been praised also. Thus we find that a view of the other Adhvaryus (most probably of TB school - I.8.6.2) is refuted by the SB XII.8.1.6. Thus those Adhvaryus hire some rajanya or vaisya for the purpose of drinking the remnants of the sura. But according to the SB school this is not to be done. "For somadrink falls to the share of the fathers and grantfathers of whosoever drinks the sura on this occasion". Thus we see how the drinking of the sura in the Sautramani is elevated. Here the SB has also prescribed the drinking of it by oneself and not hiring anybody else for drinking it. Sura is here more than the substitute of soma. SB XII.8.1.16 describes the sura as "purified" (puta) i.e. pure and by means of it soma is purified. Thus here the sura is more pure than soma. In this way the sura is elevated

There is some discussion as to whether this sacrifice should or should not be performed (SB XII.8.1.17)SB.XII.8.3.21

l Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.369, n.; cf. also Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.159; Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I.173.

an objection is raised: "One who is consecrated by the Sautramani surely moves away from this world." But this is removed and it is said that one should descend again upon the blackantelope skin; the black-antelope skin is the sacrifice and one thus establishes himself on the sacrifice. It will be seen here how there are doubts, objections, etc., in the case of the Sautramani. The Brahmana-texts have removed them and tried to establish the Sautramani. They also elevate the Sautramani in various ways.

The principal significance of the Sautramani:

The Sautramani has 'curing' as its principal significance. Indra was cured by the deities like Asvins, Sarasvati etc. and thus this aspect of curing has been mentioned very often.

Indra hated Visvarupa, the son of Tvastr and cut down his heads. Tvastr became angry when his son was thus slain. He performed a soma-sacrifice without Indra. Indra seeing this, even uninvited consumed the pure (soma) in the soma tub. But that soma-juice hurt him and flowed away in all directions from his vital airs, except from his mouth. Being thus purged by soma, he walked about as one totterring. Asvins cured him by means of this offering. The Gods then said, "Ah! These two have saved him, the well-saved (sutrata). Hence the name Sautramani (sutratam batainam atrasatam tasmat sautramani nama") (SB V.5.4.lff; cp. SB I.6.3.7; AII.8.3.lff; GB II.5.6). TB I.8.6.lff does not refer to this story of Indra

and Tvastr's son. It mentions the story of Indra's valour going away and the story has some minor similarities. SB XII.7.1.1ff we have the story of Indra and Tvastr's son in a different way. Indra killed Vasvarupa the son of Tvastr. Twastr performed an incantation rite. He performed a somasacrifice without Indra. Indra consumed the soma. He went asunder then and his valour went away. He, then was seen by Namuci. He seized the valour of Indra by means of the sura. Gods asked Asvins and Sarasvatii to restore Indra and they did accordingly. They took the valour from Namuci and restored it to Indra ... Thus we see here that Indra is cured by the deities that are given offerings in the Sautramani. SB V.5.4.13ff tells that by means of this Sautramani one who has been purged by soma can be cured. Bloomfield2 describes the Sautramani as a deliberate copy of a mystic process, the healing of Indra by Asvins after the excessive soma-drinking. As the curing is connected with the expiations, Sautramani can be viewed as possessing an expiative character3 also.

^{2 &}lt;u>Bloomfield</u>, <u>JAOS</u>, XV ("The story of Indra and Namuci" p.143ff) p.150.

³ Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I.p.59; the same, Change and Continuity, p.359.

The process of elevation of the Sautramani :

i) Change in results:

As we have mentioned above the Sautramani which was taken from the popular ritual was given the form of brahmanical srauta sacrifice. The Brahmana-texts elevate this Sautramani rite. Thus the expiative curing character is changed by the Brahmana-texts. SB XII.7.2.2 mentions that one who womits the soma has to perform the Sautramani. But here a mystical sense is given to the word soma-vami ("one who vomits the soma" - the literal meaning). Thus we read - "The Soma juice is the Brahmana's food; it is not owing to Soma when a Brahmana vomits soma and he who vomits soma is one who whilst being fit to (gain) prosperity does not get prosperity, and who whilst being fit to (gain) cattle does not gain cattle; for soma is cattle". Thus the results of the Sautramani then would be to get prosperity and cattle. SB XII.9.1.17 tells us that by performing the Sautramani one gets offspring cattle, and the heavenly world. SB AII.8.2.1f we are told that after performing a soma sacrifice one should perform the Sautramani. "For one who performs the soma-sacrifice becomes empty as it were. His prosperity has been taken away then ... One becomes filled with offspring and cattle and establishes himself firmly in the heavenly world". According to SB XII.8.3.17

the performer of the Sautramani gets food in all directions. SB XII.7.3.1.lff we read the story in which Indra defeats Namuci who is described as the evil and hateful enemy of Indra. Then SB XII.7.3.4, we are told that one who has enemy may sacrifice with the Sautramani. He then kills that evil and hateful enemy and obtains his energy and valour. The sacrificer consecrated by the Sautramani becomes the highest (<u>śrestha</u>) among his own people (ŚB XII.8.3.2; cp. ŚB XII. 8.2.28; GB II.5.6.7). He becomes famous (ŚB XII. 8.3.1). The sacrificer of the Sautramani obtains the seasons (ŚB XII.8.2.33ff). He obtains the year (ŚB XII.8.2.31). He obtains long life (ŚB XII.9.1.11). He obtains immortality (ŚB XII.9.1.7ff). He obtains all (ŚB XII.8.2.31; 36).

ii) Changes in the form of the Sautramani :

a) isti and pasubandha both :

The popular feast including the <u>sura</u> drink and of expiative purifying nature has been changed by the Brahmanatexts into an important Haviryajna of the "classes". But the efforts of artificial nature for giving soma high place to this sacrifice by attributing both the characteristics of an animal sacrifice and of a haviryajna (<u>-isti</u>) are quite apparantly seen in the Brahmana-texts. The Sautramani is both an <u>isti</u> and an animal sacrifice (pasubandha). Therefore,

there is a mare with a foal as dakṣiṇā in the Sautrāmaṇīsacrifice. For such a mare produces both the horse and the
mule; and the Sautrāmaṇī is both an iṣṭi and an animalsacrifice (ŚB XII.7.2.21) Mention has been made of the
Sautrāmaṇī as both an iṣṭi and an animal sacrifice at ŚB XII.
7.2.12 also. But there the Sautrāmaṇī is also identified with
soma, which we shall study in the next paragraph.

b) Sautramani as a Somayajna :

The nature of the Sautramani as a soma-sacrifice is described in the following discussion. Suplan Sarnjaya asked, "Seeing that neither does one become consecrated nor are soma-shoots thrown down to be pressed; how then does the Sautramani become a Soma-sacrifice ?" The answer is given by Pratidarsa Aibhavata - The observance of fast is the head of the sacrifice and the consecration is its body. The truth is of the form of the fast-observation and faith that of the consecration. When the sacrificer enters upon the fast-observance, he thereby restores the head of the sacrifice and the Adhvaryu puts the truth into the faith and the sacrificer into the sacrifice. Therefore, at the Sautramani, the fast observation is the consecration. The material of the Sautramani represents essentials of the somasacrifice. The malted rice is of the form of the morning pressing, the malted barley is of the form of the midday pressing and the fried rice is of the form of the thirdpressing (SB XII.8.2.3ff). SB XII.7.2.12 we find that the

Sautramani is first said to be an isti and animal sacrifice (pasubandha) both and then it is further identified with soma. Thus there we read - some sacrificers buy the malted rice from a eunuch saying, "that is that" (=eunuch is equal to the Sautramani). For the eunuch is neither woman nor man; and the Sautramani is neither an offering (isti) nor an animal sacrifice (pasubandha)". This view (which is somewhat similar to TB I.8.5.3-4) is refuted further. The reason given is that the Sautramani is both an offering and an animal sacrifice. "Eunuch is something incomplete among the men. They who do thus(as mentioned above) place incompletencess into the very mouth (opening) of the sacrifice. Therefore, that practice is not to be followed. Rather a vendor of soma is to be bought. For the Sautramani is soma. He thus puts a form of soma into the very mouth of the sacrifice so as to secure the sacrifice". At the end of the Sautramani, Avabhrtha is to be performed. "For they go for Avabhrtha bath after sacrificing with the soma. The Sautramani is the soma". (SB XII.9.2.1). SB XII.8.2.21 says that the Sautramani is manifestly (pratyaksat) a somasacrifice. Thus the Brahmana-texts try to connect the Sautramani with soma. 4 For, connecting a rite with soma is a very important device employed by the Brahmana-texts to elevate any sacrifice.

⁴ For this cf. Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, I, p.489f.

In this connection it may be added that the Sautramani in the form called "Caraka" has been included in the Rajasuya sacrifice. The other which is to be called "Kaukila" kind is performed separately. These names are not used in the Brahmana-texts; they are later names. But the Brahmana-texts have themselves included the Sautramani in the Rajasuya which is a soma sacrifice in itself and also contains some other soma sacrifices like Dasapeya etc. This connection with the Rajasuya is also a kind of elevation of the Sautramani.

11

iii) Sautramani - a sacrifice of the Brahmanas :

We have seen that the Sautramani must have been originally a popular rite. But in the process of elevation it is described as the sacrifice of the Brahmanas (Brahmanayajna) (ŚB XII.9.1.1).

iv) Connection with Prajapati and Gods :

In order to elevate any sacrificial rite the Brahmanatexts connect it with Prajapati. Thus the origin of the
Sautramani is traced to Prajapati. "Prajapati created the
sacrifice and performed it. After performing it he thought
himself to be empty. He saw this sacrificial rite, viz., the
Sautramani and sacrificed with it. Then he became filled
again." "Thus the origin is ascribed to Prajapati. We have
mentioned how the Sautramani is said to be among the Asuras

in the beginning. But ŚB V.5.4.14 it is said, "This offering namely the Sautramani is created by the Gods (devassta va esestih yat sautramani). Thus we see that the Brahmana-texts are praising and elevating the Sautramani. By calling it aindra i.e. "belonging to Indra" the Brahmana-texts mean to indicate praise and elevation (cf. ŚB XII.8.2.24; 3.25; KB XVI.10; GB II.5.7).

Conclusions:

- 1. The Sautramani must have been originally a popular rite consisting of drinking sura etc. and having curing and expiative nature.
- 2. The Brahmana-texts have elevated this rite, removed the objections to it and evolved a separate rite in the Śrauta-ritual. They also included (a kind of) it in the Rajasuya.
- 3. In order to establish this rite, it is highly praised and elevated in various manners.

(D) Asvamedha

Introduction:

The stories of the vicissitudes of animals used for sacrificing mention the horse to have been an animal worthy to be sacrificed at one period (AB II.8; SB I.2.3.6f). The remnant of that period can be seen in the Asvamedha i.e. the horse-sacrifice. This sacrifice contains many popular rites, which appear to have been elevated by the Brahmanatexts. The Brahmana-texts have made serious efforts to elevate the Asvamedha sacrifice as a whole. They have removed the objections against the Asvamedha; then they have shown its importance, and finally they have been successful in establishing it firmly in the Srauta-ritual.

Objections against the Asvamedha are removed -

We find expressions like "The prosperity, royal sway departs from him who sacrifices with the Aśvamedha;" (ŚB XIII. 1.5.1, 1.6.3; TB III. 9.7.1; 14.1). Thus, it seems that there were some persons who opposed the performance of the Aśvamedha. In order to preserve the prosperity and royal sway, there are two men (one Brāhmaṇa and the other Rājanya) who play on lute and sing in praise of the sacrificer (ŚB XIII.1.5.1ff; TB III.9.14.1) In ŚB XIII.2.6.3 another objection is raised. "Indeed, lustre, energy, cattle and

cp. Keith, RPV, p.476.

prosperity depart from him who offers the Asvamedha." This objection is removed in the following way. At the time of the anointing of the horse the verses used are in the Gayatri, the Tristbh and the Jagati metres. The Gayatri is identical with the lustre, the Tristubh is identical with the energy and the Jagati is identical with the cattle. The anointing is done by the three wives which are identical with the prosperity. Thus the lustre, Energy, cattle and the prosperity are preserved (SB XIII.2.6.4ff; TB III.9.4.6-7) In SB XIII, 2.6.9 TB III.9.5.1 further objection is raised. "The lustre and brahman-splendour depart from him who offers the Asvamedha." This objection is removed in the following way. "The Hotr and the Brahman engage themselves in a brahmodya. The Hotr is connected with Agni and the Brahman with Brhaspati, who is brahman. Thus both the lustre and brahman-splendor are placed upon him." Further objection is that the life and the deities depart from him who use the impure speech in the course of a sacrifice. By means of the recitation of the verse "Dadhikravno akarisam (RV IV.39.6 etc.), they purify their speech so that the deities do not depart from them (SB XIII. 5.2.10). TB III. 9.7.4 mentions that the vital airs (prana) go away from those who speak impure speech. The above mentioned rc which contains the word surabhi helps to preserve the vital airs. For the vital airs are surabhi. In TB III. 9.6.1 also a similar objection is raised that the

vital airs (prana) go away from those who perform the Asvamedha sacrifice. There are the oblations to Prana and Apana at the time of slaughtering of the horse. So that the vital airs are placed very well and do not go away. The same objection of departure of vital airs is raised in the case of fanning the dead horse. Those who fan, from them the vital airs depart. They should move round the horse nine times. The vital airs are nine. They thus place the vital airs in them (TB III.9.6.2f). At TB III.9.9.3 we are told that the performer of the Asvamedha becomes devoid of cattle. He should, therefore, offer chagala, kalmasa, kikidivi and vidigaya animals to Tvastr and then he prospers thereby with cattle. TB III. 9.4.2 mentions an objection of some, according to whom, evil (enemy) comes unto one who sacrifices with the Asvamedha. This objection is removed by saying that the dog after being killed is to be placed under the hoof of the horse. The horse is the possessor of thunderbolt and belongs to Prajapati. Thus by means of that, the thunderbolt, the evil enemy is removed. The performer of the Asvamedha is also said to be devoid of the seasons. But this objection is removed by saying that one should offer "victims of season" (rtupasus) namely " three reddish brown ones for spring; three dappled ones for summer, three piebald for the rains, three dappled for autumn; three with dapplled thighs for winter three smeared over for the cool

season ... "TS V. 623.1). When these victims are offered, the sacrifice endows himself with the seasons (TB III.9.9.3).

In this manner, we see that there were many objections raised against the performance of the Asvamedha. But the Brahmana-texts have removed them all and thus established the Asvamedha firmly. The reason for these objection seems to be that there are many queer and popular rites e.g. killing the dog, intercourse of the royal queens with the horse, obscene dialogue etc. But the Brahmana-texts have elevated all these rites along with the elevation of the Asvamedha sacrifice as a whole. They have given a high place to the Asvamedha and established it into the Srauta-ritual along with its popular rites.

The original nature of the Asvamedha:

Originally the Asvamedha appears to have been meant for the celebration of victory, and a rite done for preservation of it. This aspect is found preserved even in its elevated srantform. The horse is left to wander for a year and for the protection of it armed men are employed (SB XIII-1.6.3; 4.2.5; cp TB III.8.9.4) SB XIII-4.1.2 calls the Asvamedha <u>Ksatriyayajna</u>. The Asvamedha is to be performed by a powerful king and if any weak king or sacrificer performs this sacrifice he becomes defeated (SB XIII-1.6.3; TB III-8.9.4) Thus it will be seen that a king is expected to

^{2 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p.260 "... it is ... an offering to secure maintenance of the success arrived at"

perform this sacrifice in order to celebrate his victory. In this victory-celebration all the people have interest and it seems that because of this there are many popular rites in the Asvamedha. Some of them are of the nature of fertility rites and they are performed for the sake of common welfare of all the population. The prayer which is made in the Asvamedha shows how popular interests also are expected to be fulfilled by means of this sacrifice. Thus the prayer runs- "In the priestly office (brahman) may the Brahmana be born endowed with the brahman-lustre .. In the royal order may the Rajanya be born, heroic, skilled in archery, sure of his mark, and a mighty car-fighter .. the milch-cow ... the draught ox .. the swift racer .. the well favoured woman .. the victorious warrior .. the blitheful youth .. May a hero be born unto this sacrificer .. May Parjanya rain for us whensoever we list ... May our fruitbearing plants ripen ... May security of possession be assured for us ... " (SB XIII.1.9.1ff; TB III.8.13.1ff). Here a desire is expressed for rains. Plants are expected to be ripened etc. Thus the common-welfare is expected. It seems, therefore, that the original victory-celebration ceremony became developed and many popular rites were included in it; and it became a great "national sacrifice" as Hillebrandt has described it. 3 The Brahmana-texts have

³ Hillebrandt, "National Opfer in Alt-Indien" in Festgruss an Otto von Böhtlingk, p.40.

elevated the popular rites and they have also elevated the Asvamedha by praising it in high words and established it in the srauta-ritual.

Some popular rites in the Asvamedha and their elevation :

There are some queer rites in the Asvamedha which most probably are of popular origin.

- (i) Killing of a four-eyed dog and plunging it under the feet of the horse is done in the Aśvamedha. This seems to be popular in its nature. This rite is elevated by saying that it is useful for removing the enemy of the sacrificer (ŚB XIII.1.29; TB III.8.4.1)4
- (ii) Playing upon the lute is one of the details included in the Asvamedha; and this is said to be intended for the preservation of prosperity and royal sway (SB XIII. 1.5.1ff; TB III.9.1.14ff).
- (iii) The horse is set free to move round at will for the whole year. During that year the pariplava legend is told. This is meant only for amusement and is of a popular nature. In the course of Pariplava legend magical tricks are also to be played which add to the amusement(cf.ŚB XIII 4.3.11)
- 4 For the four-eyed dog as an injurer of the fertility power and as a vegetation demon see Meyer, Trilogie,

 III. p.238f. Meyer understands this killing of the dog as a fertility rite.

This narration of the legend is elevated in the following words: In telling the pariplava-legend one tells all royalties, all regions, all vedas, all gods, all beings and whomsoever the hotr tells the pariplava-legend or whosoever even knows this, obtains all royalties etc., secures all the vedas and by gratifying the Gods he finally establishes himself on all beings (SB XIII.4.3.15).

- which is also possibly of popular origin. There are the questions and answers. Thus for example, "Who is it that wakes singly?" "The Sunwakes singly! "What is the remedy for cold?" "Agni is the remedy for cold" etc. It is clear that the questions and answers of this type are likely to have only a popular origin. But they are called Brahmodya (theological discussion) and are elevated. (For the brahmodya see SB XIII.2.6.9ff; 5.2.12ff; TB III.9.5.1ff). We are told that the purpose of this brahmodya is to get lustre and brahman splendour (SB XIII.2.6.9; TB III.2.5.1). In order to elevate the brahmodya it is said to be "obtainment of all of the speech" (sarvaptir va esa vacah yad brahmodya) and by means of this complete speech one gets all (SB XIII.5.2.22).
- (v) There is an intercourse between the dead horse and the chief queen. "When the foot-water is ready they cause the Mahisī to lie down near and cover up with the upper

cloth, with 'in the heaven ye envelop yourselves'. For indeed that is heaven where they immolate the victim. The Mahiṣī, having stretched out the genital organ of the horse puts it in the womb with the words 'May the vigorous male, the layer of seed, lay seed'. She says this for the sake of completeness of union (SB XIII.5.2.2; cp. XIII.2.8.5) The intercourse is believed in many parts of the world as a means of fertilizing. The horse is an agent of fertility blessings; and intercourse with him must have been a popular fertility rite. This rite has been elevated by the Brahmana-texts and included in the Asvamedha (of the Śrauta-ritual).

(vi) At the time of the intercourse there is an obsecendialogue between the priests and the wives of the sacrificers (SB XIII.2.9.1ff; 5.2.4ff; TB III.9.7.1ff).

The obsecen words which signify the "koitus in words" are useful for fertilization, and thus add to the fertility power of the Asvamedha. Meyer has remarked, "... geile Zoten bei Vegetations and Fruchtbarkeitsfesten unumgänglich nötig, religiöse Betätigung sind."

of Gonda, ALB, XXV (1961), p.90. cp. Meyer, Trilogie, III.247f.

⁶ cf. Meyer, Trilogie, III, p.240; cf. Johanson, Dhisana p.169

⁷ See Meyer, Trilogie, III, p.246; Johanson, Dhisana, p.116

⁸ Meyer, Trilogie, III, p.246

The Brahmana-texts, however, tried to remove the obscenity of the dialogue by interpreting the dialogue in a symbolic manner. They tried to show that the words in the dialogue help for obtaining prosperity and royal sway. Thus we read, "(The Udgatr says concerning the King's favourite wife)" 'Raise her upwards' (VS XXII.26). The Asvamedha is that prosperity, the royal sway; that prosperity, the royal sway he thus raises for him (the sacrificer) upward. 'Even as one taking a burden up a mountain' prosperity indeed, is the burden of the royal sway. That prosperity, royal sway, he thus fastens on him; he thus endows him with that prosperity, royal sway. 'And may the centre of her body prosper' - the centre of royal sway indeed, is the prosperity. Prosperity, food he thus lays into the very centre of royal sway. 'As one winnowing in the cool breeze' - the cool of royal sway indeed is security of possession: security of possession he procures for him. (The Adhvaryu addresses one of the attendant maids, VS XXIII.22) 'That little bird' - the little bird is the people - 'which bustles with the sound ahalak' - for the people indeed, bustle for (the behoof of) royal sway - 'thrusts the pasas into the cleft, and the dharaka devours it' - the cleft indeed, is the people and the pasas is the royal sway; the royal sway indeed presses hard on the people whence the wielder of the royal sway is apt to strike down people.

(The Brahman addresses the queen consort VS XXIII.24) 'Thy mother and father' - the mother indeed is this (earth) and the father yonder (sky) by means of these two he causes him to go to heaven; - 'mount to the top of the tree' - the top of royal sway, indeed, is prosperity : the top of the royal sway, prosperity he thus causes him to attain; - saying "I pass along" thy father passed his fist to and fro in the cleft' - the cleft is the people and the fist is royal sway; and royal sway indeed presses hard on the people; whence he who wields royal sway is apt to strike down people. (The Chamberlain addresses the King's fourth wife VS XXIII.30) 'when the deer eats the corn' - the grain (growing in the field) indeed is the people and the deer is royal sway : he thus makes the people to be food for the royal sway; whence the wielder of royal sway feeds on the people; - 'it thinks not of the fat cattle' - whence the king does not rear cattle: - 'when the Sudra woman is the arya's mistress, he seeks not riches that he may thrive' - hence he does not anoint the son of a Vaisya woman" (SB XIII.2.9.2ff; cp.TB III.9.7.1ff). It will be seen thus how the obscene words are interpreted by the Brahmana-texts in high and good meaning, and thus elevation is made. The Brahmana-texts themselves are aware of the real obscene meaning of the above words and, therefore, they prescribe a verse containing the word surabhi to be uttered afterwards as an expiation for the utterance of the obscene, impure words (SB XIII.2.9.9; 5.2.9; TB III.9.7.4)

(vii) There is another queer rite which is of probably popular origin namely the offering to Jumbaka. At the Avabhrtha of the Asvamedha, this offering is the last one. This is offered on the head of the white-spotted bald-headed man with produuding teeth, and reddish-brown eyes. This is the form of Varuna and one thus removes sacrifically Varuna (SB XIII.3.6.5: TB. III.9.15.2). Johanson and Meyer have elaborately shown that Varuna here is represented as a god of vegetation and death and removing Varuna means removing the difficulties in the fertilization. Thus this rite is ultimately useful for fertilization. It is quite likely as Weber 10 has suggested that the man might have been drowned in the water. But the Brahmana-texts have removed that part and avoided man-killing. They have also symbolically said that Varuna is removed by means of this offering and the Brahmanatexts have elevated this popular rite by giving it place in the ritual of the high type.

We thus saw the popular rites included in the Asvamedha. The Asvamedha, which is a celebration of the victory thus includes many rites for preserving the victory and prosperity gained. The fertility rites in the Asvamedha have, therefore,

⁹ Johanson, Dhisana, p.126ff; Meyer, Trilogie, p.253ff.

¹⁰ Weber, ZDMG, 18 (1864), p.268; Indische Streifen, I, p.63.

an important role in that direction. Johanson and Meyer¹¹ understand the Asvamedha as a fertility sacrifice; and their view is partially correct. The Asvamedha does contain many fertility rites. But that is not all, not even perhaps the primary aspect of it. It is first a victory-celebration and then a fertility rite. We are now going to see that the Asvamedha has been shown to product many other results also.¹²

Other results of the Asvamedha:

It is a favourite device of the Brahmana-texts to elevate a sacrificial rite by showing its connection with many results. Thus SB XIII.3.7.1ff and TB III.9.19.1ff give a long list of various results. Wherever this sacrifice is performed, everything is obtained; everything becomes distinct; everything becomes severed; everything becomes abounding in food; everything becomes sapful; the Brahmana is born as rich in brahman splender; the Rajanya is born as one excelling in hitting (the mark); a wide tract of forest-land will be

¹¹ Johanson, Dhisana, p.109, 115 etc; Meyer, Trilogie, III, p.240, 246, etc.

¹² For various results of the Asvamedha of Gonda Kingship, p.110f. Gonda has however very aptly remarked about the general nature of the Asvamedha (p.114) as follows "The Asvamedha therefore really was the most important manifestation of kingship". Our remark, about the original and main significance of the Asvamedha are similar to Gondo's observations in essence.

provided; everything becomes fit and proper; everything becomes firmly established. TB III.8.3.5 says that the sacrificer performing the Asvamedha supersedes all the beings. According to SB XIII.5.4.1 the performer of the Asvamedha removes all evil-doing, all brahman-salughter. The performer of the Asvamdeha wins all the directions (SB XIII.1.2.3). Some rites in the Asvamedha lead to the heavenly world. Thus for example there are the knife-paths to be prepared. Then it is remarked, "The sacrificer makes for himself that passage across, a bridge, for the attainment of the heavenly world" (SB XIII.2.10.1; cp.TB III.9.6.4). TB III.8.20.5 describes the offerings to Iluvarda and Balivarda which give life to the sacrificer and then it is remarked that the sacrificer of the Asvamedha dies old i.e. he does not die prematurely. The Asvamedha sacrifice is useful even to win the death, There are offerings to death in the course of the Asvamedha. One remeins death by offering these offerings (SB XIII.3.5.1: TB III.9.15.1). According to TMB XXI.4.3 the performer of the Asvamedha goes to the world with his own body. JB II.266 prescribes the Asvamedha for one who wants progeny. The Brahmanatexts often say that all desires are fulfilled by a particular rite when they want to praise and elevate the rite. Thus TB III.8.20.3 says that one gets all by performing the Asvamedha (cp.TB III.9.22.4; SB XIII.3.1.4; 3.3.6; 4.1.1; 10; 13; 2.2; 4.3.15; 5.1.4; 10; 14; 15; 2.9; 22; 3.9; 4.1.)

Connection with Prajapati :

In order to elevate a rite and establish it in the higher ritual the Brahmana-texts connect it with Prajapati. Thus Prajapati is often connected with the origin of the Asvamedha. Prajapati created the Asvamedha (SB XIII.1.41; 8.1; 2.5.1; TB III.8.11.1; 1.5.1; 9.1.1; 13.1; JB II.267). Elsewhere it is said that he desired to perform the Asvamedha (ŚB XIII.1.7.1; TB III.8.10.1). ŚB XIII 2.1.1 and TB III.8. 14.1 describe that Prajapati gave all the sacrifices to the Gods but reserved the Asvamedha for himself. The Gods said to him, "This is the sacrifice, viz., the Asvamedha. Let us have share in it." He created the Annahomas for them. Here a threefold elevation appears to have been arrived at. That the Asvamedha was reserved by Prajapati, this shows the special connection of the Asvamedha with Prajapati. Secondly, the Gods describe it as the sacrifice and we then know how importance is given to the Asvamedha. Thirdly, the desire of the Gods to have share in it shows how much even Gods were eager to have share in it. It is also easy to understand that the Asvamedha was a popular feast and the higher Gods had perhaps no place in it. But they got it in the process of the elevation which the sacrifice has been subjected to SB AIII.2.11.1 and TB III. 9.10.1 say that Prajapati saw two great grahas in the Asvamedha and became great. The Asvamedha is sometimes

identified with Prajapati (ŚB XIII.2.2.13; 4.1.15). TB III.8.16.1 says that one who performs the Aśvamedha desires to obtain Prajapati. TMB XXI.4.2.5; ŚB XIII 3.1.1 we read that one who performs the Aśvamedha makes Prajapati complete. Thus, it is clear that the Brahmana-texts have elevated the Aśvamedha by associating it with Prajapati.

Connection with Gods:

We saw above how Gods demanded share in the performance of the Aśvamedha (ŚB XIII.2.1.1; TB III.8.14.1). ŚB XIII.1.2.9 says that all the Gods are concerned in the Aśvamedha. ŚB XIII.3.1.1 we know that when Prajapati's eye was swollen and then fallen out, the Gods performed the Aśvamedha, and restored it to its place. ŚB XIII.4.4.11; 5.1.5 the Aśvamedha is identified with aditya. Thus the connection of Gods is established with the Aśvamedha for its elevation.

Connection with Soma-sacrificial institute:

The Asvamedha sacrifice has been included in the Somasacrificial system. It is considered as a Triratra sacrifice and TMB XXI.4.1ff and JB II.266ff describe it in the list of the Triratra-sacrifices. Its first day is catustomaagnistoma; the second day is ekavimsa-ukthya and the third day is sarvastoma-atiratra (TMB XXI.4.1). The Asvamedha is thus included and modified as a soma-sacrifice and thus

elevated. Connection of soma is one of the ways adopted by the Brahamanas to elevate popular ritual.

References to ancient performances :

In order to elevate the Asvamedha references to the ancient performances by great kings are given. But there were slight technical changes in each of the performances except the Asvamedha of Indrota-Daivapa-saunaka. Thus, for example, Para Atnara, the Kausalya, sacrificed with the Asvamedha consisting of the normal two first days and the third day as an Abhijit (SB XIII.5.4.4). Purukutsa Aiksvaka had the same two days and the third day a Visvajit (SB XIII.5.4.5) etc. Here we find that there are changes only in the arrangements of chants and then there are the differences. There are no differences as far as the "popular rites" in the Asvamedha are concerned. Thus the Brahmana-texts show how the Asvamedha has been performed by great persons and thus they elevate it.

Asvamedha sacrifice praised:

In order to elevate the Asvamedha it is praised variously. Thus it is called bull (vrsabha) of all the sacrifices (SB XIII.1.2.2; cp.TB III.8.3.3). TB III.8.1.1-2 calls it a wonderful (citra) activity. It is called the kind of all the sacrifices (SB XIII.2.2.1). It is also said

to be superseding all the sacrifices (SB XIII.5.1.9). SB XIII.3.7.1ff; TB III.9.19.1ff the Asvamedha is praised by the words prabhu (strengthful), vibhu(plenteous), vyasti (obtainment), vidhrti(distinction), vyavrtti(severence), urjasvan (food-abounding), payasvan(sapful), brahmavarasi (full of brahman-splendour), ativyadhī (excelling in hitting), dirgha (wide), klpti (fitness), and pratistha (firm foundation). In order to elevate the Asvamedha the knowledge of it has been made essential for a Brahmana by SB XIII.4.2.17. Because the Asvamedha is identical with everything not to know it means not to know anything. A Brahmana is asked about how much he knows of the Asvamedha. One who does not know of it is to be dispoiled; he is not a Brahmana. Thus the popular feast became an important subject of knowledge of the Brahmanas and is elevated thereby. The Brahmana-texts identify anything with "all(sarva)" in order to elevate it. The Asvamedha is also identified with all (SB XIII 3.2.4; 3.3.6; 4.1.5; 6; 10; 2.2; 5.1.4; 15; 3.9; 4.1).

Conclusion:

The Brahmana-texts have elevated the Asvamedha along with its popular rites. Though originally a popular feast of victory-celebration and fertility the Brahmana-texts have added many aspects to it, have connected with Prajapati, Gods, Soma-sacrificial-institute, made historical references, and have even praised it. And thus, the Brahmana-texts have

elevated, established it the srauta-ritual and have given a good status to it.

(E) Gosava

Introduction :

The Gosava sacrifice is a very curious sacrifice particularly because the sacrificer of the Gosava has to behave, as the rules expect, like a bull, and even to the extent of having sexual relations with his mother or sister. This aspect of the sacrifice with its details makes the sacrifice somewhat curious. The Brahmana-texts are not unanimous as far as the result of this sacrifice is concerned. But it is certain that the Brahmana-texts have adopted here some popular ritual and given it the form of a Śrauta-sacrifice and elevated it. Let us see now how this sacrifice has been elevated.

Gosava - its principal details1:

In this sacrifice both the Rathantara and Brhat Samans are applied (TMB XIX.13.5; JB II.113; TB II.7.6.2). This rite is throughout thirty-six-versed (TMB XIX.13.10; JB II. 113; TB II.7.6.1). It is of the Ukthya nature (JB II.113; TB II.7.6.1). The sacrificer has to observe following rules (vrata): He has to unite himself with his mother, sister and any woman of his own Gotra; drink water bending himself

¹ For Gosava see Gonda, The savayajnas, p. 15.

low, and answer the nature's call wherever he feels it (JB II.113). There are ten thousand (TMB XIX.13.6) or twelve thousand cows (JB II.113) to be given away as daksina.

Elevation of the Gosava-sacrifice :

The Gosava-sacrifice seems to be originally a popular fertility-rite. The bull is many a time regarded as a symbol of fertility. Wearing the hide of a bull is done in fertility rites. The Brahmana-texts, however, have given it the form of a soma-sacrifice. They have also changed its function. Thus they have elevated this popular rite of the masses to the position of a soma-sacrifice of the classes and established it as such. Now let us see in what way the Brahmana-texts have successfully done this work of elevation of the Gosava-sacrifice.

a) Gosava - a sthvirayajna :

The authors of the Brahmana-texts must have felt the abnormality of the vratas or the rules to be followed in the Gosava. Any kind of incest creats a sense of disgust in

Meyer, Trilogie, I, p.75. For wearing the appearances of various animal, e.g., bulls, goose, etc., in the fertility rites see p. 77f.

the mind of a man of culture and conscience. The rules in the Gosava-sacrifice allow sexual relations with the mother or the sister or any woman of one's own gotra, and this is certainly shocking. Some of the thoughtful minds must, therefore, have definitely felt the difficulties in performing these vratas. Thus JB II.113 tells us a story supporting this surmise - Janaka Vaideha wanted to perform sacrifice. He asked the Brahmanas about this sacrifice, e.g. its daksinas, its vratas, etc. Sudaksina, the son of Ksema, answered him that he would tell the daksinas but not the vratas. Then Janaka could not dare perform this sacrifice. This story tells us how some thinkers were rather reluctant even to tell the vratas of the Gosava and how performers like Janaka altogether avoided to perform the Gosava. JB I.113 tells another story. Punyakesa Yaudheni performed once this sacrifice. While he was in the gathering of the people, he had the nature's call. Denuding himself he said, "This sacrifice was certainly for old person. An old man should perform this. For an old man alone is allowed to do this". And the Brahmana-text also limits this sacrifice to an old man only. Thus the Brahmana-texts understand the difficulties in the performance of the Gosava. But still, mentioning its performance limited to an old man, the Brahmana-texts try to adopt this sacrifice in the srauta sacrificial system and establish it.

b) Gosava - a soma sacrifice :

As we have mentioned above, the Brahmana-texts have given the Gosava the form of a soma-sacrifice. It is of Ukthya-nature (JB II.113; TB II.7.6.1). Giving the form of a soma-sacrifice is in itself a kind of elevation. So that the popular nature of it has been removed and it has become a sacrifice of high classes.

c) High kinds of results :

The performer of the Gosava-sacrifice is said to obtain high results like obtaining svarajya (autocracy) (according to TMB & TB) and svarga (heaven). Thus TMB XIX.

13.1 says that the Gosava-sacrifice is "svarajya", i.e., for the sake of autocracy. There are both the Brhat and Rathantara samans; this is for the sake of svarajya (TMB XIX.13.5; TB II.7.6.2). Ten thousand cows should be given as dakṣiṇā in this sacrifice. For that is identical with the svarajya.

To svarajya comes he who knows thus (TMB XIX.13.6; cp. TB II.7.6.2). The sacrificer is consecrated by (pouring) fresh milk (over him). For this is identical with the svarajya.

To svarajya comes he who know thus (TMB XIX.13.7; TB II.7.6.2). His consecration takes place at the chanting of the Brhat, for that is identical with the svarajya ... (TMB XIX.13.8).

At the time of consecration the Adhvaryu uses following words,

"Revajjatah sahasa vrddhah ... and sends him to svarajya (TB II.7.6.3). Thus the TMB and TB mention the svarajya frequently as the result of the Gosava-sacrifice. The JB, however, mentions svarga (heaven) again and again as the result of Gosava. Thus it is said - "One who desires heaven should sacrifice with this (Gosava) (svargakamo haitena yajeta) (JB II.113). The Gosava is thirty-six versed. There are thirty-six syllables in Brhati and Brhati is identical with the heaven ... (JB II.113). One goes to the heaven by means of the stotra used in the Gosava as one would go anywhere by means of a horse bound fourfold (JB II.113). There are both the Brhat and Rathantara samans which are useful for obtaining the heaven (JB II.113). The Gosava is an Ukthya ... The offsprings and cattle are Uktha. The offsprings and cattle are identical with the heaven and this Ukthya-nature of the Gosava is, therefore, useful for obtaining heaven (JB II.113). There are twelve thousand cows as daksina; there are twelve months in the year. year is identical with the heaven; and this helps for obtaining the heaven (JB II.113). JB II.113 says that by observing the rules one wins the world of the ox (anaduho ha lokam jayati)? Thus the Brahmana-texts have mentioned high

^{3 &}lt;u>Caland</u>, <u>Das Jaiminiya-brahmana in Auswahi</u>, p. 157 translates this sentence - "Er erringt den Raum des

kinds of results of the Gosava-sacrifice and elevated it.

Conclusion :

Gosava, originally a popular fertility rite with queer rules has been adopted by the Brahmana-texts into the system of the śrauta-sacrifices. They have given it the form of a soma-sacrifice and established and elevated it.

f.n. 3 continued.

Zugstieres" and on p.158 in n.4 to this passage asks
"Welcher Raum ist gemeint?" Caland thus seems to be
puzzled here unnecessarily. Here the meaning is clear
- "He wins the world of the ox". cf. Caland himself
in another context - TMB XVIII.3.3. "He who knows
reaches the world of the ox (esa va anaduho lokam
apnoti ya evam veda) Caland Pancavimsa-brahmana
(translation) p. 478.

(F) Mahavrata

Introduction:

In connection with the Mahavrata there are many popular rites and the rites along with the Mahavrata are elevated in the Brahmana-texts. Keith has rightly remarked that the Brahmanas have seized upon the popular Mahavrata and made it their own by an accumulation of purely technical ritual. Friedlander has previously to Keith said that the ceremonies of the Visuvat-day are transferred to the Mahavrata-day to help to wipe out the popular character of that rite. Though Keith does not agree with Friedlander in connection with the Mahavrata completely we may support Firedlander as far as he has recognised the efforts of the vedic texts to remove the popular nature of the Mahavrata and to give it an important place in the Śrauta-ritual.

Mahavrata as a fertility rite :

The Mahavrata is understood by many modern scholars

¹ Keith, Aitareya Aranyaka, p. 27f; cp. also Gonda "Ursprung und Wesen des indischen Dramas", AO, 19 (1943) p. 347.

^{2 &}lt;u>Friedlander</u>, <u>Der Mahavrata-abschnitt des Śankhayana</u>
Aranyaka, p. 2, n. 5.

like Hillebrandt, Oldenberg, etc., as a sun-magic. Generally all modern scholars agree upon the fertility nature of this rite also. The Brahmana-texts have connected with this rite many results in order to elevate it, but they have not removed the traces of fertility rite in it. There is a difference of opinion about the exact day of the year which is to be performed as the Mahavrata-day. TMB IV.10.3f we read the discussion about this. "Regarding this", they say, "in the middle of the year it is to be undertaken; in the middle (of the body: in the stomach) the food which has been eaten is restored. "Regarding this", they (others) say, "if they undertake (it) in the middle of the year, they reach the (one) half of the food; but lose the other. At the end of the year eve, it is to be undertaken, for in the (course of the) year all food ripens (cp. JB II.410.; TB I.2.6.2). Here

³ cf. Hillebrandt, Die Sonnwendfeste in alt-Indien
(Romanische Forschungen, V, Erlangen 1890), p.299;
Qldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.44f; 506; Hauer, Vratya
p.246ff; Gonda, AO, 19, p.346f; the same, Religionoen
Indiens, I, p.16l, the same, Brahmavidya (ALB) XXV (1961)
p.78.

cf., e.g., Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, 444f; 507;
Keith, Śańkhwyana-Āranyaka, p. 79ff. Johanson, Dhisana
p. 36ff; Gonda, AO, 19, p. 347.

the Mahavrata is directly connected with the food. Similarly, TMB IV.10.2 says, "Prajapati verily is the great (mahan), his food even is this Vrata (rite)". Here also the connection of food is clear. The Mahavrata-day is a twenty-four-versed (day); the year is twenty-four-fold; food is twenty-fifth ... Because they bring together the food after a year, this twenty-fifth (stotriya verse) comes into existence (TMB IV. 10.5ff; JB II.414; TB I.2.6.1-2). For the Mahavrata laud the Rajana saman is to be made. The Rajana (saman) is directly identical with the food; it is five-fold; for food is five-fold (TMB V.2.6-7), etc. There is the Brahmasaman and it has five finales; for food is fivefold (TMB V.2.13). The priest udgatr sings after having ascended the seat made up of udumbara wood. The udumbara is identical with the food: therefore, it is for the sake of obtaining food (JB II.406; TB I.2.6.5). There is further the use of the swing. priest hotr recites after being seated on the swing. swing is identified with the mahas (festival) and then it is said that this leads to the obtainment of merriment and food and nourishment (TB I.2.6.6; cp. TMB V.5.9f and JB II. 418 for the use of the swing by the hotr). The swing has thus connection with the food and, therefore, with the fertility. Thus many rites in the Mahavrata can be seen to be of

⁵ For mahas as festival see Gonda, JOIB VIII (1958-59) p.241.

the fertility nature.

There are some other fertility rites in the Mahavrata of a different kind. There, they are connected with generation. Thus there is a union of a magadha-man and a woman of bad behaviour. It is for the sake of pairing and generation (mithunatvaya prajananaya). TB I.2.6.2 says that the Mahavrata is to be performed at the end of the year for the sake of generation (prajanana). AB IV.14 we read "The Mahavrata is the Caturvimsa; by means of the Brhaddiva (hymn), the hotr pours seed on this day; it on that day with the Mahavrata day he propagates". Here also the connection of the Mahavrata and generation is clearly maintained. Thus we see that the Mahavrata according to the Brahmanas is helpful for getting food and for generation. Thus it is connected with the two aspects of fertility.

Other results of the Mahavrata:

Though the Mahavrata must have been a popular fertility rite and the Brahmana-texts also recognise its connection with the obtainment of food and generation, these texts have attached some other results also to the rites done in the Mahavrata. Thus the obtainment of speech (vac) is the result of some actions in the Mahavrata ceremony. By means of the use of the various lutes, e.g., kakari, alabu, vakra, etc.,

and by means of the use of the earth-drum all the speeches are obtained (cf. JB II.404; TMB V.5.18ff). The earth-drum is covered with the hide of a bull. The bull is the highest kind of speech and this is done for winning the highest kind of speech (JB II.404). Obtainment of the highest sap is another result. The midens say, "This is sweet ! This is sweet !" Sweet indeed is done unto the gods, viz., the Mahavrata. There is the highest sap in the honey; for the sake of obtaining the highest sap. (JB II.405). Thus the highest sap is obtained. The maidens go round the marjaliya with full jars; for the sake of obtaining fullness (JB II.405) Thus fullness is obtained by means of Mahavrata. TMB V.6.15 connects the utterence of "sweet" and going round to the marjaliya to the obtainment of the heaven. There is, the race in the Mahavrata-ceremony which is elsewhere (e.g. in the Vajapeya) famous for its fertility-bringing nature. JB II. 405 says that the race is the highest festival (mahas) and it is done for obtaining the highest festival. There is a lute with a hundred strings to be played upon. "The man has hundred years as his life; a hundred sense-powers, a hundred valours; for the obtainment of that sense-power; that valour (JB II.404; see JB II.418; TMB V.1.12f). There is an abuse

For the ritual function of the abuses see Meyer, Trilogie,
III, 318 under "Schmähung"; Gonda, AO, 19, p.352f. For

⁻ continued

and praise of the sacrificers, by a sudra and an aryan man respectively. This is surely a popular element and about it, it is said by JB II.404 that one who abuses, purifies and one who praises gives sense-power and valour to those who are purified. TMB V.5.13 says that he who abuses them, drives away their evil and he who praises, appreciates what by them has been well-chanted and well-recited. Thus the popular element is elevated by attaching some other results to it. We find in this way various results mentioned in connection with the Mahavrata for the sake of its elevation.

Connection with the Soma-sacrifice :

Though the Mahavrata-ceremony contains many popular rites it has been connected with the soma-sacrifice like the Sattra or the sacrificial session and this connection has elevated the standard of the Mahavrata ceremony.

Connection with the Gods :

For the elevation of the Mahavrata, the Brahmana-texts have connected it with the Gods. Thus it is the Gods who have created the Mahavrata from Prajapati according to SB

this rite connected with fertility see Keith, Śankhayan Aranyaka, p. 79f.

f.n.6 continued.

XII.1.2.3 and GB I.4.9. TMB IV.10.1 we read, "Prajapati created the creatures. He was emptied out and fell down. To him the Gods altogether came up; they said : 'let us bring together a mighty (fast) food (mahadvratam) that they will restore him'. For him they brought together what food ripens during a year; that they reached him, that he partook of, that restored him. 'Mighty, foresooth, is that food that has braced him'. Therefore, the name Mahavrata". "Here also the Gods are connected with the origin of the Mahavrata. TB I.2.6.1 tells us a story of Prajapati in which Gods cured him and then Prajapati became great (mahan) and the TB then explains the word Mahavrata on this basis. JB II.409 also tells us a story in which the Gods brought the pith of the regions, of the wafers, and of the food. Then they exclaimed "We bring food (vratam) to the great one (mahate). Thus here also the connection of Gods is seen. JB II.409 says "Therefore, they become pleased on this day; for the Gods pleased on this day". Thus there is the connection with the Gods which elevates the Mahavrata-rite.

Connection with Prajapati:

The Brahmana-texts have connected the Mahavrata rite with Prajapati and elevated it. Thus as we have seen above the Gods created the Mahavrata from Prajapati (SB XII.1.2.3;

GB I.4.9). The other stories of origin of the Mahavrata which are referred to above also show the connection with Prajapati. SB XII.1.3.21 we read, "When they enter upon the Mahavrata, they indeed offer sacrifice to the deity Prajapati. They become the deity Prajapati and attain the fellowship and co-existence with Prajapati". The connection of Mahavrata with Prajapati elevates it.

Conclusion:

The Mahavrata seems to be originally a popular with fertility which was adopted in the Śrauta-ritual but the traces of its fertility nature are still maintained in it.

The Brahmana-texts elevate the rite by connecting it with the soma-sacrificial system, with the Gods and with Prajapati. Thus the Mahavrata-rite is an adopted rite and the Brahmana-texts have established it in the Śrauta-ritual and elevated it.

Chapter - VI

SACRIFICES AND ANCILLARY RITES

(A) Sacrifices

Introduction :

The Brahmana-texts describe various sacrifices and explain them. The Brahmana-texts also explain the mantras used in the sacrificial rites. The sacrifices found in the Brahmana-texts are really numerous and within the limitations we have accepted, we cannot describe and consider all the sacrifices in the Brahmana-texts. We shall, however, take into consideration the classification and general nature of the sacrifices described by the Brahmana-texts. Then we shall take into consideration the ancillary rites, so that we may be able to understand the way of description and explanation of the sacrifices in the Brahmana-texts.

(i) Classification of the sacrifices:

GB I.5.25 mentions that there are seven Somayajnas (sutyas) seven Pakayajnas and seven Haviryajnas. These twenty-one together with any new sacrifices that may be, are all performed by Angirases (sapta sutyah sapta ca pakayajna haviryajnah sapta tathaikavimsatih sarve te yajnah angiraso'pi yanti nutana yan rsayo srjanti ye ca srstah puranaih). Earlier

cp. for the meaning of api + \(\int \) see Caland, Aldinsches Zauberritual, Wiesbaden 1967 (reprint), p. 18, n.2.

to this GB I.5.23 has mentioned in details these twentyseven sacrifices. Thus in the first group, (the Pakayajnas) following sacrifices are included.

Sayampratarhomau sthalipako navas ca yah balis ca
pitryajnas castaka saptamah pasuh ityete pakayajnah Thus (1) Evening offering (2) Morning offering (3) Sthalipaka
(4) Baliharana (5) Pitryajna (6) Astaka (7) Pasu: - These are
the seven Pakayajnas.

Agnyadheyam agnihotram paurnamasyamavasye navestiś caturmasyani paśubandho'tra saptamah ityete haviryajnah.

(1) Agnyadheya (2) Agnihotra (3) Paurnamasi (4) Amavasya (5) Navesti (agrayana) (6) Caturmasyas (7) Fasubandha: -These are seven Haviryajnas.

Agnistomo'tyagnistoma ukthyah sodasimamstatah vajapeyo'tiratras captoryamatra saptamah ityete sutyah -

(1) Agnistoma (2) Atyagnistoma (3) Ukthya (4) Sodaśi (5) Vajapeya (6) Atiratra (7) Aptoryama: - There are seven Somayajnas.

The great are called samsthas. JUB I.6.2.4 refers to seven (soma) samsthas and thus uses the word samstha in this sense. Altareya-Aranyaka classifies the sacrifices into five

classes. "This sacrifice is five-fold viz., Agnihotra, Darsapurnamasau, Caturmasyani, Pasu and Soma (II.3.3 - sa esa ya jnah pancavidho'gnihotram darsapurnamasau caturmasyani pasuh somah). This classification appears at the first sight to be without any principle. But if we see TB II.3.6. 1-3, there we get indirectly this same classification and it is there based on the number of the priests. Thus it is told there that Prajapati after having created the beings lay down reduced to the heart only. He called for his body once. The waters listened to this and came with Agnihotra and gave the body with head to him. Therefore, in the Agnihotra there is only one priest. Then he called for four times. This was listened by Agni, Vayu, Aditya and Candramas. They came with Darsa and Purnamasa sacrifices. They gave him four limbs; therefore, there are four priests in the Darsa and Purnamasa sacrifices. Then he called for five times and beasts listened to this and came with the Caturmasya sacrifices. They gave him hair, skin, flesh, bones and marrow - these five bodily elements. Therefore, there are five priests in the Caturmasya-sacrifices. Then he called for six times. The seasons listened and came with the Pasubandha. They gave him six limbs. Therefore, there are six priests in the Pasubandha. He then called for seven times. Hotras listened to this. They came with the somasacrifice and gave the seven vital airs on the head to Prajapati. Therefore, there are seven Hotpriests facing to the east who call vasat in the somasacrifice. Thus the TB has already suggested the fivefold classification of the sacrifices on the basis of the priests and the Aitareya-Tanyaka has the same classification without other details.

The Brahmana-texts deal with the sacrifices which are either of the Haviryajna-nature or the Somayajna-nature.

They hardly consider the Pākayajnas. They seem, nevertheless aware of the Pākayajnas. Thus ŚB I.8.1.7 mentions that Manu being desirous of obtaining offspring has offered the Pākayajnas. ŚB IV.5.1.13 says, "In the fire all the sacrifices are offered; those which are Pākyajnas and the others".

Earlier, ŚB I.4.2.10 in a similar tone "Through this (fire) they lead all the Pākayajnas and other (sacrifices) forward".

AB. III. 40 tries to show that all the Pākayajnas are included in the Agnistoma because in it Ida is invoked and the Pākayajnas have the form of Idā.

In the classification given by the GB (see above) the Agnihotra is listed among the Haviryajnas and in the other literature (cf. e.g. Gautamadharmasutra VIII.20) also this view is preserved. SB II. 3.1.21, however, quotes an opinion of Yajnavalkya according to whom the Agnihotra is a Pakayajna. "It (the Agnihotra) must not be looked upon as a (havis) sacrifice, but as a Pakayajna, for while in any

any other (havis) sacrifice, he pours into the fire all that he cuts off (from the sacrificial dish and puts) into the offering spoon - here, after offering and stepping outside he sips water and licks out (the milk); and this indeed (is a characteristic) of the Pakayajna."

tion of the sacrifices in connection with the Caturmasyasacrifices. "By means of the Haviryajnas the Gods obtained this world. By means of the sacrifices with animals they gained the intermediate region. By means of the somasacrifices they won the yonder world" (The Caturmasya-sacrifices are also of these three kinds, viz., the Haviryajna, those with animal-sacrifices and those as soma-sacrifices. So by means of the Caturmasya-sacrifices one gets the three worlds - see Sayana's Commentary). Thus here three kinds of sacrifices, viz., the Haviryajnas the animal-sacrifices and the soma-sacrifices are mentioned.

ii) The names of the sacrifices :

We have given above the classifications of the sacrifices as found in the Brahmana-texts. Let us now see how the sacrifices are named.

Names connected with the result :

Names of some sacrifices are indicative of the result which is obtained by performing them. The person who wants to

get honour, worship etc. (apaciti) should perform the sacrifice named Apaciti (TMB XIX.8.1). JB II.100 tells us three stories. Prajapati created the beings. When created they did not honour him. He desired to obtain honour(apaciti) from them. Then he saw this sacrifice and performed it.

Then the beings honoured (apacayan) him. Another story is of Indra. Gods did not honour Indra. He went to Prajapati and told that the Gods were not honouring him. Prajapati gave him this sacrifice. Indra performed it and then the Gods honoured him. The third story is of the king Darbha Śataniki. Pancalas did not honour him. He had two Brahmanas named Ahinas Aśvatthi and Keśi Satyakami. They performed the Apaciti sacrifice for him and then he got honour(apaciti). Thus here the name of the sacrifice Apaciti is closely connected with the result.

The sacrifice named Vighana is useful for killing away (vi-han) the enemy. Thus Indra desired, "May I kill away my evil enemy". He saw this Vighana and killed away his evil enemy (TMB XIX.18.1). TMB XIX.19.1, JB II.141 and TB II.7.18.1 have different stories but the connection of the name Vighana and "killing away" is present there also. Thus TMB XIX.19.1 we read "Indra was persecuted by ungodly illusions. He resorted to Prajapati. Prajapati gave him that Vighana. By means of it he (Indra) killed away all his

enemies." JB II.141 tells us that Prajapati created Indra. Indra wanted to be superior. By means of the Vighanasacrifice all his enemies were killed away. Therefore, Vighana has its name. TB II.7.18.1 we know that Indra was not being honoured by his own people - Maruts. He then saw and performed the Vighana sacrifice. Then he killed away the opposition. This is why Vighana has its name. Thus we find that the sacrifice Vighana is named after its result viz. killing away (the enemies etc.)

connected with the result of the sacrifice. The sacrifice named Paksi helps man to reach the pure worlds as if he would have wings (paksi) helps man to reach the pure worlds as if he would have wings (paksi) (TMB XIX.10.1ff). There is a sacrifice named Syena which is of the nature of black magic. The swiftest of the birds is falcon (syena); just as a falcon catches (other birds), in just the same manner he (the sacrificer) catches him (his rival) by this incantation-rite (sadB III.8.3). Thus the Syena-sacrifice is helpful to catch the enemy and this result is metaphorically connected with the name of the sacrifice. Similarly the name Samdamsa (tongs) of a sacrifice is metaphorically connected with the result of the sacrifice. "Just as one would take hold of a thing difficult to take hold of, by

seizing it with a pair of tongs, in the same manner he takes wold of (his rival) with this sacrifice" (SadB III.10.4).

Names connected with the period of duration of the sacrifices:

There are many sacrifices that have received their names from the period of their duration. Thus for example there are Dviratras (JB II.235ff), Triratras (JB II.241ff) etc., which names indicate period of their duration. In this manner there are the sacrifices which last for one hundred years (Satasamvatsarasatra TMB XXV.8.1ff) or upto a thousand years (e.g. Prajapati's Sahasrasamvatsara-satra-TMB XXV.17.1ff).

Names connected with the time prescribed for the performance -

Some names of the sacrifices are connected with the time or the day when they are to be performed. Thus, for example, the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices (KB III.1ff, SB I.1.1. lff, TB III.2.1.1ff) are so called because one of them is performed on the new-moon-day(darsa) and the other on the full-moon-day(purnamasi). The Caturmasya-sacrifices (KB V.1ff; SB II.5.1.1ff; TB I.4.9.1ff; 6.8.1ff; GB II.1.19ff; those of saumika-nature - TMB XVII.13.1ff; JB II.228ff) are performed after each four months and their name is connected with the time of their performance.

Names connected with the performers :

Some sacrifices are named after their performers.

Thus the Vaisyasava (TB II.7.2.lff; TMB XVIII.4.lff) is to be performed by a person belonging to the Vaisya class (TMB XVIII.4.5). Similarly the Brahmana-sava (TB II.7.3.lff) is to be performed by a Brahmana. The Vratyastomas (TMB XVII.1.lff; JB II.22lff) are to be performed by the Vratyas. Thus these names of the sacrifices are evidently connected with the performers of these sacrifices.

Names connected with the first performers :

Names of some sacrifices are indicative of the first performer thereof. Thus the sacrifice named Gautamasya Catustoma was seen and performed by Gautama. Nobody was believing in Gautama. He desired that others should believe in him. Then he saw this sacrifice and performed it (JB II. 290). Similar is the case with the sacrifice named Indragnyoh Kulaya. Indra and Agni desired to be superior to all the Gods, and obtain equal prosperity with one sacrifice. They saw this sacrifice, performed it, and got their desire fulfilled (JB II.132). About the Manustoma sacrifice JB II. 108 gives us a story according to which Manu desirous of creating many animals and offsprings saw this sacrifice and having performed it obtained what he desired. Jamadgni desired in the same way as Manu to have animals and

offsprings and saw the Jamadgnya-sacrifice, performed it, and got his desire fulfilled (JB II.285). Thus here the sacrifices are named after their first performers.

Names connected with the peculiarities in the sacrifices -

Some names have reference to the peculiarities in the sacrifices so named. The Antarmahavrata Pancaratra (TMB XXI.15.1ff) contains the following five days -(1) Jyotistoma Agnistoma (2) Gaurukthya (3) Mahavrata (4) Gaurukthya (5) Ayuratiratra. Here the Mahavrata-day is in the middle (antar). Therefore, the sacrifice is called Antarmahavrata. AB III.43 explains the name Agnistoma in the following manner - "The Agnistoma is Agni; in that they praised (astuvan) him, therefore, it is Agnistoma; it being the praise of Agni (Agnistoma) it is called Agnistoma mystically." Thus the name Agnistoma is connected with the praise of Agni in the Agnistoma. SB XIII.6.2.1 explains the Purusamedha in the following words: " Inasmuch as at this sacrifice one seizes men (purusan) meet for sacrifice (medhyan) therefore. it is called Purusamedha". Thus the name Purusamedha is explained on the basis of its chief particularity, viz. seizing of the men. KB XVII.1 explains the name Sodasi of the sacrifice as follows - " There is a sixteenth (sodasa) stotra; sixteenth sastra. Therefore, it is called Sodasī."

We thus see how the sacrifices have received their names on various accounts. Let us now turn to the ancillary rites of the sacrifices on the basis of the descriptions and the explanations given by the Brahmana-texts.

(b) Ancillary rites

Introduction :

A sacrifice consists of many rites which again contain many small rites. In order to get an idea of the way of description of sacrificial rites and the way of explanations of them as we get in the Brahmana-texts, here, it may be useful to see some of the ancillary rites. Except a few, all these rites are described in the context of the normal soma-sacrifice viz. the Agnistoma. As the other soma-sacrifices are based on the Agnistoma with the particular changes special to those, a study of the ancillary rites will enable us have a good understanding of the common factors in all the soma-sacrifices. The preparation of the altar (vedi) is found in the context of Darsapurnamasa offerings. But it is considered here because the vedi has an important role in all the sacrifices. Secondly some of the abhicara-rites which are so to say independent sacrifices by themselves are considered along with the ancillary abhicara-rites in the normal Agnistoma only for the sake of convenience - so that a collective view of the abhicara-rites in the Brahmana-texts will be easily available. Let us now study these ancillary rites in the following order: (A) Preparation of the altar, (B) Diksa, (C) Tanunaptra, (D) Pravargya, (E) Sacrificial post (yupa), (F) Animal sacrifice, (G) Daksinas, (H) Prayascitti, (I) Avabhrtha, (J) Abicara-rites, and (K) Fire-building (Agnicayana).

(A) Preparing the altar (vedi)

Introduction :

The sacrificial activities are done mainly on the sacrificial altar (vedi). It would, therefore, be highly interesting to study in brief, the procedure of preparing the altar.

Preparing the altar (vedi) :

For the preparation of the vedi the Adhvanyu draws round the first line of enclosure threefold and the second also threefold (SB I.2.5.12; TB III.2.9.1ff). The altar should measure a fathom across on the west side. The easterly line should be three cubits long according to some. But there is no fixed measure here (SB I.2.5.14). The two shoulders of the altar he carries along both sides of the Ahavanīya fire (SB I.2.5.15; TB III.2.9.9). The altar should be broader on the west side, contracted in the middle and broad again on the east side (SB I.2.5.16). It should be sloping towards east and north. To the south side the rubbish (loose soil) is to be swept. Then the altar is to be covered with (fresh) rubbish (SB I.2.5.17; cp. TB III.2.9. 12). Then there is the drawing for the second time (uttara parigrāha) (TB III.2.9.12).

Significances of vedi :

(a) Microcosm, macrocosm: The sacrifice is performed on the vedi and it is the microcosm. The happenings in the microcosm and macrocosm are dependent upon one another. In order to obtain something in the macrocosm, some ritual is performed in the microcosm. The etymology of the word vedi helps us to understand how the vedi is important in obtaining some good result out of the sacrifice as the vedi is a microcosom. The word vedi is derived from the root vid to obtain. The sacrifice went away from the Gods. ... Then the Gods found (anvavindan) it on the sacrificial altar. In that they found on the altar, that is why the altar has its name (vedi) (AB III.9). SB.I.2.5.7, however, says that the Gods having enclosed him (Visnu who is identical with the sacrifice) on all (three) sides and having placed Agni on the east side went worshipping and toiling with him. By it they obtained (samavindanta) this entire earth, therefore, the vedi has its name (cf. also SB I.2.5.9). Further the SB declares that due to this history it is said, "As great as the altar is, so great is the earth" (cp. SB I.3.3.9; III.7.2.1; TB III.2.9.12; JUB I.1.5.5). See also AB V.28 where vedi is identified with the earth itself (cf. also SB IX.4.2.3: TB III.3.6.2.8). Thus we learn from the etymology that the relations between the vedi and earth are similar to those

and macrocosm

between the microcosm and therefore whatever happens on the vedi it has its counterpart in the real world also, as the effect of the sacrificial performance.

(b) Generation: In the sacrificial performance which is often described in the Brahmana-texts as a generation process vedi plays an important role. Hence is vedi identified with woman. Hence again the reason why the two shoulders of the altar are carried along both sides of Ahavaniya fire is given as follows - The vedi (feminine) is female and Agni (masculine) is male. The woman lies embracing the man. Thereby a productive pair is made (SB I.2.5.15). Similarly the shape of the vedi is expected to be like that of a well-shaped woman. The altar is. therefore prescribed to be broader on the west-side, contracted in the middle, and broad again on the east-side. The woman who is thus shaped is praised. "Broad about the hips, somewhat narrower between the shoulders and contracted in the middle" (SB I.2.5.16). As the yedi is a woman, therefore, grass is spread upon the vedi in order not to keep it naked (SB I.3.3.8). When, the sacrificer's wife unties the veda-bunch, it is said that the vedi is

¹ cf. Johanson, Dhisana, p.56

female and the veda is male (SB I.9.2.21). Similarly SB I.9.2.24 we read, "(The Hotr) strews it (the veda)(from the Garhapatya as far as the east end of) the altar (vedi). For the vedi is female and veda is male. From behind, the male approaches the female. From behind (i.e. west) he accordingly causes it (the vedi) to be approached by that male (the veda)". Thus the vedi is a representative of the female element in the sacrifice conceived as a generation process.

Conclusion :

The vedi has a twofold significance according to

Brahmana-texts: (1) as a representative of earth and as a

microcosm (2) as a representative of female in the sacrifice

which is a process of generation.

(B) Diksa

Introduction -

The diksa is a group of various rites to be performed before the soma-sacrifice. We shall see now the performance of the diksa and the significances attatched to it.

Performance of the diksa -

The diksa ceremony is to be performed in the afternoon (ŚB III.1.2.1). After the nails and hair are cut (ŚB III.1.2.2-9), the sacrificer takes bath and wears unwashed cloth (ŚB III.1.2.10-20). Then follows the dikṣaniyeṣṭi.

In the liksaniyesti an offering is to be made to Agni and Visnu. For these two deities are said to be the protectors of the diksa (diksapalam) and the offering is

For the description of the diksa-rite see AB I.lff;
KB VII.lff; SB III.l.2.lff; JB II.62 ff; GB I.3.l9ff;
Lindner, Die Diksa oder Weihe für das Somaopfer; Weber,
Indische Studien, X.358ff; Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri." p.48ff;
Caland, Henry, L'Agnistoma, p.17ff; Oldenberg, Religion
des Veda, p.398ff, Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.125f;
Hauer, Yogapraxis, p.65ff; Keith, VBYT, p.cxiii, the same,
RPV, p.300ff; Kane History of Dharmasastra, II.2.p.1135ff;
Gonda Ghange and Continuity, p.315ff.

² Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.398; Keith, RPV, p.300. Renou, Vocabulaire, p.76.

addressed to them so that they should confer the consecration (ABI.4). So a sacrificial cake on eleven potsherds is offered to Agni and Visnu (ABI.1; KB VII.1; ŚB III.1.b.1). The dikṣaṇiyeṣti is to be performed only upto the Patnīsaṃyajas (KB VIII.2; ŚB III.1.3.6). This offering is to be performed in a low voice (KB VIII.2; ŚB III.1.3.6).

After the diksanigesti, there are certain preparatory rites for the sacrificer. His body is anointed with the fresh butter (AB I.3; SB III.1.3.7ff) and also his eyes (SB III.1.3.10ff). He is purified with a cleanser made of the sacrificial grass (SB III.1.3.18ff). After the Audgrabhana-offerings (SB III.1.4.1ff) the Kṛṣṇājina-dikṣā is performed and a skin of a black antelope, a stick and a horn of a black antelope are given to him (SB III. 2.1.1ff). At the end of the dikṣā-ceremony the sacrificer is declared, "This Brāhmaṇa is consecrated; this Brāhmaṇa is consecrated."(SB III.2.1.39f; AB VII.23ff). The sacrificer when consecrated has to observe various rules (vratas) which we will see when we will consider the sacrificer.

Special kinds of the diksa -

Apart from the normal diksa ceremony connected with

the Agnistoma, the normal soma-sacrifice, there are some other special kinds of the diksa which are connected with other sacrificial rites.

a) Diksa of the Pasubandha -

The diksa of the Pasubandha is in a symbolical manner. The Sadhotr formula is to be uttered and either one or five libations of ghee are to be made. This offering itself is supposed to be the diksa of the Pasubandha (SB XI.7.2.6).

b) Diksa of the Dasapeye -

The diksa of the dasapeya is substituted by a wreath of twelve lotus flowers which the sacrificer hangs round the neck (SB V.4.5.13; TB I.8.2.1; JB II.138).

c) Diksa of the Asvamedha -

The diksa of the Asvamedha is gained by the offering of the Vaisvadeva-oblations. Day after day these oblations are offered. Their number is seven. For seven days the oblations are made (SB XIII.1.7.1ff; TB III.8.10.1ff).

d) Diksa of the Purusamedha -

There are twenty-three diksas in the Purusamedha (ŚB XIII.6.1.2).

e) Diksa of a sattra (sacrificial session)-

In the other sacrifices only the sacrificer is consecrated. But in a sattra all the priests are also consecrated alongwith the sacrificer. Thus the Adhvaryu consecrates the sacrificer who is called in the context of the sattra the Grhapati and he also consecrates the priests named brahman, udgatr and hotr. The pratipra9thatr consecrates adhvaryu, brahmana Cchamsin prastotr and maitravaruna; the nestr consecrates pratiprasthatr, potr, pratihartr and acchavaka; the unnetr consecrates the nestr, agnidhra, subrahmanya and gravastut; the unnetr is consecrated by a snataka or a brahmacarin (SB XII.1.1.1ff; GB I.4.1ff).

Origin of the Diksa -

The origin of the diksa is connected by the Brahmana-texts to the bringing of soma from the heaven. Suparni in order to redeem herself from kadru who had enslaved her, had to bring the soma from the heaven. She sent Gayatri to bring the soma. Soma was enclosed between two golden cups. These two were the diksa and the tapas. She tore off one of the two cups and gave it to the Gods - this one was the diksa and the Gods then consecrated themselves therewith. The second cup was tapas and it was identical with the Upasads (SB III.6.2.2ff). Elsewhere a somewhat

different story is given and therein trying to bring soma, the metre named Jagati brought the diksa and animal-victims, Tristubh brought the tapas and daksina and Gayatri brought the soma (AB III.25; JB I.287). There is another story of the origin of the diksa. From out of faith (Sraddha) the Gods created the diksaniya, from out of Aditi the prayaniya offering, from out of Soma the buying of soma and so on (SB XII.1.2.1; GB I.4.7) Here the diksaniyesti which is a part of the diksa ceremony is said be originated from sraddha and the Gods have active part in its origination. Still at another place the origin of the diksa is connected with Prajapati. Prajapati desired to perform the Asvamedha. He toiled and practised penance. From the body of him when wearied and heated, the deities departed in a sevenfold manner and therefrom the diksa was produced. He perceived the Vaisvadeva oblations. He offered them and by means of them he gained the diksa (SB XIII.1.7.1).

Etymology and significance of the diksa according to the modern scholars -

Though there are no difficulties in the understanding of the performance of the diksa, there are some difficulties in understanding etymology the ritual significance of the

liksa. The word is understood differently by different scholars and how to determine its meaning is also a problem. It is, now, intended to see the different opinions and how the ritual texts describe the significances of the dikss. For the understanding of the Vedic ritual in the sense in which Vedic men understood it. the best help can be obtained from Vedic texts themselves and grammatical speculations may not always necessarily help us more than the ritual speculations of the vedic texts. We see that, on the one hand, the etymology of diksa has been variously given by modern scholars with all their modern techniques at their service, and on the other hand the Brahmana-texts have also given their "ritual" etymologies with all their socalled phantastic techniques at their service. 4 In both the kinds, the etymologies differ from one to another. The ritual texts do not try to maintain one particular etymology or one particular significance of the diksa rite. It is, however observed that modern scholars have taken pains to derive the word in a particular manner to suit the significance which

³ Heesterman, Consecration, p.5.

On the bramanic etymologies in general see Gonda
"Etymologies in Ancient Indian Brahmanas" Lingua, 5,
1955, p.61ff.

they have understood of the daksa rite. Studying the etymologies and significances given by modern scholars as well by the ritual texts, it will be better to take a comprehensive view and maintain that there are various significances of the diksa according to the ritual works. Keith has already said that the word diksa is very difficult to explain and derive. 5 It is generally agreed that the word is a desiderative; but opinions differ as regards the root from which the word is formed and thereby also as regards the exact signification of the word. Weber, 6 for instance, explains the word as the desiderative of the root das or daks " to make oneself fit for a thing" and he thus seems to understand that the diksa makes the man fit for the performance of the sacrifice. Oldenberg understands the root das and explains the word diksa as 'das Verlangen dem Gott zu dienen". Mayrhofer also accepts the word as being

⁵ Keith VBYT, p. chik. cxlu

⁶ Weber IS, p. 358; the same, Über den Vajapeya, p.17.

Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.398; Wackerhagel
Debrunner, Altindische Grammatik, a.a. p.70; cf.
also Oldenberg, ZDMG, ALIX p.176.

⁸ Mayrhofer, Worterbuch, E II. p.44.

vereheren, begehrt zu opfern" and thus seems to have supported Oldenberg. SB II.2.2.30 has identified diksita with dhiksita and this gives a new scope for controversy for the word dhiksita has also not been explained in the manner, satisfying all. For, Eggeling has derived the word dhiksita from the root dih to annoint. however discards this giving a grammatical argument of all the desideratives, of like formation not one comes from a root with other than a vowel. In Minard, has said in rejecting the root, dih est improbable car la consecration saccompgne d'aspersion jamais d'onction.

Accepting the diksa as a "rite d'eschauffement" Minard likes to prefer the root dah without accepting Hillebrandt's "self-burning" theory (for which see further).

⁹ Sa vai dhiksare vace hi dhiksate yajnaya hi dhiksate yajno hi vak. dhiksito hi vai Mamaitad yad diksita iti (SB III.7.2.30).

¹⁰ Eggeling, the Satapatha-Brahmana, SBE, 26, p.47 and n.

ll whitney, JAOS, 14, "On the second volume of Eggeling's translation of Satapatha Brahmana", p.10

¹² Minard, Trois enigmes, II, p.263f; for the root dah see Renon, JA, 243 (1955), p.422, A.3.

Whitney, had also explained the formation from the root dah "to burn" 13 Hillebrandt 14 also understands the root dah and advocates his theory according to which the meaning of the word diksa is the "Wunsch sich zu verbrennen= desire of burning oneself." He supports himself by refering to AB I. 4 which calls Agni and Visnu the diksapalas and thus diksa is closely connected with these deities and the mention of Agni is, for him, very significant. He then says "die weihe gehort ursprumglich in das Ritual des freiwilligen Feuertodes". According to him such deaths were in India not seldom. He gives the example of Calanos of Taksasila. He further refers to the animal offering to Agni and Soma which follows the diksniya offering. That offering is according to AB II.3 and KB A.3 for the sake of redeeming the life of the sacrificer and it is prescribed that nothing of that animal which is offered is to be eaten. For it would be like eating the sacrificer himself. 15 Practically

¹³ Whitney, JAOS, 14, p.10; the same A Sanskrit Grammar, No. 1030.

Hillebrandt, Ritualitteratur p.125, the same,

Der Freiwillige Feurtod in Indien and die Somaweihe,
p.13.

¹⁵ Vedische Mythologie, II.349 f.

all the scholars have criticised the Hillebrandt's theory. Thus, for example, Keith disagrees with Hillebrandt saying that the evidence given by the latter is too weak. The custom of self-immolation is foreign to Veda. 16 Recently, Gonda has also critised saying "the in itself ambiguous etymology of the term is indeed no safe basis for a semantic and historical argument."17 Oldenberg had already made clear the difference between dah to burn, and tap - to heat. He, accepting the close relationship of diksa and tapas says "Zur Bedeutung sei es bemerkt, dass ich allerdings der diksa als unter die kategorie des tapas fallend, das Moment der inneren Erhitzung vindicire, damit glaube ich aber noch nicht in den Bannkreis Wurzel dah - zu geraten. Es ist eben ein Unterschied zwischen Selbsterhitzung and Selbstverbrennung."18 We are going to see further how far Hillebrandt's view has any support in the vedic texts. Before that let us see another etymological effort. Guntert 19 derives the word

¹⁶ Keith, VBYT, CXIII and following, the same RPV, p. 302 f.

¹⁷ Gonda, Change and Continuity, p.341.

¹⁸ Oldenberg, ZDMG, 49, p.176. See, however, Renou, JA

(243) 1935, p.422, p.3" La coincidence entre tapas et

diksa donne quelque crédit a la vielle hypothèse de

Hillebrandt..."

¹⁹ Guntert, IF, Vol.30, (1912). "Zur Bildung der altindischen Desiderativa" p.98 ff. Guntert ofcourse, does not accept the theory of Hillebrandt, and criticises.

diksa from the indogermanic root dek and many of the modern authorities like Walde-Pokorny, Pokorny, 21 Gonda, 22 are in favour of this etymology. The root dek means "gut passend, geeignet, sich schicken, ziemen es jemanden recht machen, etwas einem gut scheinend machen etc. This semantic basis "the wish for making oneself worthy, better fitting etc." is in keeping with the sense of the word liksa. Here we may note that weber had already understood diksa in the similar sense.

Etymology and significance of the diksa according to the Brahmanas - a) ecstasy -

Having thus, in short, mentioned the views of modern scholars let us see the efforts of the Vedic texts. GB I.

3.19 explains the word diksa as follow - athato diksa kasya svid dhetor diksita ityacaksate srestham dhiyam ksiyatiti tam va etam dhiksitam santam diksita ityacaksate.

paroksend paroksapriya iva hi deva bhavanti pratyaksadvisah.

Here the word diksita is said to be connected with the word

²⁰ Walde, Pokorny, Vergleichendes Worterbuch der indoiranischen Sprach and Religiosgechichte, I.p.782ff

²¹ Pokorny, p. 189 ff _ Wörtersuch.

²² Gonda, Change and Continuity, p.341, n.122.

²³ Pokorny, Worterbugh, p.p.189.

dhi (religious thought)24 and the root ksi (to go, to possess, to dwell in etc.)25 During the time of diksa the person who has gone through that ceremony goes to a particular religious thought, i.e. he possesses that sacred mental position which is here described as of a very high kind (srestha). This etymology though grammatically unacceptable, can very well give us the idea of one of the significances of diksa according to the vedic texts. Gonda says that the vedic texts are silent on the ecstasy proper as the significance of diksa. 26 The present reference in GB, however, explicitly - though not in the terminology familiar to us through the study of the practices of other societies - gives us the idea of religious ecstasy as a significance of diksa. Oldenberg understood dika as giving religious ecstasy which makes man akin to divine. 27 The various rules and observances

²⁴ Bohtlingk, Roth, Sanskrit Worterbuch, III. 945,
Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit - English Dictionary,
(1963) p.516.

²⁵ Bohtlingk, Roth, Sanskrit Worterbuch, II.543; Monier-Williams, Dictionary, p.327.

²⁶ Gonda, Change and Continuity, p.341. Earlier, V. Henry has said "quant au motif de l'extase, les textes ne nous en disent rien ..." in "Physique Védique" JA, 1905 (VI), p. 405. See also 406.

²⁷ Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.402 ff. for diksa as the ecstatic practice see also Haner, Yogaptaxis, p.65 ff.

in the diksa period show that the consecrated is not living the normal day-to-day life, but some high kind of life. "The practices of severe asceticism and abstinences from food, unwashed, unshorn produces a kind of religious exaltation suitable for worship of the god ..." The supranormal life full of religious ecstasy can be seen particularly in the rule according to which the sacrificer, during the diksa period, should speak stammering speech. 29 (parihvalam vacam vadati)(SB III.2.2.27 ff). By using such kind of speech the sacrificer obtains the sap of the sacrifice which was taken away and hidden by the Gods. This manner of speech clearly shown the signs of religious ecstasy due to which the sacrificer does not speak in the normal, human, clear way, but in a stammering manner.

b) divinization -

A further significance of the diksa can be named as

²⁸ Keith, VBYT, CXIII. Cp. RPV, 301.

²⁹ Oldenberg, Meligion des Veda. p.402 Das Moment der ecstatischen Entzückung dettet sich, wenn ich recht sehe, wonigstens in einer Spur - der stammelnden Sprache des Geweihten - an". On parihavalam vacam vadati see also Minard, Trois énigmes, I, p.188.

See also Hauer, Yogapraxis, p.76.

"divinization". The sacrificer not only possesses the religious ecstasy and becomes somewhat above human but becomes divine. Levi clearly states "la diksa est un ensemble de cérémonies préliminaires qui sert à déifier la eréature humaine." Similarly Oldenberg remarks "Bei grosseren Anlassen versetzte man sich durch die Wiedergeburteriten der Diksa in ein neues Dasein, in eine Welt, in die ähe der Götter." In this respect, it may be pointed out that no scholar, as far as I know, has taken notice of the etymology given in the JB (III.358) of the word diksita. "Because that deity made her abode(kṣaya)

^{30 &}lt;u>Levi</u>, <u>La doctrine du sacrifice p.102f; Mus. BEFEO</u>, 33, 1934, p.632.

Oldenberg, Weltanschauung p.163. cf. the same,
Religion des Veda p.400 where the diksa is supposed
to be a magic intended to place the worshipper in
communication with the divine essence. This view is
acceptable to Keith, VBYT, p.608, n.3. In connection
with this point we may also quote J. Przyluski "Un
ancien peuple du Penjab: les Salva" JA, 214 (1929)
(p.311 ff), p.353-" La diksa etait primitivement
destinée à faire de cell que s'y soumettait l'égal
des dieux sup érieurs". For the "divinization" see
also Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri." p.48.

in the heaven (divi) therefore she is called diksita. One who sacrifices varily makes an abode in the heaven. (tad yad divi ksayam akuruta tasmad diksita. divi va esa ksayam karoti yo yajate). The consecrated person has an abode in the heaven because he has become divinised. Thus it is said that one who is consecrated ascends to the Gods (SB III.1.1.1 devan va esa upotkramati yo diksate) or elsewhere "He who is consecrated goes near to the Gods" and "becomes one of the gods" (SB III. 1.1.8: devan va esa upavartate yo diksate. SB III.1.1.10: So devatanam eko bhavati.) Accordingly when the sacrificer is declared to the Gods that he is consecrated it is said, "He has become one of you; (ayam yusmakaiko'bhut" SB III.2.1.39). In the human practice the nails of the left hand are cut first. But at the time of diksa the nails of the right hand are cut first; for it is the divine practice. Again, in the human practice the nails of the little fingers are cut first, but in the diksa, the nails of the thumbs are cut first. For it is the divine practice (SB III.1.2.4). Similar reasoning is given when it is prescribed that the anointing with the fresh butter of the right eye is made first and then that of the left eye. (SB III.1.3.14)... SB III.1.4.1 says " One who consecrates himself elevates himself from this

world to the world of Gods 32. Thus making divine, is a significance of diksa.

c) generation :

The next significance can be named as "generation". In making the consecrated divine, the idea of generation is slightly suggested. Levi remarks "le procédé consiste a fabriquer un corps nouveau à l'usage de sacrifiant; presque toutes les pratiques sont des symboles de conception et naissance" ABI, 3 gives the details of the embryonic state of the consecreted. The priests make the sacrificer an embryo (pumarva etam rtvijo garbham kurvanti yam diksayanti) The water is sprinkled

³² cf. P. Oltramare, L'hitoire des idées théosophiques dans l'inde, I. p.30.

Jevi, La doctine du sacrifice. p.103, also see further, for the generation element in the diksa see also Keith, RPV, p.461 f. Hubert, Mauss, "sacri," p.48; Mus, BEFEO, 33,1934, p.632,822; H. Lommel "Wiedergeburt aus embryonalem Zustand in der Symbolik des altindischen Rituals" in C. Hentze, Tod. Auferstehung, welterdnung, Zurich, 1955, p.107 ff., M. Eliade, Birth and Rebirth p.53 ff. the same, The Sacred and the Profane, p. 197 ff.

upon him and it symbolises the seed. Thus having made him possessed of seed, the priests consecrate him.

With the fresh butter the sacrificer is anointed. For that appertains to the embryos. They conduct him to the hut of the consecrated. The hut of the consecrated is the womb (yoni) and they conduct him to his own womb. The cloth covering the sacrificer is the amnion(ulba). The black antelope's skin is the placenta (jarayu). The closing of the hands of the sacrificer is similar to that of embryo (cp. also SB III.1.3.28, 2.2.26 - 28; 3.3.12). When the sacrificer being about to build an altar, undergoes the consecration, he pours into the firepan as seed in to the words, his own self composed of metres, stomas, vital airs and deities.(SB X.4.2.29).

The significance of the diksa as generating the sacrificer has a further shed. The sacrificer is not only born, but born as a brahmana. At the time of declaring that the sacrificer has been consecrated, even in the case of a nonbrahmin sacrificer the word brahmana is to be used. (AB VII.25). A ksatriya sacrificer belongs to Indra normally but when he is consecrated, he becomes brahmana (AB VII.23). SB III.2.1.40 gives the further explanation. "The birth before the generation is uncertain. For the Raksases, it is said,

pursue a woman here on the earth. And so the raksases implant their seed in the women. He, who is born out of the brahman, the sacrifice, is truly born and therefore even a rajanya or a vaisya is also declared to be a bramana. For one who is born out of sacrifice is verily born out of brahman." Thus the diksa is for the brahmanisation, and this also seems to be a significance of diksa. 34

d) mystical death -

The diksa signifies not only the mystical generation but also the mystical death. For there can not be the one without the other. Generation presupposes the death and death in its turn presupposes generation. This is the endless cycle of macrocosm which is reflected in the microcosm of the sacrifice. We have already mentioned the Hillebrandt's death theory and the views of others who do not agree with him. But after weighing carefully the evidence of the vedic literature, one is inclined to remark that both are only partially right neither being wholly inadmissible.

Let us now see such passages in the vedic texts which imply the diksa as a death. Among the three births and

³⁴ See Keith, RPV, p.302

the three deaths, diksa is understood in the JUB as death. Thus JUB III.3.1.1.4: " A man dies thrice and is born thrice. When the seed emitted comes into being, that is the first death. One, then, is converted into breath and is born into space. When one is consecrated that is the second death (athaitad dvitiyam mriyate yad diksate). One, then is converted into metres and then one is born unto the sacrificial gift. When one dies, that is the third death. One is, then, converted into faith and one is born in (his) world. "(cp. JUB III. 2.4.4.). Here, the diksa is clearly understood as a death. Another passage worth paying attention to is where it is said : One who consecrates himself, kills himself for the deities and sacrifice (devatabhyo va esa medhaya atmanam alabhate yo diksate. MS III.6.7). Elsewhere it is said "One who is consecrated kills himself for the sacrifice to Agni and Soma. In that he offers a victim to Agni, this is buying off of himself. (KS XXIV. 7. Agnisomabham va esa atmanam medhaya alabhate yat pasem alabhate atmanam tena niskrinati)35. Further MS III.6.6;

cp. MS III.6.8; KPKS AXXVII.8.AB II.3; KB X.3. for this idea of redeeming oneself by means of an animal see Levi La doorine du sacrifice, p.131 f. - Les ceremonies preliminaires qui munissent le sacrifiant d'un corps divin, grace à la régéneration rituelle, sont formellement presentées comme le sacrifice et le rachat de l'individu.

KS XXIII. 1 KpKS XXXV. 8, TA V.2.2 say "The consecrated is the oblation material (havirvai diksitah)". The next reference very much helpful, is when it is said : One who consecrates exchanges his body with Agni (MS III.9.1; Cf. also KS XXIV.4;), For Agni is Rudra and if the bodies are not exchanged, Rudra will kill the consecrated. Thus an effort to preserve oneself is seen here. According to TS VI.2.27 " One enters into the fire while one enters into intermediate diksa." and it is added that the sacrificer protects himself through the consecration and his offsprings through the intermediate diksa. Here also attention should be drawn to the fact that the entrance in Agni is only symbolical and there is the provision of prosperity through this entrance. Another very important passage is TS VII.4.9.1 : "They go to the heavenly world who perform a sattra. They kindle themselves (abhindhate) with the diksas and cook themselves with the upasads ... (suvargam va et a lokam yanti ye attram upayanti abhindhate eva diksabhir atmanam srapayantah upasadbhih)". Here clearly reference is made to burning, but of course this is only metaphorical. The idea of self-burning is partially found when it is said that one consecrate himself being "fat" (piva) and then afterwards becomes "thin". The part lost of his body is offered (into fire) as it were. (cf. KS XXXIV. 9

Pīva dīkseta yadasyanganam mīyate juhotyeva tat. cp. MS III.6.6 : Karsayate atmanam tenaivasya taddhtam bhavati. cp. also ApSS X.34. 33-34) "Therefore one should make oneself thin (karsedatmanam " MS III. 6.6; Sharss X.S.17). The tapas 36 element in the diksa is not totally devoid of interest in this respect. Though tapas is connected with the heat and not with the burning the connection of heat and burning cannot be neglected. In the diksa there is the idea of self-torture, penance etc. which is represented by fasting, tapas etc. "By means of diksa and tapas, encrifice is obtained (diksaya hi tapasa yajnamasnute MS II.6.2; cp.KS XXIII.2). Thus diksa and tapas are closely associated. Hillebrandt in advancing his theory has tried to give it an historical appearance and the criticism directed against him has shown that for the historical statement no sufficient evidence can be produced which will prove that self-burning was done in ancient India and diksa has same elements of that fact. It is, however, safe to say that in diksa there are some hidden suggestions of the

³⁶ Henry "Physic Vedique" JA, VI (1905), p.388 ff. tried to maintain that the tapas, the heat is the principle of life and all the rites in the diksa ceremony viz. remaining in the hut etc. are for getting and preserving the heat.

mystical death of the sacrificer and the self torture found in it may also be said to support that idea. We have shown above the passages which were not mentioned by Hillebrandt and which maintain the diksa as a death.

This death, it must be repeated, is ritual, mystical death.

e) purification :

The next significance of the diksa can be named as "purification". The sacrificer is purified, made worthy of sacrificing etc. by means of diksa. The purpose of cutting the nails and hair, and beard is to be purified. "For that part of man, where water cannot reach him is impure. At the hair and beard and at the nails water does not reach. By cutting the hair, beard and nails one becomes purified". (SB III. 1.1.2, Cp.VI.1.1.1). Similar is the purpose of the bath in the diksa ceremony: Man is impure. He speaks untruth and therefore he is impure within. The waters are cleansing. He thinks may I become consecrated after becoming pure. (SB III.1.2.10) The sacrificer wears the unwashed garment, The adhvaryu tells the pratiprasthatr to beat it in order that whatsoever part of it an unclean woman has spun or woven may become clean. Again if it is unwashed, some water is to be sprinkled upon it, so that it becomes clean. At the time of wearing the garment, the sacrificer utters the

words "fostering a fair appearance (bhadram varnam pusyan" VS IV.2) Then it is remarked: - when the sacrificer is unconsecrated, he has fostered evil appearance and now after wearing the garment he fosters fair appearance (papam va eso'gre varnam pusyati yamamumadik-sitah athatra bhadram SB III.1.2.19-20). This fair appearance is of course due to the purification. Again the sacrificer is purified after the anointing by means of the darbhagrass. For the darbhagrass is very much purified. (SB III.1.3.18). Thus it will be seen that purification is also intended in the diksa ceremony.

f) strengthening -

Strengthening is the further significance of the diksa. The purpose of the girdle is to get strength (<u>urk</u>). The girdle is of sara grass. The sara grass rose there where the Angirases divided the strength and thus the connection of strength and girdle is established (cf. TS VI. 1.3.3 ff.). The purpose of giving the staff to the consecrated is also similar. The staff is of udumbare wood. The udumbara wood is equal to strength (<u>urk</u>) and then the sacrificer obtains strength (TS VI.1.4.1). TS III.1.2 identifies the diksa with force, strength (<u>oio balam diksa</u>). Thus the diksa has close connection with the strengthening and those scholars who have understood

diksa as a desire of making oneself fit for the sacrificer etc. got support here.

Cosmic nature of the diksa -

The diksa is not limited to merely sacrifice. For it has in addition to it a cosmic nature. Every body that works, is consecrated as it were. The earth, is the diksa. By means of it Agni is consecrated. The Antariksa is the diksa. By it Vayu is consecrated. The heaven(dyauh) is the diksa. By it, Aditya is consecrated. The directions (disah) are the diksa. By them the moon is consecrated. The waters are the diksa. By them Varuna is consecrated. The herbs are the diksa. By them the king soma is consecrated. The Speech (Vak) is the diksa. By it prana consecrated ... (TB III. 7.7.4-7) At the time of consecrating the sacrificer the Adhvaryu prays that following the sacrificer the earth, Antariksa etc. also should be consecrated. (TB III. 7.7.7ff cp. JB II.53)

According to the GB I 2.1 the diksa is identical with the sun in the heaven. In JB II.52 (cp.JB III.359) we read that the sacrificer, while being consecrated prays to the sun "You are O deity, consecrated; it is you who take away the glamour, sense, valour and glory. Do not take away my glamour, sense, valour and glory. I

consecrate following your manner". Thus the Sun is the diksita par excellence and the sacrificer follows him. Taking this into consideration we have to understand the etymology given by JB II.52, "As the wives would look at the husband without winking, so these(directions = disah) look at the one who is being consecrated. Because he is seen by the directions, therefore is called diksita(tad yad digbhir iksitas tasmad diksitsh). This etymology throws light upon the cosmic nature of the sacrificer his diksa, as well as the similarity of the consecrated with the Sun.

Conclusion.

We have seen thus the various significances of dikṣa according to the vedic texts as well as those given by modern scholars. The most natural conclusion seems to be that dikṣā has not one definite significance underlying it. It must be admitted to have more significances than one. The etymologies and explanations of the word dikṣā and the rites involved in it are indeed numberous and are given by the vedic texts with the equal emphasis and we should not give importance to only the significance in view of the one particular etymology that we may happen to have in view.

(C) Tanunaptra

The Tanunaptra ceremony is performed after the soma has been brought and the guestoffering is offered in honour of the some.

Origin of Tanunaptra:

The origin of this rite according to the Brahmanatexts is in the need of union felt by the Gods. The Gods were afraid that Asuras might become aware of their (Gods') being disunited and would seize their region. They marched in several divisions. Agni marched out with Vasus, Indra with the Rudras, Varuna with the Adityas and Brhaspati with the Visvedevas and took counsel. They said, "Come, our dearest bodies let us deposit in the house of king Varuna; with them may he not be united who shall transgress this; who shall seek to cause trouble". They agreed and deposited their bodies in the house of king Varuna. That became their bodily covenant (Tanunaptra). That is why it has that name (AB I.24). SB III.4.2.lff gives the story as follows - when the Gods had offered the guest-offering discord befall them. They separated into four groups, unwilling to yield to each other's excellence - Agni with the Vasus, Soma with the Rudras, Varuna with the Adityas and Indra with the Maruts (SB adds the opinion of some according to whom Brhaspati with the Visvedevas went away). The Asuras and Raksases became aware of this and tried to attack the Gods. The Gods decided to yield their excellence into one of them. They yielded to the excellence of Indra. Then they decided to contrive the concord to be imperishable. They laid down together their favourite forms and desirable powers and said, "Thereby he shall be away from us. he shall be scattered to the winds, whosoever shall trangress this covenant of ours ... " GB II.2.2 gives the story in the following manner - The Gods went away fivefoldly : Agni with the Vasus, Soma with the Rudras, Indra with the Maruts, Varuna with the Adityas and Brhaspati with the Visvedevas. Those Gods spoke, "We should secure this (our excellence) from the Asuras and enemies and hold in ourselves and make our favourite froms unite." They made their favourite forms united and said, "Let him become devoid of these (united forms) who being first would be mutually disloyal". Inasmuch as they united (samavadyanta) the bodies, therefore, the tanuptra is so called. Then the Gods were victorious and the Asuras were defeated.

It is very important to note that while AB I.24 mentions that the Gods deposited their forms in the house of Varuna, the tradition of SB informs that the Gods deposited their forms in Indra. GB does not refer to any particular God and merely says that the favourite forms were united by

the Gods. There is a slight difference in the lists of Gods and their followers also. This shows that there were different traditions about the origin of Tanunaptra.

Performance of the Tanunaptra :

The priests lay down together their desirable forms and favourite powers (SB III.4.2.9). The Adhvaryu takes portions of butter (SB III.4.2.10ff; GB II.2.3). The priests and the sacrificer then touch the butter and at the same time all agree upon this "Verily so and thus shall he of us fare who shall transgress this (covenant) of ours" (SB III.4.2.13). They pray to Tanunapat and take swear of truthfulness (SB III.4.2.14; cp. GB II.2.3).

When many persons are consecrated (i.e. at the time of sattra or sacrificial session when all the priests as well as the sacrificer are consecrated) the tanunaptra-butter, after pouring fast milk in it is to be handed only to the houselord (Grhapati) (SB III.4.2.15).

Significance of the Tanuptra :

There can hardly be any difference of opinion about the

¹ for the description of Tanunaptra see Caland,
Henry, L'Agnistoma, section 45.

significance of the Tanunaptra. 2 It is obvious that for getting the required result there must be full co-operation between the sacrificer and the priests, and among the priests also. In the hands of the priests, there are many powers to do good or bad to the sacrificer and the sacrificer's fate, therefore, is in the hands of the priests. The sacrifice is a continuous, coherent, complete-in-itself activity. For the accomplishment of the result, the sacrifice should be well-performed and for the good performance of it the priests and the sacrificer should not have mutual quarrels. There should not be any disloyalty. The rite Tanunaptra establishes an indissoluble bond between the sacrificer and priests. 3 The rite secures the loyalty by means of the swear. Hillebrandt has suggested that Tanunaptra like some other rites must have originated in the customs of public life4 and this suggestion seems to be quite acceptable. When the Tanunaptra is performed

For the significance of Tanunaptra see Weber, Indische Studien, X. 362; Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.502, n. 4; Levi, La doctrine due sacrifice, p. 127; Keith RPV, p.166; 387.

³ Heesterman, Consecration, 191.

⁴ Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, I, p.304.

by the sacrificer no one should be disloyal to him is the rule (AB I.24; SB III.4.2.9: na satanunaptrine drogdhavyam)

GB II.2.2 says that one who becomes disloyal first, gets trouble (yas tanunaptrinam prathamo druhyati sa artimarcchati). Thus full loyalty is assured by means of the Tanunaptra.

(D) Pravargya

Introduction:

Pravargya-rite lasts for three days and is performed twice a day in the forenoon and in the afternoon. It is an ancillary rite of the soma-sacrifice.

Pravargya-performance1:

Among the Brahmana-texts the AB, KB and SB deal with the Pravargy-rite. AB (I.18-22) and KB (VIII.3-7) give the rg-verses to be sung by the hotr and their explanation. GB II.2.6 summarises AB I.18-22. SB XIV.1.2.1ff gives a detailed description of the Pravargya-performance. First the equipments (sambharas) are collected. A black antelope-skin is

For the description of Pravargya-performance see Haug,

Aitareya Brahmanam of the Rgveda II (translation) p.41ff

n.1. Weber, Indische Studien, IX, 218ff; Hillebrandt,

Rituallitteratur, p. 134f; Lüders, Varuna, II, 359ff;

Keith, RPV, p.332f; J.A.B. van Buitenen, The Pravargya.

Garbe has given the translation of the relative portion

of the Apastamba-śrautasutra on Pravargya with an

introduction in ZDMG, XXXIV (1880) p. 319ff.

taken and spread (XIV.1.2.1-2). By means of a spade made of Udumbara or Vikankata wood the adhvaryu digs out clay (XIV.1.2.3-6) Then he takes an ant-hill and keeps it on the skin (XIV.1.2.10). Then earth torn up by a boar (XIV.1.2.11) and Adara (plants)(XIV.1.2.12) and milk of goat (XIV.1.2.13) are taken. On an enclosed mound these sambharas are deposited and the Mahavirapot is prepared. In addition to this, two more Mahavira-pots, two milking bowls (pinvana) and two Rauhina plates are made (XIV.1.2.15-17). He then smooths it by means of Gavedhuka grass (XIV.1.2.19). He then pours goat's milk upon them (XIV.1.2.25).

At the time when he proceeds with the guest offering, prior to the upasads, he spreads kuśa-grass in front of Garhapatya and places the vessels thereon in pairs - the Upayamani and the Mahavira pot, the pair of lifting sticks, the two milk-bowls, the two Rauhina-plates (XIV.1.3.1). The adhvaryu takes the lustral water and stepping up says, "Brahman, we shall proceed; Hotr, sing praises!" (SB XIV. 1.3.2; AB I.18) and sprinkles water upon the Mahavira (XIV. 1.3.4ff). Having taken out a post by the front door (of the śala) he drives it into the ground (XIV.1.3.7). Having turned round the emperor's throne-seat in front of the Ähavaniya, he places it south thereof, and north of the king's (soma's) throne-seat (XIV.1.3.8)... He throws a silver plate and then strews sand. Sheaths of reed-grass

are kindled and throwing them on the mound he puts (the Mahavira) pot thereon (XIV.1.3.14-15). While the pot is being heated, the wife covers her head (XIV.1.3.16). The sacrificer invokes bleesing (XIV.1.3.18ff). Pieces of Vikankata wood are laid round the Mahavira and a gold plate on the top of the pot (XIV.1.3.26ff). The Adhvaryu fans the fire (XIV.1.3.30): When the adhvaryu steps up and says that the Gharma is a glow, they step up and revere the Mahavira with the words "Garbho devanam" etc. (VS XXXVII.14ff) (XIV. 1.4.2ff). Then he uncovers the head of the sacrificer's wife and makes her say while she is looking at the Mahavira, "Tvastrmantastva sapema" etc. (SB XIV.1.4.16). Then there is the offering of the first Rauhina-cake (XIV.2.1.1ff). The Adhvaryu takes a rope and calls the cow and when she comes he lays the rope round her horns (XIV.2.1.6ff). After allowing the calf to suck for some time he removes it and causes the cow to flow into the milking-bowl (XIV.2.1.9ff). ... He pours the goat's milk in the Mahavira pot and then the milk of cow in it (XIV.2.1.19ff). The adhvaryu makes twelve offerings. He pours the split milk and ghee from the tray (upayamani) into the Mahavira-pot (XIV.2.2.13). He also pleases Fathers and Asvins with offerings (XIV.2.2.14ff). He then shakes the Mahavira-pot upwards (XIV.2.2.17). The priest Brahman pronounces the anumantrana-formula (XIV.2.2.19ff). He then places (the Mahavira) on the mound and offers by means of the

pieces of (split) wood and Pusan, stones, Pratiravas, Fathers seated upon barhis and drinking the gharma, Dyavapṛthivyau, Viśvedevas and Rudra (XIV.2.2.3lff). He pours the remaining milk and ghee from the Mahavira into the supporting tray (upayamani)(XIV.2.2.40). He then offers the second Rauhina cake (XIV.2.2.41). He hands to the sacrificer the remainder of the gharma and the sacrificer drinks it (XIV.2.2.42). On the south-side sand has been strewn. There they cleans themselves. The pieces of wood are to be thrown into fire. The priests then proceed with the upasad (XIV.2.2.43).

On the third or the sixth or the twelfth day (this depends upon the number of upasad-days according to particular form of the soma-sacrifice) having combined (the two performances of) the Pravargya and the Upasads he seats out (utsadayati) the Pravargya. Having gathered together all round it (the Mahavira-pot) they meet together upon the vedi in the sala. The Agnidhra brings three bundles of faggots to the Ahavaniya (XIV.3.1.2ff). Adhvaryu directs the prastoty to sing a saman and he sings a verse relating to Agni (XIV.3.1.10ff). They walk out northwards, along the back of the pit and the front side of the Agnidhra (fire-house) and proceed in whatever direction where there are waters (XIV.3.1.13). The Pravargya is to be set out either on an island or preferably on the uttaravedi (XIV.3.1.14ff). The reserve lump of clay, the two lifting sticks, Rauhina-offering ladles,

the spade, the imperial throne, black antelope skin and the fans are placed (XIV.3.1.20-21). He also places the cord and halter, the two milking bowls, the post and peg, two Rauhina-plates, the two poking sticks (dhṛṣṭi) and the two mounds (khara)(XIV.3.1.22). The adhvaryu pours milk into the pot. He sprinkles the Pravargya-apparatus with water. He asks the Prastotṛ to sing the Vaṛṣāhara-sāman and he sings it (XIV.3.1.23-26). Then they cleanse themselves at the pit. The sacrificer steps towards the north-east with the words "ud vayam tamasaspari ... (VS XXXVIII.24)(XIV.3.1.27-28).

Pravargya with curds and whey :

At the time of continued pressing of soma, Pravargya with curds and whey is performed (XIV.3.1.29ff).

Daksinas of the Pravargya :

The daksinas of the Pravargya are as follows - The gold-plate is given to brahman. The cow that has yielded milk for the gharma is to be given to the adhvaryu. The cow that has yielded the sacrificer's fast-milk is to be given to the hotr. The cow that has yielded the fast-milk for the sacrificer's wife is to be given to the udgatr (XIV.3.1.32-35).

Pravargya as an originally separate rite:

It is very interesting to see that the treatment of

the Pravargya rite in the Yajurveda-Brahmanas has got some mystic atmosphere about it. While the TB does not describe this ceremony at all and leaves it for the Taittiriya Aranyaka, the SB deals with this rite not at its proper place in the course of the description of the soma-sacrifice, but at the end of it, just before the beginning of the Brhadaranykopanisad. Both the Rgveda-Brahmanas viz. AB and KB describe this rite in its proper place i.e. in the description of the soma-sacrifice and the same is the case with the Brahmana of the Atharvaveda viz. GB. The Brahmanas of the Samaveda do not describe the Pravargya at all. Eggeling, therefore, has rightly remarked that the introduction of this rite must have taken place when the main procedure of the soma-sacrifice had already been definitely settled. 2 Originally it must have been an independent and separate rite. Meyer sees its origin in the old nomadic period. 4 According to Garbe its original form was just of a milk-offering and in

² Eggeling SBE XLIV (SB translation V) p. XLVI.

Keith, VBYT, p.cxxiii, Lüders, Varuna, II, p.360; Ronow, "Zur Erklärung des Pravargya ..." MO 23 (1929) p.128f.
Renou, Filliozat, L'Inde classique I, p.356.

⁴ Meyer, Trilogie, III.84f.

⁵ Garbe, ZDMG, XXXIV, p.319; cf. Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, I.304, n.1.

bringing together the milk and soma by connecting the Pravargya with the soma-sacrifice he sees a remnant of a primitive aryan sacrificial system. Gonda also describes the Pravargya with a similar meaning as "upsprunglich gewiss ein selbstwerständliger und von den vedischen priestern annectierter und mystisch-ritualistisch interpretierter und ausgeschmückter milchkult". Hillebrandt had also written in similar manner. According to him in Agnistoma many factors coming out of different provinces of Indian life have been included and the Pravargya, originally the milk-sacrifice is also one of them.

Elevation and establishment of Pravargya:

Thus the Pravargya was a separate rite originally. But it was accepted in the process of the growth of the srauta ritual. Thus its acceptance in the srauta ritual, in the soma-sacrifice was in itself an elevation of this rite. In order to establish it the Brahmana-texts have still elevated it. The Pravargya is, therefore, shown to have a divine origine (see further). It is identified with the Sun (see

⁶ Garbe, ZDMG, XXXIV, p. 321.

⁷ Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I.p.153.

⁸ Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, I.304, n.l.

further) and with the head of the sacrificer (see further). This is also an elevation of the rite. There are certain restrictions on the teaching of the Pravargya. Accordingly one may teach it only to someone very much familiar, well-studied and much dear to oneself (SB XIV.1.1.26). Thus some secrecy is attached to the Pravargya and this also results in its elevation.

Origin of the Pravargya :

The rite though originally separate, when included in the srauta-ritual was given distinct stories of origination. Thus the origin of Pravargya according to AB I.18 and GB II. 2.6 took place when the sacrifice had run away from Gods. The Gods then crushed the sacrifice. Then they again gathered together the sacrifice and got it cured with the help of Asvins. Though it is not explicitly mentioned by the Brahmana-texts we may infer that the Asvins added the Pravargya rite as the head to the sacrifice and cured it. SB XIV.1.1.1ff gives another story - The Gods, except the two Asvins, performed a sacrificial session. Visnu first obtained the end of the sacrifice and became the most excellent. Visnu being proud of his success stood resting his head on the end of the bow. The ants gnawed the bow-string and when it was cut, the ends of the bow springing asunder cut off, Visnu's head. It fell with (the sound) ghrn and on

falling it became yonder Sun. The Gods divided Viṣṇu i.e. the sacrifice and performed it though it was without head. Dadhyanc Atharvana knew how to give the sacrifice its head. Asvins obtained that knowledge. This knowledge is of course the knowledge of Pravargya. TMB VII.5.6 has a similar story in which the head of the sacrifice (instead of Viṣṇu) is mentioned to be cut and that head is identified with the Pravargya.

The stories of the origin of the Pravargya told in the Brahmana-texts suggest a significance of the Pravargya-rite as giving head to the sacrifice. As the Sun is the head of the universe and it is identical with the Pravargya, the Pravargya-performance is appropriately described as the Sun-magic. Further, as we shall see, the Sun is said to be the embryo of the Gods and the Pravargya performance is accordingly said to be connected with the generation. Thus we shall see that the significances of the Pravargya are hinted at in the origin-stories of Pravargya. Let us see these significances in the following order (a) Pravargya as a Sun-magic (b) Pravargya as the head of the sacrifice and (c) Pravargya as a generative rite.

Significances of the Pravargya-rite :

There is no one particular significance of this rite according to the Brahmanas as also is the case with some

other rites like diksa.

(a) Pravargya as a Sun-magic :

We find in the Brahmana-texts Pravargya identified with this one that shines (ya esa tapati) i.e. the Sun. Thus SB XIV.1.1.27; 28; 31; 2.15 etc. SB X.2.5.4; XIV.2.1.3 and 16 identify Pravargya with Aditya i.e. the Sun. SB XII.1.2.1 and GB I.4.7 inform us that the Gods created Pravargya from the Sun (Aditya) > JB III.162 says, "The head of the sacrifice which was cut is the same as this Sun; and he himself is the Pravargya. Sometimes the Sun is said to be the first Pravargya (esa u prathamah pravargyah) and the taking out of the first pot is said to be for the gratifying of the Sun (SB XIV.1.2.22). The identification of the Sun and the Pravargya-rite as well as the rites involved in the Pravargya understood as gratifying the Sun, is found in SB XIV.1.3.3, when the hotr begins to recite. SB XIV.1.3.4ff when the Mahavira pot is sprinked, SB XIV.1.3.26 when pieces of Vikankata wood are laid around the Mahavira. For other such similar places see also SB XIV.1.2.28; 4.2; 15; 2.2.13; 22; 29; 3.1.26 etc. GB I.4.8 says, "When they perform Pravargya they worship the deity, viz., the Sun. The God Aditya becomes the deity and those who perform the Pravargya get close association and the world of the Sun". Thus the Brahmanatexts imply that the Pravargya is for gratifying the Sun.

Many scholars, e.g., Oldenberg, Eggeling, Hillebrandt, Keith, etc. have already understood the Pravargya-performance as a magic directed to the Sun. Thus the Mahavira-pot is the symbol of the Sun. The Sun is the head of the universe and the rite provides the sacrificer and the universe with the head. According to Gonda the aim of this rite seems to have been to endow the sacrificer with the glow of the Sun. Pravargya provides the Sun with the glow and the glow of the Sun is then given to the sacrificer. Yan Buitenen, generally accepting the theory of Oldenberg's "Sun-magic" adds as follows: The Mahavira-ritual is originally intended to reinforce the power of the Sun so that it might survive the threats posed to its fire by the

For this theory see Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.448ff;

Eggeling, SBE, vol.44, p.xlviii; Hillebrandt, Vedische

Mythologie, I.p.124, n.4 the same, Rituallitteratur,

p.134ff. Keith, VBYT, p.cxxiv, the same, RPV, p.333;

Mayor

Meyer, Yogapraxis, p.70.

¹⁰ Meyer, Trilogie, III. 219.

¹¹ Keith, RPV, p. 333.

¹² Gonda, The Savayajnas, p. 41.

¹³ Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I.153.

rains ... 14. In addition to this general interpretation he has understood the Pravargya as an iconic ritual and has seen a kind of Puja in it. 15

(b) Pravargya as the head of the sacrifice :

The Pravargya is many times understood as the head of the sacrifice. See for example KB VIII.3; SB III.4.4.1; IX.2.1.22; 23; XIV.1.1.18; 3.12; 2.1.5; 2.50; 3.1.15; 16; 29; GB II.2.6 etc. "(Sacrifice) without Pravargya is without head" (SB XIV.2.2.48). Similarly, "one who performs the sacrifice without Pravargya performs the sacrifice without the head" (GB II.2.6). In the story of the origin of the Pravargya we have already mentioned that the significance of the Pravargya as giving head to the sacrifice is found there also. This significance is found elsewhere also. Thus TMB VII.5.6 says, "While they perform the Pravargya, they restore the head of the sacrifice". While addressing to brahman the adhvaryu says, "Sit thou undistracted; we are about to restore the head of the sacrifice (SB XIV.1.3.2). At the time of Pravargyopasthana also it is said that the Pravargya is to be set out on the uttaravedi; for, the uttaravedi is its head; he thus restores to the sacrifice its head (SB XIV.3.1.15).

¹⁴ Van Buitenen, The Pravargya, p. 31.

¹⁵ Van Buitenen, The Pravargya, p. 25.

The rule that the Pravargya is not to be performed for the sacrificer who is sacrificing for the first time (KB VIII.3; SB XIV.2.2.44; GB II.2.6) has an important bearing on the significance of the Pravargya as giving the head to the sacrifice. For Gods also performed the sacrifice first without Pravargya (SB XIV.2.2.44). Prior to the first soma-sacrifice the body of the sacrifice (and that of the sacrificer) is incomplete and, therefore, not capable of receiving its head in the form of the Pravargya. 16 When one has already performed a soma-sacrifice one can perform the Pravargya at the second soma-sacrifice; for then the sacrifice has already achieved a body and the head in the form of the Pravargya would be suitable to it. He who is. however, versed in the scriptures, for him the Pravargya can be performed at the first time also (KB VIII.3; SB XIV.2.2. 46; GB II.2.6); for he himself is the body of the sacrifice (KB VIII.3; GB II.26) and the Pravargya as the head can be restored in his case. In this connection of the Pravargya as a head, Ronnow has said that originally the Pravargya included a human sacrifice. 17

¹⁶ cf. Eggeling, SBE, vol. 44, p. xlix, n.l.

¹⁷ Ronnow, "Zur Erklärung des Pravargya ..." MO, 23 (1929), p. 139.

(c) Pravargya as a generative rite :

The Brahmana-texts described the Pravargya as having the significance of generation. Geldner 18 describes that the Pravargya is according to AB I.22 an obscene allegory. Of course, there is nothing obsene in this interpretation of the Pravargya because such interpretations can be found about other rites also and the Brahmana-texts describe this without any consciousness of obscenity. Oldenberg 19 also discards this interpretation of the Pravargya given by the Brahmanatexts devaluating it by saying "Ich sehe in der dort (i.e. AB I.22) ausgesprochenen Deutung des Ritus auf ein devamithuna einen der zahllosen verfehlten Einfalle der Brahmanatheologen". Oldenberg is not willing to accept "generation" as the "original" significance of the Pravargya. In the absence of any positive proof establishing beyond doubt the "original" significance of the Pravargya, we may atleast not be far from right to say that generation according to the Brahmanas is a prominant significance of the Pravargya and further hold

¹⁸ Geldner, in Pischel, Geldner, Vedische Studien, II, 1892, p.135.

¹⁹ Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p. 448, n.l. cf. also Keith, who following Oldenberg, does not accept this significance of generation - RPV. p.333. Eggeling, SBE, vol. 44, p.xlviii.

that for understanding the vedic ritual as it was understood in the vedic period itself the Brahmana-texts are the best help.

AB I.22 sees in the Pravargya rite a "Divine pairing (devamithuna)". "The gharma is divine pairing (devamithuna). The gharma is the member; the two handles, the two testicles; the spoon, the thigh-bones; the milk, the seed; the seed is poured in Agni as the birth-place of the Gods; he comes into existence from Agni as the birth-place of the Gods."

GB II.2.6 has also practically the same to say; but it calls the gharma veda-mithuna (pairing described in the Vedas) (as against the AB I.22 expression deva-mithuna) and adds that this rite is to be performed in a secret manner. For secretly the pairing is done. From the fire the sacrificer is then generated again and goes to heaven (GB II.2.6), or attains the deities (AB I.22).

The generation idea can also be seen in the rule that whosoever either teaches or partakes of the pravargya has to observe "the same vow as in the creation" (SB XIV.1.2.26; 3.2.31).

During the performance of the Pravargya the adhvaryu uncovers the head of the sacrificer's wife and while she is looking at the Mahavira makes her say "Together with Tvastr

we will serve thee". Then it is remarked - The Pravargya is a male and the wife is a female. Thus a productive pair is made here. Here also generation is clearly expressed.

It is well-known that the Raksases or evil-spirits are notorious for their aggressiveness against the newly born children and the generation in general. 20 For the sake of safe, secure and easy generation the inemical evil spirits are to be removed and protection from them is sought for in the Brahmana-texts. As the Pravargya is a generation process protection is important here also. Gods themselves had taken care to protect the Pravargya. They were afraid that the evil destructive spirits would destroy the Pravargya. Therefore, they closed the space. Now also there is an enclosed splace (parisrita) for the purpose of removing the evil spirits (SB XIV.1.2.16). Again care is taken by placing gold for removing the evil spirits (SB XIV.1.3.14) for other examples see SB XIV.1.3.16; 19; 20; 21; 22; 23; 29. The singing of saman is also for the sake of protection. For "the saman is a killer of the injuring evil spirits" (SB XIV.3.1.10ff). SB XIV.1.4.2 calls the Sun the embryo of the Gods (esa vai garbho devanam ya esa tapati). Thus we see the idea of the Pravargya as a Sun-magic and generation-

²⁰ cf. Meyer, Trilogie, I.136.

process are interrelated. As the Sun is the head of the universe the significance of Pravargya as giving the head to the sacrifice is also interrelated.

Conclusions :

- 1) The Pravargya, originally a separate rite of milk, was included, elevated, and established in the soma-sacrifice.
- 2) It has three main significances (a) a Sun-magic,
 (b) giving head to the sacrifice and (c) generation-process.

 These significances have some interrelation which can be seen in the stories of the origin of the Pravargya given by the Brahmana-texts.

(E) Sacrificial post (yupa)

Introduction :

The sacrificial post (yupa) has an essential function in the animal-sacrifice and to this post the victim is bound. The Brahmana-texts deal with the sacrificial post with numerous details which are not directly connected with the animal-sacrifice as such; therefore, instead of considering it in the section on the animal-sacrifice let us study it separately.

Origin of the sacrificial post according to the Brahmanas :

The origin of the sacrificial post occurred when there was a difficulty created by cattle. "Cattle would not serve the Gods for slaying as food. They having departed kept disputing - "Ye shall not slay us! not us!" Then the Gods saw this post as a thunderbolt. They raised it up against them. Fearing it, the cattle came back. Afterwards the cattle served as food to the Gods ... " (AB II.3). SB III. 7.3. If has the same story with some difference. Animals did not at first submit thereto that they should become food. They used to walk two- f ooted and errect like man. The Gods then perceived the thunderbolt viz. the sacrificial

¹ cf. Keith, RPV, p. 324.

post. They raised it and from fear thereof the animals shrunk together, became fourfooted, and became food. Therefore, the animal is immolated only at the sacrificial post and not without the sacrificial post (tasmad yupa eva pasum alabhante narte yupat kadacana - ŚB III.7.3.1-2).

Another story connects the origin of the sacrificial post with Indra. Indra hurled the thunderbolt at Vrtra and that thunderbolt which was hurled became fourfold. Then there were the wooden sword (sphya), the sacrificial post (yūpa), the chariot and the sara (SB I.2.4.1). Thus the sacrificial post has been originated from Indra's thunderbolt.

In all these stories we find that the origin of the sacrificial post has some connection with the thunderbolt (vajra) the significance of which we shall see afterwards. Secondly, the origin is divine one.

Etymology of word yupa according to the Brahmanas :

The Brahmanas generally connect the word <u>yupa</u> with the verbal forms like <u>yopayitva</u> or <u>ayopayan</u> which are derived from the root <u>yup</u>, meaning to efface, to scatter, debare, conceal etc. According to the Brahmana-texts when the Gods by means of the sacrifice went to the heaven, they wanted that the sacrifice should not be known to the mean and seers and then they effaced or scattered (<u>ayopayan</u>) the sacrifice

by means of the yupa; therefore, it is called yupa (AB II.1; cp. SB III.1.4.3; 2.2.2; 11; 28; 4.3.15; 7.1.27; cp. also SB I.6.2.1ff). According to this etymology it seems that the Gods had used yupa for concealing the sacrifice.

SadB IV.4.11, however, derives the word differently.

"The Gods resorted to Prajapati. (Having obtained) his consent, they hurled (praharanti) raised (aropayanti) and struggled (ayodhayanti) (with the asuras). That is yupatva of the yupa". Although this etymology of the word yupa from the roots a plus ruh and a plus yudh is too fartetched the function of the yupa are explained thereby. The yupa serves to ward off the demons. The Gods also in this story are told to have removed the Asuras by means of the yupa. This is supported when we know that the yupa is identical with the vajra (thunderbolt) and serves to kill the enemy (vajro vai yupo vajrenaivasmai bhratrvyam praharati - ṢadB IV.4.2).

Material of the sacrificial post :

The sacrificial post is made up of the wood of various kinds of trees. For the normal soma-sacrifice the sacrificial post is made of the Khadira wood. This yupa is to be made by one who desires to obtain the heaven (AB II.1; KB X.1).

The Gods obtained the heaven by means of the yupa made of the Khadira-wood and the sacrificer also wins the heaven by means of it (AB II.1). SB III.6.2.12 tells us how the khadira wood is connected with the soma. When Gayatri had gone to the heaven for bringing soma, she took possession (acakhada) of it by means of a stick of Khadira-wood. Therefore, it has the name knadira and because she took possession (akhidat) of soma, therefore, the sacrificial post is of Khadira-wood. According to AB II.1 for one who desires food and prosperity (pusti) the yupa is to be made of Bilva-wood. "Year by year, Bilva is taken. This is the form of food. The yupa should be covered with branches upto the root. This is the form of prosperity". KB X.I mentions only the desire of food in connection with the Bilva and not the desire of prosperity. One who desires brilliance (tejas) and brahmansplendour should make the post of Palasa-wood. "The Palasa is the brilliance and brahmansplendor of the trees; brilliant and possessor of Brahmansplendour he becomes who knowing thus makes the post of Palasa (AB II.1). KB X.1 mentions only the desire of brilliance in connection with the Palasa. In order to impress the importance of the post of Palasawood it is said, "He who makes the sacrificial post other than of Palasa-wood, performs the animal-sacrifice without soma and he who makes the post of Palasa-wood makes it with Soma (SB XI.7.2.8).

SadB IV.4.6, however, connects the desire and the woods differently. There, Palasa-wood is prescribed for one who desire prosperity (puṣṭi); Bilva-wood for one who desires brahman-splendour; Udumbara-wood for one who desires food; Khadira-wood for one who desires strength (bala); Vibhitaka and Rajavṛkṣa-wood for one who has rivals; Kramuka, and Asvattha-wood or stone for one who desires fame; any wood which is fit for the sacrifice for one who desires cattle. Here stone is also mentioned along with the different kinds of wood as a material of the sacrificial post.

Particularities about the yupa in particular sacrifices :

In the Asvamedha sacrifice the number of yupas is twentyone. The central yupa is of Rajjudala-wood. On both sides thereof stand two posts of Pitura-wood, six of Bilva-wood (three on each of the two sides); six of Khadira-wood (three on each of the two sides); and six of Palasa-wood (three on each of the two sides) (SB XIII.4.4.5; TB III.8.20.1-2; TMB XXI.4.13). For the Syena-sacrifice the yupa is of Tailvaka or Badhaka-wood and it must have a point like sword (sphya) (SadB III.8.16).

Different results of different nature of the wood :

Different results occur according to the different nature of the wood used for the sacrificial post. Thus SB

XI.7.3.1-3 following details are given. "The yupa which has much substance, is not aspicious to cattle. Therefore, one who desires cattle should not make such a one. Such a post which is of little hardness is auspicious to cattle, therefore, such a post is to be made for one who desires dattle. Such a post which is crooked and has a top like a spit is called kapoti. One who makes such a post goes to yonder world before his full measure of life. Therefore, one wishing for long life should not make such a post. The post which is bent on at the top, and bent outwards in the middle is a type of hunger (asanaya). If such a post is made, the dependents of the sacrificer will be hungry; but the post which is bent at the top and inwards in the middle is a type of food and one also wishes food should make the post of this type (cp. for this last kind of post KB X.1).

Kinds of yupa to be avoided and to be made :

The following kinds of yupa are to be avoided:

Knotted (gadula), injured (vranila), distorted (i.e. turned towards the left)(vyavrtta), lopped (kuthi), bent (kubja), pointed (sula), burnt (by a forest fire)(dagdha), sapless (suska), hollow (susira), affected by wood-worms (ghunadagdha) (ŞadB IV.4.7). This rule is obvious in its significance. The sacrifice which is the norm par excellence does not allow anything abnormal and, therefore, these

abnormal kinds are to be avoided. The following kinds are recommended by SadB IV.4.8 - the yupas of the tree which turn towards the right (suddhavartah), regular and smooth ones (anupurvasamah). SadB IV.4.1 says that the yupa should be of a tree with milky juice (saksira) and which is thick at the root (sthulam mule) and has a hair-like (valagra) point and is congruent (anurupa) (to the desires etc.),

Size of the yupa :

The size of the sacrificial post has its own significance. If one wishing to perform animal-sacrifice makes the sacrificial post of one cubit, one wins this world; if of two cubits then the antariksa-world; if of three cubits then the heavenly world; if of four cubits, one wins the regions. For a normal animal-sacrifice, however, the post should be of three or four cubits long. For a soma-sacrifice a post is to be made which is still longer (SB XI.7.4.1). KB X.l gives the symbolism of the particular length of the sacrificial post. "It should be three cubits with the symbol of these worlds; four cubits with the symbol of cattle; five cubits with the symbol of the Pankti, six cubits with the symbol of the season, seven cubits with the symbol of the metres, eight cubits with the symbol of the Gayatri, nine cubits with the symbol of the Brhati, ten cubits with the symbol of the Viraj, eleven cubits with the symbol of the

Tristubh, twelve cubits with the symbol of the Jagati. These measures are suitable forms of the post. One suitable form should be produced and make the post". Though here various measures are given, it is further said, in the same paragraph, that according to some thinkers one should not measure the post at all. It should be according to them, unmeasured, for they argue "the measured by the measured one wins; the unmeasured by the unmeasured. It (the unmeasured one) serves to win the incommensurable. Kausitaki's opinion is also similar to this. According to him, the decision of the length of the post should depend upon one's descretion. Vajapeya-yupa, however, must be of seventeen cubits (KB X.1). SB III.6.4.18ff has somewhat similar options about length: but it gives two additional possibilities, viz., the yupa of thirteen cubits (for there are thirteen months in a year) and of fifteen cubits; (for the thunderbolt is the fifteenth).

Rites connected with the yupa2:

Before the cutting of wood for the sacrificial post, an offering is made with a verse addressed to Visnu. Then

For these see Schwab, Das altindische Thieropfer, p.2ff; Caland, Henry, L'Agnistoma, sections 88ff; Keith, RPV, 325.

the tree is touched. After placing a blade of darbha-grass on the tree, cutting is made (SB III.6.4.1-27). After digging a hole, barley corns are thrown there. Then the yupa is sprinkled. The remaining water is poured into the hole. Then barhis-grass is strewn. Then the chip which is cut at the time of the cutting of the post is put in the hole. Then a spoonful of ghee is offered into the hole (SB III.7.1.1-10). Before raising the post, it is anointed (SB III.7.1.11-13; cp. AB II.2; KB X.2). At the same time the top-ring (casala) is also anointed (SB III.7.1.12). Then the yupa is raised; planted, earth is heaped around it and is pressed and then water is poured thereupon (SB III.7.1. 14-16). After the top-ring is looked at the yupa is girdled with a rope of kusa-grass (SB III.7.1.18-21). Then a chip of the yupa is inserted (SB III.7.1.22ff). At the time of the animal-sacrifice the animal is to be tied to the post (SB III.7.3.1: 3).

There is a doubt raised whether the post should stand after the sacrifice is finished or it should be thrown into the fire (AB II.1). According to the AB it should stand for one who desires cattle. It is to be thrown into the fire for one who desires to go to heaven (AB II.1). But AB (II.1) says that the later generations began to throw only the chip of the post into the fire. Thus both the results, viz., of

standing of the post as well as that of throwing down it in the fire are obtained. According to SB III.7.1.28ff also the chip alone is to be thrown into the fire.

Significances of the sacrificial post3:

The sacrificial post is so important that it is indispensible for an animal-sacrifice. "Never do they immolate an animal without a sacrificial post (na va rte yupat pasum alabhante kadacana)" (SB III.7.3.1). Further, "They immolate an animal only at a sacrificial and never without a sacrificial post (yupa eva pasum alabhante narte yupat kadacana)" (SB III.7.3.2).

variously. It has once served both the hiding (in the case of the Gods) and for discovering out (in the case of seers and men) the sacrifice. Thus AB II.l tells us that when the Gods after scattering or effacing the sacrifice by means of the sacrificial post, the seers and men tried to search the sacrifice and they obtained the sacrifice when they found the sacrificial post.

For the significances of the sacrificial post mentioned shortly see Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I.146.

a) Yupa and Vajra identical:

The sacrificial post is generally believed to be a symbol of phallus and the identification of the sacrificial post with the vaira which also has among many other meanings a meaning as phallus and this helps the supposition that the yupa represents phallus. The casala or the top-ring is accordingly a symbol of the female organ. So the yupa has the significance from fertility, generation, etc., point of view. The role of the yupa in the Vajapeya in which the sacrificer ascends upon it is also connected with food, fertility, etc.

In the Brahmana-texts there is always growth in the ritual as well as multiplication in the significances of the rites. So the yupa has many other significances. The further significance is, therefore, removing away the evil beings and protection from them, etc., which is also connected with the identification of yupa and vajra. Vajra is a powerful

Meyer, Trilogie, III.193 - "Auch der Tieropferpfosten (yūpa) scheint mir Cin Phallus Symbol zu sein und einen gewissen Zusammenhang mit dem Indrabaum zu stahen"

III.184; Gonda, Visnuism, p.81.

⁵ Meyer, Trilogie, III.194.

weapon which kills the enemies, evil beings, etc. and serves protects the possessor. So the yupa makes the same purpose in connection with the performer of the ritual.

The yupa is identified with vajra (thunderbolt)6. We have seen how one of the origin-stories connects the yupa with the vajra (SB I.2.4.1). AB II.1 says, "The post is a thunderbolt. It should be made of eight corners (astasri). For the thunderbolt is of eight corners. This he (the sacrificer) hurls as a weapon at the rival who hates him to lay him low who is to be laid low by him. The post is a thunderbolt. It stands errect as a weapon against the foe. Therefore, also to him whom he hates there is displeasure in seeing, 'This is N.N.'s post, this is N.N.'s post'." Similarly KB X.1 says, "The sacrificial post, verily is the thunderbolt. In that they errect the post on the fast day verily thus they smite away the evil spirit (papman) of the sacrificer by means of a thunderbolt". The reason why there are eleven sacrificial posts is also that there are eleven letters in the Tristubh metre and Tristubh is identical with vajra. Then the sacrificer kills the evil spirit by means of this vajra (SB XIII.6.1.4). Thus the yupa works against the enemy, evil spirit, etc.

⁶ cf. Gonda, Visnuism, p. 82, n.10.

In the rites connected with the yupa also removing evil spirits, enemies, protection, from them etc. are mentioned with emphasis. Thus at the time of digging the hole, where the sacrificial post is to be planted, a formula is uttered, "Herewith I cut off the necks of the Raksas (Idam aham raksasam griva api krntami)". Then it is remarked - The spade is thunderbolt with the thunderbolt he thus cuts of the necks of the evil beings (SB III.7.1.2). At the time of throwing barley following words are uttered, "Thou art barley (yava) keep away from us the haters, keep away the enemies (yovo'si yavayasmad dveso yavayaratih) (SB III.7.1.4). The sprinkling of the yupa is for protecting these worlds (SB III.7.1.5). At the time of offering ghee into the hole also the purpose is given that by means of ghee which is identical with the thunderbolt the evil spirits are removed and a provision is made for their not coming up from below (SB III.7.1.10). Thus the rites connected with the yupa are useful for removing the evil spirits etc. and securing protection.

The further stage of the <u>vupa</u> and <u>vajra</u> identification is to gain something positively after having killed the enemy. Thus SB III.7.2.1 - "As large as the altar is so large is the earth. The sacrificial posts are thunderbolts & by means of these thunderbolts one obtains possession of

this earth and excludes one's enemies (<u>sapatna</u>) from therein". Not only the earth but also all these worlds are said to be obtained by means of the <u>yupa</u>. "The sacrificial post is thunderbolt and (it is raised) for the conquest of these worlds. With that thunderbolt one gets these worlds and deprives his enemies of their share in these worlds" (SB III.7.1.14).

b) Yupa in the Vajapeya :

In the Vajapeya sacrifice the sacrificer and his wife ascend the sacrificial post. This post is seventeen cubits long (saptadasarthi). Prajapati is also connected with the figure seventeen. Thereby Prajapati is obtained (SB V.2.1.7; TB I.3.7.2). Obtaining the heavenly world is also possible by means of yupa. Because there are eight corners of the sacrificial post in the Vajapeya and it is said that one obtains the world of Gods (devaloka) by means of it (SB V.1.5.1; 2.1.5). Further the yupa of the Vajapeya is described as a bridge (setu) by means of which the sacrificer can go to the heaven (TB I.3.7.5). After ascending the sacrificial post, the sacrificer and his wife say, "We have reached the light, the Gods, we have become immortal" etc. (SB V.2.1.9ff). The sacrificial post is often said to be belonging to Visnu (e.g. SB III.6.4.1; 7.1.17 etc.) and it is well-known that Visnu has traversed the worlds and

has gone to the highest point in the heaven. Accordingly by means of ascending the yupa one is said to have gone to the heavenly world. Thus the sacrificial yupa serves to obtain heaven.

Finally, as usual, the sacrificial post which has eight corners is said to be useful "in obtaining all the desires (sarveṣāmeva kāmānām astyai)" (KB X.1).

Conclusion:

- 1) The sacrificial post is a very essential factor of the animal-sacrifice.
- 2) The sacrificial post which is identical with <u>vajra</u> has a Phallic nature and a connection with fertility. But though this is true, the <u>yupa</u> and <u>vajra</u> identification is <u>is also</u> significant for removing enemies, evil spirits etc.
- 3) The sacrificial post is further connected with many other results such as obtaining Prajapati, obtaining heaven and finally obtaining all the desires.

⁷ cf. Gonda, Visnuism, p.83.

(f) Animal Sacrifice

Introduction:

The animal-sacrifice is a part of the Soma-sacrifice and is performed on the day of the soma-pressing and offering. Among the seven haviryajnas the animal-sacrifice is also separately mentioned as a kind of haviryajnas and is named as Pasubandha. (cf. GB I.5.7). In SB XI.7.1.1ff, we get a short description of the Pasubandha. The animal-sacrifice as a part of the Soma-sacrifice is, however, described in details by SB III.6.4.1ff. SB XI.7.2.1 explains the distinctive nature of the Pasubandha by mentioning the two kinds of the animal-sacrifice as follows : "One animal-sacrifice is of the Haviryajna order and the other of the soma-sacrifice. Of the haviryajna order is that at which he (the adhvaryu) brings him (the sacrificer) the fast food, leads water forward and pours out a jarful of water and at which (the sacrificer) strides the Visnu-strides. The animal-sacrifice of the order of the Soma-sacrifice is that at which these rites are not performed". In the Brahmana-texts we do not get detailed

Indische Studien, X (1867 f) p.344ff. J. Schwab, Das altindische Thieropfer; A.Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur p.121; J. Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I. p.147ff.

description of the Pasubandha. Therefore, we shall see the description of the animal-sacrifice included in the somasacrifice only. The significance and allied problems of both the animal-sacrifices are the same. Therefore, they will be seen together.

Performance of the animal-sacrifice in the Soma-sacrifice :

The performance of the animal-sacrifice begins with the cutting and erecting of the sacrificial post (yupa) (SB III.6.4.1ff). The adhvaryu takes a straw and drives the animal up (SB III.7.3.8). Having made a noose he throws it over the victim and binds it (SB III.7.4.1-3). Water is sprinkled upon the victim (SB III.7.4.4). The hotr having sat down upon the hotr's seat, urges and thus urged the adhvaryu takes the two spoons (SB III.8.1.1). Then the hotr recites the eleven apri-verses (AB II.4: cp. SB III.8.1.2). These are the eleven fore-offerings (prayajas) (SB III.8.1.3). The adhvaryu takes the chip of the sacrificial post and offera anointing both the slaughtering knife and the chip at the top with ghee from the juhu-spoon, he touches the sacrificial victim. Then he conceals the chip of the post (SB III.8.1.5). The adhvaryu orders the hotr to recite to Agni circumbient; then the agnidh priest taking a fire-brand carries fire around (the victim). He carries it around the place where the victim is cooked (SB III.8.1.6). Taking a new firebrand he walks

in front of the animal. The animal is led to the slaughtering place. The pratiprasthatr holds on to it from behind by means of two spits (vapasrapani); the adhvaryu holds on to the pratiprasthatr and the sacrificer to the adhvaryu (SB III.8.1.9). In front of the place where the animal is cut up, the adhvaryu throws down a stalk of grass (SB III.8.1.14). They then step back (to the alter) and sit down turning towards the ahavaniya. The animal is immolated, that is stifled or smothened to death (SB III.8.1.15). Before the strangling the adhvaryu makes an offering (SB III.8.1.16). When the animal is quieted the sacrificer's wife is called. There she cleanses with the water the openings of the vital airs of the victim (SB III.8.2.1ff). With one half or the whole of the water that is left, the adhvaryu and the sacrificer sprinkle the animal (SB III.8.2.7ff). They turn the victim over so as to lie on its back. The adhvaryu puts a stalk of grass thereon (SB III.8.2.12). The animal is then cut (SB III.8.2.13ff) and when the omentum is pulled out (SB III.8.2.16ff) it is heated on the cooking fire (SB III.8.2. 18ff). The pratiprasthatr then roasts it (SB III.8.2.20). The offering of omentum is made in five layers; the melted butter, then a piece of gold, then the omentum, then again a piece of gold and then again the melted butter (AB II.14; cp. SB III.8.2.17ff). The priests then cleanse themselves over the pit (catvala) (SB III.8.2.30). A sacrificial cake

for the same deities to which the animal is offered is to be prepared and offered (SB III.8.3.1-2). Then the animal is cut (SB III.8.3.3). The adhvaryu tells the samitr (the slaughterer) how to answer the question about whether the sacrificial food is cooked and then asks that question and gets the expected answer (SB III.8.4.lff). Then after the basting of the heart of the animal with clotted ghee, the animal is taken between the sacrificial post and the ahavaniya fire (SB III.8.3.10). Adhvaryu tells the hotr to recite for the offering to the Manota deity (AB II.10; SB III.8.3.14). Then the portions are made from the various parts of the body of the animal (SB III.8.3.15ff). Then the offering is made to Agni and Soma (SB III.8.3.29). In the interval between the two half-verses of the offering-verses an oblation of grass is made to the Visvedevas (SB III.8.3.30). Then follow the offerings to the Lord of Forest (Vanaspati), Agni svistakrt and the Quarters (disah) (SB III.8.3.31-35). The adhvaryu touches what remains of the victim (SB III.8.3.36-37). Then he makes the after-offerings (anuvajas) (SB III.8.4.7ff) and the pratiprasthatr the by-offerings (upayajas) (SB III.8.4. 10ff) which are followed by additional by-offerings (atyupayajas) (SB III.8.4.18-5.5). Then the patnisamyajas are offered with the tail (SB III.8.5.6). Then the avabhrtha is symbolically done with the heart-spit (SB III.8.5.8ff).

This is the normal animal-sacrifice in the normal soma-sacrifice viz., Agnistoma. Variations about the number of the victims, colours of them, the deities to which they are offered etc. ofcur according to the desire with which those animal-sacrifices are performed. But the normal procedure is always the same in essence.

Significance of the Vapa-offering :

The significance of the vapa (omentum) offering is connected with the generation and immortality of the sacrificer. Before mentioning that let us see the importance and use of the vapa-offering. In the animal-sacrifice the offering of the vapa has its own importance. The importance of this offering is told as follows:

The Gods obtained the heaven only after the offering of the omentum. The seers and men searching the place where the sacrifice of the Gods took place, saw the victim lying without entrails. Then they exclaimed, "The victim is just so much as the omentum". Thus importance of the omentum is maintained (AB II.13). The use of this offering according to SB III.8.2.29 is as follows - "Now as to why the ementum is offered. For whatever deity the victim is seized by him that same deity he pleases by means of the sacrificial essence (medha). Thus pleased with that sacrificial essence (medha) it waits patiently for the other sacrificial dishes being

cooked". Thus the offering of omentum is a small quantity of food given to the deities so that they may wait for the further food which is under preparation.

Now let us turn to the significance of the omentum offering. AB II.14 connects this offering with generation and says, "The omentum is seed. Seed disappears, as it were; the omentum also disappears as it were. Seed is white; the omentum is white. Seed is incorporeal; the omentum is incorporeal ... ". Further we know how the generation takes place. The omentum is offered in five layers. "The man is also fivefold and disposed in five parts : hair, skin, flesh bone, marrow. Having made ready the sacrifice in the same extent as is man, he offers in Agni as the birth-place of Gods. He having come into being from Agni as the birth place of Gods, from the libation with a body of gold, goes aloft to the world of heaven". Not only the sacrificer is regenerated due to the omentum-offering in the animal-sacrifice and obtainment of heaven is possible for him, he is also told to obtain immortality. "The libation of omentum is a libation of ambrosia (amrta). The libation of butter is a libation of ambrosia. The libation of soma is a libation of ambrosia. These are the incorporeal libations; with these incorporeal libation the sacrificer conquers immortality". (AB II.14). Thus the omentum-offering serves to regenerate the sacrificer, helps to obtain heaven for him and confers immortality upon

him. The importance of this significance of the offering of the omentum will be properly understood when we shall see the identification of the victim and the sacrificer and that the victim is also in some another context said to be generated, goes to Gods and obtains immortality when it is killed. This will be seen afterwards.

Establishment and elevation of the animal-sacrifice:

In the Brahmana-texts themselves the problem of the nature and status of the Pasubandha was felt. Thus it is asked by the Brahmavadins, "Is the animal-sacrifice an isti or a great (maha-)sacrifice?" (SB XI.7.2.2), and it seems that the authors of the Brahmana-texts had to give to the animal-sacrifice a separate place in the form of the Pasubandha and in the form of animal-sacrifice included in the soma-sacrifice. In both the ways they have established and elevated it.

The animal-sacrifice was in the first stage as G. van der Leeuw has observed, a sacrifice of the sacrificer himself, of a human being and then successively of other animals and finally of the sacrificial cake which represents the animal (see further)². The animal-sacrifice was duly adopted and

² cf. G. van der Leeuw, REM, p. 355.

established in the Srauta-ritual and was elevated. The fact that it was admitted in the soma-sacrifice itself is a kind of elevation as is often done by the Brahmana-texts. Secondly the victim is connected with the soma in the following manner. "The victim, obviously is the soma (soma evaisa pratyaksam yat pasuh). For if it were not offered it would be as it were a water-drinking. By means of it (the victim) the (soma) pressings are made firm. In that they proceed with the omentum, thereby the morning pressing is made firm. In that they cook, in that they proceed with the cake at the animalsacrifice, thereby the midday pressing is made firm. In that they proceed with the victim at the third pressing, thereby the third pressing is made firm". (KB XII.6). TB I 4.7.6 identifies the victims with the king soma (pasavah soma raja); cp. also SB XII.7.2.2 pasavo hi soma iti. The Pasubandha which is a distinct non-soma-sacrificial sacrifice can also have mystical connection with the soma if at the time of its performance the sacrificial post a made of palasa wood is used (SB XI.7.2.8). Further the prayajas of it are said to be identical with the morning pressing of the soma sacrifice, the anuyajas with the third pressing and the cake with the midday pressing (SB XI.7.2.3). Thus the Pasubandha is mystically a soma-sacrifice. The symbolic avabhrtha (sacrificial bath) which is a characteristic of a soma-sacrifice and is made symbolically in the Pasubandha,

is also for the sake of showing the connection of the Pasubandha with the soma-sacrifice and elevating it (SB XI.7.2.6-7).

The victim and the deity :

The vittim is sometimes connected with the deity to which it is offered. Efforts are made to maintain similarity between the God and the animal in colour etc. Thus the seventeen victims which are to be slaughtered for Prajapati in the Vajapeya sacrifice should be horn-less (tupara), dark-grey (syama) and uncastrated males (muskara). These characteristics of the victims as well as the number seventeen have a direct relation with Prajapati to whom they are offered (TB I.3.4.3-4; SB V.1.3.7ff). In the Sautramani there is a reddish-white he-goat as a victim for Asvins. The reason given for this prescription is that Asvins are also reddish-white (SB V.5.4.1). Here also similarity is expected. The victim for Agni and Soma in the Agnistoma is to be of two

For the idea of God himself as the offering material see e.g. Meyer, Trilogie, III. 249; see also Keith, RPV, p. 274.

⁴ cf. Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.121, (Rajendra-lak Mitra, JASB, Vol. 41, p. 178 referred to by Hillebrandt);

Qldenberg, Religion des Veda, p. 357.

colours; for it is to be offered to two deities (AB II.3). Here a numerical similarity is expected.

Though the Brahmana-texts do not mention directly, here it is indirectly implied that there is an identity of the victim and the deity. There is also another identity and that is of the victim and the sacrificer and we shall now see it.

Purusa as the victim (pasu) :

The Brahmana-texts explicitly mention not only that man is a victim (purusah pasuh JB II.42) but they also mention that man is the first victim (SB VI.2.1.18 - puruso hi prathamah pasunam). SB VII.5.2.6 has the sentence with the following explanation - "Prajapati created the animals from his vital-airs (pranas); a man from his mind, a horse from his eye, a cow from his breath, a sheep from his ear, a goat from his voice The mind is the first of the vital airs; and inasmuch as he fashioned man from his mind from his mind, they say that he is the first of the victims and the strongest one (viryavattama). For the purusa as the strongest victim see also AB IV.3.

Sacrificer as the sacrificial victim -

The idea of sacrificer as the sacrificial victim is

involved in the animal-sacrifice. AB II.ll gives the identification of sacrificer and the victim. "The sacrificial victim is in essence the sacrificer (<u>vajamano vaesa nidanena yat pasuh</u>)". The animal-sacrifice then seems to be a substitute of the self-sacrifice. The sacrifice is often conceived as a redemption (<u>niskraya</u>?) of oneself and this theory is connected with the animal sacrifice as we are now going to see this.

Redemption (niskraya) theory :

AB II.3 says, "One who consecrates himself, offers himself to all the deities. All deities are Agni. All the deities are soma. In that he offers a victim to Agni and Soma, verily thus the sacrificer redeems himself from all the deities". Quite alalogous is KB X.3, "He who is consecrated enters the jaws of Agni and Soma. In that on fast day he offers a victim to Agni and Soma this is the

⁵ Cf: G. van der Leeuw, REM p. 355; Heesterman Consecration, p. 161.

⁶ G. van der Leeuw, REM, p.355; Keith, RPV, p. 273f.

For the niskraya theory see Weber, Indische Streifen I,
p. 72 \$\frac{2\text{ZDMG}}{2\text{DMG}}\$, Vol.18 (1864), p.275), Levi, La doctrine

du sacrifice dans les Brahmanas, p.130ff. Schwab, Das

altindische Thieropfer, p.XIX. Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri"
p.134; Keith VBYT, p.CVI.

self-redemption (atmaniskrayana)"; cf. also ŚB III.3.4.21:
"Offering-material (havis) is he himself who is consecrated.
They (Agni and Soma) seize him in the jaws and by means of the victim he redeems himself". In the context of the Pasubandha which is an independent animal-sacrifice also we read: "When he performs an animal-offering he thereby redeems himself" (ŚB XI.7.1.3).

The victim is not only useful for redeeming oneself, it is also useful for prolonging the life of the sacrificer and even to have "immortality (amṛtatva)". Thus ŚB XI.7.1.

2-3 in connection with the Paśubandha it is said, "Beneficial to life (ayuṣya) indeed is that redemption of his own self.

For when he is offering, the sacrificer's fires long for flesh; they set their minds on the sacrificer and harbour designs on him. In other fires, people indeed cook any kind of meat but these (sacrificial fires) have no desires for any other flesh but for this (sacrificial animal) and for him (i.e. the sacrificer) to whom they belong. Now when he performs the animal-sacrifice he thereby redeems himself ...

This flesh is the best kind of food. Let not an year pass by for him without this sacrifice. For the year means life; it is thus immortal life he thereby confers upon himself".

Application of the apriverses is also significant from the niskraya point of view. The word apri is derived sometimes

from the root <u>pri</u> with the prefix <u>a</u> or from <u>pyai</u> with <u>a</u>.

Thus KB X.3 "With the whole mind, he (the sacrificer)
gathers together the sacrifice. His self becomes empty as
it were. The hotr fills it up for him (the sacrificer) with
these verses. In that he fills it up (<u>aprinati</u>), therefore,
they are called <u>apris</u>". SB III.8.1.2 has the same to say,
but it uses the verb <u>apyayati</u> and the etymology slightly
differs. There is, however, no difference in the significance
of the apris. It is further(SB III.8.1.13) said that the
prayajas at the time of which the apri-verses are used are
eleven. There are ten vital airs in a man and the self
(<u>atman</u>) is the eleventh, upon whom these vital airs based.
This much is the man and he is filled up completely. (For a
somewhat different but detailed account of the "filling"
see AB II.4).

The redemption theory and the identification of the sacrificer and the victim can be seen in the problem of eating of the flesh of the victim also. There was a controversy among the ritualists in connection with whether to eat the flesh of the victim or not. AB II.3 mentions the opinion of those according to whom the flesh of the victim offered to Agni and Soma is not to be eaten. The reason given by them is "Of a man he eats who eats of the victim for Agni and Soma; for thereby the sacrificer redeems himself". This view is, however, to be rejected. The victim for Agni and Soma is an

oblation connected with the slaying of Vrtra (vartraghna). By means of Agni and Soma Indra killed Vrtra. They said to him "By means of us you killed Vrtra. Let us choose a boon from you". They chose the victim as the boon. It is regularly offered to these two for it is chosen as a boon. Therefore, one should eat of the victim and should be fain to take it. KB X.3 gives the same opinion of others with the same reasoning about not eating the animal; the answer is given to this view by showing the difficulty if this view is accepted. "Every oblation is buying off of oneself: he would not eat of any oblation, if he were not willing to eat because it is a buying off of oneself. Therefore, at will one should eat". According to SB III.8.3.11 some part belonging to the head only of the victim is not to be eaten and the reasoning given for maintaining this view is as follows - When the Gods, at first, seized an animal, Twastr first spat upon its head, thinking "Surely, thus they will not touch it". For animals belong to Tvastr. That (spittle) became the brain in the head and marrow in the neckbone Let him, therefore, not eat that since it was spitten by Tvastr. Thus the head of the victim is not to be eaten; but there is no objection of the SB for eating other parts of the animal.

The above discussion will lead us to conclude that the sacrificer was conceived as the first victim and then he was substituted by animals; but still the conscience that the

animal is the sacrificer himself was not totally removed and, therefore, there were some thinkers according to whom the flesh of the victim was not to be eaten. Ultimately, however, concession seems to have been given for eating the flesh of the victim.

Significance of the sacrificial cake (Puradasa) :

We have above referred to that man as the first sacrificial animal was substituted by animals. It seems that there was some evolution in the use of these animals also which ended in offering the purodasa. This evolution is mentioned in a story given by the Brahmana-texts. Thus AB II.8 tells us .- The Gods first slew the man (purusa) as the victim. But when he had been slain, the sacrificial essence in him went to the horse. The from the horse it similarly went to the ox; then from it to the sheep; then from it to the goat. It remained in the goat for a very long time. Then it entered into the earth and through the earth it entered into the earth and through the earth it entered into the rice of which the sacrificial cake is made. Thus the sacrificial cake (purodasa) contains the sacrificial essence (medha). There is then the explanation of the use of purodasa in the animalsacrifice. "They offer a cake in the animal-sacrifice thinking 'let our sacrifice be with the sacrificial essence; let our sacrifice be with a victim whole'". SB I.2.3.6.9 has the

same story of the evolution of the offering material. KB X.5 says that the sacrificial cake is the sacrificial essence of the victims (medho va esa pasunam yat purodasah; cp. also AB II.9; 11; SB III.8.3.1). SB I.2.3.5 identifies the victim with the sacrificial cake by saying, "It is an animal-sacrifice that this sacrificial cake is offered". The details of the similarity between the victim and the cake are supplied by SB I.2.3.8: When the cake still consists of flour it is the hair; when water is poured on it, it becomes skin. What water is mixed, it becomes flesh When it is baked, it becomes bone When butter is sprinkled it becomes marrow ... ". AB II.9 gives the details of the similarity between the sacrificial cake and the animal differently. "The chaff (kimsaruni) of it is the hairs, the husks (tusah) the skin, the polishing (phalikaranah) the blood, the pounded grains (pista) and fragments (kiknasara) the flesh. Whatever the substantial, the bone". At the time of preparation of the sacrificial cake also it is shown to be a victim. Thus it is said to the sacrificial cake. "Have the skin (tvacam grbnisva)". Water is sprinkled upon the cake and then the flesh becomes covered as it were with the skin (TB

⁸ For the story cf. Weber, Indische Studien, IX.246; F.

Max Müller, HASL, p.420. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice
p. 136; Keith, RPV, p.459.

III.2.8.4; see also 5). Then the remark - "Purodasa is the image of the victim" (TB III.2.8.8) is very aptly made.

Thus it will be seen that the Purodasa (sacrificial cake) is the substitute of the animal itself. It is offered in the animal-sacrifice after the offerings of the animal are made. The Purodasa has a great scope in the haviryajñas or istis which except the Pasubandha in them are performed by means of the cake and without any animal. The sacrifical cake in the animal sacrifice is an intermediate stage between the animal-sacrifice and the haviryajñas or istis (like Darsa and Purnamasa) in which no animal is offered. The purodasa there substitutes the animal. The istis represent the last stage of the evolution of the animal-sacrifices and they also represent the well-developed sophisticated ritualism including no killing (himsa).

Another significance of the Purodasa is mentioned at the AB II.ll. The victim is not offered in the fire completely. Much of it such as hair, skin, blood, dewclaws (kuṣṭikāḥ), hooves (saphāḥ), the two horns, the raw flesh falls away.

⁹ For purodasa as the substitute of the animal cf. Hubert,
Mauss, "Sacri", p.40; G. van der Leeuw, REM, p.355; K.
Ronnow MO, 23 (1929), p. 133.

This is made up by the cake. Thus the purodasa has a compensatory nature, but this compensation is also made in connection with the victim and this shows that here also the purodasa is supposed to be as much as the victim.

Attitude towards the animal-killing :

While reading the explanations of the various acts given in the Brahmana-texts we notice that these texts are trying to sophisticate euphemistically the "killing" element involved in the animal-sacrifice. Though eating of the flesh was common in the Brahmana-period, the actual process of killing the animal was rather troublesome to some of the sensative minds among the ritualists. The first signs of civilized man and sophisticated attitude are seen in the case of the Purusamedha where the purusa or the man is directly used as an animal but not killed. We are told in connection with the Purusamedha that those Purusas who are bound to the sacrificial post are to be set free after some ritual actions have been finished. While giving the etymology of the word Purusamedha it is said (SB XIII.6.2.1) "Inasmuch as at this (sacrifice) purusas, worthy of sacrificing are killed, it is called Purusamedha (yad asmin medhyan purusan alabhate tasmadeva purusamedhah)" and thus killing of the man is implied in the etymology of the word Purusamedha. But further it is said - when Narayana was sacrificing, at the time of

the slaughtering of the purusas, a voice said to him "Purusa, do not consumate these human victims; if thou wert to consumate them, man would eat man". Then the Purusa set free the human victims (SB XIII.6.2.12-13). TB III.9.8.3 says, "After the fire is carried round, the man and the savage animals are set free for the sake of ahimsa".

Thus the doctrine of ahimsa which became prominant in the later Hinduism as well as in the Buddhism and Jainism has its scope even in the explanations of the animal-sacrifice given by the Brahmana-texts. In the sophisticated and euphemistic expressions of himsa the latent forces of ahimsa are not too difficult to grasp.

a) "cruelty" felt :

It is very interesting, then to see how the Brahmanatexts indulge themselves in the euphemistic sophistication of
the himsa or "killing" element in the animal-sacrifice. The
Brahmana-texts reflect the sense of "cruelty" in the animalkilling. The significance of sprinkling with water upon the
animal which is killed is to pacify that whatever "cruel
(krura)" has been done (SB III.8.2.8ff). The significance of
washing hands after the vapahoma is also similarly told. "They
do something 'cruel' when they kill or cut the animal. The
water is a means of pacitying. By means of it they pacify"
(SB III.8.2.30).

b) no himsa in the animal sacrifice :

At the time of carrying the victim, according to the opinion of the Brahmavadins, the victim must not be held on by the sacrificer "For they lead it unto death". But the Brahmana-text refutes this objection by saying, "Let him (the sacrificer) nevertheless hold on to it. For they do not lead the victim to the death but to the sacrifice (SB III.8.1.10. Thus here we find an obvious attempt to sophisticate euphemistically the killing, death, etc. by putting the fact in somewhat different manner. Here also we find that the Brahmana-texts are trying to show that there is no killing, no "himsa", no death; there is merely "sacrifice". Here we get the origin of the later doctrine regarding the animalsacrifice that the killing in the sacrifice is not killing at all (cf. Manusmrti V. 39 yajnartham pasavah srstah syavameva svayambhuva. Yajnasya bhutyai sarvasya tasmad yajne vadho'vadhah see Vasisthasmrti IV, 7),

c) not to see the animal being killed :

Further we see that according to SB III.8.1.15 even looking at the animal being killed was also to be avoided. At the time when the animal is being killed, the priests step back (to the altar) and sit down turning towards the ahavaniyafire, "Lest they should be eyewitnesses to its being killed

(nedasya saminapyamanasya adhyaksa asama)". Thus even looking towards the animal being killed is avoided and this shows the hesitating attitude towards the himsa in the sacrifice.

d) animal mystically protected :

From this point of view it is interesting to see how (even though the animal is ultimately to be killed) efforts are made for mystical "protection" of the animal. The adhvaryu takes the chip of the sacrificial post (yupa) and having anointed the chip and the slaughtering knife at the top (with ghee) he touches the forehead of the victim with them. At that time he utters the words, "Anointed with ghee protect ye the animals" (VS VI.11). For the chip of the post is thunderbolt; the knife is thunderbolt; and ghee is thunderbolt. These three together he makes a protector of the victim; lest the evil spirits should injure the victim (SB III.8.1.5). Similarly the paryagnikarana (carrying fire around) is for the protection, safety of the animal. "The reason why he (agnidh) carries the fire around is that the encircles it (the victim) by means of the fire with an unbroken fence lest the evil spirits should seize upon it. Agni is the repeller of the Raksas" (SB III.8.1.6; cp. KB. X.3). The same reasoning is for the agnidh's walking in front of the animal with a fire-brand while the animal is

being led (ŚB III.8.1.9). At the time of cutting the various parts of the animal, the adhvaryu puts a stalk of grass on it and says, "O plant protect (oṣadhe trāyasva)" (VS VI.15). For the knife is a thunderbolt, and thus that thunderbolt, the knife does not injure the victim. He then applies the edge of the knife to it (the plant) and cuts with "Injure not o blade! (svadhite mainam himsih). For the knife is a thunderbolt and thus the knife, the thunderbolt does not injure it (ŚB III.8.2.12). Thus here we find efforts for protecting mystically the animal and these efforts are for the sake of euphemistic sophistication of the animal-killing.

e) blood to the evil beings :

In the animal-sacrifice the blood of the animal is given to the evil beings. 10 Thus SB III.8.2.14-15 it is said

Religion des Veda, p. 363; Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p. 173, 176 etc.; Keith, VBYT, p. CVI mentions that this practice of assigning blood to the evil spirits is also found in the Greek ritual and refers to Farnell, Greece and Babylon, p.246; cf. also Meyer, Trilogie, III. 237. For blood as taboo see Frazer, Golden Bough. p.227f.

"Where he skins (the victim) and whence the blood spirts out there he smears it (the bottom part with blood) on both ends with the words (VS VI.16) 'Thou art the share of evil beings!' For that blood indeed is the share of evil beings. Then having thrown it away (on the utkara), he treads on it with "Herewith I tread down the evil being; herewith I drive away the evil being; Herewith I consign the evil being to the nethermost darkness!" (VS VI.16)". Thus here also in giving the share, viz., blood to the evil beings protection (from those evil beings) of the animal, is intended. Now it is well-known that the idea of protection, removing away the evil spirits etc. is very closely connected with the idea of generation. 11 The significance of yupa is also protection, removing away the evils spirits etc. (cf. KB X.I., SB XIII.6.1.4; III.7.1.2; etc.) as well as generation (cf. the identification of yupa and vajra and the use of yupa in the Vajapeya). In the process of euphemistic sophistication of the "killing" element in the animal-sacrifice, the Brahmana-texts are going to maintain that the animal is not "killed" at all; it is generated; life is put in it etc. This we shall see afterwards; before it let us see how in the process of euphemistic sophistication the Brahmana-texts try to change the words and express the idea of killing in an euphemistic manner.

¹¹ cf: Meyer, Trilogie, I.136.

f) euphemism in the use of the words:

Thus the Brahmana-texts avoid the use of the direct expression of "killing" and use some other words. The words like "Slay! kill! (jahi maraya)" are not to be used. "For it is a human manner". The words to be used are "Quiet him! He has gone near! (samjnapaya anvagan)". For that is after the manner of the Gods. For when it is said, "It has gone near" then the animal goes near to the Gods. (SB III.8.1.15). Thus the change of word is notable for the euphemism in it. Again the animal is not "killed"; it is "quieted", it is not "dead"; it has "gone to the gods".

g) progess of killing somewhat different :

The process of actual killing is also not without euphemistic sophistication. "They do not slay it on the frontal bone (kūṭa); for that is a human practice; nor behind the ear; for that is after the manner of fathers. They either choke it by merely keeping its mouth closed or they make a noose" (SB III.8.1.15). Thus the way of killing is not the normal or profane one. It is a sacred one. The way prescribed would not allow any crying or noise of the

¹² cf: Meyer, Trilogie, III. 120, n.l.

¹³ cf: Meyer, Trilogie, III. 237, n.l.

victim for its mouth is closed. The reason behind this may
be that the crying would perhaps disturb the sensitive minds
the priests- who are sitting with the heads turned away and
are not seeing the animal being killed. Because of choking,
the pessibility of hearing the noise of the animal is also
removed to some extent and we see here also a kind euphemistic
sophistication in the attitude towards the animal-killing.

h) animal is made sacrificially pure (medhya) :

In the animal-sacrifice efforts are made for the sake of sacrificial purification of the animal, i.e., making it medhya. AB II.6 identifies the victim with the medha (sacrificial essence) itself. But putting medha is so important that SB III.8.4.5 gives a general rule - "He alone may slay an animal who can supply it with the sacrificial essence (sa ha tveva pasum alabheta ya enam medham apnuyat)". The significance of sprikling water upon the victim is making it medhya (sacrificially pure)(SB III.7.4.4). By connecting the sacrificial essence (medha) purification is made and the animal becomes fit for the sacrificial sacred plane where it is going to be killed and mystically revived.

i) life is given to the animal :

As we have indicated above the next stage of the sophistication process of the "killing" element in the

animal-sacrifice is that sometimes we find that life is put in a mystical manner in the animal according to the Brahmanatexts. While the animal is being killed some oblations are made with the words - "Pranaya svaha! apanaya svaha! vyanaya svaha! (VS XIII.18). Explaining this the Brahmanatext says, "When they quiet a victim they kill it. Whilst it is being quieted he (the adhvaryu) offers (three) oblations with pranaya svaha...; he thereby lays the vital airs (pranan) into it and thus offering is made by him with this victim as a living one" (SB XIII.2.8.3). Similar significance of sprinkling water is given by SB III.8.2.7, viz., by means of sprinkling, pranas (vital airs) are put in the victim and it is revived (of course in the mystical manner). SB IV.2.5.16 says "When he kills the animal, he puts sap (rasa) in it".

j) animal becomes immortal:

Not only the killed animal is mystically conferred upon with life, it is also conferred upon with immortality. This is the significance of the use of golden pieces along with the omentum. "The reason why there is a golden piece on each side is this - when they offer the victim in the fire, they slay it. Gold is identical with the immortal life. Thereby it (the victim) gets firm foundation in the immortal life; so it rises from hence and so it lives" (SB III.8.3.26).

In this manner we find that the Brahmana-texts are interested in euphemistically sophisticating the "killing" element and this shows that the thoughts of ahimsa are very remotely appearing in the attitude towards the animal-sacrifice. We have mentioned above how the sacrificer and the animal which represents the sacrificer are ultimately identical. Therefore, when it is said that life is put in the animal or it has gone to the Gods or it has obtained immortality, it is indirectly connected with the sacrificer's obtainment of life, heaven and immortality.

Conclusion:

- i) The animal-sacrifice has been connected with the soma-sacrifice and is elevated to some extent.
- ii) The animal-sacrifice is a substitute of the self-satrifice and the offering of the sacrificial cake (purodasa) is a representative of the animal-sacrifice itself and forms an important stage between the animal-sacrifices and the haviryajnas which contain no himsa.
- iii) Even in the animal sacrifice remote traces of ahimsa are seen when we find the sophistication and euphemism of the Brahmana-texts. The victim gets life, heaven and immortality and the sacrificer also gets the same results.

(G) Daksinas.

Introduction -

The daksinas are distributed in a soma sacrifice at the time of midday pressing when the udgatrs sing the midday pavamama (SB IV.3.3.5) JB II.130 quotes "The morning pressing is not the right time, nor the third pressing is the right time. The daksinas should be given at the time of the midday pressing. That is the right time; that is (proper) place (atīrtham vai pratahsavanam atīrtham trtīvasavanam. madhyandine eva savane dadyat. tad eva tirtham tad ayatanam)." SB IV 3.3.5 gives the reasoning for the daksinas being distributed at the midday pressing, "Indra hurled the thunderbolt at Vrtra; and having smitten Vrtra, the wicked, and safety and peace being secured, he led forth the daksinas." In Indra's practice there is the explanation of the daksinas being distributed at the midday pressing.

Daksina - nature.

The word daksina is very difficult to translate in a western language because it has many aspects. It is not

cf. Gonda, "The Etymologies in the ancient Indian Brahmanas" Lingua, 5(1955), p.75. See also the same, Religionen Indiens, I.p.43; Heesterman, "Reflexions on the significance of daksinas" IIJ, 3(1959), p.241.

a mere salary or remuneration. The word is translated as "fee", "donation, gift, sacrificial fee,"3 "friesterlohn"4 "Lohn"5 "La salaire donnée aux pretres par le sacrificant."6 etc. Hillebrandt says " Die Priester Crhalten als Lohn die Baksinas ... "7 Ofcourse we need not hide that in the Brahmana-texts sometimes daksina is shown to be similar to salary or remuneration. See for example SB IV.3.4.5. " It is to the Officiating priests. for sooth, that these daksinas belong, for they prepare him another self - to wit this sacrifice consisting of Rc and Yajus and Saman and oblations - that becomes his self in yonder world. Thinking that 'it is they that have generated me' he should give the daksinas to the officiating priests and not to non-officiating." Here clearly daksina is shown to be like remuneration which is given to those who have worked and not to those who have not. The idea of remuneration can also be seen in the following " They say : seeing that the daksinas are brought for the adhvaryu (by the sacrificer) thinking '

² Keith, RBT, p.286.

³ Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, p.466.

⁴ Winternitz, GIL, I, p.99 etc.

⁵ Bohtlingk, Roth, Sanskrit worterbuch, III . 485.

⁶ Silburn, Instant et cause, p.89.

⁷ Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.97

he has drawn the cups for me, he has acted for me, he has offered the libations for me, for the udgat; (thinking) he has sung for me for the hot; thinking he has said the invitatory verses for me, he has recited (the litanies) for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me for me, he has said the offering verses for me, he has said the offer

It is, however, important that in the brahmanic speculizations the daksinas do not remain as a mere remuneration etc. The Brahmana-texts give, as usual a divine and high back-ground to the daksinas.

In the sacrificial performance, the sacrificer is said to have killed the sacrifice as it were. To wit, when they press the soma, they kill it and in quieting and immolating the victim they kill it. Again when they prepare the sacrificial cake they pound the grains with mortar and pestle. The sacrifice thus killed looses it vigour. The Gods at such occasion invigorated (adaksayan)

the sacrifice by means of daksinas. Whatever fails in the sacrifice, when slain, that is invigorated by means of daksinas and it then becomes successful, strong, complete (see SB II.2.2.1f; IV.3.4.1f) Here, by giving the etymology of the word daksina one of its significances is told viz. to invigorate (daks) the sacrifice and thereby to make it successful, complete etc. (for this kind of etymology see also KB XV.1; SadB II.10.14; Nirukta, I.7) (see also for another kind of etymological explanation TB III.11.8.6-8).

The daksinas are looked upon as "offerings." It is stated that there are two kinds of Gods. The Gods themselves are Gods. Those priests who are well-versed in the vedic lore are the human Gods. In the sacrifice, offerings (ahutis) are given to the Gods. In a similar manner

⁸ On the etymology of the daksina see Gonda, "The etymologies in the ancient Indian Brahmanas" Lingua, 5,p.75; Minard, Trois énigmes, I, p.183. For another effort see Silburn, Renou "La notion de Brahman" JA, 1949, p.43, n.1 where the word daksina is understood in the sense of 'prix de la capacité (dans les joutes rituelles) du daksa" and thus in a different way the word is connected with the daksa.

the daksinas are the offerings given to the human Gods i.e. to the priests (SB II.2.2.6; VI.3.4.; SadB I.128; GB
II 1.6).

Significances of the Daksinas -

The significances of the daksinas are stated variously. The sacrifice is conceived as a chariot and the daksinas are described as the internal fastenings of the sacrifice (Ślesma va etadyajnasya yaddaksinah). "A chariot without fastenings is not able to convey. Even as by a (chariot) provided with fastenings one is sure to attain the reaching of a desired object, so he attains through this (sacrifice) provided with daksinas which he desires." (TMB XVI.1.13).9 Further it is said that the daksinas are the ornaments of the sacrifice. In that he performs a sacrifice provided with daksinas, he brings beauty into it (TMB XVI.1.14). The daksinas, he brings beauty into it (TMB XVI.1.14). The daksinas (the offerings), please the human Gods i.e. priests. The offerings made in the fire please the Gods. Both these Gods when pleased lead the sacrificer to the heaven. The sacrifice first goes to the heaven. Behind it goes the daksina and holding on to the daksina follows the sacrificer (SB IV.3.4.6 cp. I.9.3.1). The daksinas are

⁹ Cf. Silburn, Instant et cause, p.90

also described to have a healing power. Thus SB XII. 7.1.14, it is said that the daksinas are the medicine (bhesajam daksinah). GB II. 3.17 describes the daksinas as making firm the bridge in the form of sacrifice the bridge that leads to the heaven. GB II.2.5 we read that if any mantra, practice or the daksinas are not properly worked upon then a "hole" is created in the sacrifice. The sacrifice is like a ship. This ship is likely to be drawned due to that hole. Here it is to be marked how it is dangerous to have any mistake in case of daksinas etc. JB II.116 says "The sacrifices without daksinas will be cut down. (vicchetsyante va adaksina yainah). The Aptyas thrust the sin upon those who do not give daksinas (SB I.2.3.4-5). As a carriage without a bullock will be useless in the same manner the sacrifice without daksina will get harmed (AB VI.35). It will be seen thus how giving daksinas is important and how there is some positive harm if they are not given.

Rules, etc. about the Daksinas -

Various rules etc. regarding the daksina are mentioned in the Brahmanas. The most important rule is that no offering should be without daksina (nadaksinam havis syad..)(SB I.2.3.4; II.4.3.14; IV.5.1.16; XI.1.3.7).

AB VI.35 says "At the sacrifice daksina should be given

even if but a small one (tasmadahur datavyaiva yaine daksina bhavatyalpikapi). A priest is advised not to accept the priesthood where less than one hundred daksinas are given (SB IV.3.4.3) and it is stricktly prescribed that there should be one hundred daksinas at a somasacrifice (SB IV 3.4.4). Another rule is that daksinas are to be given to those who have actually worked as priests and not to those who have not (SB IV.3.4.5) GB II.

3.18 however mentions that daksinas are to be distributed also to those persons other than the officiating priests e.g. one who begs for the daksina, to him it is given.

The priests are, however, not to bargain about the daksinas. The priests who bargain, do not get any place in the heaven (SB IX 5.2.16) Sometimes, of-course, there were some bad priests creating trouble. Thus AB III.46 describes - "These things are performed at the sacrifice, eating, swallowing and vomitting. What is eaten is when he makes as priest one that expects 'May he give to me, or may he choose me.' That is like something eaten; that does not profit the sacrificer. Again what is swallowed is when fearing he chooses a priest, 'Let him not either oppress me, nor let him make confusion in the sacrifice for me.' That is, like something swallowed. That does not profit the sacrificer. Again what is vomited is when he

chooses as priest one who is spoken ill of. Just as here men are disgusted by what is vomited, so therefore the Gods. That is like something vomited. That does not profit the sacrificer. He should not desire these three ... Here, it will be seen how some priests - who were of desirous nature were supposed to be troublesome. They were of-course to be avoided.

Further, there is a rule that one should not accept a daksina laid aside. If it is accepted he should give it to his rival, when one refuses some daksina and another accepts it that becomes a lioness. Therefore, it should be given to the rival so that the rival will suffer. (AB VI.35; cp.SB III.5.1.25; GB II.3.18). Daksina is identified with yasas (fame, glory etc.) and it is prescribed that a priest should not give the daksina obtained by him to any one other. Then, however, a concession is given. One may give the things obtained, on the morrow or a day after (SB XIV.1.1.32). Another rule is that daksina is to be given first to a priest belonging to Atri family (GB I.2.17). This rule is connected with the ancient myth viz. Atri removed the darkness with which the sun was covered; and as a reward for this act he got the boon that the daksina should be given first to the priest belonging to Atri's family. TB I.4.3.3 gives the rule according to which a daksina which is given to a priest should be first

"seen" by the priest. No daksina which is "unseen" is given (na hyadrsta daksina divate). Therefore, the milk of the milch-cow which is given as a daksina is to be shown to the priest. The significance of this rule seems to be that there should not be any kind of deceit in giving the daksinas. Whichever is to be given is given just as it is prescribed. The priest should not get anything which is not meant to be given at that particular rite.

If we take into consideration all these rules we can observe that the Brahmana-texts were trying to have balance between theory and practice. The rules are so prescribed that there should not be any quarrle, competition or ill-will which, otherwise, is likely to be there.

Kinds of daksinas -

There are many kinds of daksinas. The daksinas differ in quality as well as quantity. SB IV 3.4.7 enumerates four principal kinds of daksinas - Viz. Gold, Cow, Cloth and Horse (cp. also SB IV.3.4.24ff) Of-course, there are

For similar kinds of daksinas mentioned in the Avesta-literature see Lommel, "Zarathustra's Priesterlohn," in Studia Indologica, Festschrift Für W.Kirfel, p.189

many other daksinas; but only chief daksinas are mentioned here. SB II.2.3.28 mentions the daksina for the establishment of fire as gold. That is because gold is the seed of fire. Again the daksina at the cake offering to Agni in Pancabilacaru offerings is gold (SB V.5.1.8). The gold to be given is often told to be of one hundred grains (e.g. SB XIII.2.2.2; XIII.4.1.6; XIII.4.2.6 etc.) The reasoning behind this is that a man has his life a hundred years long and by giving such a daksina one attains the full length of life.

The cows were also an important daksina (see e.g. SB XII. 9.2.11; XIV.3.1.33ff etc.) The word daksina itself is used at times in the sense of cow (see e.g. SB VI.5.10.7). Many times cows are to be given along with calves (e.g. SB. V.3.2.2. etc.). Sometimes a calf alone forms the daksina as at the Agrayana offering where a first born calf is given (SB II.4.3.13). Ox is also given to the priests sometimes. Thus as an optional daksina of the "Establishment of fires" an ox may be given (SB III.2.3.28; according to KB I.1 twelve cows and a horse are to be given). For the Vaisvanara offering in the Rajasiya also an ox is to be given (SB V.2.5.17).

For the Pancavatiya oblation in the Rajya a carriage with a pair of horses is given (SB V.2.4.9). Along with

¹¹ Renou, Vocabulaire, p.73

them a side horse, a charioteer and warrior are also given. Human beings like warriors or queens and maidens were also used to be given as daksinas. Thus for example at the Asvamedha four queens accompanied by maidens are offered (SB XIII.5.4.27). Animals like hornless he-goats at the time of offering clotted whey to Mitravaruna in the Fire-building ceremony (SB IX.5.1.57) or a mare with a foal at the pasupurodasa offering in the Sautramani (SB XII.7.1.21) are also given.

Garments of various kinds are given to the priests. As the daksina of the Traidhatavi udavasaniya offering at the end of Rajasuya three garments are given to the adhvaryu (ŚB V.5.5.18). A hundred garments are given at the pausnisti in the Aśvamedha (ŚB XII.4.1.15).

There are some daksinas of very peculiar type. Thus for example a dappled cow with a calf is to be given in the Pasubandha of Rajasuya (ŚB V.5.2.9) or a castrated bull at the Sautramani in the Rajasuya (ŚB V.5.4.35).

¹² cf. Meyer, Trilogie, III, 248. Meyer understands here a connection with fertility. For the interpretation of these daksinas as an effort to establish a "marrital bond" see Meesterman, IIJ, 1959, p.256.

For the Darsapurnamasa nothing except the Anvaharya mess of rice is the daksina (SB I.2.3.5; GB II.1.5)¹³. Things like staff (SB XI.1.5.10), bows and arrows (SB XI. 1.5. 10); red turban (SB V.3.1.11), Claw shaped knife and diceboard with a horse-hair band (SB V.3.1.10) are also mentioned as daksinas.

Daksinas "Non-attractive" :

The daksinas are not always quite attractive. 14 Let us see some examples.

a) daksinas of black colour -

Black colour is generally connected with something inauspicious, unwished, and avoidable (cf. MS II.5.6: tad vai papmano rupam yat kṛṣṇam). The black coloured dakṣiṇas are connected with some kind of badness. At the beginning of the Rajasuya there is an offering to Nirṛti and Anumati who represent the inauspicious and auspicious kinds of earth (see e.g. SB V.2.3.3;4;TB I.6.1.1.4). 15

The dakṣiṇa for the Nirṛti offering is a black cloth with black fring; for this is the form of Nirṛti and by its form one removes Nirṛti (TB I.6.1.1-4).

¹³ Cf. Gonda, Savayajnas, pr-2+fe.

14 Cp. my paper, "Non-attractive daksinas in the Srauta Ritual." VIJ, VIII(1969) p.36 ff.

¹⁵ cf. Heesteman consecration, p.17, For Nirrti which in general represents "desordre" or "une rupture du circuit organique" see Renou, "Védique Nirrti" S.K.Chatterji

Jubilee Volume, p.11 ff.

(b) barren cow -

A barren cow is also given at some occassions. For the offering of clotted curds (amiksa) to mitra and Varuna the daksina is a barren cow; for such a cow belongs to Mitra and Varuna (SB V.5.1.11). The same is the daksina for the dasapeya sacrifice in the Rajsuya to the priest named Maitravaruna (SB V.4.5.22 TB I.8.2.4; TMB XVIII.9.13). The purpose of this daksina viz. a barren cow (vasa) is that the sacrificer thereby can hope, "May she bring me to power (vasa) (TMB XVIII.9.13).

(c) old things -

Some times old things are also to be given as daksinas. The daksinas of the Punaradheya (the re-establishment of the fires) are as follows: "a bad chariot resewn with an old wrapper, renewed, a draft ox or gold" (KB I.5). At Pitrmedha, old ox, old barley, an old arm chair with old head-kushion are given (SB XIII.8.4.10).

(d) daksinas of the Syena-sacrifice -

The daksinas of the Syena sacrifice are peculiarly non-attractive. Syena is a sacrifice to be performed by one

¹⁶ Sayana remarks that there is verbal similarity between what is given and the fruit of it (deyavastuphalayor vasasabdasamyam drastavyam).

who wishes to practise black magic against one's enemy. Falcon (Syena) is the swiftest of the birds. The sacrificer performing this sacrifice catches his enemy as the falcon catches other birds. The daksinas for this dreadful sacrifice are in keeping with the nature and purpose of the sacrifice. There are groups of cows; nine cows in each one. One group is of one-eyed (kana) cows, the second of lame (khora) cows, the third of those with defective horns (kuta) the fourth of those with some defect in the tail (or without tail at all) (banda) (SadB III.8.23. These details are not given by the Brahmana. They are to be understood from the commentary and the Srautasutras) ? Similarly in the course of Ratnin offerings, in the house of the discarded wife a pap of black grains is offered to Mirrti. The daksina for this offering is a black, old (parimurni) and diseased (paryarini) cow (SB V.3.1.13).

(e) daksinas causing trouble to the receiver -

Some daksinas are not non-attractive by themselves, but their background makes them non-attractive. If the cow

Studien, I,p.52 Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur p.139
see also Bollee Sadvimsa-brahmana (translation), p.83.

which gives milk for the Agnihotra, sits down at the time of milking it is to be given to whom the sacrificer will not visit for one year. For when the cow sits, it is to be understood that the sits seeing the future distaster (avarti) and when she is given to somebody that disaster goes to that person (JB I.58). SB XII.4.9-10 does not give the limit of one year of not visiting. Further SB also quotes the opinion of yajnavalkya according to whom the cow is not to be given at all. TB I.4.3.2 prescribes that the cow is to be given to a person whose food the sacrificer will not eat. AB V.27 (=VII.3) does not qualify the person either not to be visited or whose food is not to be eaten etc. Similarly, if the Agnihotra cow yields blood it is understood that the cow has done so seeing some future diaster of the sacrificer and the cow is to be given to whom one will not visit. Then the diaster will go to that person (SB XII.4.2.1)

In this connection, the daksinas of the Vratyastoma sacrifice can be mentioned. There are the vratyadhanas. They consist of a turban (usnīsa), a goad (pratoda), a bow without arrow (jyahroda), a board-covered rough vehical (vipathah phalakastīrnah), a garment with black fringes (kṛṣṇaśam vāsah), two goat skins: one white and another black (kṛṣṇavalakṣe ajine) and a silver garment (worn out

around the neck) (rajato niskah). These things are to be brought by the Grhapati for giving as daksinas. The other vratyas have upper garments with red borders (valukantani) and corded fringes (damatusani) with stringes at each side. Each of them has a pair of shoes (upanahau) and doubly - joined goat's skin (dvisamhitani ajinani).

"On him to whom they bestow (these vratydhanas) they transfer (their guilt or unworthiness)" (TMB XVII.1.14ff)

Reasonings behind the daksinas -

a) nature of the sacrifice.

It will be seen that the nature of the daksinas depends upon the nature of the sacrifice. The daksinas mentioned above have a magical relation to the purpose and nature of the sacrifice. Thus for example among the daksinas of the punaradheya, some renewed or second-hand things are mentioned. The punaradheya is the second establishment of the fires in the case when the first is not bringing any prosperity. The daksinas there are magically connected with the nature of the sacrifice. For the Sautramani a mare is the daksina. For it produces a horse as well as a mule. Sautramani is also soma as well as sura(TB I.8.6.

¹⁸ Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.97.

3-4) Thus the two fold character of Sautramani and the ability of a mare to produce two kinds of animals have a magic relation and the mare is an appropriate daksina of the Sautramani sacrifice. For the Agrayana offering an ox of the first birth is given - for this (Agrayana) is the first ceremoney (KB IV.14).

b) deities -

Some daksinas are given on the basis of the deities. 19
The daksina of an Agnyadheya is gold because it belongs to Agni. As an option an ox is also mentioned to be given.
It possesses the nature of Agni as far as its shoulder is concerned. For by carrying the yoke, it is burnt by fire as it were (SB II.2.3.28). The daksina of the Indraturiya offering is a yoke-trained cow. In this offering offerings are made to Agni, Varuna, Rudra and Indra. In an elaborate manner, it is shown how such a cow is pertinent as a daksina. Since the cow is yoke-trained and her shoulder is burnt by fire as it were, she is sacred to Agni. Being a female and still carrying the yoke she belongs to Varuna. As she is a cow, she belongs to Rudra. In as much as Indra's curds (the curds which is offered to Indra in this offering) is prepared out of

¹⁹ cf. Gonda, change and containuity p.218.

her milk, she belongs to Indra (ŚB V.2.4.13; cp. TB II. 7.1.2-3) Similarly in the Trisamyuktīya-yagas the daksinā for the offering to Visnu is a dwarf bull. The reason is that the dwarf bull belongs to Visnu (ŚB V.2.5.4).

c) priests -

Some daksinas are based on the nature of the priests. The daksinas of the Traidhatavi isti are of this kind. To brahman priest gold is given. For brahman does not perform (like adhvaryu) nor chants (like Udgatr) nor recites (like the Motr); yet he is to be respected. In like manner with gold they do nothing. But still, it is an object of respect. Therefore, gold and brahman have some similarity and it is to be given to him. Three cows are to be given to the hotr. For hotr is abundance (bhuma) and three cows are also abundance as it were. Three garments are to be given to the adhvaryu. For adhvaryu "spreads" the sacrifice and garments "spread" themselves over the body. (SB V.5.5.16-18) In the pravargya ceremony gold is given to the brahman. The reason is that the brahman is seated and gold which is equal to glory (yasas) is also "seated" as it were. To the adhvaryu the cow whose milk is used for the pravargya ceremony is to be given. For the pot of milk is scorched and the adhvanyu comes from the sacrificial ground is also like something schorched.

The cow which has yielded fast milk to the sacrificer is to be given to the hotr. For the sacrificer is equal to sacrifice and the hotr is also equal to the sacrifice. The cow which has yielded the fast milk to the sacrificer's wife is to be given to the chanters. For the udgatrs do the wife's work on this occassion (ŚB XIV.3.1.32-34).

d) result -

Some daksinas are based upon the result or purpose. At SB XI.1.1.5 a bow with three arrows and a staff is to be given for the Trihaviska 1sti which is performed as an expiation if one keeps fast and then the moon rises at the time of new moon offering. The moon is here supposed to be a heavenly dog and by means of the bow and the staff it is supposed to be removed. TB I.3.3.7 mentions the golden versel full of honey to be given with the purpose of becoming possessor of honey etc. (madhavyah asanīti.)

e) offering material -

The daksina has some magical relation with the offering material sometimes. At the Pasubandha in the Sautramani a reddish-white cow which is clearly with a calf is to be seized for Adti. The daksina of this

animal-sacrifice is also the same kind of cow. Then a dappled cow which is clearly with a calf is seized for Maruts and the daksina for this is also a dappled cow clearly with a calf (SB V.5.2.8.9).

Thus, it will be seen that the Brahmanas have some explanation for the particular kind of daksina they prescribe.

Quantity of Daksinas -

As far as the quantity of the daksinas is concerned we may remark that it is comparatively moderate. In the later ritual literature and particularly in the epics and puranas the number of cows or similar daksinas is told in a lofty manner (see e.g. Mahabharata XII.29.98ff). In the Brahmana-texts we find that the number of the things to be given is also shown to be magically significant. In the Mitravivada isti ten cows are the daksinas. For, there are ten deities, ten sacrificial dishes and ten offerings (SB XI.4.3.18). The reason why gold is often mentioned to be of the measure of one hundred grains is that a hundred years is the standard life-measure. SB XIII.4.1.6 mentions that to each of the four priests who eat the brahmaudana at the Asvamedha one thousand cows are to be given. For sahasra(thousand)

is equal to "all" and Asvamedha is also all. Thus, it will be seen how the number or quantity as well as the quality of the daksinas is also connected magically with the nature and purpose of the sacrifice. 20

Spirit, not letter -

The Brahmana-texts have laid emphasis on <u>śraddha</u> as the real basis of the dakṣiṇa. Therefore after prescribing the normal dakṣiṇas of the establishment of fires SB II.2.2.5 prescribes that one may give more according to one's "faith (<u>śraddha</u>)". Similar remark is made after prescribing the dakṣiṇas of Pitṛmedha also (ŚB XIII. 8.4.10). For the relation between śraddha and dakṣiṇa cf. also TB III.9.11.8 where it is prescribed that one who wants to have ample faith of others should give ample dakṣiṇas. ŚB XIV. 6.9.22 describes śraddha as the foundation (<u>pratistha</u>) of the dakṣiṇa.

²⁰ Heesterman consecration, p.164; cf. also Bollée, Sadvimsabrahmana p.64 in the note on II.10.14.

²¹ For the relation between <u>śradha</u> and dakṣiṇa see

Oldenberg ZDMG, 50,448; the same <u>Weltanschauung</u>,p215;

Bloomfield AJPh;17,412; <u>Silburn</u>, <u>Instant et cause</u>,p.89f;

Gonda, <u>VIJ</u>,1964, "Gift; and giving in the Rgveda",p.30;

the same, <u>Religionen Indiens</u>, I.43; the same, <u>Change</u>

and Continuity, 218; <u>Heesterman</u>, <u>IIJ</u>, 3.243.

The daksina is not meant to be forced. The giver should give it without hesitation, with liberality etc. "whatever daksina he gives unhezitatingly, with a liberal mind thereby he gets great things (yam vai ratamana avicikitsan daksinam dadati taya mahajjayati.) (ŚBIV-3.4.20)

When some special kinds of daksinas are mentioned, the likely difficulty in obtaining those things is taken into consideration and some alternative is given. A black cloth is the daksina of an offering to Varuna; for whatever is "black" that belongs to Varuns. Then, it is said that if such a cloth is not available, a cloth of any colour with "knots" is to be given; for whichever contains "knots" is also sacred to Varuna (SB V.2.5.17). Among the Ratnin offerings at the offering in the house of Samgrahitr a pair of "twin" bullocks is the daksina; but if the "twin" bullocks are not available, then any two bullocks produced by successive birth from the same mother can be used (SB V.3.1.8).

The ability of the sacrificer is taken into consideration at the time of prescribing daksinas. After the Udavasaniya 1sti an offering to Visnu is made. SB IV.5.1.16 there prescribes that one may give daksinas as many as

one can afford. We have above mentioned how AB VI.35 states that daksina is to be given may be a small one. It seems here that there is no rigidity in the daksina rules. The Brahmanatexts have not confined themselves to the form or letter of a rule, but they take into consideration the spirit also. Thus, theory and practice are both thought of in the Brahmanas and in the ritualism itself the germs of spiritualism are found in them.

(H) Prayascitti

The mistakes in the ritual:

The sacrifice was growing in the period of Brahmanas..

It was becoming more and more complex also. There were many small or big actions to be done and it was necessary to do them in the way prescribed. Any mistake in the performance would not only create obstacle in obtaining the good result of the sacrifice but would create some positive harm.

Let us see some examples in which we get the information about the bad results of mistakes. In the dialogue between Sauceya and Pracinayogya we know some bad results of the mistakes. If at the time when the fires are taken out and the sacrificial vessels are brought down, the ahavaniya fire were to go out the eldest son would die before long in the case of him who would not know, the ritual knowledge, the expiation. Similarly if Garhapatya fire were to go out, the lord of the house (Grhapati) would die; if the Anvaharvyapa-

cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 123f remarks

"Dans ce dédale de prescription minutieuses l'erreur est
aisée et les consequences en sont terribles". cf. Hubert,

Mauss, "Sacri", p.61; cf. also Winternitz, GIL, p.140;

Keith, RPV, p. 463, Silburn, Instant et cause, p.87.

cana fire were to go out, the cattle would die; if all the fires were to go out, the family would be without heirs; if all the fires were to go out when there should be no wind, the sacrificer would see unpleasant things in this world as well as in the yonder world (SB XI.5.3.8ff). According to TB III.7.2.4-5 if the milk falls down after one offering is made, the sacrificer would loose the bipeds; and if he offers the second oblation at some other occasion then the quadrepeds will be lost. Earlier, TB I.7.2.1-2 it is said that if some part of the milk has been gone and offering is made from out of which remains, then the sacrificer would not have offspring and cattle and if that is not used, the sacrificer will not have any house. Therefore, it is to be poured on a moul. If the milk is touched by worms, and offered afterwards in the fire, then also the sacrificer is likely to be without offspring and cattle (TB III.7.2.2-3).

It is very interesting to note that the ritual mistake can sometimes cause physical disease. Thus TB (III.7.2.3-4)

cf. Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.325; the same
Weltanschauung, p.209; for the relation of mistake and
disease, cf. also Keith RPV, p. 265; W. Gampert, Die
Sühnezeremonien in der altindischen Rechtslitteratur,
p. 28.

says that if rain falls on milk and that is offered then the sacrificer will suffer from leprosy or he would get piles (arsas). The concept of ritual mistake as the cause of disease is very much connected with the prayascitti as a means of "curing" (see further).

Mistakes in the sacrificial performance create harm not only to the sacrificer but sometimes to the sacrificer and the priests simultaneously. Thus if the coals in the fire fall down before the prayajas (fore-offerings) are offered it is bad to those as follows: if they fall towards the east then it is bad to adhvaryu and the sacrificer; if towards the south, bad to brahman and the sacrificer; if towards the west, to hot; and the sacrificer's wife; if towards the north, then it is bad to agnidh, cattle and the sacrificer (TB III.7.2.5.7).

Any kind of mistake damages the sacrifice and the sacrifice which is an achievement of perfection cannot give the good results expected. When a dog goes between the fires, the sacrifice is cut as it were (TB I.4.3.6). A mistake may create a hole (chidra) "One should not pass over a sentence of the Nivid; if one were to pass over a sentence of the Nivid, one would make a hole in the sacrifice" (AB III.11). One of the names of the sacrifice is Makha. While giving its etymology, the GB shows that there are two parts in this word

- ma (which implies negation) and kha (which implies a hole). It is suggested by means of this etymology that there should not be any hole in the sacrifice (GB II.2.5). The sacrificer which is often supposed to be like a chariot, which goes tumbling (bhresan) when there is any mistake from the side of rc, yajus, etc. (JUB III.4.3.1). A mistake is sometimes described as causing the joint (parva) of the sacrifice fail and break (SB XII.6.1.32). The mistakes are described as cutting the sacrifice (yajnasya krntatrani) (JB II.45). The mistake is also described as a "calamity of the sacrifice (yajnasya vidhuram)" (JB II.41). (It is also called sometimes "blameworthy" (nindya) (SB IV.2.5.10). It is suggested that a mistake can bring out "killing of the sacrifice" and, therefore, arrangement is to be done so that it should be safe; it should be protected (yajnasya aghataya) (TB III. 8.9.4). \$B XI.1.4.4 we know that whenever any part is missing, it is called siptta and Sipivista Visnu gives that part. Visnu is elsewhere said to be guarding whatever is badly done and Varuna is said to be guarding whatever is performed correctly (AB VII.5. Visnur vai yajnasya duristam pati Varunah svistam). According to TMB, however, Varuna seizes that part of the sacrifice which is performed badly (yad vai yajnasya duristam tadvaruno grhaati. XIII.2.6; XV.1.3; 2.4).

Etymology and the meaning of the word Prayascitti:

In the later literature we find many efforts of giving etymology of the word Prayascitta. 3 Practically all the efforts are of the nature of afterthought. Let us see only a few of them. Patanjali in his Mahabhasya, commenting upon Panini VI.1.157 says, "prayasya citticittayoh sud askaro va prayascittih prayascittam" and thus seems to understand Praya and citti or citta as the component parts and s is coming in addition. He, however, does not explain the meaning of the word. The commentator Yajnikadeva on Katyass XXV.1.1 says about the word prayascitta as follows - "prayo vinasah vidhyatikramajanito dosah citi samjnana ityasya dhatos cittam anekarthatvad dhatoh samjnanam cittam ityucyate prayasya & cittam prayascittam vinastasya karmanah samdhanam ityarthah ... ". Thus here the word praya is understood to mean "what is ruined or broken" and "citta" as "joining" and prayascitta means according to him "joining together the broken act". Sayana on SVB I.5.1 says that praya means vihitadharmakaranasya praptih i.e. doing something which is not prescribed and citta or citti means jnana, knowledge.

For the collection of the various efforts of the etymological explanation of the word see P.V.Kane, History of Dharmasastra, Vol.IV, p.57ff; cf. also W. Gampert, Sühnezeremonien, p. 24ff.

Moniar-Williams derives the basic meaning of the word Prayascitta as "predominant thought". Willman-Grabowska also translates "la pensée prédominante". Wackernagel understands the first part as prayas and (not praya) meaning 'making good' 'friendship' etc. and translates the word prayascitta as 'Genugtuung' (eig. "Sorge für Freundschaft"). Oldenberg translates this word as "Sorge für (guten) Fortgang" and adds a question-mark. According to J.V. Negelein prayascitta

⁴ Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary, 1960, p. 708.

H. Willman-Grabowska, "L'expiation (prayascitti) dans les Brahmanas" in the Bulletin Internationale de L'Académie Polonaise des Sciences et des Lettres classe d'histoire et de Philosophie, Cracow, 1935, p.237.

⁶ Wackerragel, Debrunner, Attidische Grammatik, II.1, Göttingen, 1957, p.44.

⁷ Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p. 325, n.l.

JAOS, XXXIV (1915), "Atharvanaprayascittani", p.233; cf. also Stenzler, "On the Hindou doctrine of expiation"

Transactions of the second session of the international congress of orientalists, London, 1874, p.23.

is similar to <u>Pratikara</u> i.e. "Abwehrsmittel". E. <u>Leumann</u> gives the meaning of the word as "das Denkern an Besserung d. h. Beichte und Besse". <u>Gampert</u> having discussed the various opinions gives his meaning as follows: "Vorsatz des asketischen Suchens des Todes durch Enthaltung von Nahrung, um (Sühnung) zu erzwingen" <u>Mayrhofer</u> also translates the word as "Busse".

As far as the Brahmana-texts are concerned they give no etymology of the word Prayascitti. The forms of the word Prayascitta occur in the Brahmana-texts sometimes e.g. SadB I.6.12ff; SVB I.5.3; GB I.4.6 etc. but the more frequent forms are of the word prayascitti. It is, however, interesting to find that GB I.3.13 places Prayascitti in juxtaposition with the word Vidya ("ritually or magically potent or effective wisdom or knowledge"). About this we are going to give details afterwards but it is noteworthy that the meaning given

[&]quot;Buddha und Mahavira, die beiden Indischen Religionsstiften" (<u>Untersuchungen z. Gesch. d. Buddh</u>. 6, Münschen, 1921, p. 25).

¹⁰ Gampert, Sühnezeremonien, p. 27.

¹¹ Mayrhoffer, Worterbuch, Vol. II, p.377.n.

¹² Gonda, "Pratistha", Samjnavyakarana (SIL) 1954, p.6.

by Sayana which we have referred to above has a significant support here. From the etymology point of view, however, the Brahmana-texts do not help us.

The cases when Prayascitti is required :

Let us see the conditions in which the Prayascitti is to be performed. A big number of Prayascittis are to be performed on the occasions which are absolutely of the nature of "accidents" and in order to avoid the bad consequences of those the Prayascitti is done. A cow which is being milked for the offerings of Agnihotra may call aloud (AB V.27; ŚB XII.4.1.12) or stumble (AB V.27). The fires may mix up, e.g., Garhapatya and āhavanīya may mix up (AB VII.6) or all fires may be mingled (AB VII.6) or they may mingle with forest fires (AB VII.7) or with village fires (AB VII.7). It is possible that the fires may go out either singly or all (GB I.3.13; AB VII.8). All these occasions are merely accidental. But they are to be atoned for by means of Prayascittis.

Prayascittis are also to be performed if the prescribed rules or taboos are neglected. For example, the Brahman priest is expected to keep silence; but he might break his silence (ŚB I.7.4.20) or the sacrificer who is expected to speak truth might speak untruth (ŚB III.4.3.1; TMB VIII.6.13) or the sacrificer might eat the food of a woman with child

(which is not to be done) (AB VII.9). Sometimes the Agnihotra might not be offered even when the Sun has arisen or set (AB VII.12; JB I.61-62; ŚB XII.9.4.6). The horse of the Aśvamedha might couple with a mare (ŚB XIII.6.8.1) or might be caught by enemies (ŚB XIII.3.8.6). On such occasions prayaścittis are to be performed. Prayaścitti is also required if tears are shed during the sacrificial performance (GB II.1.15).

361

In the Samavidhana-brahmana which is very much similar to the Sutraliterature 13 we find some cases of Prayascittis which are not connected with the Śrauta ritual. They rather come in the field of social law and order. Thus there we find that Prayascittis are given for teaching an improper person (SVB I.5.10), drinking wine etc. (SVB I.5.15), assault (SVB I.7.4; 8.13-15), intercourse with a Śūdra woman (SVB I.6.6) etc. Prayascitti is required for such guilts. These occasions and Prayascittis have been studied by Burnell and he has rightly remarked that we have here some elements of criminal law of later times. 14

¹³ cf. Winternitz, GIL, I, p.239. also Gampert Sühnezeremonien, p.5.

¹⁴ A.C. <u>Burnell</u>, <u>The Samavidhanabrahmana</u>, Vol. I, London, 1873, introduction, p. XV.

The Brahmanas in general, however, treat Prayascitti only in connection with the Śrauta ritual. The difference between the latter Prayaścittas and the Prayaścittis in the Brahmana-texts in general is that originally the Brahmanical Prayaścittis are merely in connection with the ritual and have no reference to crime and punishments. The Prayaścittis in the Brahmanas are mainly connected with the accidental mishaps which take place, without notice. The juxtaposition of Vidya and Prayaścitti suggests the importance of knowledge, carefulness etc. so that mistakes may not happen.

The ways of Prayascittis :

The ways in which Prayascitti is made and the mistakes are atoned for differ in different cases.

reciting verses :

A Prayascitti can be done by means of reciting sacred verses. 17 When the Soma is being carried to the place of sacrifice the soma-cart might produce some noise of creaking.

¹⁵ Stenzler, "expiation", p. 206.

¹⁶ Kane, History of Dharmasastra, IV, p. 57.

¹⁷ cf. Gonda, "Indian Mantra", Oriens, 16, 1963, p.266f.

For this mishap a verse is recited (svangostham avadatam VS V.17). This is the Prayascitti (SB III.5.3.17). If any secular word is uttered then some rc or yajus text addressed to Viṣṇu is to be recited (SB I.1.4.9). If the brahman breaks silence and utters a secular word then also a rc or yajus text is recited. That rc or yajus text should be addressed to Viṣṇu. For Viṣṇu is identical with the sacrifice and by using such a text addressed to Viṣṇu one obtains hold on the sacrifice (SB I.7.4.20). If the Agnihotra-cow which is united with the calf and being milked calls aloud one has to say suyavasad bhagavati ... (RV I.164.10)(AB V.27).

offerings:

The mishaps are sometimes atoned for by means of offerings. If the Garhapatya and Ahavaniya were to mix a cake on eight potsherds is offered to Agni Viti (AB VII.6). If the fires of an ahitagni mingle with the other fires then a cake on eight potsherds is to be offered to Agni Vivici (TB I.7.3.5). If an ahitagni sheds tears a cake on eight potsherds is to be offered to Agni Vratabhrt (AB VII.8). If the soma of which some part is drunk and the soma of which no part has been drunk become mixed together then also an offering is to be made of that mixture to Indra and Agni (TMB IX.9.8). If the fire were to go out after the first libation has been offered, then an offering is to be made

with the words "darau darau agnih" after putting a log of wood on the fireplace (ŚB XII.4.3.1; JB I.56). If the lightning burns one's sacrificial fires a cake is to be offered to Agni Apsumat (ŚB XII.4.4.4). If some disease befalls on the horse of the Asvamedha a pap is prepared for Puṣan. Puṣan rules over the beasts. When Puṣan is gratified the horse becomes free from disease (ŚB XIII.3.8.2).

free is some mystical connection between the Prayascitti and the deity to which the offering is made as a way
of Prayascitti. Thus for example if an Ahitagni looses gold,
an offering is made to Agni Hiranyavat (= with gold) (AB VII.
9). If the filter (pavitra) is lost then an offering is
made to Agni Pavitravat (with filter) (AB VII.9). If the
sacrificial horse couples with a mare a milk oblation is to
be given to Vayu. For Vayu is a transformer of seeds. For
Vayu is identical with the vital air which is a transformer
of seeds. By means of seeds one puts seeds into it (SB XIII.
3.8.1).

Sometimes a connection with the offering material and the purpose for which it is offered as a Prayascitti is seen. If any eye-disease befalls on the horse a pap (caru) to Surya is given. The reason why it is caru is that by means of the eye the body moves (car) and the root car is connected with caru (SB XIII.3.6.4).

substitutes :

Making substitute is another way of the Prayascitti performances. 18 When the morning-milking for the Samnayya becomes spoiled or someone carried it one should prepare in its place a cake for Indra or Mahendra (AB VII.4). If the offering spoon splits then it is put on the Ahavaniya and with another spoon all the duties are done (AB VII.5). If the Asvamedha-horse is lost, another horse should be brought and sprinkled and sacrifice should be performed by means of this horse. This substitution itself is the Prayascitti (SB XIII.1.6.3). If the soma-pressing stone is broken then another is to be taken; but if none is available an implement made of Udumbara wood or Palasa wood will be the substitute (JB I.353). If the soma plant is not available, the Putikaplants are to be used. If Putikaplants are not available then arjuna-plants are to be used and so on (TMB IX.5.3ff; EP.JB I.354f).

uttering Vyahrtis :

The utterances <u>bhuh</u>, <u>bhuvah</u> and <u>svah</u> have got some magical significance and by uttering them the Prayascitti is made. The origin of the Vyahrtis is told in the following

¹⁸ V. Negelein, JAOS, XXXIV (1915) p. 235.

manner. Prajapati desired to creat the world and beings. He practised penance and created the three worlds. From those worlds heated three bright things (sukra) were born, viz., from the earth the fire, from the air the wind and from the sky the Sun. From these bright things heated the three Vedas were born. When those three Vedas were heated, the Vyahrtis were born. The gods were advised by Prajapati to perform the sacrifices by means of three Vedas. The gods asked for the remedies if any wrong happened in the performance of the sacrifice. Prajapati then explained them the use of the three Vyahrtis which were the essence of the Vedas. any mishap with regard to the rc happens then an offering is to be offered in the Garhapatya fire with the words bhus svaha; if with regard to yajus then in the Agnidhra fire, with bhuvas svaha and if with regard to saman then in the Ahavaniyafire, with svas svaha. (JB I.357f for the story of origin see also JUB III.4.1.4ff; AB V.32).19

The Vyahrtis are called "the all-prayascittis (sarva-prayascitti)" (AB V.32; SB XII.4.1.8; JB I.53; 60; 63; JUB III.4.3.3). They can be used for any unknown mishap (JB I. 358; AB V.32) or according to SadB I.6.17 for both the

¹⁹ JB I.363 we read that Sitibahu Aişakrta saw the Vyahrtis.

mishaps known and unknown. If for example, the Agnihotramilk is spoiled then one pours some water with the Vyahrtis (SB XII.4.1.6-8; JB I.53f). The function of the Vyahrtis is of "joining" the sacrifice which is "broken" when some mistake has taken place. "As one would mend gold with salt, silver with gold, tin with silver, copper with tin, iron with copper, wood with iron, wood and leather with glue even so one knowing this cures everything" (JUB III.4.3.3). "These Vyahrtis are internal fastenings of the Vedas; just as one may unite one thing with another or joint with a joint or with a cord unite an object of leather or something which has come apart, so with this one unites whatever in the sacrifice has come apart (broken)". (AB V.32).

to do in the correct manner what is done wrongly :

If the Garhapatya fire goes out it is supposed mystically that it has gone to the churning sticks. Then one has to churn out fire again and put it in the place of Garhapatya fire and Prayascitti is made (SB VI.6.4.13). Similarly if the Ahavaniya fire goes out it is supposed to have gone to the Garhapatya and it is brought from Garhapatya and Prayascitti is made (SB VI.6.4.14). If the fire-pan (ukha) is broken another is to be prepared. This action of preparing the fire-pan itself is the Prayascitti (SB VI.6.4.7f). Here the repetition of the action is to be done and that is of the nature of the Prayascitti.

giving to the Priests:

Prayascittis are made sometimes by giving something to the priests. If the Agnihotra-cow when united with the calf and being milked sits down, the cow is addressed with the words "yasmad bhisa ..." and made rise with "udasthad devyaditih ...". Then a pot of water is placed on her udder and mouth and then she is given to a Brahmana (AB V.32). If the Agnihotra-cow were to milk blood then the blood is boiled on the Anvaharyapacana fire and is offered silently. The sacrificer gives that cow to a Brahmana whom he does not intend to visit (SB XII.4.2.1. cf. also JB I.60). In the Sunasiriya-isti a white cow is given to a project and this represents the prayascitti (GB II.1.26).

symbolical performance :

If the Sun sets on a man's fire before it is taken out, the performer should put gold in front in the evening. The Agnihotra in the evening is to be performed before the sunset in the evening. But if it is not done so the gold is used. The gold represents the Sun; for gold is pure light and the Sun is also pure light. In the morning Agnihotra is to be performed before the Sun rises; but if it is late, then silver is to be put. For silver represents the moon and thereby the night. Thus symbolically the Agnihotra becomes offered correctly. (AB VII.12; KB II.5; SB XII.4.4.6; JB

I.61_62). Similarly if the Sun were to set on one's Vasativari water a fire-brand or a piece of gold is to be used in order to represent the Sun (SB III.9.2.8). Thus symbolical performance is done as a Prayascitti in these cases in order to atone for the mistakes.

avantaradiksa :

The avantaradikṣa (intermediate consecration) can also serve as a Prayascitti. The Gods desired a Prayascitti for having spoken evil to one another and practised the avantaradikṣa. By means of this dikṣa one makes Prayascitti for what one has done injurious to the vow which has accepted at the dikṣa ceremony (SB III.4.3.1).

"non-ritual" prayascittis :

There are some Prayascittis which are of "non-ritual" character. They are of practical character. Thus for example, if any one's Agnihotra-cow lows while being milked a bunch of grass is to be given for eating (AB VI.27; SB XII.4.1.12). Here the Prayascitti is purely of the practical sort and can be described as "non-ritual".

no prayascitti at all :

Sometimes no Prayascitti is mentioned. Thus there is a dialogue as follows: "What is the Prayascitti if it were

to rain upon any one's Agnihotra milk when it has been ladled into the offering spoon ?" The answer is, "Let him know light (or sap) has come to me from above. The Gods have helped me from above and then let him make offering therewith (SB XII.4.2.10; JB I.56). Here no Prayascitti has been mentioned. It is a rule that the Sun should not set upon the sacrificer when the sacrificer is abiding elsewhere than the sacrificial hall. Again the Sun should not sise while the sacrificer is sleeping. There is no prayascitti if these rules are broken (natra prayascittir asti). One has to be careful and behave in the correct manner (SB III. 2.2.27).

prayascitti by means of saman :

Some samans are described as having the Prayascitti nature as well as having the curing nature (bhesaja). Thus the seventh day in the Dvadasaha sacrifice is described as "fallen down as it were (vibhrastam iva)". The Jarabodhiya saman which is Prayascitti and bhesaja is, therefore, used to cure it (JB III.197). Similar remark is made about the Sausravasa saman (JB III.202).

The significances of the Prayascittis:

As is stated above the mishap or mistake "breaks" the sacrifice and the Prayascitti "joins" the sacrifice. The

ideal sacrifice is "whole, complete, intact" etc. The Prayascitti helps to obtain this ideal stage by "joining" the parts (cf. SB XIII.6.1.1-2; JB I.358; GB I.3.18). "Joining" is thus a very important significance of the Prayascitti. Sometimes a Prayascitti is intended to bring "fullness". After the sacrifice has been completed, the adhvaryu walks gound the fire to the south and pours out a vessel full of water. Thereby everything becomes full (SB I.9.3.1ff). The mishap is supposed as "missing the way". So the Prayascitti brings the sacrifice to its own proper way, its norm. When the Garhapatya fire is set out an offering is given to Agni Pathikrt who will then bring the performance to the normal "path" (SB XII.4.4.1; TB I.4.4.10). By means of Prayascittis one can remove the evil (papman) (SB XII.6.1.3ff). The Prayascittis also make the sacrifice to incline towards the sacrificer (SB XII.6.1.3ff). Another function of the Prayascittis is to give firm foundation (pratistha) 20 to the sacrifice among the gods (GB II.2.10; cp. TB III.7.2.1ff.

To appease (<u>sam</u>) is also another significance of Prayascittis. When two fires are united they burn the

²⁰ For <u>Pratistha</u> see <u>Gonda</u>, "Pratistha" in <u>samjñavyakarana</u>
(SIL) I, 1954, p.lff.

family and cattle of the sacrificer if they are not appeased. An offering to Agni Agnimat is offered and then the fires are appeased (SB XII.4.3.4f; JB I.65). Similarly if the fires are burnt by lightning then offerings are given to Agni Apsumat in order to appease fire lest that would burn the sacrificer's family and cattle (SB XII.4.4.4). The water has pacifying and appeasing powers and it is used with that purpose in the prayascittis. If a man's Agnihotra-milk when put on the fire spoils or pours over, water is to be poured (AB VII.5). Waters are said to be appeasing all things (apo vai sarvasya santih). Therefore, by means of them, appeasing of the cruelty involved in killing soma, the king is made (JB I.82). If there are bad priests for the performance of the sacrifice, the bad consequences of this mistake are appeased by the brahman by means of sprinkling water (GB I.1.13f). The waters are called "appeasement" and "medicine" (santir vai bhesajam apah KB III.6; VI.14; TB I.1.3.1).

Another significance often found is that of "curing". This is expressed by means of the words such as <u>Bhişajyati</u> etc. As the mistakes are supposed to be like disease they are to be cured by means of Prayascittis which are like medicines. JB I.358 it is said that a wise priest joins the sacrifice and cures it by means of Prayascittis. Similarly

whenever there is some mistake in the process of the somasacrifice an offering is made to the deity concerned at that
particular stage and then the deity "cures" the sacrifice
(SB XII.6.1.1-2). The brahman priest is chiefly intended
for the performance of Prayascittis. Brahman is rightly
described as the physician of the sacrifice (AB V.34; SB
XIV.2.2.19; cp. JB I.358).21

The word <u>niskṛti</u> (removal) is used in the connection of Prayascitti. If any wagon or any one moves through the fire place of a sacrificer who is a performer of long session, at the time of offering the Agnihotra, Miskṛti is to be made according to some ritualists (SB XII.4.1.2). Here the word <u>niskṛti</u> removal (of the mistake) is used. Thus we understand that one of the functions of Prayascitti is to remove the mishaps.

The Prayascitti is not merely for avoiding the bad consequences. It is also positively useful. If at the sacrifice some earthen vessel is broken it is touched with the words "earth has gone to earth ... " Thereby the sacrificer becomes prosperous (ṢaḍB I.6.20).

²¹ cf. Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p. 395; Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I, p.142.

From ritualism to spiritualism :

Even though the mistakes in the sacrifice were supposed to create some positive harm, the Prayascittis are to be performed when some mistakes occur, there were some thinkers who used to neglect the mistakes and profess that one should not be afraid of them. Thus Aruni said, "How would it be possible to sacrifice for oneself or another and be on the one hand distressed at the failure and on the other rejoiced at the success of it ? I should sacrifice at the right time for myself and at the right time for another. I am rejoiced at the failure of the sacrifice and I am rejoiced at its success" (SadB I.6.2f). SB IV.5.7.9 puts this rather differently "why should he sacrifice who thinks himself worse for a miscarriage of the sacrifice ? I, for one, am the better for a miscarriage of the sacrifice". (SB IV.5.7.9). SB XI.4.4.8ff we read how mistakes also are helpful. Whatever is incomplete that is productive; whatever is redundant that is favourable to cattle and whatever is uncertain (samkasuka) is helpful for getting prosperity. Thus we find here how dryness and rigidity of the rules of the performance of the sacrifice are not dominating everywhere. The essence or spirit of the sacrifice is more important than the mere details. Therefore, the knowledge of the correct ritual is more important and then even if some mistake in the

performance happens that also will lead to good result.

We have already shown above how Prayascitti and Vidya are mentioned side by side. Uddalaka Aruni asked Sauceya Pracinayogya, "What is thy Agnihotra cow? What is thy calf ..." and after asking many such details remarked, "If thou hast offered the Agnihotra knowing this then it has indeed been offered by thee; but if thou hast offered it (not knowing this), then it has not been offered by thee (SB XI.5.3.4). Then Uddalaka gave him the mystic interpretation of the Agnihotra. Then Sauceya asked the knowledge, the Prayascitti (SB XI.5.3.8ff we find the word vidita = knowledge, and GB I.3.13 uses the word vidya with the same meaning) for various mishaps or mistakes. Thus the Brahmana-texts give importance to knowledge everywhere and that is the link between the ritualism and spiritualism.

(I) Avabhrtha

Introduction :

Avabhrta takes place at the end of a soma-sacrifice.

The sacrificer takes bath along with his wife and this bath along with the related rites is called Avabhrtha which is to be taken into consideration now.

The performance of the avabhrtha1:

At the end of the sema-sacrifice samistayajus is performed and then the sacrificer throws the black deer's horn and the girdle into the catvala (pit) (SB IV.4.5.2). Then prastotr sings a saman in Aticchandas metre addressed to Agni (SB IV.4.5.6-8). Then the sacrificer and the priests go towards the water and where there is a standing pool of flowing water, there the sacrificer descends into the water (SB IV. 4.5.9-10; JB II.67). SadB III.1.32 allows that one may go to the running water. In the first sacrificial session on the bank of Sarasvatī, the performers should go to the river Yamuna at Karapacava (and never to the river Sarasvatī) (cf. TMB XXV.10.23 and Sayaṇa's commentary on it. For the avabhṛtha in the river Yamuna see also TMB XXV.13.4). Then after kindling the fire,

¹ For the avabhrtha see Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, 407ff;
Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri". p.868. Caland, Henry, L'Agnistoma,
section 254; Keith, RPV, p.303f; Gonda, Religionen
Indiens I. p.157.

offerings are made to Agni and Varuna. After the offerings the pot, in which the husks are, is made to float (SB IV.4.5. 12-20). The sacrificer immerses into the water and prays for being free from sin (SB IV. 4.5.22). Then both the sacrificer and his wife bathe and wash each other's back. Having wrapped themselves in fresh garments they step out and return to the place of sacrifice. Then an offering is made into the Ahavaniya fire (SB IV.4.5.23; cf. JB II.67).

A mention may be made of the special features of avabhrtha in the Asvamedha. An offering is made to Bhrunahatya (killing of an embryo). Thereby death is supposed to be pleased and a cure is made to one who kills an embryo (TB III.9.15.2-3). Again the last of the Asvastomiya oblation is made at the time of the avabhrtha of the Asvamedha sacrifice. With the words - "To Jumbaka hail" an offering is made on the head of a white-spotted, baldheaded man with protruding teeth and reddish brown eyes. Jumbaka is Varuna and the person described represents Varuna. Thereby freedom from Varuna is obtained (SB XIII.3.6.5; TB III.9.15.3).²

² For this offering see Oldenberg, ZDMG, 18(1864), p.268;
Oldenberg opposes Weber's theory that the man is drowned in the water in the course of this offering. Religion des Veda, p.408, n.1.

Etymology of the word Avabhrtha:

The word Avabhrtha is derived from the root hr with the prefix ava. The explanation given is as follows - The vital sap of the soma has been produced (extracted) by the priests for the offerings and then there is no sap in the body of the Soma i.e. the soma-husks. Yet it is not to be cast away. It is to be taken down (avahr-) to the waters The waters are sap and the sap is put into the soma, and the Soma is produced thereby. And because they take it down (avahr) to the water, therefore, the bath is called avabhrtha (SB IV.4.5.1)3. The etymology is open to objection and one can better derive the word from avabhr meaning to carry off, remove etc. 4 and keep concord with the Brahmana-texts which connect the avabhrtha to the removal of sin etc.

of. Renou, Vocabulaire, p.21 where he says - "boin final de purification, proprement d'emportement ou de descente (a l'eau) ainsi dans le soma".

Religion des Veda, p.320. He, however, on p.407f says that purification from sin is not the "original" purpose of avabhrtha. The original purpose is according to him to remove the supernatural powers which the sacrificer has taken upon himself at the time of Diksa; cf. Keith, RPV, p.303; p.276 cp. Gonda, New Indian Antiquary, Vol.2,p.75 Minard Trois énigmes II.291 understands the significances

Symbolical performances of the avabhrtha:

Sometimes the avabhrtha is performed only symbolically. In the animal-sacrifice for Agni and Soma, avabhrtha is symbolically performed with the heart-spit (hrdayasula). is not to be thrown into the ground nor into the water. On going down to the water, the adhvaryu buries it at the place where the dry and the moist meet. Optionally a vessel of water can be poured out in front of the sacrificial post and where the dry and the moist meet, there the heart-spit is to be burried. Varuna is solicited then to release the sacrificer from any sin (SB III.8.5.8ff). This is to be understood as the avabhrtha (SB XI.7.2.7). Here the actual avabhrtha bath does not take place and, therefore, this avabhrtha is symbolic one. Similarly by means of the heartspit symbolic avabhrtha is performed in the animal sacrifice which takes place at the beginning (SB VI.2.2.38) and at the end (SB IX.5.1.41) of the Fire-building ceremony.

In the Agnihotra when the sacrificer cleanses, that is understood as a form of avabhrtha (SadB IV.1.10; cf. TB II.1.4.9; JB I.4). Similarly at the end of the Darsapurnamasa

of the avabhrtha as purification and desacralization Heesterman, IIJ VI p.13f says that the consecrated sacrificer who is impure when he is engaged in the ritual becomes pure by means of this avabhrtha-bath.

offerings when the sacrificer cleanses his mouth, he makes a symbolical avabhrtha (TB III.3.10.4). In the symbolical description of the study as the Brahmayajna the truth is understood as avabhrtha (SB XI.5.6.3). In this way where there is no avabhrtha-bath as such by the very nature, there symbolically avabhrtha is said to be performed when certain actions are done. The avabhrtha-bath proper is a characteristic of a soma-sacrifice. But when the avabhrtha is said to be present at least symbolically, those rites are indirectly given the nature of soma-sacrifice just for the sake of their elevation and praise. For soma-sacrifice is very high sacrifice and even to possess any characteristics of that sacrifice was on elevating factor for a rite which was not a soma-sacrifice in itself.

Significances of the avabhrtha:

- a) The avabhrtha is called samstha (SB IV.2.1.38) the end, the temporal foundation of the sacrifice. It is an important concluding rite and marks the end of the sacrifice. The sacrifice should be performed to the end, so that it becomes well-founded from the temporal point of view and in this respect the avabhrtha is significant.
- b) The chief significance of the avabhrtha is, however, to remove sin, to expiate etc. 4 The sense of "removal" is in the word avabhrtha itself if seen from the grammatical point

of view. In the verse recited at the time of immersing into the water release from sin is desired - "O laving bath, laving thou glidest along; with the help of the Gods may I wipe out sin committed against the Gods; and with the help of mortals that committee against mortals (VS VIII.27 quoted in SB IV.4.5.22). In the verse recited at the time of avabhrtha in the Sautramani, details of the sin are given - the sin done by day, by night, whether done when the person is awake, or when he is sleeping, done in the village or in the forest, in the assembly; the sin in the organs of sense, the sin against the Sudra or Arya and the sin against the right of anyone. From these kinds of sins deliverence is desired (VS XX.14-18 quoted in SB XII.9.2.2). SB IV.4.5.23 it is remarked that the sacrificer casts away all his sin as a snake castes away all his skin and there is not in him even as much sin as there is in a toothless child. SadB III.1.2 says that the water is appeasement of all and thus suggests the expiative character of the avabhrtha. SadB III.1.32 mentions that he who sacrifices kills evil and, therefore, waters are addressed to carry evil away. For the expiative character of the avabhrtha see also the passage from Jabali Brahmana in Ghosh, Lost Brahmans, p.107.

Connection with Varuna :

The avabhrtha-bath has a particular connection with Varuna. Thus we find that in the description of origin of

several details of Agnistoma, it is said that the Gods created the avabhṛtha from Varuṇa (GB I.4.7). Accordingly avabhṛtha is described to be belonging to Varuṇa (ŚB IV. 4.5.14). In the verse used at the time of avabhṛtha Varuṇa is prayed to deliver those who pray from the fetters (VS VI.22 quoted in ŚB III.8.5.10). Homage is paid to Varuṇa and the Varuṇa's share is desired to be down-trodden (ŚB IV.4.5.11). In the process of the avabhṛtha-performance a sacrificial cake is offered to Varuṇa (ŚB IV.4.5.15). Connection of Varuṇa and the prayer to be released from his fetters also suggests the expiative nature of the avabhṛtha. The sin from which deliverence is expected is the "ritual sin" or the mistakes made in the performance.

c) Occasionally, other significances of the avabhrtha rites are also mentioned. The significance of the samasigning in the avabhrtha is to remove the evil beings (ŚB IV.4.5.6ff). The same is the significance of the offering to Agni. For Agni is the repeller of the evil beings (ŚB IV.4.5.13). The significance of the sacrificial cake to Varuna is to put sap in the soma, the sap of which has been extracted.

⁵ For the avabhrtha as freeing from Varuna, see Meyer, Trilogie, III. p.254.

⁶ cf. Keith, RPV, 478.

Then the soma becomes produced. The soma, when produced, produces the sacrificer (SB IV.4.5.17). According to GB I.4.8 by performing the avabhrtha one gets the association and the worlds of Varuna. In the prayer mentioned in connection with the avabhrtha of the Sautramani offering one says - "Along the waters have I gone this day; with their essence have we united; rich in the sap, O Agni have I come; do thou unite (supply) me with splendour, offspring, and with wealth (VS AA.22 quoted in SB AII.9.2.9). Thus splendour offspring and wealth follow the avabhrtha performance.

Conclusion :

The avabhrtha which is performed at the end of the soma-sacrifice gives it a temporal foundation and sometimes it is performed symbolically in the rites not of the soma-sacrifice-nature in order to give them soma-sacrifice-nature. It is an expiative rite from removing away the ritual sin, but side by side other results like offspring, wealth, etc. are also attached to this rite.

(J) Abhicara rites

Introduction:

Thus <u>Hillebrandt</u> remarks that it is very difficult to know the difference between magic and sacrifice in Indian tradition. Similarly <u>Oldenberg</u> says that in the Brahamanatexts the sacrifice is becoming more and more magical. He further says the sacrifice stands by the magic. Frazer has also said that confusion of magic and religion is often found in many primitive societies and also in India. Keith observes that turning of magic into prayer and sacrifice is a constant feature of vedic magic. Further he says that we cannot believe that there has been ever a time when the vedic sacrifice was not filled with magic elements. About the sacrifice in the Brahmanas he remarks that it is

l Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p. 167 Levi La doctrine du sacrifice. p. 129.

² Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p. 127 f.

³ Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p. 129.

⁴ Frazer, Golden bough (abridged edition), p. 52 f.

^{5 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p. 133.

^{6 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p. 379.

a piece of magic pure and simple. Thus though the sacrifice in general can be can be called magico-religious certain rites which are meant for troubling the enemy are included in the sacrificial system of the vedic age are to be studied more particularly. One of the results expected to accrue from the sacrifice concerns with the enemies of the sacrificer. Thus the sacrificer desires to get victory over the enemy, to defeat him, to be superior to him and finally to kill him. In the sacrificial system there are many rites small or big for this purpose. Some of them are included in some particular sacrifice and some of them are separate sacrifices themselves. The Brahamana texts use the forms of the root car with the prefix abhi in expressing such rites. Therefore, we may generalize them as the abhicara-rites.

Abhicara-rites included in a particular sacrifice :

In the soma-sacrifice in connection with the <u>Samsava</u> there are some abhicara-rites. When there are two soma-sacrificers performing soma-sacrifices at the same time, it is called <u>samsava</u>. The deities go to the sacrificer

⁷ Keith, RPV. p. 454

⁸ For the samsava and the contest of the two sacrificers in it see <u>Hillebrandt</u>, <u>Vedische Mythologie I. p. 260</u>

who has been consecrated first. The consecrated person then closes his hands (AB I.3). JB I 343, it is said that those who press the soma at the same time, they are enemies of each other (sapatnayantivaite ye samsunvanti). If they are not inimical and do not hate each other, then there is no samsava according to two lost Brahamanas viz. Kankatibrahmana and Chagala-brahmana. 9 But if anybody wants to overcome the other there are some abhicara-rites by means of which the sacrifice of other sacrificer does not become successful. Thus, when two sacrifices are held simultaneously, the adhuaryu should in the dead of night, make the summons for the morning litany. Then he is the first to appropriate the voice, the metres and the deities The offerings are to be made on the well-flaming fire. The offerings are to be made with the formulas, "For lying down,! for sitting down ! for the conquest of the metre Tristubh Svaha ! For lying down, for sitting down ! For the conquest of the Jagati metre svaha! " The conquests are the metres and by means of these he conquers the rivals. Both the Brhad and Rathantara samani are to be used. The two Taurasravasa samans should also be used. The soma-

⁹ See <u>Ghosh Collection from the lost Brahmanas</u>, p. 103 and p. 105.

pressing should be made before that of others (TMB IX 4.1 ff; cp. JB I.342). If the other soma sacrifice be an Agnistoma then an Ukthya should be performed; If an Ukathya, then a Sodasi; if a Sodasi then an Atiratra; if an Atiratra then a Dviratra, if a Dviratra, then a Triratra. Thus, the larger sacrifice is to be performed (JB I.344; cp. TMB IX 4.15). There are, however, some who say, "difficult to reach is the further path. From the sacrifice which he undertakes in the beginning, he should not depart (i.e. he should not perform the larger sacrifice and should perform the undertaken)" (TMB IX.4.16; cp. JB I.344). If it is desired that the adhvarya of the other side should die, the adhvaryu is told to offer with the rgvesses addressed to Prajapati in the morning pressing. If it is desired that the hotr of others should die, the hotr is told to offer with the rgverses addressed to Prajapati in the midday pressing. If it is desired that the udgatr of other side should die, the udgatr to beir told to offer with the rgverses addressed to Prajapati in the third pressing (JB I.343). Thus, there are these abhicara-rites in connection with the samsava at a somasacrifice.

In the soma-sacrifice like Agnistpma there are other abhicara-rites also. Thus, if one wants to do abhicara,

the sprinking water which is left, one should pour it outside the altar with the words, "This burning water I dismiss from the sacrifice against so and so." (Here the name of the enemy is to be pronounced). One then smites him with that sorrow and sorrowing he goes to the yonder world (SB III 5.2.8). If abhicara is meant to be done the udgatr should put down the pressing stones with their faces averted and then shove (the dronakalasas) away with (the formula): " Here ! shove away (separate) from the clan so and so, the member of the Gotra so and so, the son of the mother so and so" he thereby shoves him as under (separates him) from the clan, from the food (TMB VI.6.2). In connection with the abhicara in drawing the somagraha SB 6.5.5 says " For whatever deity one draws this graha, that deity, being seized by this graha, fulfils that wish of his for which he draws it. He approaches either the rising or the setting sun, thinking, "thou art the seizer, seize thou N.N. by such and such a disease ! May N.N. not obtain such and such" or with "May such and such a wish not be fulfilled to him; " and assuredly that wish is not fulfilled to him for whom he thus approaches (the sun). In the course of the Asvamedha when the blood of the horse is offered to the svistakrts the rival becomes overcome (SB XIII 3.4.2).

Special sacrifices of Abhicara nature :

There are some special sacrifices for the purpose of abhicara. The sacrifice named Saunaka is a modified form of Darsapurnamasa meant for the abhicara. One has to begin on the full moon night. It is a sacrifice for one desiring to lay low (his enemies) (KB IV. 7) 10 Aruna Aupavesi offered Agnihotra as an abhicara-rite. He said, "I kill my enemies with thunderbolt in the morning and in the evening. Therefore my enemies are inferior to me." One should laddle four times; offer twice; and the firewood is the seventh. The Sakvara metre has seven feet, and the thunderbolt is connected with the Sakvari. Thus when one offers Agnihotra one kills one's enemy with the thunderbolt (TS II 1.5.11.). The sacrifice Upahavya can be performed by one who wants to practise exorcism (JB II. 150). The sacrifice named Manustoma can catch the enemy and remove him from this world to that (JB II.169). The two samaagya sacrifices are described as being thunderbolt and one kills with this thunderbolt one's enemy when one performs this sacrifice (JB II.310)

Of particular interest are the sacrifices of the abhicara nature which can be found described by SadB.

¹⁰ For details cf. Keith, RBT, p. 367

Syena is the foremost among them. With this sacrifice he should sacrifice who wants to perform the incantation. The falcon (Syena) is the swiftest of the birds. Just as the falcon catches the other birds, in just the same manner the sacrificer catches his rival by this rite. In this sacrifice each of the three Pavamana lauds is threefold; for the three fold stoma is the swiftest of the stomas. This helps for defeating the enemy. Similarly all the other details of the Syena sacrifice are of such a nature that they would be helpful for defeating the enemy. The havirdha navehicles are two wheeled chariots; therefore he throws the two wheeled chariot which is identical with the thunderbolt at his rival. The Vajrasaman is used and that is also the thunderbolt. If the sacrificer desires, "May the rival be defeated, he should take the Brhat for the prstha-laud and the Rathantara for the Brahmasaman. The Brhat is the ruling power and the Rathantara is the cattle. With ruling power he kills the cattle. If the sacrificer desires, "May the rival go long way, may he have no firm support," then he should apply in the midday Pavamana-laud the Rathantara, make the Brhat the first prsthalaud and apply the plava for the Brahmasaman. By both Brhat and Rathantara he removes the rival from both these worlds i.e.the heaven and the earth and by the Plava he causes him to float away and the rival then goes long and has no support. The

sacrificial post is made of the tailvaka or badhaka wood and it must have a point like a sword. The planks for pressing the soma-juice are made from the central planks of the wheels. The sacrificial animals dedicated to Agni should be red. The sacrificial grass consists of reeds (sara) for hurting (sirtyai) the rival. The fuel consists of vibhitaka wood for cleaving the rival. The priests discharge their sacred functions wearing red turbans, red clothes and having their brahmanic threads round their necks for the sake of destroying the rival. In each group of cows (which are detailed by the commentator as nine one-eyed, nine lame, nine paralysed and nine with defective horns etc.) there are nine cows. These are to be given to the priests as daksinas (SadB III.8.1.ff).

Similar is the sacrifice named Isu (arrow) by means of which also the sacrificer can hurt his ememy. In this sacrifice the Vistuti used is called Isu. Death, by an arrow strikes the rival prematurely when he uses the Vistuti named Isu. There is the Vasatkaranidhana. Then, there is the Saptaha-saman (the saman which kills seven). By using this upto seven men (descendants) there is no support for him against whom an incantation is made by means of this sacrifice. The remaining details are like those of Syena (SadB III.9.1.ff)

The next sacrifice is named as Samdamsa (Tongs) with the similar purpose against enemy. As with a pair of tongs a blacksmith takes hold of a thing which is very difficult to take hold of, so also the sacrificer takes hold of the enemy. The sacrifice is similar to the pair of tongs because there are two chants each time. The group of stomas amounts to the Trivrtstoma. The number of stotraverses amounts to the Brhatimetre. The Trivrtstoma is the thunderbolt; the Brhatimetre is cattle, with the thunderbolt he strikes his rival's cattle. There is the Vaiyasva-saman. By this saman he makes the rival horseless. The finale is paristubdheda by means of which the rival is cut. At the beginning of the midday and Arbhava-pavamana-lauds are the two Varsahara-samans; the Kasita and Aupagavasamans and the Nanadasamans. The Udgatrs compose injurious samans for destroying the rival. The sacrifice is performed without the saptadasastoma. The remaining details are the same as those of the Syeba (SadB III 10.1 ff).

Next comes the Vajra i.e. "Thunderbolt" sacrifice. There are fifteen lauds in this sacrifice. Fifteen is the thunderbolt and by it the rival is destroyed. The Vajra sacrifice is an Ukthya (which has fifteen lauds) combined with a Sodasin. The Ukathyas are cattle, the Sodasin is the thunderbolt. With the thunderbolt a thunderbolt is hurled at his rival and the rival is destroyed. This

sacrifice has then the Mahanamni verses and sixteen samans. The Mahanamni verses as well as the Sodasin are identical with the thunderbolt and that is hurled at the enemy. The remaining is the same as in the Samdamsa sacrifice. (SadB III.II.ff).

Defensive rites :

We have seen how some abhicara rites are included in the big sacrifices like Agnistoma and we also have seen how the Brahmanatexts have described some special sacrifices meant for the abhicara which will trouble the enemy. There are some rites of the abhicara which will trouble the enemy. There are some rites of the abhicara nature which are meant for defending oneself from the abhicara practised by others or for resisting it. The offerings for Bhutas in the Rajasuya are useful to remove death, and then it is said that because these offerings are offered, there is no possibility of the abhicara of anybody else that will have any effect upon the performer of the Rajasuya with these offerings (TB I.7.7.5). The fourth dasaratra namely Devapura is to be performed for him against whom abhicara is being done. 11 When the sacrifice is performed, the sacrificer enters the stronghold of the Gods. Then

¹¹ Cf. Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p. 167.

no one can lay him low (TMB XXII. 17.3) Sometimes not only self-defence is desired, but a counter-charm is also meant to be done. The Apamargahoma is done in the Rajasuya. By means of this ceremony a counter-charm can be performed. In whatever direction there is his evil-wisher, looking back to that direction he offers. For the Apamarga is of a backward effect. whosoever does anything to him there, him indeed he pitches backward. Here the name of the enemy is to be mentioned and the desire that the enemy should be slain is to be made (SB V.2.4.20). One can protect oneself from the abhicara of others by merely knowing the mystic significance. Thus the caturhotr-mantras are identical with the Brahman and from out of these the sacrifice has been created. He who knows this, against him no abhicara can be successful (TB III.12.5.1). Thus there is some provision for protecting oneself from the black magic done by others.

Magical rites used for good result :

Though in most of the cases the magical rites are directed against the hated person or the enemy and ruin of such person is expected to happen, there are some examples where some good results is expected out of those magical rites. Thus, the Traidhatavi offering which is performed in the course of the Rajasuya can be performed without

abhicara: but it can also be performed as an abhicara against the enemy. Then it is added that one may also use this offering for the purpose of healing. SB gives the explanation how this offering can be useful for healing. Whomsoever one would heal by a single rc, by a single yajus, by a single saman him one would render free from disease; how much more so by the tripple veda ! (SB V.5.5.14-15). The connection of this offering with the three vedas is shown thus :- The cake to be offered to Indra and Visnu is prepared of both rice and barley. First a ball of rice is put on the fire. That is a symbol of the yajus-formulas. Then a ball of barley is put. That is a symbol of rcverses. Then one of rice and it is a symbol of samans. Thus the offering becomes the form of three vedas (SB V. 5.5.9) and becomes useful for healing. Here an abhicara rite meant for destroying the enemy is said to be useful for healing also. We have mentioned above the abhicara in connection with the pressing stones. If the udgatr wants to do some favour upon the sacrificer he should put down the pressing stones with their "faces" towards each other and then shove the dromakalasa on them with the words. "Here I put on the clan so and so, on food thus and thus, so and so, the member of the Gotra so and so, the son of (mother) so and so !" He thereby puts him over the clan and on food (TMB VI.6.3). Here also instead of abhicara for destroying the enemy, favour upon the sacrificer is being done.

Some pecularities of the abhicara-rites :

a) recitation, singing etc.:

In the achicara-rites particular ways of recitations of particular words 2 or singing of the samans 3 have got an important place. Thus, while reciting the ajya sastra the recitation is made in the following way :- "Forward to your Agni" are Anustubh (verses). He separates the first two padas, verily thus he makes the thunderbolt broader below; he unites the last two padas: at the beginning a thunderbolt is narrow, and so of a club and of an axe; verily thus he hurts the thunderbolt at the enemy who hates him, as a weapon to lay low whom he has to lay low (AB II. 35). If the hotr desires of a man "Let him be without an abode," he should use for him as offering verse a Gayatri, a Tristubh or some other metre, not a Viraj and utter the vasat call in the recitation of Niskevalya sastra (AB III. 22). At the time of reciting the Mantras for the establishment of fires, one may recite yas te agne ghoras tanuvah instead of yas te agne sivas tanuvah. Then one defeats his

On the magical power of the words cf. G.V der Leeuw,

REM p. 403 ff; 422 ff; <u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p. 393.

On the magical power of the samans in general see Sten Konnow, Das Samavidhanabrahmana, p. 23.

enemy by means of the aweful bodies of Agni (TB I 1.8.6).

Many samans are connected with the abhicara. Thus, the Adarasrt saman is identified with the victory and called "Killing the enemies (bhratrvyaha)" (JB III.248). The yajnayajniya saman is also described in the same manner (JB III, 275). The saman named Jamadagneh saptaha is to be used by one who is performing abhicara or one who has enemies (JB I.152). The udgatr can use the Vistuti named Bhastra in the soma acrifice of one whom he hates. Just as a blacksmith would blow away with a pair of bellows, whose mouth is directed downward, so he blows away the cattle of the sacrificer. It is the "stepping away" Vistuti, by means of it the cattle of the sacrificer steps away (TMB II.13.2). The Vistuti which in both viz. in the first and in the last rounds has only one single verse in the middle is to be used by one against whom one wants to practise abhicara (TMB II, 10.5). In the Vistuti of the Ekavimsastoma, he makes the him-sound for three stotriya stanzas, he then sings (all three of) them in natural order. He makes the him-sound for nine stotriya-stanzas. Then he sings each of them three times. Thus he sould praise when performing an abhicara-rite. The Trivrtstoma is the thunderbolt; the Trinavastoma is a thunderbolt. By performing with the Trivrt and Trinavastomas the Ekavimsastoma

he fixes on the thunderbolt completely. In this way the thunderbolt is efficient as it were, as it is relatively thin at the back-end and relatively thick at the striking-end. Therefore, he who praises with this Vistuti, slays evil viz. his rival and becomes better himself (SadB III 5.1.ff).

b) thinking of the enemy :

In the abhicara-bites thinking of the enemy is often mentioned. At the time of being about to beat the soma with the pressing stone one thinks in his mind of him whom one hates; "Herewith I strike N.N. not thee (0 soma) !"

(SB III 9.4.17). At the time of uttering the vasatcall one thinks of him whom one hates (AB III.6; GB II 3.2).

At the time of slinging the sword (sphya) one should think of him whom one hates. One then bestows upon him sorrow (TB III.2.9.15).

c) pronouncing the name of the enemy :

In the abhicara-rites sometimes the name of the enemy is told to be mentioned. The name is identical with the person himself to whom it belongs. 14 Whatever is done

¹⁴ cf. on the importance and function of name in magic,

by uttering any particular name is hoped to be done in connection with that person. Thus SB IV.6.5.1 ff it is mentioned that all the beings are held by Surya, Vac, Name and Anna. Here the reference to the Name is worth noting. "Everything here is held by the name (namna hidam sarvam grhitam." SB (IV.6.5.3). Thus the Brahmanatexts recognise the power of the name.

When the grassbush is flinged then the name of the enemy is mentioned and it is expressed that he should not be released from fetters (SB I.2.4.16). At the time of flinging of the wooden sword (sphya)it is said according to SB I.2.3.5.22," I fling thee as a thunderbolt for N.N." At the time of offering the Sukramanthin graha, if exorcism is desired to be practised, some spray of soma which is adhering to the garment with "O divine plant, let that he true wherefore I pray thee. Let N.N. be struck down by destruction falling from above, crash." Then nothing hostile

Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p. 170; Henry, La magie dans l' Inde antique, p. 31, 82, 168, etc. Oldenberg Weltanschauung, p. 103; Keith RPV p. 381; Frazer, Golden bough, III (1927), p.318ff; G.v.der Leeuw, REM p. 147, Heiler, Erscheinungs formen and Wesender. Religion, p. 275.

remains (SB IV 1.1.26) The consecrated person is supposed to be identical with Agni. He has not to utter the name of any one. For, in that case, he would burn that person. If, however, he hates anyone, then he may mumble the name of that person. Then he burns him (KB VII.3). Thus, we find how the pronouncing of the name of the enemy has got importance in the abhicara-rites.

Sometimes not only the name, but the name of the family and of the mother also are to be uttered. An abhicara can be performed by the udgatr with the pressingstones as we have quoted above (TMB VI·6.2). There the name of the enemy along with his gotra and his mother's name is to be mentioned.

It is, however, also said that the utterance of the name of the person hated is not necessary. Whilst standing at the thigh of the vedi with the face towards the east, the sacrificer throws a stone southwards with the words "Let thy paik enter N.N. 1" and here the name is to be uttered. But further this view is rejected and it is said "He has been already indicated whom he who knows that this hates" Therefore, it is not necessary to utter the name (SB IX·1.2.12). Similarly at the end of the Satarudriya it is said, "Whomsoever we hate and whosoever hates us we cast him into their jaws" (VS XVI.66). Thus by uttering

this the enemies are cast in the jaws. It is further said that the name of the hated person may be uttered here. But this view of uttering the mame is further rejected by saying that the enemy has been already indicated of himself whom he knows that this one hates (SB IX-1.1.39).

d) optional nature of the abhicara :

The abhicara-rites show how the popular beliefs and Atharvanic practices have entered in the ritual of the classes. The Brahmana-texts elaborate and explain the abhicararites with the same tone in which they handle other Vites. It is, however, noteworthy that the Brahmana-texts describe the abhicara-rites and not prescribe them. They introduce the abhicara rites generally with the words like yadi abhicaret etc. meaning" if one wants to perform incantation" etc. (e.g. SB I 2.4.16;5,22). Thus, if the abhicara is intended, then the ritual that follows is to be performed, but the Brabmana-texts have not said that abhicara itself should be performed. The Brahmana-texts have not said that abhicara itself should be performed. The Brahmanatexts do not ask us to perform the abhicara, but only sanction and allow it or describe the manner in which it can be done. The later law-books (e.g. Manusmrti XI.63) ridicule

the abhicara but the Brahmana-texts appear to consider it legitimate. 15

Conclusion :

The Brahmana-texts describe, if not prescribe the abhicara rites which are of the nature of exercism against enemy in general and can be performed in the procedure of a particular sacrifice or as separate sacrifices. Abhicara-rites can sometimes be performed for one's own benefit or for protecting oneself from other's abhicara. These rites show how Atharvanic practices and the religion of masses in general seem to have found place in the Srauta ritual with the srauta appearance.

^{15 &}lt;u>Oldenberg</u>, <u>Weltanschauung</u>, p. 152 f.n.4. Manusmrti XI.33, however, allows a brahmana to use the Atharvangiras-formulas for killing the enemies (<u>Śrutira-tharvanigirasih kuryad ityayicarayan</u> / vak śastram vai brahmanasya tena hanyad arin dvijah).

403

Introduction:

In the Satapatha-Brahmana the Agnicayana (Firebuilding ceremony) is dealt with in the kandas VI to X and thus more than one third of the kanda-numbers is attributed to Agni-cayana. The Agni-cayana means building of the fire altar which is an optional ancillary rite in the somasacrifice. Thus, it will appear curious that an ancillary rite might have been so important to occupy a big part of the SB for its description and explanation. The reason of this is, as we shall see, that the dealing with the Agnicayana consists of both the "ritualism" and "spiritualism" in the Brahmana texts. This ceremony contains a large number of activities and the dealing with this ceremony is accompanied by philosophical speculations of the Brahmanatexts. Let us see both the description of the Agnicayana and the philosophical speculations in connection with it.

Description of the Agnicayana 1

In the beginning of the Agnicayana there is an animal sacrifice in which either a man, a horse, a bull,

I For the description of the Agnicayana see, Weber Indische studien, XIII, p. 217 ff; Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur p. 161 ff; Keith, RPV p. 354 f.

a ram and a he-goat are offered (SB VI. 2.1.15ff) or a he-goat to Prajapati (SB VI. 2.2.1ff) and a he goat to Vayu Niyutvat (SB VI. 2.2.6ff) are offered. This animal sacrifice takes place on the full-moon day of Phalguna (SB VI. 2.2.17ff). On the eighth day (after the fullmoon) the materials for Ukha (Fire-pan) are to be collected (SB VI. 2.2.23ff) and on the New-moon day one becomes consecrated. There are then the libations to Savitr (SB VI. 3.3.1ff).

a) Ukha :

An <u>abhri</u> (spade) made of bamboo, spotted one and a span-long is to be taken (SB VI.3.1.30ff). The adhvaryu leads the horse, the ass and the he goat towards the east. The priests and the sacrificer go to the lump of clay (SB VI.3.3.1). The adhvaryu makes an offering upon the clay (SB VI.3.3.15) and on the horse's footprint (SB 3.3.22). He then digs (SB VI.3.3.264.1ff). He deposits the clay on the black antelope-skin, on the lotus leaf which is put on the black antelope-skin (SB VI.4.1.6ff). Having taken up together the black antelope skin and the lotus leaf, he ties the lump of clay (SB VI.4.3.6ff). He calls the animals, holds the clay over the animals one by one and returns along with all the priests and the sacrificer (SB VI.4.4.1ff). After the clay has been taken

down (SB VI.4.4.18) water is poured in it (SB VI.5.1.2) and the goat's hair (SB VI.5.1.4) and gravel, stone and iron rust (SB VI.5.1.6) are mixed in it. The clay is kneaded (SB VI.5.1.6ff) and the fire-pan (ukhā) is made (SB VI.5.2.1ff). Of that same clay the queen forms the first brick named Asadhā (SB VI.5.3.1ff). The sacrificer then makes the Visvajyotis bricks. The adhvaryu fumigates the fire-pan (SB VI.5.3.8ff). Afterwards goat's milk is poured into it (SB VI.5.4.15f).

There are then the oblations connected with the diksa ceremony. Thus, there is a sacrificial cake on eleven potsherds and a pap to Aditya (SB VI.6.1.1ff) and then the Audgrabhana offerings (SB VI.6.1.12ff).

The adhvaryu puts the fire-pan on the fire (SB VI 6.2.1) and when the flame mounts upto it he puts a kindling-stick of krimuka-wood on the pan (SB VI.6.2.10ff), then a kindling stick of Vikankata-wood (SB VI.6.3.1), then one of Udumbara-wood (SB VI.6.3.2), one which is not cut by an axe (SB VI.6.3.5), one that has lain on the ground (SB VI.6.3.6) and the remaining sticks of Palasa-wood (SB VI.6.3.7) are used.

The sacrificer having then stridden the Vishustrides and reverentially stood by (the fire) with the Vatsapra (hymn) after the sum has set, throws the ashes (from the fire-pan); then puts a kindling stick (SB VI.6.4.1). In the morning, after the sun has visen he throws out ashes and puts a kindling stick (SB VI.6.4.2). When the fast milk is given to him, he puts a kindling stick after dipping it into the fast-milk (SB VI.6.4.4ff).

The sacrificer hangs a gold-plate round his neck and wears it (SB VI.7.1.lff) over the navel (SB VI.7.1.8ff). The sacrificer carries the fire pan on a seat of udumbara-wood (SB VI.7.1.12 ff); it is carried in a netting (Sikya) (SB VI.7.1.16). Afterwards, he strides the Vishu-strides (SB VI.7.2.10) stands by the fire with the Vatsapra hymn (SB VI.7.4.lff).

b) Garhapatya-agni-cayana :

The adhvaryusweeps the site of the Garhapatya-fire with a Palasa-branch (SB VII 1.1.1ff). He then scatters saline soil (SB VII.1.1.6ff), sand (SB VII.1.1.9ff) and encloses it with enclosing stones (SB VII.1.12 ff). Then he builds it (SB VII.1.1.17ff) and pours the fire in the Garhapatya-hearth) (SB VII.1.1.38ff).

c) Nairrtistakas :

Then the Nairrtistakas are brought (SB VII.2.1.1ff). They are to be placed in the South western direction (SB VII.2.1.8). Farther side of these bricks, the seat, the netting the sling of the gold plate and the two pads are to be thrown down (SB VII.2.1.15). A water jar is carried on the space between the sacrificer and the bricks (SB VII.2.1.17). Afterwards a prayer is to be made to the Garhapatya-fire (SB VII.2.1.18ff).

d) Ahavaniya-altar :

After the prayaniya offering is performed, grass bush (stambayajus) is removed (ŚB VII.2.2.1). Then ploughing of the altar-site is done (ŚB VII.2.2.2ff). The adhvaryu places a bunch of darbha-grass on the altar site (ŚB VII.2.3.1ff) and offers thereon (ŚB VII.2,3.4ff). He then pours out jarfuls of water (ŚB VII.2.4.1ff) and sows all kinds of herb (seed) (ŚB VII.2.4.13ff).

Before beginning to build the ahavaniya the rites such as buying of soma and its reception are performed (SB VII-3.1.1.ff).

He puts the Logestakas (clod-bricks) (SB VII.3.1. 13ff). The first layer is to be appeared on the (red ox)

skin (SB VII. 3.2.1ff). A white horse is led in front of the first layer and while the horse goes westwards, it is made smell that layer (SB VII.3.2.10ff). That horse is led at the evening also (SB VII.3.2.18). The next bricks are in the form of a logus leaf (SB WII. 4.1.7ff), a gold plate (SB VII.4.1.10ff) and a golden man (SB VII 4.1.15). Then the Citrasaman is to be sung (SB VII. 4.1.22ff). The sacrificer stands by the gold man worshipping him with the Sarpanama (formulas) (SB VII.4.1.25ff) and offers on the goldman with ghee (SB VII. 4.1.32ff). Then the two offering spoons are laid down one of Karsmarya wood and the other of Udumbara-wood (SB VII. 4.1.36ff). This is followed by laying down of the Svayam-atrnna (naturally perforated) brick (SB VII. 4.2.1ff), Durva-brick (SB VII 4.2.10ff), Dviyajusbrick (SB VII.4.2.16ff), two Retabsic (seed shedding) bricks (SB VII 4.2.22ff). Visvajyotis brick (SB VII. 4.2.25ff) two Rtavya bricks (SB VII.4.2.29ff), Asadha brick (SB VII. 4.2.33ff), a tortoise as a brick (SB VII.5.1.1.ff), mortal and pestle (\$B VII.5.1.12ff) and the Ukha brick (\$B VII.5.1. 26ff). An offering with butter on the Ukha, it is to be made(SB VII.5.1.32ff). Then the heads of the five victims (man, horse, ram, bull and he-goat) (SB VII.5.2.1ff) are laid down and upon them also an offering is made (SB VII 5.2.23ff). Then the Apasya-bricks (SB VII.5.2.40ff) the Chandasya bricks (SB VII 5.2.42ff), fifty Pranabhrt-bricks

(SB VIII.1.1.1.ff), and the Lokampra-bricks (SB VIII.1.4.10)2 are laid down and thus the first layer becomes complete.

In the second layer there are the Asvini Bricks (ŚB VIII.2.1.4ff), Rtavyā-bricks (ŚB VIII.2.1.16ff), Vaisvadeva-bricks (ŚB VIII.2.2.1ff), Pranabhrt-bricks (ŚB VIII.2.3.1ff), Apasyā-bricks (ŚB VIII.2.3.4ff), Chandasyā bricks (ŚB VIII.2.3.7ff) and the Lokamprna-bricks (ŚB VIII.2.4.20).

The third layer consists of the Svayammtrnha-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.1.7ff), Diśyabricks (ŚB VIII. 3.2.5ff), Viśvajyotis-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.2.1ff), Rtava-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.2.5ff), Pranabhrt-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.2.14), Chandasya-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.3.1ff), Valakhilya-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.4.1ff) and the Lokamprna-bricks (ŚB VIII. 3.4.15).

In the fourth layer, one has to lay down the Stomabricks (SB VIII.4.2.1ff), Sprt-bricks (SB VIII.4.2.1ff), Rtavyā-bricks (SB VIII.4.2.14ff), Srsti-bricks (SB VIII.4.3.1ff) and the Lokamprhā-bricks (SB VIII.4.2.12).

The fifth layer becomes complete by means of the Asapathestakas (SB VIII.5.1.1.ff), Chandasya-bricks (SB VIII 5.2.lff), Stomabhaga bricks (SB VIII.5.3.lff), Nakasad-bricks (SB VIII.6.1.lff) Pancacuda-bricks (SB VIII 6.1.llff) Chandasya-bricks (SB VIII.6.2.l ff), Garhapatya (SB VIII.6.3.l ff), Punascity-bricks (SB VIII 6.3.8 ff), Rtavya-

² For the Lokamprna-bricks cf. Gonda, Loka, p.26ff.

bricks (ŚB VIII7.1.1 ff), Visvjyotis-bricks (ŚB VIII.7.1.15ff)
Lokamprnā -bricks (ŚB VIII 7.2.1 ff), Vikarnī-bricks (ŚB
VIII.7.3.10) and the Svayamātrnnā-bricks (ŚB VIII.7.3.13 ff).
On the Lokamprnā bricks samans are to be sung (ŚB VIII.
7.4.1 ff). The sacrificer has to bestrew the fire altar
with chips of gold (ŚB VIII.7.4.7 ff).

d) Satarudriya and other rites :

Then the Satarudriya offering is to be performed (SB IX.1.1.1ff). Then the fire-altar is sprinkled (SB IX.1.2.1 ff). From a stone this sprinkling is made and then the stone after being put in the water pitcher is to be thrown in the south-western direction (SB IX.1.2.4 ff). The bricks, then are metaphorically made milch-cows (SB IX.1.2.13 ff). A frog, a lotus-flower, and a bamboo shoot are then to be drawn across the central part of the altar (SB IX.1.2.20ff). The Adhvaryu sings samans round the altar and makes it complete (SB IX.1.2.32 ff).

e) Mounting upon the altar and other rites -

On the upawasatha-day the sacrificer mounts upon the altar (SB IX'2.1.2 ff), makes libations on the Swaya-matrnna-bricks (SB IX'2.1.3 ff) and sprinkles the altar (SB IX'2.1.11 ff). After having descended from it he

proceeds with the Pravargya and Upasad (SB IX.2.1.17 ff). Afterwards oblations are made on the Garhapatya-fire and then a burning piece is taken from it (SB IX.2.2.1 ff). It is placed on the Agnidhra-range (SB IX, 2.3.15) and set up (SB IX 2.3.17ff). Then an offering is made upon it (SB IX.2.3.30ff). After the oblations a full (spoon) oblation is made (SB IX.2.3.43 ff) .. Then there are the Vaisvanara-homa (SB IX.3.1.1.ff), Maruta-Homa (SB IX.3.1.7 ff), Vasordhara homa (SB 3.2.1 ff), Ardhendra-libations (SB IX 3.2.9), libations connected with Grahas (SB IX.3.2. 10), Yajna-Kratus (SB IX.3.3.1), Uneven stomas (SB IX.3.3.2), Even stomas (SB IX.3.3.4), Vayas (SB IX.3.3.7), Libations with uttering the names (SB IX 3.3.8 ff), the Kalpa-libations (SB IX. 3.3.12 ff), Vajaprasaviya-libations (SB IX, 3.4.1 ff) and Partha-libations (SB IX . 3.4.6 ff). The sacrificer is then consecrated (SB IX.3.4.10 ff) and this is followed by further offerings viz. the Rastrabhrddhoma (SB IX-4.1.1 ff), Offerings to the Gandharvas and Assaras (SB IX-4.1.4ff), an offering on the head of the chariot (SB IX 4.1.13 ff), the Vatahoma (SB IX.4.2.1 ff), Runmatihoma (SB IX.2.2.12 ff) Varunihoma (SB IX.4.2.15 ff), Santatihoma (SB IX.4.2.18 ff) and any additional offering for obtainment of any desire (SB IX.4.2.27 ff).

f) dhisnya-firehearths -

Then the Disnya-firehearths are built up (SB IX.4.3.1)

We have thus mentioned on the basis of the Satapatha
Brahmana some principal rites in the Agnicayana. Let us

see now what the Brahmana says in general about the Agnicayana.

Agnicayana as representing the worlds -

The fire building (Agnicayana) represents all the worlds. Thus, it is said, "This firealtar is these worlds (ime vai loka esognih) (SB VII. 3.1.1.1.13; IX.1.2.14; 2.1. 20; 21) Elsewhere the fire-altar is identified with "this" world only. "This built fire altar is doubtless, this (terestrial) world (ayam vava loka esognis citah) (SB X-1.2.2). SB X.5.4.1 ff, we get details of the identification of the built fire altar with the worlds. "Verily, this built Fire-altar is this (terestrial) world - the waters are its enclosing stones; the men its yajusmati-bricks, the cattle its sudadohas; the plants and trees its earth-fillings (purisa) (between the layers of the bricks), its oblations and fire-logs; Agni (the terestrial fire) its Lokamprna (Space-filling brick) ... But indeed this fire-altar is also the air- the junction of heaven and earth (the horizon) is its (circle of) enclosing-stones; for it is

beyond the air that heaven and earth meet, and that (junction) is the (circle of) enclosing stones. The birds are its Yajusmati-bricks, the rain its sudadohas, the rays of light its earth-fillings, the oblations and fire-longs; Vayu (the wind) is its space filler; thus this comes to make up the whole Agni ... But indeed, that fire-altar also is the sky: - the (heavenly) waters are its enclosing-stones; for even as a case here is closed up so are these worlds (enclosed) within the waters; and the waters beyond these worlds are the enclosing stones; the gods are the Yajusmati bricks; what food there is - in that world is its sudadohas; the Naksatras are the earth-fillings, the oblations and the fire-logs and Aditya is the space filler ... (SB X.5.4.1 ff). Further the fire altar is also shown to be identical with the Sun, Naksatras, Metres, Year, body and finally all beings, all the Gods (SB X 5.4. 4 ff). Elsewhere the Garhapatya-fire is identified with this (terestrial world) (SB VIII. 3.1.8) and human world (manusya-loka) (SB VII. 3.1.10) The Ahavaniya-fire is also identified with the heavenly world (SB VII.3.1.9) and the world of Gods (devaloka) (SB VII 3.1.10). Thus the fire altar represents the worlds, universe3 and is microcosm.

³ Cf.Keith, RPV, p.355; Mus, BEFEO, 33, 1934, p. 449
Eliade, Patterns, p. 372.

Fire-building as reconstructing Prajapati -

Another theory found in connection with the Firebuilding, is that the fire-building is reconstructing Prajapati. The Fire-altar is often identified with Prajapati (SB VI.5.3.7.9; VII. 2.4.30; 3.1.42; VIII.2.1.18; X.4.1.12). It is maintained elsewhere that one reconstructs Prajapati while building the Fire-altar. Prajapati created the beings and then became relaxed; the vital air went out from within; then his vigour went out of him; and then he fell down; from him, thus fallen, food flowed forth; then there was no firm foundation whatever here. The gods desired to restore Prajapati and made Agni as the foundation to restore Prajapati ... The Gods heated Prajapati in the fire. When fire rose over him thus heated, that same vital air which had gone out from within him came back to him and they put it into him; and the vigour which had gone out of him they put into him; and the food which had flowed from him they put into him ... The Prajapati who became relaxed is this same Agni who is now being built up ... (SB VII.1.2.1 ff). SB VII 3.1.16 we read, "when Prajapati was disjoined, his vital sap flowed over all the regions, and when the Gods restored him they, by means of the clodbricks, put into him that vital sap". In connection with the scattering of the sand it is said, "That Agni (Firealtar) is Prajapati; and Prajapati is the whole Brahman.

and that part of it which has not been lost is this firealtar which is now being built; thus when he scatters
sand he restores to him that lost part of the Brahaman.
That (sand which) he scatters is unnumbered, unlimited;
for, who knows how great is that lost part of the Brahman?
And verily he who knowing this scatters sand, restores the
whole, complete Prajapati" (SB VII.3.1.42). For the idea
of building the fire-altar as restoring or reconstructing
Prajapati see also SB VII.4.1.16; 2.4; 5.2.45; VIII. 2.2.6;
IX.4.1.3; X.1.1.3; 3.5 etc.)

The Fire-altar ac the Purusa and Prajapati -

The fire-altar has been identified with the Purusa (ŚB X.4.1.6; JB I.45). The fire-altar is also identified with the Purusa-Prajapati (ŚB VI.1.1.5).

The Fire-altar as the year (samvatsara).

SB VI.3.1.25 identified the Fire-altar with the year. There are five layers in the fire-alter; there are five seasons in the year; the fire altar is identical with the year." Elsewhere, it is said, "With three (animals) he searches - threefold is Agni. As great as Agni is, as is his measure, with so much he thus searches for him. By three (formulas) he first addresses (the beast) that makes six - six seasons are a year and the year is Agni...

(SB VI.3.2.10). SB VI.6.1.20 identifies Agni-Vaisvanara with the year and gives the reason for the identification viz. the year has performed the rite of fire-building first (samvatsaro va etadagre karmakarot) SB VIII 2.2.8 gives another reason of the identification viz. Prajapati, having entered into union with the year produced the beings (samvatsarena vai sayug bhūtvā prajanayat).

Concluding remarks -

Thus we find that the Agnicayana gives ample scope for philosophical speculations. The Brahmanatexts develop their views about the cosmology, Prajapati, Samvatsara (year) etc. In connection with the Agnicayana, these views may not be said to be precise and systematic. But in these are the germs of the Upanisadic "Spiritualism" and from that point of view they are important.

⁴ Cf. Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I, p. 191.

(c) Conclusion of the study of sacrifices and ancillary rites

We first saw the ways of classification of the sacrifices according to the Brahmana-texts and we also took /consideration the names of the sacrifices. Then we studied the important ancillary rites. As regards the interpretation of the sacrificial rites we can in general say that for understanding them in the sense in which they were understood by the vedic persons the best help can be obtained from the vedic ritual texts themselves. The study of the ancillary rites throws light upon some of the salient features of the sacrifice in general. The sacrifice as we have mentioned elsewhere is the generation-process par excellence. idea of generation is present in the ideas of the vedic diksa, Pravargya, Yupa and animal-sacrifice. The idea of the sacrifice as a redemption is found in the animal sacrifice. The importance of correct performance and carefulness is known in the study of the Prayascittis. The study of the daksinas shows how the sacrifice which is supposed to be killed while being performed is envigorated again by means of the daksinas. The study of the vedi and that of Agnicayana throw some light upon the ideas of the microcosm and the macrocosm in connection with the theory of sacrifice. The activities done in the microcosm have their parallel reactions in the macrocosm and this explains how the results

of the sacrifice become obtained. The Brahmana-texts do not connect one significance with one rite. There is always multiplication of the significances of the sacrificial details. In these efforts the Brahmana-texts sometimes go into some cosmological, philosophical speculations and this is seen particularly in connection with Agnicayana. Apparently, there is rigidity in the performance of the ritual but we find that possibility of aberation in the performance of action is not altogether left out of consideration as can be seen from the fact that expiations of various kinds are prescribed in view of variety of possible mistakes. This fact may perhaps justify us in saying that not mere "letter" but the "spirit" of an action is given due importance. This point along with the philosophical speculations clearly show how the "ritualism" of the Brahmana-texts is accompanied by and has a linking of "spiritualism" which was to see its full development in the period to follow.

CHAPTER VII

THE PERFORMERS OF SACRIFICE IN THE BRAHMANAS

Introduction:

We have seen what are the various sacrifices, how they are classified, and their ancillary rites. Now we shall see the performers of the sacrifice, viz., the sacrificer and his wife (in this section) and the Rtvijs (priests) (in the next).

(A) The sacrificer and his wife in the Brahmana-texts:

(i) Sacrificer :

Among the performers of the sacrifice the sacrificer (yajamāna) is the most important. He is the starting point of the sacrifice. It is he who desires to get certain results or has to fulfil his duties; and hence performs the sacrifice. The sacrifice is, according to the Brāhmaṇa-texts, a sure means for attaining the desired objects. To be able to perform a sacrifice one has to possess the materials of the sacrifice and also sufficient amount to give away as dakṣiṇās. He has to choose the priests and make them work. Thus it is evident that without the sacrificer there can be no sacrifice. We shall now see what the Brāhmaṇa-texts have to say about the sacrificer

Qualifications of the sacrificer :

The Brahmana-texts while describing a sacrifice generally tell us who should perform that sacrifice. The characteristics by means of which the sacrificer differs are of different kinds. The chief characteristic of a sacrificer is his particular desire. Thus, for example, the sacrifice named Apurva is to be performed by one who has the desire of getting progeny (JB II.174). Similarly one who desires pratistha (firm foundation) may perform the Tristoma Agnistoma (JB II.206). One who desires to be greater than the great should sacrifice with the sacrifice named Pancaśaradiya (TB II.7.10.1).

But the mere desire is not sufficient for some sacrifices. Some sacrifices require some additional qualifications. Thus for example the performance of the Aśvamedha is not possible for everyone. Only one who holds the royal sway should perform the Aśvamedha (ŚB XIII.1.6.3). The Pravargyaperformance which is a part of the soma-sacrifice is to be performed not in the first soma-sacrifice, but from the second soma-sacrifice onwards. There is, however, an exception. One who is well-versed in the Vedic lore can perform the Pravargya even at the first performance of soma-sacrifice (KB VIII.3). The Gosava-sacrifice is to be performed by an aged man only (JB II.113). The persons qualified for the Vratyastoma-sacrifices are the Vratyas (TMB XVIII.1.1ff; JB II.221).

The sacrificers were at times differentiated according to their castes. Thus the timings of the establishment of the fires differed according to the castes of the sacrificer. The spring is the season for a Brahmana; the summer for a Kṣatriya and the rainy season for a Vaisya (SB II.1.3.5-8). According to TB I.1.2.6-8, however, the autumn and not the rainy season is for a Vaisya. The TB I.1.4.8 also admits the fire-establishment of the Rathakara. The Vajapeya is to be performed according to TB I.3.2.3 by a Brahmana or a Kṣatriya (but not by a Vaisya). The sacrifice named Rṣabha is to be performed by Kṣatriya only (TMB XIX.12.3). The Vaisyastoma sacrifice is to be performed by a Vaisya only (TMB Vaisyastoma sacrifice is to be performed by a Vaisya only (TMB XIX.12.3).

There are some very peculiar kinds of the sacrificers. The Punahstoma sacrifice is to be performed by him who after accepting many gifts feels as if he had swallowed poison (TMB XIX.4.2; JB II.82). The second kind of the Caturdasaratra-sacrifice is to be performed by those regarding whom there are doubts like whether they should be admitted to bed, water, marriage, etc. or not (TMB XXIII.4.2). The sacrificers who are hunched-backed, dwarfish and short are also recognised and in connection with the Audumbari (pillar), they have to lift up their arms and cut it off (having measured it with their uplifted arms) (ṢaḍB IV.3.3).

Number of the sacrificers :

Generally there is only one sacrificer in most of the sacrifices. But for the sacrifice named Indragnyoh kulaya there are two sacrificers (TMB XIX.15.2). One of these is a Brahmana and the other is a Kṣatriya (JB.132). For the Manustoma-sacrifice there are three sacrificers (TMB XIX.14.3). In the sacrificial session all the priests are also treated as sacrificers and they are also consecrated (for the details of their consecrations see SB XII.1.1.1-11; GB I.4.1-6).

Duties of the sacrificer :

The sacrificer desires to get the result of the sacrifice. Thus for example it is said, "He who sacrifices, sacrifices with the desire to have place in the heaven" (ŚB I.9.1.15; 5.1). Then he chooses the priests (ṢaḍB II.10.1ff) and with their help performs the sacrifice. For the performance of the sacrifice he has to get place from the prince (ṢaḍB II.10.4ff). For the performance of the sacrifice he has to give dakṣiṇās to the priests (ŚB II.2.2.1ff; IV.3.4.4).

During the course of the sacrifice he has sometimes to recite Mantras. Thus for example if the hotr does not know the formula with which (VS II.14a) the kindling stick is to be consecrated, the sacrificer recites it (ŚB I.8.2.4). In the sacrificial session he may recite the subrahmanya formula (ŚB IV.6.9.25; or whomsoever the Crhapati may ask to recite recites the subramanya formula). He mutters in the Pravargya-performance "Apatam gharmam asvina" etc. (VS XXXVIII.13)(ŚB XIV.2.2.24ff).

In the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices (SB I.9.3.8), in the Rajasuya (SB V.4.2.5) and in the Agnicayana (SB VI.6.4.1) he has to take Visnu-strides. In the Vajapeya he mounts on the sacrificial post (SB V.2.1.9ff; TB I.3.7.2ff) and he is beaten by means of bags of salt (SB V.2.1.16; TB I.3.7.6-7). In the Agnicayana he sings saman over the naturally perforated brick (SB VIII.7.4.1ff). In the Sautramani he drinks of the Aindra-cup (SB XII.8.2.24). In the Rajasuya he undergoes unction (SB V.4.2.1-6; TB I.7.8.1ff).

Various rules and observances :

A sacrificer has to observe many rules and observances and thus has to lead a restricted life during the period of the sacrifice. These restrictions may be mentioned as follows:

a) Food: On the day before the Darsapurnamasa sacrifice the sacrificer has to eat what/when eaten counts to as not eaten, i.e., that food of which no offerings are made and particularly he has to eat what grows in the forests -

the forest plants or the fruits of trees (SB I.1.9-10). This is the view of Yajnavalkya which is the final according to the SB. There are some other views also mentioned. Thus, e.g. Asadha Savayasa expected complete fast (SB I.1.1.7-8). Barku Varsna allowed beans (of which no offerings are made) to be eaten (SB I.1.1.10). These views are rejected. Before the consecration (diksa) of the soma-sacrifice, the sacrificer may eat anything he likes (SB III.1.2.6), but when he is consecrated he should not eat flesh either of a cow or of an ox (SB III.1.2.21; Yajnavalkya, however, says, "I, for one, eat it provided it is tender"). During the somic-caturmasyas also he should not eat any kind of flesh (TMB XVII.13.6; 11; 14). The soma-sacrificer has to take merely the fast-milk and that is not to be offered in the fire (SB III.2.2.10; cp. SB III.1.2.1). Some add rice and barley to the first day's fast-milk; but this is not to be done; for both the rice and barley form his offering-material (SB III.2.2.14). Some add to the fast-food all sorts of vegetables and fragrants; but this is also not to be done; for it is inauspicious (SB III.2.2.15). It is also said that after the consecration, if he pleases, the sacrificer may not eat anything (SB III.1.2.1). The sacrificer performing the Sabalihomas has to drink hot milk (TMB XXI.3.4). In the Rtapeya-sacrifice, he has to take ghee as the fast-food during the Upasad-days. For it is the

fasting food of Gods and through the fasting food of Gods he reaches to them (TMB XVIII.2.5-6). JB II.159 allows water along with ghee as the fast-food for the Rtapeya sacrifice. In the Gosava-sacrifice, where the sacrificer behaves like a bull, has to eat grass.

b) Sexual behaviour: There are restrictions upon the sexual behaviour of the sacrificer (GB I.3.21; II.1.14). Thus the consecrated person should not have sexual intercourse with any woman (JUB III.2.4.4). In the course of the Saumika Caturmasyas the sacrificer should not have sexual intercourse with any woman (TMB XVII.13.6; 11; 14). In the performance of the Asvamedha sacrifice, in the evening, the sacrificer (king) along with the four wives - the consecrated queen, the favourite wife, the discarded wife and the Palagali enters the hall of the sacrificial fires - the sacrificer by the eastern door and the wives by the southern door (SB XIII.4.1. 8-9). After the evening Agnihotra is offered he lies down with the favourite wife. However, he lies in her lap without any intercourse.1

cf. Meyer, Trilogie, III.239. For the Brahmacarya as useful for fertility see also Gonda, "Asscetics and Courtisans" ALB (Brahmavidya) XXV (1961) p. 95.

In the Gosava-sacrifice, however, there is no restriction on the intercourse; on the other hand, apparently the prohibited ones appear to have been prescribed, curiously enough. Thus the sacrificer is there expected to behave like a bull and to have intercourse with his mother and sister and any woman of his own family (sagotra).

c) Speech: There are limitations on the sacrificer's speech. He remains silently seated till the sunset. After the sunset he breaks his silence uttering "Vratam kṛṇuta ..." (VS IV.11)(ŚB III.2.2.1-7). He has not to utter any profane word (ŚB III.1.1.10); but if he utters such a word he resorts to Agni with the following mantra "Yvam agne vratapā asi ... (VS IV.16)(ŚB III.2.2.24). Again whatever he has to speak, he speaks falteringly (ŚB III.2.2.27). JUB III.2.4.4 says that the consecrated sacrificer uses non-human speech; for he is just like a dead man.

Elsewhere we are told that the sacrificer has to speak truth alone; 2 but as it is not always easy to be sure that one is speaking truth, he should use the word <u>vicakṣaṇa</u> at the time of speaking (AB I.6; KB VII.3; JB II.64. GB I.3.19 and

² Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p. 214.

- II.2.23, on the other hand recommend the one of the word canasita in addition to vicakṣaṇa). An ahitagni (one who has kept the sacred fires) also should not speak untruth. The worship of the consecrated fire is to speak truth (ŚB II.2.2.19). Aruṇa Aupaveśi told to his kinsmen that an ahitagni should not speak untruth; but rather, he should keep silence so that no untruth would be spoken (ŚB II.2.2.20). ŚB I.1.1.4f we read: "... Verily the Gods are the truth and man is the untruth; therefore, in saying 'I now enter from untruth into truth' (VS I.56) he passes from the men to the Gods. Let him then only speak what is true; for this vow, indeed, the Gods do keep, that they speak the truth; and for this reason they are glorious: glorious, therefore, is he who, knowing this, speaks the truth".
- d) Wandering: There are also restrictions on the sacrificer's wandering. He has not to go wherever his mind leads him (GB I.3.21). He has also not to go on journey and there is an expiation if he does so (GB II.1.14). He has to remain in the hut and the Sun should not set or rise while he is outside the hut (AB I.3; ŚB III.2.2.27). He should not enter into the water and rain should not fall on him (ŚB III.2.2.27).
- e) Other rules: There are some other miscellaneous rules about the behaviour of the sacrificer. After the

consecration the sacrificer should not scratch himself either with a chip of wood or with his nail. For he who is consecrated becomes an embryo, and if scratched with a chip of wood or with his nail he would die. He, therefore, has to use a horn of a black antelope. For that represents his womb and womb does not harm the embryo. (SB III.2.1.21; cp. GB I. 3.21). When a sacrificer is consecrated, he is led to the Gods, as it were; therefore, he should not shed tears; for the Gods do not shed tears (GB II.1.15). His name is not to be pronounced by others (KB VII.3; GB I.3.19).3 His name is not to be uttered in the Suktavaka; for he is an embryo and embryos have no name (KB VII.2). He should not utter his own name (GB I.3.19) nor should he utter the name of anybody else (GB I.3.19; KB VII.3). KB VII.3 explains that the sacrificer when consecrated becomes Agni (Fire) and if his name is uttered by other persons they will burn themselves and if he utters the name of anybody he will burn them. The consecrated sacrificer should not go naked into the presence of a cow. The Gods, in order to make cow able to endure rain, cold and heat gave the skin of man to the cow and the man began to wear garments. The cow fears that her skin would be taken away by man. Therefore, the sacrificer should not be naked in the

³ For the sacrificer's name as taboo, see Keith, RPV, p. 327.

presence of a cow (SB III.1.2.13-17: cp. JB II.182f in connection with the Visvajit sacrifice). When he intends to pass urine, he takes up a clod of earth or of some other object by means of the horn of a deer, with the words, "Iyam te yajniya tanuh" etc. (VS IV.13) (SB III.2.2.20). The consecrated sacrificer should not stand up before anybody in order to show respect to him, nor should he pay homage to anybody; on the contrary, others should stand up before him and pay homage to him (GB I.3.19). The consecrated sacrificer has not to offer the Agnihotra (KB VII.3; JB II.38; GB I.3.21). In that in the evening and in the morning fastdrink is given to the sacrificer that is the Agnihotra (KB VII.3). He should not offer the Darsapuranamasa offerings, the Pitryajna, and the animal-sacrifice (Pasubandha) (GB I. 3.21; JB II.38) and the Caturmasya-sacrifices (JB II.38). The consecrated sacrificer has really speaking not to sleep at all. For he who is consecrated draws nigh to the Gods and becomes one of the deities; but Gods do not sleep; but still as not to sleep is not vouchsafed (for man), and Agni being the Lord of the vows to the Gods, it is to him that he now commits himself and lies down to sleep (SB III.2.2.22).

Sacrifice and sacrificer :

Let us see the relations between the sacrifice and the sacrificer. "The sacrificer becomes the sacrificer when he

sacrifices (yad yajate tad yajamanah (bhavati) SB III.2.1.17). Thus the etymology of the word yajamana (sacrificer) is given here by connecting it with the root yaj to sacrifice. The sacrificer produces the sacrifice; it is by his order that the priests (rtvijah) spread the sacrifice (SB I.9.1.2). He is the body of the sacrifice (SB IX.5.2.16; XII.8.1.17; KB XVII.7). He is also the body of Vasordhara (shower of wealth) offerings which take place in the course of Agnicayana (SB IX.3.3.17). The sacrifice is also called sometimes the body of the sacrificer (SB IX.3.2.7). The sacrificer is often said to be yajnapati - Lord of the sacrifice (SB I.1.2.12; I.2.2.28; I.7.1.11). KB X.4 has, however, an objection against it. "Regarding this some say, the lord of the sacrifice is the sacrificer". "What man (is the lord)?" He should say, "The Lord of the Sacrifice is the deity only". (cp. AB. II.6 which uses the word medhapati instead of yajnapati and has the same view as the KB). The sacrificer is sometimes identified with the sacrifice itself (AB II.26; SBXIV.3.1.34; JB I.259; III.303 etc.)4 and with the particular sacrifice e.g. the Asvamedha (SB XIV.2.2.1). He is also identified with some of the factors used in the sacrifice e.g. soma

⁴ cp. Keith, RPV, p.459.

(TB I.3.3.5), yūpa (sacrificial post)(ŚB XIII.2.6.9; TB I. 3.7.3; AB II.3); the paśu (victim)(TB II.1.5.2; II.2.8.2) prastara (bunch of darbha-grass)(AB II.3; ŚB I.8.1.44; I.8. 3.11) etc.

Exaltation of the sacrificer :

In the Brahmana-texts we find exaltation of everything which they consider to be important in any way. The sacrificer is also exalted in various ways. "Of great vigour (mahavirya) is this one who has obtained the sacrifice" (SB III.2.1.39). "He who sacrifices pleases the Gods" (SB I. 9.1.3). He is said to be Prajapati at his sacrifice and it is by his order that the priests spread and produce the sacrifice (SB I.8.1.20). For the sacrificer as Prajapati see also AB II.18. SB VIII.5.3.8 we find that he is said to be Indra at his own sacrifice. We have already mentioned that the sacrificer after he is consecrated has not to stand up before anybody or to pay homage to anybody; on the contrary others have to stand up before him or have to pay homage to him. The reason for this is that the Atharvangirases before whom one should stand up and to whom one should pay homage are said to have entered into his body (GB I.3.19). The sacrificer is identified with various Gods like Indra

(ŚB II.1.2.11; III.3.3.10 etc.)⁵, Sun (ŚB XII.8.2.36),
Agni (ŚB VI.3.3.21; 4.1.3; 12; 4.18; X.1.4.14 etc.) etc. at
different places. He is said to be the best (śreṣṭha)(ŚB
IV.2.4.20). Finally the sacrificer is identified with all
(ŚB XIV.3.2.25). Such identification of anything, as has been
already noted, is a very effective way of exaltation adopted
in the Brāhmaṇa-texts.

(ii) <u>Sacrificer's wife</u> :

Her duties :

The wife of the sacrificer generally sits behind the Garhapatya-fire in the course of the sacrifice (AB III.37); excepting the sacrifice to the fathers (TB I.6.9.10). In the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices a girdle is tied around her waist. The part below the navel of a woman is impure; that part is concealed by means of the girdle. For, she has to look down upon the sacrificial butter and this must be done with having pure part of her body (SB I.3.1.12-13). She looks down upon

For the identification of the sacrificer and Indra see

Heesterman "Vratya and sacrifice" IIJ VI (1962) p.21f.

On the wife of the sacrificer and her duties see Choudhuri,
"The wife in the Vedic Ritual" IHQ 16 (1940), p.70ff.

the sacrificial butter and thus a productive pair is made there. For she is a woman and the sacrificial butter represents the seed (SB I.3.1.18). She has to untie the veda-bunch. Here also a productive pair is formed. For the wife is a female and the veda is male (SB I.9.2.22). In the performance of the Varunapraghasaparvan in the Caturmasyasacrifices, she is asked by the Pratiprasthatr about her sexual relation with anybody. When a woman who belongs to one man, carries on intercourse with another this is a sin against Varuna and when she confesses it, it becomes truth (SB II.5.2.20; TB I.6.5.2). She also offers then the Varunapraghasa (SB II.5.2.23-24). In the soma-sacrifice the dust of the foot-print of the cow by means of which soma is bought is handed down to her (SB III.3.1.10). The Nestr makes the soma-cow look at her. Soma is male and the wife is female; Soma is male and the soma-cow represents the soma. Thus a productive pair is formed (SB III.3.1.11). She is led up by the Nestr to cleans the sacrificial victim (SB III.8.2.1) and then she cleanses the victim (SB III.8.2.4). The reasoning why it is the wife who cleanses the victim is that the wife is a woman and from woman the progeny is born. The victim which is killed is thus made to be born again (SB III.8.2.5). She exchanges looks with the udgatr and as the udgatr is Prajapati and thus represents male element and she the female element, so a productive pair takes place there (SB IV.4.2.17-18).

In the Vajapeya-sacrifice, she, along with the sacrificer, ascends the sacrificial post (SB V.2.1.10; TB I.3.7.2ff). In the Rajasuya there are the Rathin offerings. In those offerings there is an offering in the house of the chief queen (SB V.3.1.4; TB I.7.3.3). There is an offering in the house of Vavata (favourite wife) according to the TB I.7.3.3. By means of these Ratnin offerings the favour of the persons in whose house these offerings are made is obtained. There is also an offering in the house of the wife who has been discarded (Parivrtti) because she has no son. (SB V.3.1.13; TB I.7.3.4). In the Agni-cayana (Fire-building ceremony) the chief queen forms the brick which is called Asadha (invincible) (SB VI.5.3.7). In the Asvamedha sacrifice three wives, viz., Mahisi, Vavata and Parivṛtti anoint the horse (SB XIII.2.6.4ff; TB III.9.4.6f). The sacrificer enters into the hall of the sacrificial fires with four wives the above three and Palagali in addition. They are all adorned and wearing gold ornaments. The sacrificer enters by the eastern door and the wives by the southern door (SB XIII. 4.1.8). After when the evening-offering has been offered, the sacrificer has to lie down with the favourite wife (Vavata) in her lap embracing her without any intercourse. At the same time the other wives also lie down there (SB XIII. 4.1.9). The chief queen (mahisi) has to lie down near the horse and the priests cover her with the upper cloth. The

Mahisi then puts the generation organ of the horse into her womb and says, "May the vigorous male, the layer of the seed, lay seed" (SB XIII.5.2.2). All the four queens have a part in the obscene dialogue with the priests (SB XIII.5.2.5ff).

Generalities about the wife of the sacrificer :

We have described above the chief duties of the wife (or wives) of the sacrificer as mentioned by the Brahmana-texts. Let us see what the Brahmana-texts say about the wife of the sacrificer in general.

As the sacrificer's wife sits behind the Garhapatya, she is called the hind-part (jaghanardha) of the sacrifice (SB I.3.1.12; 9.2.3; II.5.5.29; III.8.2.2; V.2.1.8). Without the wife of the sacrificer the sacrifice is no sacrifice at all and when she sits behind, then it becomes the sacrifice (TB III.3.3.1). If she is in menstruation period at the time of the day of the observance half of the sacrifice dies (TB III.7.1.9). Elsewhere she is described as the half part of the sacrificer (JB I.86; TB III.3.3.5). Thus is her importance indicated. In the list of the persons connected with the sacrifice GB I.5.24 mentions the sacrificer's wife as having faith.

Concluding remarks :

We thus see that the sacrificer and the wife of the sacrificer are very important persons in the performance of the sacrifice. Their various duties and rules of the behaviour tell us the grown up ritualism in the Brahmanaperiods. As we shall see elsewhere, the result of the sacrifice is said to be obtained by "one who knows" and thus importance of knowledge on the part of the sacrificer must be said to have been established, in the Brahmanas. Similarly the mention of faith (<u>śraddha</u>) in connection with the sacrificer's wife is alsm important. The importance of knowledge and faith may be said to afford us an inkling of the potential spiritualism in the ritualism of the Brahmana-texts.

(B) The rtvijs (priests).

Introduction :

The sacrifice was growing more and more complex and it was becoming impossible for one single person to perform it accurately in all its details. The sacrificer, therefore, required the assistance of persons specialized in various aspects of the sacrifices to perform various sacrificial details on his behalf. These persons are the rtvijs. They belong to different vedas; and perform their sacrificial duties each one of them in connection with the veda they represented. Here we are now going to see what information about the rtvijs we get in Brahmana-texts.

Etymology of the word rtvij :

The word rtvij is derived either from rtvya or rtviya. TMB X.3.1 we read the following story: Prajapati desired, "May I be more than one; May I be reproduced". Then he perceived in himself the seasonal period (rtvya). Therefrom he created the rtvijs - the officiating priests; and because he created them from the seasonal period (rtvya), therefore, they are called rtvijs. JB III.1 gives the story with a slight change. It reads rtviya instead of rtvya. From rtviya, we are further told that Prajapati created the three rtus and these three worlds. Because he created rtus from rviva, therefore, they are so called,

and because he created the rtvijs from rtviya, therefore, they are called rtvijs. These etymologies show that the Brahmana-texts want to connect the rtvijs with seasons and with Prajapati as the creator of the rtvijs with seasons and with Prajapati as the creator of the rtvijs.

The connection of Prajapati is as has been already stated above generally intended to bring about exaltation.

According to Panini III.2.59 the word rtvij is a nipata-word derived from the word rtu and the root yaj meaning "One who sacrifices in due time (period) or sacrifices to the season (rtu) or employed in particular season, sacrifices" (see Kaśika). It is suggested that he offers at the proper time, according to the proper rules, etc. and correctly. This etymology can throw better light upon the concept of rtvij.

Rtvijs - Number:

The number of the rtvijs varies from sacrifice to sacrifice. The particular number of rtvijs required for particular sacrifices has been given in some details by TB II.3.6.1-4. Prajapati after having created the beings lay down reduced to the heart only. He called for his body once. The waters listened this and came with Agnihotra and gave the body without head to him. Therefore, in the Agnihotra there is only one rtvij. Then he called for four

to

times. This was listened by Agni, Vayu, Aditya and Candramas. They came with Darsa and Purnamasa sacrifices. They gave him four limbs. Therefore, there are four rtvijs in the Darsa and Purnamasa sacrifices. Then he called for five times. Beasts listened to this and came with the Caturmasya-sacrifices. They gave him hair, skin, flesh, bones and marrow - these five bodily elements. Therefore, there are five rtvijs in the Caturmasyasacrifices. Then he called for six times. The seasons listened and came with the Pasubandha. They gave him six limbs more. Therefore, there are six rtvijs in the Pasubandha. Then he called for seven times. The Hotras listed to this and came with the soma-sacrifice. gave Prajapati the seven vital airs on the head. Therefore, there are seven hotr-priests facing to the east who call the vasat in the soma-sacrifice. Thus the number of priests in various sacrifice have got mystical reasonings behind them.

Generally speaking in a soma-sacrifice the number of all the priests is sixteen; but according to some there is a seventeenth priest also called the Sadasya.

Among these there are four chief priests (mahartvijah) and each one of them has three assistant priests. A complete list of priests is given by the GB I.5.24 as follows:

- (i) rtvijs belonging to the Regveda (a) hotr (b) maitravaruna (c) acchavaka (d) gravastut.
- (ii) rtvijs belonging to the Yajurveda (a) adhvaryu(b) pratiprasthatr (c) nestr (d) unnetr.
- (iii) rtvijs belonging to the Samaveda (a) udgatr (b) prastotr (c) subrahmanya (d) pratihartr.
 - (iv) rtvijs belonging to the Atharvaveda (a) brahman (b) brahmanacchamsin (c) potr (d) agnīdhra

The seventeenth priest is the Sadasya. 1

The chief priests (mahartvijs):

The hotr, the adhvaryu, the udgatr and the brahman are the four chief priests. When the sacrificial horse was immolated his greatness went away. It entered into the chief priests. This is the reason why they became the chief priests. In the Asvamedha, the Brahmaudana is given to them. When they eat it they place that greatness (which had gone away from the sacrifice) into the sacrifice

See <u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p.298 "The whole arrangement is, however, artificial and worthless. The three assistants of the brahman and the nestr in practice are reckoned rather with the hotr and the aim at sets of four has spoiled the natural order".

(ŚB XIII.1.1.1-4;4.1.4-6;TB III.8.2.4). Though the Chief priests are the hotr, the adhvaryu, the udgatr, and the brahman, the other priests are mystically supposed to be included in the chief priests (ŚB XIII.4.1.4).

Let us see now in detail the particularities of these chief priests one by one on the basis of the Brahmana-texts.

Hotr :

The hotr belongs to the Rgveda (AB V.32;33; KB VI.II; ŚB XI.5.8.7; JB I.358; GB I.3.2;5.24)

Etymology of the word hotr :

AB I.2 gives an etymology of the word hotr. There, first an objection is raised that the word hotr seems to be connected with the root hu to make libations, but in reality hotr does not do this action; then, it is asked why the hotr has this name? The objection is removed by giving another etymology of the word from the root vah with a prefix. In that he according to their portions invites the deities saying "Bring hither N.N.; bring hither N.N. (amum avaha, amum avaha) that is why

hotr has his name" This etymology, though grammatically difficult to be accepted, shows the view of the Brahmanatexts that they consider the hotr's duty as "bringing" the deities.

Duties of the hotr :

The hotr has to recite (sams). He recites the invitatory verses, the litanies (sastras) and the offering verses and also utters the vasat call (AB V.34; GB I.3.4; JUB III.4.3.4). In the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices he recites the samidheni verses and by means of them he enkindles the fire (SB I.3.5.lff). He calls on Arseya Hotrpravara in the same performance (SB I.4.2.3ff). When he is formally chosen, he takes the hotr's seat and goes on muttering formulas (SB I.5.1.12ff). At the end of the Darsapurnamasa he invokes the blessings (SB I.9.1.2). In the soma-sacrifices he recites the Apriverses (SB III.8.1.2ff); the morning prayers (SB III.9.3.10; AB II.15ff);

Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.386 remarks that the name hot; shows that in old times one and the same person used to perform the duties like recitation as well as offering the oblation. cf. Keith, RPV, p.294.

Renou, Vocabulaire p.175 explains Hot; as "proprement "celui qui verse" secondairement "celui qui invoque" cp.

Minard Trois énigmes, I, p.123.

various sastras e.g. the Ajyasastra etc. (e.g. AB II.33ff) and the Caturhotr formulas (SB IV.6.9.18). At the end of the soma-sacrifices he recites the silent praise (Tusnīmsamsa) (AB II.31). In the Rajasuya, he tells the Sunahsepa's legend sitting on the golden cushion (AB VII.18; TB I.7.10.5). In the Asvamedha he tells the Pariplava legend (SB XIII.4.3.2ff). He has also to take part in the theological discussion (Brahmodya) which is a part of the Asvamedha (SB XIII.2.6.9ff; 5.2.12f; 17f; TB III.9.5.1ff). In the same sacrifice he has also to take part in the obscence dialogue and speaks with Parivrkti (discarded wife) (SB XIII.5.2.7). For the sacrifice named Brhaspatisava special characteristics of the hotr are required. He must be bald-headed, of reddish colour and trisukra i.e. purely learned in the three vedas or pure in three things, viz., in motherly descent, in fatherly descent and in his own behaviour (TB II.7.1.1-2).

Hotr and other rtvijs :

Hotr is female and the udgatr is male. For the hotr is connected with rc (feminine) and the udgatr is identical with Prajapati (masculine) (SB IV.3.2.3. For the hotr as female see SB III.9.4.25). Elsewhere it is said that the adhvaryu is mind and the hotr is speech.

Therefore, as the adhvaryu directs so he does (SB IV.6.7.19).

But sometimes we find that the adhvaryu also does according to what the hotr tells him. Thus for example after taking seat the hotr urges him to proceed further and the adhvaryu does accordingly (SB II.6.1.23). Thus both the hotr and the adhvaryu are interdependent, though in a majority of cases it is the hotr who has to receive orders (praisa) from the adhvaryu.

Importance and exaltation of the hotr :

In order to show the importance of the hot; and to exalt him, he is identified with Satya (Truth)(TMB XXV.18.4). He is elsewhere said to be breath (AB V.8). He is also described as the middle of the sacrifice and by giving daksinas to him the sacrifice is pleased in the middle (TB III.3.8.10). At other places he is identified with the sacrifice itself (AB I.28; SB VI.4.2.7; TB I.7.6.1; III.9.5.2).

Adhvaryu:

The adhvaryu belongs to the Yajurveda (AB V.32;33; KB VI.11; ŚB XI.5.8.7; JB I.358; GB I.3.2;5.24).

Duties of the adhvaryu:

The adhvaryu does the manual activities in general in the performance of the sacrifice. His main duties are

briefly described as to draw the cups for the sacrificer, to act for him, and to offer libations for him. The daksinas are given to him in view of the duties he has to perform (AB V.34;GB I.3.4;JUB III.4.3.4). Apart from these some of his special duties are as follows:

In the Darsapurnamasa he looks into the sacrificial butter (SB I.3.1.26-28). He also performs the Patnisamyajas (SB II.5.2.45) and samistayajus (SB II.5.2.46) in those sacrifices. He has to request the hotr for reciting the invitatory and offering verses at the time of making any offering (e.g. SB I.8.2.14 etc). In the soma-sacrifices he has a part in speaking with one who buys the soma (SB III.3.3.1ff) and in drawing the soma-cups (e.g. SB IV.2.1. lff). In the Rajasuya he performs the abhiseka to the king (SB V.4.2.1). In the fire-altar-building he sings samans (SB IX.1.2.43). In the Mahavrata-ceremony he sings the Trivrt-stoma according to some; but TMB V.6.6 has an objection to this - "How an adhvaryu and a Rgveda-priest can sing a saman ?" In the Asvamedha he has a part in the dialogue with a maiden (SB XIII.5.2.4) and in the theological discussion (Brahmodya) (SB XIII.5.2.12-13:17-18:TB III. 9.5.lff). In the sacrificial session (sattra) he has to consecrate these four persons, namely the sacrificer, the brahman, the udgatr and the hotr (SB XII.1.1.1-4; GB I.4.1-4).

Adhvaryu and other rtvijs :

Nothing is done by other rtvijs without the direction of the adhvaryu. For the yajus (to which he belongs) is the mind and the rc and saman are speech. Speach does not speak anything which is not conceived by the mind (ŚB IV. 6.7.19). Pratiprasthatr is described as his imitator (ŚB II.5.2.34). TB I.6.5.1-2 the same point is told in the following way - "Whichever is done by the adhvaryu, that is done by the pratiprasthatr. Therefore, what the superior does the inferior imitates it."

Importance and exaltation of the adhvaryu:

The importance of the adhvaryu is variously stated. He is said to be the forepart of the sacrifice (SB I.9.2.3). Elsewhere, he is described as the head of the sacrifice (SB IV.1.5.15f). It is the adhvaryu who spreads the sacrifice (SB V.5.5.18), nay, he is the foundation (pratistha) of the sacrifice (TB III.3.8.10). His growing importance brings greater responsibilities to him. This is described in a very effective way by TB I.4.6.4-6. The adhvaryu is istarga i.e. he is the killer of fruit of all the rtvijs; for he has to do so many activities and hence it is always probable that there may be mistakes due to his inadvertance. But it is the killer of the fruit (istarga) of others that is likely to suffer first of all. Therefore, he should

recite a verse praying thereby to be secure. SB XIV.

3.1.33 also implies that he has to do many activities.

Thus he has to do so many activities that he becomes

"schorched" as it were at the time of coming out of the
sacrificial ground (see also SB XI.5.1.32). He is also
exalted by being identified with the Sun (SadB II.5.3)
with the eye (SadB II.6.2), apana (SadB II.7.2), and
with the prana (TMB XXV.18.4).

Udgatr :

The rtvij udgatr belongs to the Samaveda (AB V.32; 33; KB VI.11; SB XI.5.8.7; JB I.358).

Origin of the udgatrship :

The origin of the udgatrship is told by the TMB VI.

7.5 as follows: The speech went away from the Gods. The Gods called her. She said, "I am shareless. Let me have a share". "Who could make a share for thee?" "The udgatrs", she said. This appears to be the mythical origin of the udgatrship found in the Brahmanas.

Duties of the udgatr :

The chief duty of the udgatr, as is suggested by the story of origin is connected with the speech, i.e., with the singing. Thus it is said that the sacrificer gives

daksinas to the udgatr thinking that he has sung, for him (the sacrificer) (AB V.34; JUB III.4.3.4; GB I.3.4).

The duties of the Udgatr appear to have a special bearing upon the generation. In the soma-sacrifice, he exchanges looks with the wife of the sacrificer. For he is Prajapati and the wife is a woman. That forms a productive pair (SB IV.4.3.1). By means of sevenfold saman, he generates the sacrificer and himself for seven times (JUB III.6.6.4). Elsewhere it is said that he is Prajapati and pours seed. When he recites the Retasya verses he pours the sacrificer himself in the form of seed. If he were to recite the verse without saman there would be only bones and no flesh at all. If saman alone were to be sung, then there would be flesh alone and no bones at all. As he recites the verse equipped with saman, therefore, a man is born covered by hair, skin and flesh (JB I.259). His identification with Prajapati also shows clearly how he is connected with the generation. He signs Viraj-saman with repeated, push, for the sake of impregnation. For from the repeated push, seed is implanted (pregnancy follows) (TMB XII.10.11; cp.JB III.70). On his right thigh the fire is churned; for from the right side the seed is discharged (TMB XII.10.12; cp.JB III.70) which says that the right half is more powerful; cp. also in

this context the following remark which is often found in the Brahmana-texts - daksinato hi vrsa vosam upasete e.g. SB VI.3.1.30). The udgatr is compared to the rainy season (SB XI.2.7.32) and this also suggests his connection with the productivity.

Among his other duties it may be mentioned that he has to sprinkle the horse in the Aśvamedha (TB III.8.5.3-4). He has in the same sacrifice a part in the dialogue with Vavata (ŚB XIII.5.2.6) and in the Brahmodya (ŚB XIII.5.2.14-15; 19-20).

Udgatr and hotr :

We have already mentioned that these two form a productive pair (see hot;). For the udgat; represents the male element and the hot; represents the female element. Elsewhere he is shown to be superior to the hot;. For the hot; belongs to one deity only; while the udgat; belongs to all the deities (JB I.118).

Importance and Exaltation of the udgatr :

The importance of the saman-priests in general is glorified in the following manner - wherever there is the priesthood of the samaveda, there the Gods eat much; for the saman is the food of the Gods (JB II.71). From this

the importance of the udgatr who is a priest belonging to the samaveda can be understood. If at the time of the creeping for the Bahispavamana the udgatr is severed, i.e. if he lets go his hold of the former, then the sacrificer becomes deprived of the sacrifice (TMB VI.7.14). This apparently indicated that the udgatr is as important as the sacrifice itself. JB I.85 in the same strain says that if the udgatr is severed from the Bahispavamanaprocession then there will be all the calamities. The udgatr is exhalted by being identified with Prajapati (e.g. JB I.70; 88; 259 etc.) He is also identified with the life (TB III.8.5.4). He frees the sacrificer from death (JUB III.7.1.1ff) and the real udgatr is he who removes the death from the sacrificer (JUB III.7.1.1ff).

Brahman:

Origin of brahman:

Manu bestowed the Brahmanhood on Vasistha according to JUB III. 4.1.1-2. But according to SadB I.5.3.1-3 it was Indra who did it. But according to both the JUB and SadB it was Vasistha who received that knowledge. SB XII.6.1.38ff another story is found in which Indra is told to have given the <u>Vyahrtis</u> (which play a very essential role in the duties of brahman) to Vasistha. It is further added there that in old times a person belonging to Vasistha family

alone could be the brahman. But in modern times anyone can be the brahman. Thus the Brahmana-texts connect the origin of the brahmanhood to Vasistha.

Admission of the brahman in the Srauta-ritual:

The priest brahman was not easily admitted in the circle of the priests of the Srauta-ritual. Thus we find questions and answers about his nature and work. KB VI. ll gives us a discussion in which it is asked, to which veda he (the brahman priest) belongs; for, the connection of the other priests to their respective vedas is wellknown; but that of the brahman is not. The answers to this question differ. According to some the adhvaryu himself should work as brahman, while others say that a chandoga should work as brahman. Another view and that which is acceptable to the KB is that the brahman should be a bahvrca. (=belonging to the RV). From this it seems that there was difference of opinion about the veda to which the brahman should belong and he was connected with the Yajurveda or Samaveda, or Rgveda by different authorities. Elsewhere it is also said that he must have threefold knowledge i.e. he must be knowing all the three vedas (AB V.33; SB XI.5.8.7; JB I.358). GB(which belongs to the Atharvaveda), however, directly connects the brahman to the Atharvaveda. Gods and Asuras were struggling and

at that time Gods requested Indra to protect the sacrifice. He then took the form of the Rgveda, then of the Yajurveda and then of the Samaveda; but in spite of all this he was unable to protect the sacrifice. Then he took the form of the Atharvaveda and wore a turban and protected the sacrifice. Therefore, now also the brahman wearing turban represents the Atharvaveda (GB I.2.19; cp.GB I.5.24). Here the connection of the brahman and the Atharvaveda is clearly mentioned. The efforts of the GB to elevate the brahman-priest and the Atharvaveda are also obvious when we take into consideration how the work of protection of the sacrifice was impossible in the forms of other vedas for Indra.

There was also discussions and objections as regards why daksinas are to be given to the brahman. There it is said that the other priests have to do certain work, and therefore, daksinas are given to them. But then it is asked why one should give daksinas at all to the brahman (AB V.34; JUB III.4.3.4). It is noteworthy that GB does not mention any such objection at all. It only gives the reasons why the daksinas are given to the other priests (GB I.3.4) and then directly draws attention to the fact that half of the daksinas used to be given to the brahman in past times; for he bears half of the sacrifice (GB I.3.4; cp. AB V.34; JUB III.4.3.5). Here also the efforts for

elevating the Brahman are evident.

Duties of brahman :

He generally remains silent (AB V.33; JUB III.4.2.

1-2)³ while other priests are doing their duties with the Rgveda, Yajurveda and the Saman (SadB I.5.6). He sits in the southern part and protects the sacrifice (SB I.7.4.18; II.4.3.26; V.4.3.26; V.4.5.22; V.5.1.9; XII.6.1.38; XIV.1.3.2; GB I.2.19). He has also to perform expiations (prayascittis) whenever there is something done in excess or defectively (SadB I.5.9). He, therefore, is called the physician of the sacrifice (AB V.34; SB XIV.2.2.19). In the Pravargyaperformance he pronounces the anumantrana formula over the gharma(SB XIV.2.219). He sings samans e.g. Vajinam saman in the Vajapeya (TMB XVIII.7.12; JB II.193). In the Aśvamedha, he has a part in the dialogue with Mahisī (SB XIII.5.2.5) and in the Brahmodya (SB XIII.5.2.14-15; TB III.9.5.1).

³ See Renou, "La valeur du silence dans le culte Védique" JAOS, 69 (1949), p.11.

See Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.395; Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I,p.142.

Importance and Exaltation of the brahman :

As there were some ritualists who were opposing the brahman, there were others who tried to establish, elevate, and exalt him. Thus we have already mentioned how he alone is supposed to be the half part of the sacrifice and all other priests to-gether were the other half. At another place the brahman is conceived as the entire sacrifice (SB VI.2.2.40). Elsewhere it is said that on the brahman the sacrifice is based (AB VII.26; KB VI.12). In the GB the tendency to elevate the brahman is seen particularly for the leading theme of its composers is to push up to the front the AV and its adherents. 5 Thus it mentions that the brahman knowing the Bhrgvangirasah is knower of all (sarvavid) (GB I.2.18). Again it is said that the sacrifice is incomplete without him (GB I.3.1-2). TB III.8.5.2 identifies him with power(bala) and exalts him.

We have so far seen the four chief priests. Now let us see their assistants.

Assisting priests :

Maitravaruna :

The maitravaruna works under the hotr (SB XII.1.1.6;

⁵ Bloomfield, The Atharvaveda, p.29

GB I.4.6;5.24). He is also called prasastr (e.g. AB VI.14; KB XXVIII.5; TB III.12.9.4 etc) ar upvaktr (e.g. AB V.28; KB XIII.8; XXVIII.5. etc.).

Duties of Maitravaruna :

The chief duty of the maitravaruna is to recite e.g. a litary to Mitra and Varuna at the mid-day pressing (AB V.4) or to Indra and Varuna at the third pressing (AB III. 50). He shares in the drinking of the Sarasvata-cup(ŚB XII.8.2.23). In the Daśapeya which occurs in the Rajasuya he gets a barren cow (ŚB V.4.5.22;TB I.8.2.4;TMB XVIII.9. 13).

Importance and Exaltation :

Maitravaruna is said to be the leader of the minor hotrpriests (hotrakas)(AB VI.6). He is identified with the mind of the sacrifice (ŚB XII.8.2.23;AB II.5;28).

Acchavaka :

Acchavaka is an assistant of the hotr (SB XII.1.1.8; GB I.4.6;5.24).

Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythology, I,496,n.4; Oldenberg Religion des Veda, p.399; Keith, RPV. p.296; Renou, Vocabulaire, p.127.

⁷ See the note 6 above.

Origin of the acchavaka-priesthood:

Nabhanedistha Manava sought an invitation from the Angirases. He "saw" the duty of the acchavaka. He came to their sacrifice when the sacrificial food had been invoked. Therefore, there is no formal selection of this priest (i.e. no formal "pravara" is uttered)(KB XXVIII.4). This suggests that the place of acchavaka was created in later times.

Duties of acchavaka :

He sits in the eastern region (KB XXVIII.4). His main work is recitation of verses (e.g. AB II.36; VI.13;14). Thus he recites at the morning-pressing a litany to Indra and Agni (AB II.36). He has a part in creeping to the sadas. The other hotrakas are in front and he is behind (AB II.36). He recites three hymns in the Sadaha (AB VI. 19; GB II.6.1). On the second day of Dvadasaha he sings the Rauravasaman (TMB XII.4.23).

Importance and Exaltation of acchavaka :

In order to show that the Acchavaka has his own importance, he is connected with Indra and Agni. Thus it is said that previously the acchavaka was excluded from soma. Indra and Agni preserved soma for him. Therefore,

⁸ Cf. Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.384,n.2; Keith,
RBT p.516, n.1.

he is said to belong to Indra and Agni (aindragna)(SB III. 6.2.12-13; IV.3.1.1-2). As these two divinities from a productive pair (mithuna), the acchavaka is called a productive pair (mithuna) (SB IV.3.1.3). The importance of the acchavaka is brought out emphatically by saying that only a Brahmana skilled in the reverses and strong one should perform the part of the acchavaka (AB II.36). GB II.5.15 praises the acchavaka in similar words saying that he is the strong and skilled in reverses.

Gravastut :

Gravastut is an assistant of the hotr (SB XII.1.1.9; GB I.4.6; 5.24).

Origin of the gravastut-priesthood:

The origin of the gravastut-priesthood is told in KB XXIX.1 as follows - when the Gods performed the sacrifice at sarvacaru, Arbuda, Kadraveya came upto them at the midday pressing and said, "One hotr's office is not being performed by you. That is the one of the gravastut. Let me perform it for you; do you invite me". They agreed and invited him. Then he saw the appropriate verses for his work.

From this it can be understood that Arbuda Kadraveya has made some efforts to introduce the gravastut-priesthood

and this priesthood thus is later in origin.

Duties of the gravastut :

The gravastut has to praise the stones and this work is suggested by his very name "Gravastut (praiser of the stones)." He praises standing; for the stones are standing as it were. Arbuda Kadraveya praised the stones wearing a turban and with eyes tied up; therefore, now also the gravastut praises wearing a turban and with eyes tied up (KE XXIX.1).

Pratiprasthatr :

The pratiprasthatr is an assistant of the adhvaryu (SB XII.1.1.7; GB I.4.6; 5.24). As to his being imitator of the adhvaryu or being inferior to him, a reference has already been made in connection with "adhvaryu and other priests" above.

Duties of the Pratiprasthatr :

Pratiprasthatr assists the adhvaryu in the Varunapraghasa (SB II.5.2.19ff). He has to ask the wife of the
sacrificer regarding her loyalty to her husband. He asks
her, "With whom holdest thou intercourse?" When a woman
who belongs to one man carries an intercourse with another
she undoubtedly commits a sin against Varuna. He thus asks

her lest she should sacrifice with a secret pang in her mind. When confessed, the sin becomes less since it then becomes truth; and whatever connection she confesses not, that will turn out injurious to her relatives (SB II.5.2. 20; TB II.6.5.2). In the soma-sacrifice, he having taken ghee in four ladlings lays down a piece of gold in the right wheel-track of the northern soma-cart and offers thereon (SB III.5.1.14). In the animal-sacrifice made to Agni and Soma, he roasts the omentum (SB III.8.2.20). He has an important role in drawing the soma-cups (SB IV. 3.1.4ff). In the sacrificial session he has to consecrate four personalities - the adhvaryu, the brahmanacchamsin, the prastotr and the maitravaruna (SB XII.1.1.5-6; GB I.4.5-6).

Nestr :

The nestr belongs to the adhvaryu as his assistant (SB XII.1.1.9; GB I.4.6; 5.24).

Duties of the nestr :

The nestr makes the wife of the sacrificer speak at the time of making her see the soma-cow (SB III.3.1.11). When the slaughterer announce "The victim has been quieted", the adhvaryu gives the direction, "Nestar, lead up the lady". Then the nestr leads up the sacrificer's wife (SB III.8.2.1).

He brings her again at the time of the Patnivata-graha is being drawn (SB IV.4.2.17-18). He utters the offering verses addressed to Tvastr who also has some connection with women (AB VI.3;10). He recites the Vaisvadevasastra in the soma-sacrifice (AB VI.14). Agnidha sits on his lap. Here the agnidh represents the male element and the nestr the female element (AB VI.3;SB IV.4.2.8;GB II.4.5). In the Vajapeya sacrifice he draws the cups of liquor (SB V.1.2.16ff). In the sacrificial session he does the work of consecrating the pratiprasthatr, the potr, the pratihartr and the acchavaka (SB XII.1.1.7-8;GB I.4.6).

Unnetr:

The unnetr is an assistant of the Adhvaryu (GB I. 5.24).

Duties of the unnetr :

Unnetr enters in the course of the soma-sacrifice, into the Havirdhara Hall along with the adhvaryu, sacrificer, agnīdh and pratiprasthatr (SB IV.3.5.9) and other attendants of the adhvaryu. At the time when the adhvaryu pours out juice of the Agrayana cup, the pratiprasthatr holds out (and pours in the two residues of the Aditya-graha), the unnetr adds thereto some juice from the Adhvariya by means of a camasa-cup or a dipping vessel (udancana)(SB IV.3.5.21).

Adhvaryu asks him to fill up the cup of the hotr (SB IV. 4.2.17). At the time of libation after the drawing of Hariyojana-graha the unnetr calls the Srausat. "For he is excessive (atirikta); because he does not call for the srausat for any other libation, and this libation of Hariyojana-graha is also in excess. Thus he puts excess in excess" (SB IV.4.3.8). There are two unnetrs in the sacrifice named Garga-trifatra and it is to the second unnetr that the thousandth cow is to be optionally given as daksina (as another option it can be given to the hotr) (SB IV.5.8.12). In the sacrificial session he consecrates the nestr, the agnidh, the subrahmanya and the gravastut (SB XII.1.1.9; GB I.4.6). He himself is consecrated by a snataka or a Brahmacarin (SB XII.1.1.11; GB I.4.6). The unnetr is consecrated last and at the time of the Avabhrtha he comes out first, For he is the vital air and thus the vital air is put in the consecrated persons on both sides. So they obtain the full term of life and do not depart from this world before their full term of life (SB XII. 1.1.11).

Importance and Exaltation of the unnetr :

In order to show hoe the unnetr is important and in order to exhalt him he is identified with Visnu (JB II.68).

Prastotr :

The prastotr belongs to the udgatr (SB XII.1.1.6;GB I.4.6;5.24).

Duties of the prastotr :

In the soma-sacrifice he has a part in creeping, for the Bahispavamana. (TMB VI.7.13; JB I.85). In the Asvamedha-sacrifice at the time of killing of the horse he has to sing a saman connected to Yama (TB III.9.20.1).

His work is described to be similar to the snorting of a horse. A horse snorts (<u>praprothati</u>) and the prastotr chants (<u>prastauti</u>). Therefore, in the Rajasuya at the time of Dasapeya a horse is given to him (TMB VI.7.13; JB I.85).

Importance and Exaltation :

If the prastotr is severed from the other rtvijs at the time of creeping for Bahispavamana, the head of the sacrifice is deprived according to TMB VI.7.13; but according to JB I.85 there will be in that case some draw-back in the mouth. This implicitly shows that he is considered were to be the head or the mouth, of the sacrifice. In glorifying him, SB identifies him with the speech of the sacrifice (SB IV.2.5.3). Elsewhere he is connected with Prajapati (TMB XVIII.9.11).

Subrahmanya:

The Subrahmanya priest works under the udgatr(SB XII.1.19; GB I.4.6; 5.24).

Duties of the subrahmanya:

His chief duty is to call the Subrahmanya formula when the morning-recitation has begun and the sacrifice is not finished (SadB I.2.7). He has to call this standing upon the heap of rubbish and this has been explained by SadB I.1.1-7 by means of a story: - Originally there were Brahma and Subrahma. Subrahma escaped the Gods. The Gods sought Subrahma with the sacrifice. The Gods sought it at the junction of the sacrifice. The heap of rubbish is the junction of the sacrifice. Therefore, the subrahmanya priest calls the Subrahmanya formula while standing upon the heap of rubbish.

The reason for the Subrahmanya standing upon the heap of rubbish is given differently elsewhere: The seers performed a sacrificial session. To the tallest of them they left the duty of calling the Subrahmanya. They did this thinking that thereby the Gods would be summoned from the nearest. They made him stand on the rubbish heap for this purpose (AB VI.3).

The objection that the other priests do the activities

within the altar and the Subrahmanya priest without the altar (because he stands on the rubbish-heap) is removed in the following way - From within the altar they throw up the rubbish-heap and the Subrahmanya stands on that rubbish-heap. Therefore, it is not that he is doing his work without altar (AB VI.3; JB II.78).

The name of the Subrahmanya formula is Brahmaśri and the priest Subrahmanya should know this. Then he provides the sacrificer with abundant Brahman (power) and <u>Śrī</u> (prosperity)¹⁰. The calling of the Subrahmanya by such a knowing priest can by itself be an expiatory rite (SadB I.2.12).

Female elements of the subrahmanya-priest :

The subrahmanya-priest is identified with Vac(speech) (AB VI.3; JB II.78). A question is asked as to why he is said to be like a female as it were. The answer is that he is Vac(speech) which is female (AB VI.3). Again, he gets a bull as a daksina the reason of which is that the bull is a male and the Subrahmanya is a female and this becomes a productive pair (AB VI.3). Thus we see that the Subrahmanya is described as a female.

⁹ For Brahman see Gonda, Notes on Brahman, p.40.

¹⁰ For Śrī see Gonda, Visnuism, p.176ff.

Pratihartr :

He is an assistant of the udgatr (SB XII.1.1.10; GB I.4.6; 5.24).

Duties of the pratihartr :

The chief duty of the pratihartr is to utter Pratihara (which is a part of the saman and follows the Prastava) land from this the name seems to have originated. To him the daksinas are given last; for he is the restrainer (pratihartr). Then he restrains in the end the cows for the sacrificer and they are not lost (SB IV.3.4.22).

Importance and Exaltation of the pratihartr:

The pratihartr is mystically called the cross-breathing (<u>vyana</u>) of the sacrifice (KB XVII.7). He is to be understood according to the ŚB IV.2.5.3 either as a physician or crossbreathing. If the pratihartr is severed from the priests going for the Bahispavamana, then the sacrificer is deprived of cattle; for he is identical with cattle (TMB VI.7.15.JB I.85 in this connection differs and says that in that case the fourth part of oneself will be lost).

¹¹ cf. Renou, Vocabulaire, p.189.

Brahmanacchamsin:

The priest brahmanacchamsin is an assistant of the brahman. (SB XII.1.1.6; GB I.4.6; 5.24).

Origin of the brahmanacchamsin :

The priest brahmanacchamsin originated in the divine sacrificial performance. Gods, while performing sacrifice were afraid of Asuras and Raksases. They said, "Who of us shall sit down on the southern side? We will enter upon the sacrifice on the northern side, in a place free from danger and injury." They decided to make the strongest of them sit on the southern side. Indra was the strongest. When he was requested accordingly, he demanded a boon and the work of the brahmanacchamsin was given to him as a boon. Therefore, in the selection of the brahmanacchamsin there is a mention of Indra (SB IV.6.6.1ff).

Duties of the brahmanacchamsin :

His chief work is to recite. Thus, for example, he recites in the course of the third pressing a litany addressed to Indra and Brhaspati. For the Asuras removed from maitravaruna's litany took refuge in the litany of the brahmanacchamsin. Indra drove them away from it with the help of Brhaspati (AB III.50).

Importance and Exaltation of the brahmanacchamsin :

The brahmanacchamsin is connected with Indra (TB I.7.6.1) and the reason of this is in the "origin of brahmanacchamsin priesthood" already referred to. He is described as the middle, as the organ of generation of the body of the sacrifice (KB XXVIII.9)

Potr :

The potr is an assistant of the brahman (SB XII.1.1. 8; GB I.4.6;5.24).

Duties of the potr :

He takes part in recitation. Thus, for example, he recites the offering verses at the time of Prasthita libations in the morning pressing (AB VI.10; KB XXVIII.3).

Agnidhra:

The agnidhra belongs to the brahman (ŚB XII.1.1.9;GB I.4.6;5.24).

Duties of the agnidhra :

His chief duty is to enkindle fire (KB XXVIII.3). In the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices, at the time of the

stambayajurharana, he goes round to the north of the fire and presses the Stambayajus down on the heap of the rubbish (SB I.2.4.13). He makes the spoons and vessels clean (SB I.3.1.1ff). He binds the wife of the sacrificer (SB I.3.1.12). He takes part in the discourse with the adhvaryu. Adhvaryu asks him, "Has the sacrificer gone to the Gods ?" Then the agnidhra replies, "He has gone". Adhvaryu says, "Bid the Gods here". The agnidhra says, "May they hear (srausat)". By these words the agnidhra means that they have recognised him. Thus the adhvaryu and the agnidhra lead the sacrificer to the world of Gods (ŚB I.8.3.20; cp.I.9.2.18 also). In the performance of the Patnīsamyajas he helps the adhvaryu (ŚB I.4.2.1ff). In the Daksayana form of the Darsapurnamasa, he shares the remaining whey along with the hotr, the adhvaryu and the sacrificer (SB II.4.4.25). In the Pitrmedha taking place in the Sakamedha-parvan of the Caturmasya-sacrifice, he smells the remaining porridge (SB II.6.1.33).

In the soma-sacrifice he keeps the <u>prastara</u> handled over to him by the adhvaryu in a safe place (ŚB III.4.4.20). He recites the offering verses for the Patnivatagraha (ŚB IV.4.2.15; KB XXX.1; GB II.4.5). For he represents the male element and the wife, the female element. Thus a productive pair is brought out. He sits on the nestr's lap.

Then also it is said that the agnidhra is a male and the nestr is a female and thus a productive pair takes place there (ŚB IV.4.2.18;AB VI.3;GB II.4.5). In the third pressing he stirs the sour milk (ŚB IV.3.3.19). In the Śatarudriya performance he sprinkles the vedi. This work is assigned to him because he is the same as Agni and none will injure oneself. Therefore, he may not be injured while sprinkling Agni, altar, which is identical with Rudra (ŚB IX.1.2.1-4).

Importance and Exaltation of Agnidhra:

The Agnidhra is often identified with Agni as is referred to above. He is also said to be representing the heaven and the earth (SB I.8.1.41).

Sadasya:

This is the seventeenth priest (GB I.5.4).12

Difference of opinion :

ŚB X.4.1.19 refuses to admit this priest. For then there will be excess. AB VII.1; KB XVII.7; XXVI.4;5; JB II.54; SadB III.4.5;5.6;6.3;7.3;8.5; GB I.3.18;5.24; however, recognise this priest.

¹² for this priest see Weber Indische Studien, X, 144;
Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, p.97; Caland, Das
Śrautasutra des Apastamba, II, p.122.

Duties of the sadasya :

He has to sit in the <u>Sadas</u> and to look over all the offerings (SadB II.4.5). GB I.2.19 mentions that he has to sit in the southern part. "The Viśvedevas sit in the southern part of the sacrifice and inasmuch as the Viśvedas sat in the southern part of the sacrifice it became sadasya and that is why the sadasya is so called". GB I.2.19 says that he utters the Vyahrti <u>janat</u>.

Exaltation of the sadasya:

The sadasya is identified with the generation organ of the sacrifice (KB XVII.7). He is also described as the space (SadB II.5.6;10.9) or the space in the eye (SadB II.6.3) or the space in the man (SadB II.7.3). If the sadasya, through ignorance falls short of his work, the self leaves the sacrificer. When the sadasya performs his work skillfully he places (in the other world) his (the sacrificer's) self in the sacrificer (SadB II.8.5). Thus the sadasya is said to be identical with the self of the sacrifice.

We have seen above the individual rtvijs, their duties, their importance and exaltation, etc. Now let us see what the Brahmana-texts say about the rtvijs in general.

Sacrifice and rtvijs :

There is a long chain of interdepending factors and

the rtviis are at the base of this chain. The sacrifice is based upon the holy scriptures. The holy scriptures are based upon the speech. The speech is based upon the mind; mind on the vital air (prana); the vital air on the food; the food on the earth; the earth on the waters; the waters on the light; the light on the wind; the wind on the air (akasa); the air on the brahman and the brahman on the learned rtvijs (GB I.1.37-38). Cp.also AB II.32, "On the priest the whole sacrifice is based, on sacrifice of the sacrificer." AB VII.19, "Sacrifice finds its support in holy power (brahman) and on the Brahmanas". In the absence of the rtvijs the sacrifice remains empty and if qualified rtvijs are chosen, then many good results like jiti, vijiti, samjiti are obtained (GB I.2.8.4). The rtvijs are the officiants of the sacrifice. They prosper the sacrifice, they spread it, they generate it (SB I. 8.1.28).

Rtvijs often stand as limbs of the sacrifice. Thus it is said that there are three limbs of the sacrifice namely the adhvaryu, the hot, and the brahman (TB I.8.6.6). If the rtvijs creeping for the Bahispavamana let loose their hold of the former rtvij, then various limbs of the sacrifice are damaged as it were (TMB VI.7.13-15; JB I.85).

A long metaphor is drawn in KB XVII.7 where the respective

positions of the respective rtvijs in the body of the sacrifice are described. Thus the brahmanacchamsin is its mind, the udgatr is its expiration, the prastor is its inspiration, the pratihartr is its cross-breathing, the hotr its speech, the adhvaryu its eye, the sadasya its generation organ, the sacrificer the body and the hotrakas its limbs.

The sacrificer and the rtvijs :

The sacrificer is the producer of the sacrifice and it is by his order that the <u>rtviis</u> spread the sacrifice (SB I.9.1.2). After selecting the divine priests the sacrificer selects the human priests and then they work (SadB II.10.3).

It is in the hands of the priests to do good or bad of the sacrificer. 13 Thus, for example, if the adhvaryu desires that the sacrificer should be devoid of cattle, he removes the calves (in the New-moon-sacrifice) with a branch

On the priestly magical power against the sacrificer see Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.128; Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p.131; Keith, RPV, p.379; Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I, p.175; on the priests and magic cf. Frazer, Golden Bough, p.52.

(of palasa) which has no leaves and the end of which is dry. But if he desires that the sacrificer should get cattle, he removes them with a branch, having many leaves and subbranches. (TB II.2.1.2). AB III.2 describes the power of hotr in connection with the Praugasastra, "If he desires of a man, 'let me deprive him of expiration', he should recite (the triplet) to Vayu for him in confusion; a verse or line he should pass over; thereby it is confused. Verily thus does he deprive him of expiration. If he desires of a man, 'Let me deprive him of expiration and inspiration' he should recite for him (the triplet) to Indra and Vayu in confusion; he should pass over a verse or line; thereby is it confused; verily thus he deprives him of expiration and inspiration. If he desires of a man, 'Let me deprive him of the eye', he should recite for him (the triplet) to Mitra and Varuna in confusion; he should pass over a verse or line; thereby is it confused; verily thus he deprives him of the eye. If he desires of a man, 'Let me deprive him of the ear', he should recite for him (the triplet) to the Asvins in confusion; he should pass over a verse or a line; thereby is it confused; verily thus he deprives him of the ear. If he desires of a man, 'Let me deprive him of strength', he should recite for him (the triplet) to Indra in confusion; he should pass over a

verse or line; thereby is it confused; verily thus he deprives him of strength. If he desires of a man, 'Let me deprive him of limbs', he should recite for him (the triplet) to the Viśvedevas in confusion; he should pass over a verse or line; thereby is it confused; verily thus he deprives him of limbs. If he, desires of a man, 'Let me deprive him of speech', he should recite for him (the triplet) to Sarasvatī in confusion; he should pass over a verse or a line; thereby is it confused; verily he deprives him of speech. But if he desires of a man, 'with all his members, with all his self, let me make him prosper' verily let him recite for him thus in due and proper order; verily thus he makes him prosper with all his members with all his self. Thus the hotr can do good or bad to the sacrificer.

The sacrificer has to surmise according to the loss, what rtvii has been against him - if he looses his cattle, he has to understand that the adhvaryu is the cause. If he gets bad reputation it is due to the hotr. If the acquisition and maintenance of his property are affected, it must be due to the brahman and if he loses his self or his offspring it is due to the udgatr (SadB II.9.1). It is also shown how the priests place the sacrificer in the state of bliss (SB II.2.2.7) or how after having kept him in the mystic word om they lead him to the heaven (JUB IV.

4.5.7). The priests make for the sacrificer another body in the form of the sacrificer in the form of the sacrifice (SB IV.3.4.5). They are the supporters of the sacrificer who performs the twelve-day sacrifice (AB IV.25). GB II.

2.4 says that the priests are the friends of the sacrificer.

The sacrificer suffers due to the mistakes made, of course, inadvertently, by the priests during the performance of the sacrifice. When the hotr through ignorance falls short of his task, the voice leaves the sacrificer; when the adhvaryu falls short, the eye leaves, and so on. But if they do their work in a good manner then these things (which have left him) are re-obtained by the sacrificer (SadB II.8.1-8).

It is, upto now, shown that due to the priests the sacrificer gets something good or bad. But elsewhere the Brahmana-texts maintain that the result of the sacrifice-whether good or bad - is obtained by the priests as well as the sacrificer. Though the Brahmana-texts also say that all the blessings are for the sacrificer alone (SB I.3.1.26; 9.1.21), the sacrificer is elsewhere indentified with the body of the sacrifice (SB IX.5.2.16; KB XVII.7) and the priests are the said to be the limbs (SB IX.5.2.16). It is

¹⁴ Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.372.

further said that wherever the limbs, there is the body; and wherever the body, there are the limbs. Therefore, both (the priests and the sacrificer) go to the same world (SB IX.5.2.16).15 Thus it is implied that the result, whether good, bad or indifferent, is obtained by both the priests and the sacrificer. By means of depositing the upamsugraha both the adhvaryu and the sacrificer live long (SB IV.1.1.17). If the burning coals fall down to various directions the sacrificer, his wife and the priests are variously shown to get bad results (TB III.7.2.5.6). It will appear that there is no firm position in the Brahmanas as regards who gets the result of the sacrifice. For sometimes it is said that the priests hold the power, to do good or bad, of the sacrificer and sometimes it is said that both get the results together. It is also further indicated that as both the sacrificer and the priests will get the same results the priests would not harm the sacrificer by performing the sacrifice wrongly either intentionally or due to negligence.

The relations between the sacrificer of the warrior class (ksatriya) and the priests who are of the Brahmana-class

¹⁵ For the priests and the sacrificer as limbs and body cf. v. Nagelein, JAOS, 34, p.261.

are not, from the view-point of the Brahmana-texts, hostile. When in the Rajasuya the adhvaryu declares, "O Bharatas, this is your King, and of us, the Brahmanas, is the soma king" (TB I.7.4.2;6.1; SB V.3.3.12;4.2.3) a kind of priestly supremacy and priestly escape from the kingly power may appear at the first sight. But Heesterman's explanation of this point deserves considering. The soma is not only the king of the Brahmanas, but of the whole cosmos. The Brahmanas represent the brahman-power which has a distinct bearing on fertility and growth. The soma pressed and offered in the sacrifice is the soma as the king soma whose realm is recognised in the universal fertility process. "Soma's kingship over the Brahmins is an exact reflexion in the sacrificial microcosm of his universal kingship over the macrocosmic fertility process"16 Thus there is no supremacy or escape of the Brahmanas from the rule of the ksatriyas. In this respect it is important to see that JB II.119 calls the priests the subjects of the sacrificer. So the priests are naturally the subjects of the Ksatriya sacrificer or of the kingsacrificer also. JB I.287 says that a Ksatriya should not

¹⁶ Heesterman, Consecration, p.75 f.

hate a Brahmana and a Brahmana should not hate Ksatriya. 17.
This rule can clearly express what relations the Brahmana-texts expect from the Brahmanas and the Ksatriyas.

Qualities of the priests :

a) Knowledge and study :

The priests must be well-versed and learned. This is the chief qualification. The importance of learned priests is emphasized in various ways. The objection that one should not sacrifice with the Vajapeya at all, for thereby the would win Prajapati who is everything and then nothing would be left for the offsprings and the offsprings would be worse - is refuted by saying that the priests there, should be learned and efficient and then there would be no harm. For that is the perfection of the sacrifice when the wise priests assist the sacrificer in performing the sacrifice (SB V.1.1.9-10). As one offers in kindled fire so does one offer the gift which one gives to a learned priest and, therefore, one who is learned in the scripture need not assign the gift to any deity (SB IV.3.4.33).

¹⁷ For the struggle between the priests and the sacrificer in general, see G. van der Leeuw, REM, p.218f.

Revidence, p.47 (note 15.3); for the importance of learning in connection with the priests in general see James, Priesthood, p.208ff.

The Asvamedha is everything and he who whilst being a Brahmana, knows naught of the Asvamedha, knows naught of anything, he is not a Brahmana and as such he is liable to be despoiled (SB XIII.4.2.17). The learned priests are called the human deities (SB II.2.2.6; IV.3.4.6; SadB I.1.28; GB II.1.6). Kathaka-brahmana XVIII says that whatever deities there are they live in the priest who knows the veda. "One who has studied the veda they call 'the Rsi's treasure-warden (rsinam nidhigopah)' (SB I.9.2.3). The importance of study of the veda is very effectively told by SB AI.5.7.10 - "Moving indeed are the waters, moving is the sun, moving the moon, and moving the stars; and verily as if these deities did not move and act, even so will the Brahmana be on that day on which he does not study his lesson : therefore, one's (daily) lesson should be studied. And hence let him at least pronounce either a rgverse or a yajus-formula or a samanverse or a gatha or a kumbya to ensure continuity of the veda."19

b) Pure conduct, pure descent :

The priests are expected to be pure in their conduct 20 and pure in descent. 21 As we desire to drink from pure and

On the importance of study see Gonda, Change and Continuity p.203.

²⁰ cf. Gonda, Change and Continuity, p.203.

²¹ cf. Weber, Indische Studien, A, 145.

clean vessel so also the Gods desire to drink by means of pure Brahmanas. (JB I.282). He is also expected to be of pure descent. See in this context the story of Kavasa Ailūsa who was discarded as "a son of a slave woman" (AB II. 19; KB XII.3). A priest from a good family (arseva) is identical with all the deities (SB XII.4.4.7). It is, however, noteworthy that daksinās are also prescribed to be given to one whose family is not known and to one who is not learned (TMB XX.15.10-11; GB II.3.17).

c) Mutual Co-operation :

Mutual co-operation of the priests was an essential factor. The importance of the Tanunaptra-rite is to be understood in this direction. Gods had discord among them and they put their favourite forms in the house of Varuna. Priests also lay down their favourite forms together in the performance of the Tanunaptra-rite. One should not do any treachery to one united by the Tanunaptra (AB I.29; SB III. 4.2.1ff). SB I.5.2.15 tells us the importance of the mutual understanding as follows - where the officiant priests perform the sacrifice with a perfect mutual understanding there everything works regularly and no hitch occurs. SB I.5.2.19 says that - where the officiant priests perform the sacrifice with a perfect mutual understanding there it will indeed rain.

Importance and Exaltation of the Brahmanas in general:

We have seen how the individual priests are exalted; now we shall see how the Brahmanas in general are exalted and their importance emphasized. We have already referred to the fact that the Brahmanas are called human Gods. 22

There are two kinds of Gods; the Gods are Gods and the Gods in human form are the priests (SB II.2.2.6; IV.4.1; SadB I.1.28; GB II.1.6). Thus the priests are exalted as the human gods. TB I.4.4.2 and 4 identify a Brahmana with all the deities (brahmano vai sarva devatah). Kathakabrahmana AVIII tells us that all the deities live in a veda-knowing Brahmana. Therefore, to such Brahmanas one should pay homage everyday and one should not speak ill of them.

In the evening of the day preceeding the Agnyadheya a ricepap is prepared for the four priests. The purpose of this is according to some to gratify the metres. This view is rejected and it is stated that the result of gratifying the metres can be obtained by mere residence of the Brahmanas - may they be officiant priests or not - in his family (SB II.1.4.4). This shows how merely the

p.10; G.van der Leeuw, REM, p.220; Gonda, Change and Continuity, p.203.

residence of the Brahmanas also was exalted. Cp. in this context Kathakabrahmana I.5. where it is said, "One who is going to establish fire should not prevent in the evening (preceeding to the day of establishment) a Brahmana who has come along with the Sun as a guest. The Sun indeed enters a Brahmana in the evening. After prescribing details about the place of the sacrifice, it is said that the officiant priests themselves constitute the place of the sacrifice. Wheresoever wise and learned priests, versed in sacred lore, perform the sacrifice, there no failure takes place and that place is considered to be nearest to the Gods. (SB III.1.1.5). Again the place seen by such wise priests is acceptable to the Gods (SB III.1.1.11) If there is no fire available for the Agnihotra one can offer it on the hand of the Brahmana according to SadB IV. 1.12 and this implies that a Brahmana is as great as Agni. TB II.1.4.5 identifies the Brahmana with Agni Vaisvanara. Elsewhere the Brahmanas are identified with Aditya and it is said further that when the Brahmanas are satisfied. they allow the sacrificer to the heaven (TB I.1.9.8f). Importance is found given to the satisfaction of the Brahmanas in SB I.7.3.28: - The sacrifice said. "I am afraid of thirst". "How art thou satiated ?" "May I satiate myself after the priest has been satiated" (SB I. 7.3.28).

The rules such as one should not speak ill of the Brahmanas (KB VI.4; cf. Kathakabrahmana XVIII referred to above) show how great honour was given to the Brahmanas. It is also said that one should not discuss and think about whether a priest is deserving or not (TMB VI.5.9). His speech is to be followed with respect (GB I.1.25). Thus we can realise the height to which the priests have been exalted in the Brahmana period.

Bad Priests :

It must, however, be said to the credit of the Brahmana-texts that they do not ignore or hide the bad aspect of the priests. Though it is said that one should not think or discuss about the deservingness of a priest (TMB VI.5.9), it is merely an exhaltation of the priests in general. One has to take into consideration the good qualities of a priest and be sure that one avoids the bad priests. AB III.46 describes three kinds of errors possible due to the selection of bad priests. These errors are figuratively called "eaten", "swallowed" and "vomitted". "When the sacrificer chooses a priest who expects "May he give me or may he choose me", that is like something eaten. That does not profit the sacrificer. Again what is swallowed is when fearing he chooses a priest, "Let him not either oppress me nor let him make confusion in the sacrifice for me".

That is something swallowed. That does not profit the sacrificer. What is vomitted is that when he chooses as priest one who is spoken ill of. Just as men are disgusted by what is vomited, the Gods are disgusted by such priests. That also does not profit the sacrificer. Thus the Brahmanatexts are aware of the bad priests and they prescribe to avoid them. Elsewhere it is said that when there are unskilled priests having bad conduct that is a harm to the sacrifice. When the sacrifice is harmed, then the sacrificer is also harmed, then the priests are harmed; then the sacrificer lesses his offspring and cattle and then he lesses his heaven (GB I.1.13).

Looseness of the Brahmana-texts:

The Brahmana-texts are not scientific texts; rather they represent prescientific science of the sacrifice.

Therefore, the looseness in the Brahmana-texts is not very difficult to point out. The descriptions of the priests whether individual or in general are scattered and it is for us to collect them together and try to get a comprehensive view. As some examples of their looseness we can note that the adhvaryu is identified with the mind by SB XII.1.1.5 but elsewhere the brahman (SadB II.6.2), the maitravaruna (AB II.5;28;SB XII.8.2.23) and the hotr (TB II.1.5.9) are also identified with the mind. The hotr is elsewhere

identified with the voice of the sacrificer (AB II.5.28) and still elsewhere the prastotr is identified with the same (SB IV.2.5.3). Udgatr being connected with Prajapati represents the male element but elsewhere it is also stated, in a mystical manner, that he does the work of a woman (SB XIV.3.1.35). We have already described that there is no fixed position about who - whether the sacrificer alone or both the sacrificer and the priests obtain the results of the sacrifice.

Conclusion :

From what has been said so far we may be justified in making the following points about the priests in the Brahmana-texts -

- 1) They are very essential for the sacrifice and their importance is exhalted in various ways particularly by identifying them with the Gods.
- 2) The Brahmana-texts, however, do not neglect the bad priests and they expressly say that such priests are to be avoided.
- 3) The priests are expected to possess high qualities such as knowledge, good conduct, etc. They are expected to have good relations with the sacrificer, so much so that

they are sometimes said to be his limbs. No hatred or quarrel was expected between the Ksatriya sacrificer (e.g. the king) and the priests.

4) The large number of priests, their various duties, etc. show the grown up, developed, ritualism; but the emphasis laid on the "knowledge" shows how the spiritualism is also finding its place side by side with ritualism.

CHAPTER VIII

THE RESULT OF THE SACRIFICE ACCORDING TO THE BRAHMANA-TEXTS

Introduction:

Prayojanam anuddisya na mando'pi pravartate is a dictum according to which even a foolish person does not perform any action without any purpose. Similar is the following verse -

Sarvasyāpi hi śāstrasya karmaņo vāpi kasyacit / yāvat prayojanam noktam tāvat tat kena grhyate (Ślokavārtika, I.12)

- Unless the purpose of any science or of any action is told who will accept it? In the Brāhmaṇa-texts we find that this human nature is taken into consideration. The sacrificer wants to gain various objects. A dutch proverb rightly runs "Nood leert bidden" (Necessity teaches to pray). The necessities of the sacrificer induce him to perform the sacrifice and pray. The sacrificer is everywhere assured of the result of the action which is being done.

Who obtains the result ?

In a majority of the cases, it is an individual that obtains the result. The sacrificial sessions (<u>sattra</u>) are, however, said to be performed by many persons jointly and, therefore, all the persons get the due result. JB III.234

refers to a custom of ancient time when different persons with different desires used to perform the sacrificial session (nanakama ha sma vai pura sattram asate) and they used to get their various desires satisfied (ha sma nanaiva kaman rddhvaptvottisthanti).

The prayer made in the course of the Asvamedha is, however, interesting wherein even though the Brahmana and the Kṣtriya classes are mentioned predominantly, public welfare in general is desired. In the priestly office (brahman) may the Brahmana be born, endowed with brahman-lustre. In the royal order may the Rajanya be born, heroic, skilled in archery, sure of his mark, and a mighty car-fighter. The milch cow. The drought ox. The swift racer. The well favoured woman. The victorious warrior. The blithful youth. May a hero be born unto the sacrificer. May Parjanya rain for us whensoever we list. May our fruit-bearing plants ripen. May security of possession be assured for us." (VS XXII.22; SB XIII.2.1.1ff).

Food and Nourishment :

Food and nourishment (annadya) is said to be the result

cp. Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.370; the same, Weltanschauung, p. 200.

of many rites. One gets food if one turns to south with the libations and fore offerings (prayajas)(AB I.11). The main result of the Vajapeya² is said to be the food and drink (ŚB V.1.3.3). By means of the Sarvamedha sacrifice also one gets food (ŚB XIII.7.1.2). In connection with the Vasordhara rite which is performed in the course of fire-building ceremony the cyclic movement of food is explained. From the sacrificer the ghee which is offered in the fire goes to the Gods. From the Gods it goes to the cow and from the cow it is reobtained by the sacrificer. Thus circulates this never-ending food (ŚB IX.3.3.17).

Off-springs :

To get food off-springs is another result which is obtained by performing many acts. The purpose of the patnisamyajas is to get off-springs (KB III.9). In the Vajapeya both the Suragrahas and Somagrahas are drawn. The Somagrahas represent the male and the Suragrahas represent the female element. This becomes a productive pair and it helps to obtain progeny (TB I.1.2.3-4). One who performs the Sattra of Saktyas gets ten sons (TMB XXV.7.4). By performing the

² Cf. my paper "A propos of the Vajapeya" JUPHS, 29, (1968), p. 31ff and the literature there.

Trisamvatsara-sattra one thousand song are obtained (TMB XXV.16.3). Those who want that their progeny should be desirous of giving they should establish their fires on the Purve Falgunis (TB I.1.2.3-4). Again if any one desires that one's son's son should be lustrous, one should use the Rathantara Prestha on the basis of the verses of Vamadeva (TMB XV.10.7).

Cattle :

To possess a large number of cattle was a sign of wealthiness. Many of the sacrificial rites lead to the obtainment of cattle. One who/desirous of getting cattle has to turn to the western side while offering the Prayajas (AB I.11). After the Agnihotra has been offered, water is sprinkled for one who desires cattle (TB II.1.3.2-3). By means of the offering of rice of Priyanagu boiled in milk to Rudra and Ardra one gets cattle (TB II.1.4.4). The Margiyava saman is called pasavya i.e. which helps for obtaining animals. One who uses it gets possession of both kinds of cattle, viz., the village-cattle as well as the forest-animals (JB III.211). Similarly, the use of Naipatitha saman (JB III.220), Vaiyasva saman (JB III.221) Pramamihisthiya saman (JB III.225) etc. leads to the obtainment of cattle. The animals are likely to be injured by Rudra in the form of Agni which is being established. Sampriyah pasubhirbhavat - These words are uttered for keeping the cattle's security intact (ahimsayai)3 (TB_I.1.8.4).___

³ On the word ahimsa see Gonda, Four studies, p.95 ff.

Year-gaining:

Many times the result of a sacrificial action is told to be the gaining of the year (samvatsara). The purpose of the Caturmasya_sacrifices is said to be to obtain the year (ŚB II.6.3.1; TB I.4.10.1ff). By means of the prayaṇiya day in the Gavamayana ceremony one is said to gain the year (TMB IV.2.6).

The year is connected with the fertility, ripening of the crops, pregnancy etc.; see for example JB II.303, 307 where we read "The year ripens all food and nourishment (samvatsarah kṛtsnam annadyam pacati)". Similarly, "the animals are born after a year (samvatsaram anu pasav upra-jayante)" (TMB XVIII.4.11). Thus, the year-gaining ultimately stands for the gaining of food, cattle, etc.

Victory:

Victory is desired and some sacrificial rites are performed to obtain it. The earthen utensils used in the sacrificial performance helps the sacrificer to get victory over this world (TB I.4.1.4). By means of an offering to Vaisve-devas and Asadhas one gets gictory and one will never

⁴ cf. Heerterman, Consecration, p. 28f.

be defeated (TB III.1.5.5). The Gostoma sacrifice makes one victorious (TMB XX.6.1). One offers to Yama and Apabharanis and gets the Kingdom of people of his own Kind (TB III 1.5.14).

Enemies are an obstacle in the achievement of prosperity. Therefore, some efforts are made to remove or overcome them. By means of the establishment of fires on the constellation Citra, one scatters the enemies of the sacrificer (TB I.1.2.4-6). At the time of the preparation of Vedi, one takes sphya (a wooden utensil) and should think of one's enemy. Thereby that enemy is endowed with sorrow (TB III. 2.9.15). The performance of Sakamedha is useful to slay the enemy and gain victory (SB II.5.3.1). By means of the Mahahaviryaga also, the same fruit is obtained (SB II.5.4.1). The Vasatkara which is made loudly and forcibly is the thunderbolt (vajra). By using this kind of Vasatkara, the enemy is laid low (AB III.7). The bricks named Asapatnestakas are used in the Fire-building in order that the enemies should be removed (SB VIII.5.1.7). By means of the Darsapurnamasa one gets all that belongs to enemy. From these examples, it will be seen that the sacrifice was supposed to be a very effective means of defeating or removing the enemy and even for getting hold of his possessions.

Prosperity:

Prosperity is assumed to be obtained by performing certain rites. Sacrificer desirous of prosperity (Śrī)⁵ should sacrifice with the Indrastoma (JB II.140). The performance of Saptarsinam Saptaratra results in increase, success, abudance etc. (rddhi)⁶ (TMB XXII.4.2). Those who perform the sattra named Trayodaśaratra prosper in every respect (TMB XXIII.1.5). The performance of Ekonatrimśadratra Sattra leads the sacrificer to endless prosperity (TMB XXIII.25.1).

Fame :

Fame is obtained by means of certain rites. In the Yamanakṣatreṣṭis if offering is offered to Viṣṇu and Śroṇa, good fame is obtained and there is no fear or danger of one's ever being defamed (TB III.1.5.7). By using the sacrificial place named puṇya for the performance of Aśvamedha, one gets good fame (TB III.8.1.2). If one is defamed due to killing a Brāhmaṇa, that defame is removed by the performance of the Trivṛt Agniṣṭoma (TMB XVII.5.1ff).

⁵ For the word sri cf. Gonda, Visnuism, p.183 ff.

⁶ For rddhi cf. Gonda, Visnuism, p.195.

Sins are removed:

Man is afraid of the bad consequences of one's mistakes, or sins. If the burning coals fall before the Prayajas are offered, then the adhvaryu and the sacrificer will get sorrow (TB III.7.2.ff). Bad consequences like this are removed by means of Prayascittis. Apart from such Prayascittis there are certain other rites also by means of which all kinds of sins are removed. Agnihotra makes the sacrificer free from sins. (SB II.3.1.6). The performance of Sautramani makes one free from all sins (SB XII.8.1.16). The Asvamedha sacrifice is also said to yield the same result (SB XIII.8.1.16). SB XIII. 3.1.1 says that the performer of the Asvamedha gets rid of all sins and adds that he also gets rid of bad consequences of the killing of a Brahmana. Agnistut can remove the various sins when used in various ways. Thus, the Trivrd Agnistut removes the sins done with the mouth. The use of Pancadasa Agnistut removes the sin done with the arms. By means of Saptadasa Agnistut the sin done by the belly is removed. The Ekavimsa Agnistut removes the sin done by the feet. But according to some the Jyotistoma Agnistoma alone can remove all these kinds of sins (JB II.135).

Superiority:

If one wants to get superiority over all, one should sacrifice with the Purusamedha (SB XIII.6.1.1). For whom the

Atigraha cups are drawn he becomes superior (ŚB IV.5.4.2).

For whom the Sodsigraha is drawn, everything is beneath him and he stands forth over everything (ŚB IV.5.3.2). By drawing the Mahimagrahas one becomes great (ŚB XIII.5.11.1). By establishing fires on Kṛttikā constellations one obtains brahman-lustre and becomes the chief of the people (TB I.1.2.1). The performance of Dvadaśaha helps the sacrificer to get his seniority and superiority admitted (AB IV.25). The sacrificer offering pap of Śyamaka in milk to Soma and Mṛgaśirṣa obtains kingdom of his equivalents (TB III.1.4.3). Similarly by offering pap to Bhaga and Phalgunis the sacrificer becomes the possessor of good luck (bhagi) and the best among his people (TB III.1.4.10).

Some miscellaneous results :

In the Brahmana-texts there are so many results told that it is almost futile to attempt their classification.

Many miscellaneous results are told to be obtained. Thus for example by means of Brhaspatisava one gets purchitaship (TB II.7.1.2; TMB XV.1.7; JB II.124). One becomes firm (drdha) and light (asithila) by offerring a cake of black rice to vamna Satabhisaj and Bhesajas (TB III.1.5.9). One who sacrifices with Vajapeya becomes purified, worthy for sacrifice (medhya) and deserves for daksinas (TB I.3.3.7). One obtains favour of God by using Vasistha's saman (TMB XII.

12.8). One who desires to obtain rain should use the Saubhara saman (TMB VIII.8.8).

Property of

Safe and secure life :

But for all kinds of enjoyments one requires safe and secure life which is provided by some rites. The use of vasatīvarī water is protection (SB III.9.2.5). The result of Sataradriya offering is appeasement of Rudra and gratifying him so that he may not injure (SB IX.1.1.17). In the Pravargya-mantras the hot; starts with a verse addressed to Savit; He then gets instigation from Savit; and to one instigated by Savit; there is no possibility of injury (KB VIII.3). One consumes the remaining part of the Agnihotra offering. That is for the purpose protecting oneself (TB II. 1.8.4).

Pratistha (firm establishment) :

Many rites give the sacrificer firm establishment (pratistha). Pratisthal suggests the ideas of stability and protection against any impediments. It is very much essential for any kind of well being or happiness. Gonda has rightly remarked that for the ancient Indians and for many other

⁷ Gonda, Loka, p. 31.

peoples the concept of firm establishment was important. The offering made to Ahi Budhniya and Prosthapadas can give Pratistha on this earth (TB III.1.5.11). The offering to Aditi also gives Pratistha on this earth (TB III.1.6.6). The third Caturdasaratra sacrifice gives Pratistha to the sacrificer (TMB XXIII.5.4). The Pratistha is not merely being firmly established but suggests the normal or right condition, prosperity success etc. and, therefore, Pratistha was an important result which the sacrificer desires to get.

Long Life etc. :

Long life, a full measure of life etc. are desired by the sacrificer, for, it is essential to get long life for enjoyment of the worldly things. By establishing the fires one places the immortal element in his innermost soule and thereby one obtains full measure of life (SB II.2.2.14). The performance of Devanam Navaratra sacrifice results in man's obtaining full measure of life. (TMB XXII.12.1-3). By means of the performance of Sarpasattra untimely death is removed (TMB XXV.15.4). Untimely old agedness is removed by means of the Sahasrasamvatsarasattra (TMB XXV.17.3).

⁸ Gonda, "Pratistha" Samjnyavyakarana, 1954, p.7, 15 etc.

To have the healthy life is important. If one wishes to die and go to other world while his body is without any disease, one can perform the Sarvasvara sacrifice (TMB XVII. 12.1). The Caturmasya sacrifices are called "the medicinal sacrifices (bhaisajyayajñah) (KB V.1; GB II.1.19) and they also result in giving health to the sacrificer and the members of his family. The Aisira saman is called medicine (bhesaja) and, therefore, is curing one (JB III.227).

Amṛtatva, immortality also becomes the result of many rites. Immortality is the general result of sacrificial session. (ŚB IV.6.9.12). The Paśubandha gives immortal life to the sacrificer (ŚB XI.7.1.3). The performance of Agnihotra confers upon the sacrificer immortality (JB I.2).

In the Brahmana-texts the limitations of the immortality are sometimes mentioned. Thus according to TMB XXII.12.2 "This is man's immortality, that he reaches the normal term of life and that he becomes wealthier (etad vava manusyasyamṛtatvam yat sarvam ayur eti vasiyan bhavati)".

According to SB II.2.2.14, there is no hope for being immortal in the case of men. Therefore, one can have full life (namṛtatvasyasasti sarvam ayur eti) 9.

On amrtatva as equal to the long life see Oldenberg,

Weltanschauung, p.204. Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I.p.

196f; for the connection of sacrifice and immortality see
Lévi, doctrine, p.93ff. Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri" p.102.

One, however, wins over the death in all the worlds by offering the offerings to death (Mrtyu) in the course of Asvamedha. (SB XIII.3.5.1; TB III.9.15.1).

Winning the other worlds :

We have seen so far the results generally connected with this world. There are some results which are connected with the other worlds. Thus the Agnihotra is said to be the ship leading towards the heaven (SB II.3.3.15). The heaven is said in general to be the result of the sacrifice (SB VIII.6.1.10)¹⁰. The utensils made of wood are helpful to lead the sacrificer to the heaven (TB I.4.1.4). The word svar becomes the finale (nidhana) of the Ilanda saman and the result of this is that the sacrificer becomes a bird and goes to heaven (TMB V.3.5). SB I.9.3.1 and IV.3.4.6 describe how the sacrificer goes to the heaven. First the sacrifice reaches the world of Gods. The daksina given by the sacrificer follows that sacrifice. The sacrificer follows the daksina and then reaches the heaven - the world of Gods.

Sometimes instead of directly mentioning heaven only the other world is mentioned as the result. In the Asvamedha,

¹⁰ For the idea of gaining heaven (swarga) as equal to gaining the light see Gonda, Loka, p.73ff.

for the animal sacrifices both the Gramya and Aranya victims are brought. By means of the Gramya victims one wins this world land by means of Aranya victims one wins the other world (TB III.9.2). The world of Fathers is also obtained as a result of a sacrificial rite. One who gives a cake on six possherds to the Fathers and Maghas prospers in the world of Fathers (TB III.1.4.8). By means of the Saptadasa Agnistoma the sacrificer obtains the world of bulls (anaduho lokam apnoti) (TMB XVIII.3.3). Then another result of the same sacrifice is told, viz., one gets brilliant (jyotismantam) and lucky (punyam) world (TMB XVIII.3.4).

All desires are fulfilled :

Sometimes all desires are said to be fulfilled by means of a sacrificial rite. The result of Dvadasaha with transposed metres is that all the desires of the sacrificer are fulfilled thereby (AB IV.27; TMB X.5.14). Everything is obtained by those who perform a long sacrificial session (ŚB IV.5.1.12). By means of the Caturmasya sacrifices (KB VI.15; GB II.1.26), by means of the Vajapeya (ŚB V.1.1.8),

ll For idea of winning this world as equal to gain safety and security etc. cf. Gonda, Loka, p.62.

by means of the Purusamedha (SB XIII.6.1.1) and by means of the Agnistoma (TMB VI.3.2) everything is obtained. By means of the Visvajit sacrifice (TMB XVI.15.4) also everything is obtained.

Different sacrificial rites for different desires :

Different sacrificial rites are prescribed for different desires. Various small istis which are famous as kamyestis are to be performed for various results (for such kamyestis see for example - TB III.1.4.1ff). Even in the same rite details may change according to the desire. matter by means of which yupa is made, various according to the sacrificer's desire. Thus, for example, if the sacrificer wants to go to the heaven, it is to be made of Khadira wood. If he wants to get food, it is to be made of Bilva wood and if he desires to get brilliance it is to be made of Palasa wood (AB II.1). The exact time of offering in the Agnihotra performance differs according to the desire. When it is kindled and there is as yet nothing but smoke, then any one desiring food like Rudra seeking creatures may offer. When it is in full blaze any one desiring food like Varuna seeking creatures may offer. When it is in full blaze any one desiring to be like Indra may offer and so on (SB II.3.2.9ff). The normal offering material at Agnihotra is milk of a cow.

But for one desirous of heaven milk of two cows should be offered; for one desirous of brilliance the Agnihotra is offered with Ajya and so on (TB II.1.5.4-5).

Plurality of causes and plurality of results :

The Brahmana-texts are not certainly the scientific treatises; on the contrary they represent as Oldenberg has very appropriately described the "Vorwissenschaftlische Wissenschaft" We, therefore, in the Brahmana-texts do not always find the "one cause one result" situation. We have given above the examples (with some exceptions) of how for the same result various rites are to be performed. We also see that the same rite can lead to various results. Agnihotra at one place is said to be removing evils (ŚB II.3.1.6), at another to be giving freedom from death (ŚB II.3.3.7-10), at another to be the ship leading to the heavenly world (ŚB II.3.3.15). Mitravinda iṣṭi 13 helps to get friend as well as to conquer the recurring death, to gain all life (ŚB XI.4.3.20). Once it is said that one who has enemies should sacrifice with

See the title page of <u>Oldenberg</u>, <u>Weltanschauung</u>, cf. also <u>Winternitz</u>, <u>HIL</u>, p.163 n.l, who, however, wants to call Brahmanas "priestly psuedo-science".

¹³ On this offering see Gonda, Ancient Indian Kingship, p. 52.

Sautrāmaṇi (ŚB XII.7.3.4) but it is also said that one who being fit to gain prosperity or being fit to get cattle does not get prosperity or cattle sacrifice with Sautrāmaṇi (ŚB XII.7.2.1-2). The Śāktyānām sattra is said to give all kinds of prosperity. Then it is said that it can give autocracy (svārājya) and then it is said that it gives ten heroic sons (TMB XXV.7.2-4). Thus it can be clear how the same rite leads to the plurality of results. 14

Activistic, Optimistic, Positive outlook:

When we look towards the numerous results and the numerous sacrificial rites we at once come to know how the Brahmana-texts have a very activistic, optimistic and positive outlook towards the world. The sacrificer in the Brahmana-texts is full of various desires and generally able to do the sacrificial rites required for satisfying those desires. The views of the Brahmana-texts upon the desire (Kama) are very significant and illustrative. The Kama is said to be like sea. There is no end to the sea and there is no end to the desire (Kama) (TB II.2.5.6.) But this simile is not meant

¹⁴ cp. Gonda, Change and Continuity, p.372; for the magical ceremonies, prayers etc. serving for many functions, see

Hubert, Mauss. "Sacri", p.133.

¹⁵ cf. Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p.198.

to advise man for retiring from the desires: on the contrary for encouraging him to possess various desires and to get them satisfied by means of the sacrifice. One may have as many desires as one can. It is never too much in desires (na vai kamanam atiriktam asti) (SB VIII.7.2.19; IX. 4.2.28; 3.15; 5.1.40). Thus, for example, it is maintained that any additional oblation may be offered (after the Santatihoma in the Fire-building ceremony) provided that it has any "explanation", "reasoning" etc. (Brahmana). 16 Then an objection is raised that there is likely in that case the defect of "being too much (atirikta). But the answer is given that there is nothing excessive or too much in the desires and one may offer oblations with any special desire if one feels to do so (SB IX.4.2.27-28). It is, however, important to take into consideration that some explanation or reasoning (Brahmana) for any result is expected. This will show how the intellectual side along with the practical side some progress was made in the Brahmanas.

On the word Brahmana cf. Winternitz, GIL, p.164 "Erklärung der Äusserung eines gelehrten Priesters,
eines doctors der Opferwissenschaft über irgend einen
Punkt des Ritual" Silburn, Instant et Cause, p.64
"Les textes memes dont la fonction est precisement
d'établir des connecions". On the importance of the
"Brahmana" cf. Keith, RPV, p.333.

The reasonings for result :

In the Brahmana-texts we find that the results of the sacrificials action are not mentioned alone. Along with them some reasonings are given for the sake explaining how a particular action leads to the particular result. The sacrificer is thereby convinced and becomes ready to perform that rite. These reasonings are, of course, in the Brahmanic manner, but they show how the efforts were being made to explain the cause and effect relationship in those days.

Stories of Gods :

In the Brahmana-texts, it is maintained sometimes that the particular result will come because when the Gods or an individual God performed that action, obtained that particular result. The Gods killed Vṛṭra and gained supreme authority and so does the sacrificer slay his wicked enemy and gain victory (ŚB II.5.3.1). The Gods and Asuras entered upon their father's (Prajapati's) inheritence, viz., the half months. The Gods performed the Darsapurnamasa and obtained the half-months in the possession of Asuras. When the two half-months are revolved the month is produced. The year is equal to all. Gods thus obtained all that belonged to the Asuras. So the sacrificer knowing this obtains all that belongs to the enemy (ŚB I.7.2.22-24). Prajapati desires to be bigger

than big and saw the Pancasaradiya rite and obtained what he had desired. The sacrificer of this sacrifice also obtains this result (TB II.7.10.1). Some desired to win the kingdom of all osadhis. He offered an offering to Soma and Mṛṣṣirṣa and obtained it. The sacrificer also obtains the kingdom of those who are of his own kind (TB III.1.4.3). The result of each Nakṣatreṣṭi is explained in this manner, viz., the result was obtained by some God and, therefore, the sacrificer now also will get the same result (TB III.1.4.3ff).

Ancient persons obtained the results :

The expected result is shown sometimes to have been obtained by some ancient personalities. By the use of the Vasistha saman Vasistha became favourite of Indra and the user of it also will become favourite of Gods (TMB XII.12.10). By means of Pancavimsa- stoma Gaur-angirasa removed all sins and the users of this now also will remove all sins (TMB XVI. 7.7). By means the Trisamvatsara sattra sacrifice Para annara, Trasadasyu. Paurukutsa, Vitaharya, Śrayasa and Kaksiran Ausija got a thousand sons each and now also the performer of this sattra will obtain a thousand sons (TMB XXV.16.3).

Result connected with the nature of the rite :

Sometimes the result is connected with the nature of the sacrifice or the sacrificial action. The Vajapeya is

soma itself, and one who knows this, viz., Vajapeya as equal to some becomes rich in food (vajin) and his son also becomes rich in food (TB I.3.2.3-4). The sacrifice named Visvajitsilpa is said to be wheeled one (cakrivan); for, the last two pavamana-lauds are eighteen-versed each, i.e., they have equal number of verses. Therefore, they are taken to be wheels. Now as one can go wherever one wants to go by means of a wheeled car, in the same manner one reaches to all his desired objects by means of this sacrifice (TMB XVI.15.4). This is due to the particular nature of this sacrifice. The Drtivatavatorayanam is described to be a bird having wings. For the two parts before and after the day in the middle, are precisely paralleled. 17 Therefore, what wish is desired to be reached is reached by this sacrificial session. For, whatever a winged bird desires to go all that it reaches. This sacrifice is also of the nature of a winged bird and, therefore one obtains anything one desires (TMB XXV.3.4).

Result connected with the ritual utterances :

Sometimes result is connected with the ritual utterances (mantras etc.) used. The last day of the Asvamedha sacrifice is an Atiratra with all the stomas. The result of this is to obtain all. Atiratra with all the Stomas recited is identical and with all/thereby all is obtained (SB XIII.3.1.4). Here the Atiratra with all the stomas produces the desired result. The

¹⁷ Caland, Pancavimsabrahmana (translation), p. 629.

Mantra in which he expresses his desire to be the wealthiest (vasistha) among the people of his own kind (TE I.3.10.8-9) and then he obtains the result. From one who sacrifices with the Asvamedha wealth and royal power pass away. The mantras to be used are shown to mean how the wealth and royal power are reobtained by means of them (SB XIII.2.9.1ff).

Now it is famous that the sacred words, formulas, texts, etc., are supposed to enable man to influence upon the nature and so go get the desired results. The Brahmanatexts also have thus connected the results with the sacred utterances, etc.

Connection with the material used :

The result is connected with the material used for offering etc. After the Ratnin offerings a pap cooked in milk of white cow is offered to Soma and Rudra. The reason is that the sacrificer has entered into darkness as it were while making the Ratnin offerings in the houses of the Commandar and the Hunder who are not worthy of sacrifice. In

¹⁸ Gonda, "Pratistha", Samjñavyakarana, 1954, p.7; on the power of words see also James, Priesthood, p.38.

order to remove that darkness the milk of white cow is to be used (SB V.3.2.2). The result, giz., keeping the sacrifice undried (aluksa) is achieved by preparing the cooked rice in ghee (TB I.1.6.6). The ghee makes the sacrifice undried.

One who wants the sensual pleasure (indriyakama) should offer his Agnihotra with curds. For the curd is identical with the sense (indriya) (TB II.1.5.6). Here the result is mystically said to be connected with the offering material.

Connection with the daksinas :

The result is sometimes based upon the dakṣiṇās which are given in the course of rites. The result of giving a steribe (Vaśā) cow to the priest Maitrāvamṇa is that one controls over the Rāṣtra (vaśa)(TB I.8.2.4). The dakṣiṇā for the Nirṛti offering in the Rājsūya is a black cloth with black fringes. This is the colour of Nirṛti. By means of that colour of the dakṣiṇā cloth, Nirṛti is removed (TB I.6. 1.4). For Nirṛti's form is supposed to be black. The Gosava sacrifice is done by the sacrificer for obtaining autocracy (svārājya). The dakṣiṇā of this sacrifice is ten thousand cows. The number ten thousand is equal to autocracy (svārājya) (TB II.7.6.2). Thus the dakṣiṇās of the sacrifice Gosava and the result of it are connected.

Connection with the deities :

The result has sometimes a mystical connection with

the deities concerned. The sacrificer gets Brahman-lustre (Brahmavarcas) 19 if he establishes fires on Krttika constellation. For it is the constellation of Agni's own. Agni is the deity connected with the Brahmanas and, therefore, one gets Brahman-lustre on establishing the fires on Krttika constellation (TB I.1.2.1). One who wants that one's offsprings should be generous, should establish fires on Purve Falguni. For this constellation is connected with the deity Aryaman. One who gives is called Aryaman. Thus the deity Aryaman is connected with generosity and one who establishes fires on the Purve Falgunis gets offsprings of generous nature (TB I.1.2.3-4). The sacrifice named Aupasada is helpful for getting progeny. For it is connected with the Gandharvas and Apsarases; and it is they who govern the generation. They get soma-drinking in this sacrifice and being gratified thus help to get progeny (TMB XIX.3.2).

Connection with the name :

The result has some connection with the name of the particular detail used in the ritual. The Sapha saman is useful for obtaining these worlds. Gods obtained these worlds

On <u>Brahmavarcas</u> as "Preeminence in holiness, sacred knowledge, and supranormal power" see <u>Gonda</u>, "<u>Pratistha</u>" p.13.

by means of the Sapha saman. This is the Saphaness of Sapha. Here the name Sapha is derived from the root ap with the prefix sam which means to obtain and thus the result of obtaining these worlds is connected with saman Sapha (TMB XI.5.6). Similar is the explanation of the result of the use of Aksara saman. From these worlds the sap (rasa) went away. By means of the Aksara Saman Prajapati made it flow again forth (aksarayat). Hence, this saman got the name Aksara. He who having been formerly successful, afterwards fares worse, should take the Aksara saman as the Brahman's saman. (The saman Aksara) causes flow unto him the valour, strength and sap (TMB XI.5.10-11). The saman Bhasa (the saman of light) is used and the sacrificer in whose sacrifice it is used shines as the result of it (TMB XV.5.31). Thus the names and the results have some connection and, therefore, the particular result is produced.

Connection with the timings :

The result is connected sometimes with the timings of the ritual actions. The re-establishment of the fires (punaradhana) is to be done on the Punarvasu constellation. This is to be done with the desire "May riches (vasu) and wealth (vitta) come again (punah)". Here the word Punarvasu and the result, obtaining riches (vasu) again (punah) are connected and thus the result is based on the timings (KB I.3)

One who establishes fires on Krittika constellation becomes chief. For the Krttika constellation is the chief among all the constellations (TB I.1.2.1). On the Paurnamasi day a kamya offering is made with ajya. The Paurnamasi is identical with the desire (kama). The ajya is also identical with the desire. One, therefore, gets one's desire satisfied (TB III.1.4.15).

Connection with place :

The result is connected with the place of sacrifice.

One resides in the place named Punya which is divine place for sacrifice, when one wants to sacrifice with Asvamedha.

Then he gets good (punya) fame (TB III.8.1.2).

Sacrifice as a result producing machine :

Thus we see how the Brahmana-texts give reasonings for any result deriving from any action so much so that the sacrifice in the Brahmanas would appear nothing short of a result-producing machine. If you perform the sacrifice correctly you will get the result. Therefore, the sacrifice is to be performed with minute care and avoiding all sorts of mistakes, either of commission or of omission. The mistakes lead to the bad results (see under Prayascitti). TB III.2.4.1 says "Following the continuity of the sacrifice the offsprings and the cattle of the sacrifice remain continuous. Following

the discontinuity of the sacrifice the offsprings and cattle of the sacrificer discontinue themselves.

Oltramare describes the sacrifice as having the characteristics of a magical operation and if everything, e.g., the gestures, the utterances, etc., is done correctly the sacrifice has its own efficacy independant of the merit or demerit of the sacrificer²⁰ and it will produce the due result. Similarly Oldenberg remarks that the result is obtained from the sacrifice in a cause effect relation and not as a mercy of God. Leith has remarked in the same way "In the theosophy of the Brahmanas, it is an accepted fact that the sacrifice has a magic power of its own and it brings about the effect at which it aims with absolute independence. 22

Oltramare, L'Histoire des Idées ... I (1906), p.18

"Le sacrifice a de plus en plus l'aspect d'une opération magique. Pourvae qu'il soit observé en toute intégrité de gestes de paroles et de sentimentes le rite a une vertue propre independente de mérite et du démérite habitueles de la personne qui l'accomplit".

²¹ Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p.155f.

²² Keith, RPV, p.260.

Even though, the above observations are generally justified they have a few limitations and even though the Brahmana-texts have described the ritual mechanism, they have also maintained that it is the Gods who give the result of the sacrifice to the performer - the man. Thus at the end of the Darsa and the Purnamasa offerings a prayer is made to the Gods for granting the desired objects (SB I.9.1.12ff). Similarly at the end of the Agnihotra there is an approachment (upasthana) to the fires in which prayers are made for various desired objects (SB II.3.4.10ff). There is a discussion whether such prayer is to be made or not. The reason why there should not be any prayer is given as follows - At the beginning the Gods and men were to-gether. Whatever did not belong to the men, for that they importuned the Gods saying, "This is not ours; let it be ours!" Being indignant at this importunity the Gods then disappeared. Hence, one should not approach the fires fearing lest he should offend them, lest he should become hateful to them. Further other reasons are also given. But the Brahmana-texts maintain that the approachment with the prayer is to be made and argued as follows : "The sacrifice belongs to the Gods and the prayer for blessing belongs to the sacrificer. The Agnihotra - libation is the same as the sacrifice and what he does in approaching, that indeed is the sacrificer's prayer for blessing (SB II.3.4.5ff)

Thus the Gods are prayed for granting the desired objects.

Further, it may be added in this context that there is a kind of giving and taking, co-operation between the Gods and the sacrificer or men in general according to the Brahmana-texts. The men give to the Gods the sacrificial material and the Gods give men whatever they want, "The Gods live upon whatever is given from here and the men live upon whatever is given from there (itahpradanaddhi deva jivanti amutahpradanan manusyah" JB I.116; 145; II.245). Similarly with a slight difference we read, "That world lives because of whatever is given from here ... this world lives because of whatever is given from there (itahpradanaddhyasu loko jivati ... amutah pradanaddhyayam loko jivati JB I.291). When the Gods having collected sacrifice went from here upwards both Gods and men suffered due to hunger. For no offerings went from here upwards and no rains could come/downwards. Then through the help of Ayasya the sacrifice was made current and when the sacrificial performances began both Gods and men obtained what they required (JB II.216). JB I.258 says that the sacrifice should be performed in such a way that both the Gods and men will be able to live. "They say: if the sacrifice is spread upwards, the Gods alone will live and not the men; if spread downwards men only will live and not the Gods. (Therefore), the sacrifice should be spread

upwards, downwards and sidewards." Thus we know that the men give to the Gods and Gods also give to the men.

It is interesting to see in what manner the Gods respond to the men. They do the same whatever is done by the men (yadrgaha vai manusyo devebhyah karoti tadrg asmai devah kurvanti - JB II.386; 390. Cp. AB III.6 - Yadrgiva vai devebhyah karoti tadrgivasmai devah kurvanti). Thus the Gods give the same what men give to them.

The part of the Gods in granting the result can be shown by the following proofs. "One who sacrifices, assuredly, pleases the Gods. Having pleased the Gods, then the hotr invokes a prayer of blessing and that prayer of blessing invoked by him, the Gods realise for this (sacrificer) knowing as they do that he has pleased them ... " (SB I.9.1.3). SB I.9.1.10 we know, "Whatever oblation the Gods graciously accept, by that he (the sacrificer) obtains great things ... What the Gods delight in they make mountain-high (yaddhi deva havir jusante tena hi mahajjayati ... yad vai deva havir josayante tadapi girimatram kurvate"). Thus, it will be seen how the Gods are grateful to man. They make the offering given by the man sacrificer mountain-high and it is implied that they give more than what man has given to them. "They themselves prosperse, make the sacrificer prosper by means of offspring, cattle and food (JB I.233).

Thus, it will be seen that the Gods have an important part in the granting of the result and it is not correct to hold that the result is achieved by a mere machine - like process. When that machine is well rotated, it is the Gods who give the result to the men.

From ritualism to spiritualism :

- a) Even mistakes producing good results: In the Brahmana-texts, we get the description of the mistakes which also can produce good result. Normally, there should not be anything too much nor anything too little. But even if such too much or too little of any rite is done then that is also fruitful. Thus, it is said that whatever is done too little in sacrifice is helpful for progeny. Whatever is done too much is helpful for getting cattle. Whatever there is uncertain wavering (sankasuka) is helpful to get wealth and whatever is done correctly leads to the heaven (SB XI.4.4.8). According to KB XI.8, the incompletel part is identical with the food and the redundant or the too much part is identical with the generation, SB XI.4.4.1 has described the mistakes as the doors of heaven.
- b) role of Śraddha in obtaining result: In obtaining the result śraddha, firm faith in the ritual efficiency 23
- On <u>Śraddha</u> cf. <u>Levi</u>, <u>La doctrine du sacrifice</u> p.108ff; <u>Silburn</u>, <u>Instant et cause</u>, p.59, 90. <u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u> 462, <u>Gonda</u>, <u>Religionen Indiens</u>, **E**I; p.43.

las also an important place. "He who sacrifices with faith, his sacrifice does not perish (sa yah śraddadhano yajate tasyeṣṭam na kṣṭyate KB VIII.4). Levi has, therefore, rightly said "La confiance est nécessaire à ce point que, sans, elle le sacrifice est sterile au moins pour le sacrifiant". 24

c) result by mere knowledge : We always find in the Brahmana-texts the growing importance of knowledge. The mere action cannot produce the expected or the promised result. The sacrifice may be a machine producing good results; but the men working there should be wise. Therefore, we see that the learned priests were important in the ritual (see under Rtvijs). The Brahmana-texts give the cause-effect relationship and the performer should know it. In the Brahmanical ritualism itself, there are the germs of the later spiritualism. It may be interesting in this connection to note that the Brahmana-texts very often declare that the particular result is not only obtained by the one who performs the particular action but also by one who knows it thoroughly. A few examples may be given as follows - Prajapati created first Agni. He moved around Prajapati. Prajapati was afraid of death. He created Aditya and turned backwards. Thus then he avoided the untimely death. One who knows this, avoids the untimely death. If the Agnihotra of the one who knows this is not offered for one day or two days, it is as good as offered. That Aditya becomes one's Agnihotra (TB II.1.6.4-5).

²⁴ Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 113.

Here, we see how knowledge can substitute even the action.

Indra's own people, viz., Maruts were not willing to worship him. He saw the Vighna sacrifice and sacrificed with it.

Thereby he removed their opposition. The sacrificer of this sacrifice (named Vighana) kills his evil enemy. One who knows this he also does the same (TB III.7.18.1). Prajapati while creating beings become relaxed. He remained being food. Gods quarreled among themselves to get the food. Then they decided to run a race. Brhaspati won the race. Indra offered him purohitaship and obtained in return the Brhaspatisava sacrifice addressed to him. Then Indra became the highest among the Gods. One who knows this also becomes the highest among his own people (JB II.128). GB I.4.7 describes the origin of the Agnistoma and adds that one who knows this origin of Agnistoma can go to the Gods.

d) Ideas of renunciation and attainment of supreme goal:
We have seen above how in the Brahmanas there is the importance of Kama (desire), its unending expansion and the innumerable rites for fulfilling it. But slowly the ideas of renunciation of all the desires and of trying to attain something beyond it are appearing in the Brahmana-texts. Thus the SB X.5.4.16 reads - "Vayaya tad arohanti yatra kamah paragatah.

Na tatra daksina yanti navidvamsastapasvinah. By knowledge they ascend that (state) where desires have vanished;

sacrificial gifts go not thither, nor the forvid practisers of rites without knowledge". 25 Here the importance of knowledge, futility of the ritual and the inclination towards the desireless highest state etc. are suggested. Among the various results we find that there is some secret link between the worldly and the non-worldly desires. Most of the interests are worldly. To get food, children, wealth, long life in this world are the important desires 26 and side by side the desire for getting pleasures in the other world also are present. To these themselves, the desires of getting place in some higher world, to remove "death" from all the worlds and to be immortal, to obtain that immortality, amrtatva which is the absolute bliss etc. are connected. The latter aims are mentioned, certainly more frequently in the later literature. But in the Brahmana-texts they already seem to have begun to make their appearance.

²⁵ For the ideal of renunciation in the Brahmanas cf.
Oldenberg, Weltanschauung, p. 201.

²⁶ Cf. Frazer, Golden Bongh, 325 "To live and to cause to live, to eat food and to beget children, these were the primary wants of men in the past and they will be the primary wants of men in the future so long as the world lasts".

Chapter - IX

THE DOCTRINE OF THE SACRIFICE

Importance of sacrifice :

Ritual is the chief concern of the Brahmana-texts.

They describe in detail various sacrifices and their details.

But the description is not all. The Brahmana-texts are more interested in explaining the ritual. They want to convince their reader of their views. In order to convince the reader how the sacrifice is important they have praised it in various ways.

when it is prescribed that the winnowing basket and the Agnihotra-ladle are to be taken with the words, "For the work (I take) you, for the accomplishment you two! (karmane vam vesaya vam VS I.6), a remark is made according to which sacrifice is the work (yajno vai karma)(SB I.1.2.1). Elsewhere sacrifice is described as the greatest of the greatest works (yajno vai śresthatamam karma - SB I.7.1.5. yajno hi śresthatamam karma TB III.2.1.4). KB XXV.II describes sacrifice to be the greatest in all the worlds (yajno vai bhuvanesu śresthah). One who raises himself upon the sacrifice, raises himself to one that is very good (śreyamsam va esa upavarohati yo yajnam - SB III.2.1.8). Thus here the sacrifice is described to be śreyas, i.e., something very good. Again sacrifice is said to be "greatness" (yajno vai mahima) (SB II.3.1.18), from which it follows that sacrifice

is great. Sacrifice is also identified with happiness (yajno vai sumnam) (ŚB VII.3.1.34)

It can be easily understood how sacrifice is exalted here. There is no doubt that sacrifice was very important from the point of view of the Brahmanas. Therefore, they have described the sacrifice in general with great enthusiasm. It is proposed to study these descriptions in the following pages and try to understand the doctrine of sacrifice. First we shall see the views of the Brahmana-texts about the sacrificial performance. Then we shall try to find out the guiding principles behind the sacrificial performance. And finally a study will be made of the nature of sacrifice in general on the basis of comparisons and identifications used by the Brahmana-texts for describing sacrifice, which will be talked by a study of without and matrices in the sacrifice.

(A) The nature of the sacrificial performance

Introduction :

Sacrifice is described in various ways at various places throughout the Brahmana-literature. Performance of sacrifice is very complex matter with many ideas underlying it. A close perusal and analysis of these descriptions is necessary for aiming at a clearer idea of the concept of sacrifice in the Brahmana-texts. This is what we propose to do in what follows.

Sacrifice, its general nature :

a deity or deities along with sacred utterances. But this has not been expressedly stated by the Brahmana-texts except in a few references given below. Thus sacrifice is identified with the offering (ahuti)(esa eva yaino yad ahutih) by SB II.3.4.5. SB III.1.4.1 describes the offering (ahuti) as the direct form of the sacrifice and having identified it with sacrifice implies in addition that the yajas-formulas are its indirect form. From SB.I.6.3.39 we know that the oblations are the self of sacrifice (havimsi ha va atma yajnsya). SB I.2.5.26 we get a more clear picture of sacrifice. Brhaspati explains there the nature of sacrifice as follows:

"What we have heard of as produced (parisutam) for the Gods

that is this sacrifice, that is to say, the cooked oblations and the prepared altar."

So outwardly sacrifice is the altar, the oblations, and offering of them etc. But this is not all. The sacrificial performance is described in other ways also. Some of them are directly found in the performance and others are merely theoritical and speculative productions of the Brahmana-texts. Thus the sacrificial performance as a "collection" or "paying homage" is actually found in the performance. But sacrificial performance as a redeeming, as a journey, as killing and generating, etc., are the speculations of the Brahmana-texts.

Sacrifice as a "Collection" (sambhr-)

The root bhr with the prefix sam is very often used in connection with the sacrifice. This suggests the idea of "collection" underlying sacrificial performance. Thus, "one who sacrifices with the sacrifice, collects (sambharati) the sacrifice with the whole self, with the whole mind"(KB X.3). Here we find how sacrifice is understood as a "collection".

AB III.ll informs us that the Gods "collected" the sacrifice by means of quarters (of the verses). AB I.18 and GB II.2.6 give a story according to which Gods are advised to seek to "collect" the sacrifice which had run away from them. Elsewhere we find another story. The Gods desiring that the men should not

know the sacrifice, sipped the sap of the sacrifice, scattered (yopayitva) it by means of the sacrificial post (yūpa), and went away. The rsis then heard this and they "collected (samabharan)" the sacrifice. By offering the Audgrabhana offerings one "collects" the sacrifice (SB III. 1.4.3-4). Similar story we find at SB III.2.2.2-3;11-12; 28-29;4.3.15-16.

The use of <u>sambhr</u>- for the performance of sacrifice seems to be connected with the materials (<u>sambharas</u>) of the sacrifice which also are to be "collected" and without which sacrifice is impossible. "In that he collects (<u>sambharati</u>) from here and there, therefore, the <u>sambharas</u> are so called (<u>sa yad va itascetasca sambharati tat sambharanam</u> <u>sambharatvam</u>)" (SB II.1.1.1). "That there are the materials it is for the sake of originating the sacrifice (<u>yat sambhara bhavanti yajnasya prabhutvai</u>)" (TB II.2.2.6). The collection of material is very important for the sacrifice and the collection of materials seems to be extended to the performance of sacrifice being called "collection". Thus in the following sentence the performance is mentioned by the word "Collection". "Let them collect (perform) the sacrifice being impelled by <u>savitr (savitrprasuta yajnam sambharan)</u> (SB I.7.1.4).

¹ For this meaning see Eggeling, SBE, XXVI, p.36 n.1

Sacrificial performance as paying "homage" (namah) :

The sacrifice is sometimes identified with the word names and it is thereby implied that the sacrificial performance contains an element of paying homage. In the fire-building ceremony at the time of building first layer, homage is paid to the serpent. Then it is said, "The sacrifice is homage (vaino vai name), and by sacrifice, by homage, one thus worships them". (SB VIII.4.1.30). In the satarudriya offering, "homage, homage (namo name)" is said again and again; and this is followed by the - "Sacrifice is homage; and it is by sacrifice, by homage, that one worships" (SB II.1.1.16). At the end of the pindapitryajna also homage (name) is to be paid. There also we get the identification of sacrifice and homage (SB II.4.2.24).

Homage (namas) can control even the Gods, as is indicated by the remark: "Gods are not above the paying of the homage (na hi namaskaram ati devah)". This remark is found when it is prescribed that in the performance of pravargya, after touching the embers with the poking-stick, homage is paid to the Gods (KB II.1). The same remark is repeated for explaining the paying of homage to the sadas at the time of creeping to the sadas (KB XIII.1; GB II.2.18).

We can see in the identification of sacrifice and homage (namah) some traces of the <u>bhakti</u> doctrine. Of course, it is

not intended to maintain that the <u>bhakti</u> has its origin here. For the <u>bhakti</u> has its place with many of its characteristics in the Rgvedic and Atharvavedic hymns. It is only to be stated that it is not likely that the <u>bhakti</u> which has paying homage to Gods as its important factor might have been continued from the samhita period in the Brahmana-period also.

Performance of sacrifice as "redeeming" (niskri-)

Another theory involved in the performance of sacrifice is the redeeming of the sacrificer from the gods. "When one extends the sacrifice, one redeems oneself by means of the sacrifice from the Gods even as Prajapati. When the material for (the chief) sacrificial dish is taken out, the sacrifice is redeemed by the material for the sacrificial dish(havis). The sacrificial dish is redeemed by the invitatory formula (anuvakya), the invitatory formula by the portion cut (from the sacrificial dish) (avadana), the portion by the vasat call, the vasat call by the oblation and oblation by Anvaharya (mess of rice) ... Thus the whole sacrifice comes to be redeemed. This sacrifice becomes the body of the sacrificer in the other world ..." (SB XI.1.8.5-6).

The redemption (niskraya) theory of the sacrifice involve the idea of debt (rna). Everybody owes a debt to the Gods,

For the idea of the rna (debt) behind the sacrificial performance see Lévi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.131.

For the niskraya theory cf. Hubert, Mauss, --- "sacri",p.134

to the Rsis, to the fathers and to the men. The way of redeeming oneself from the debt to the Gods is to sacrifice, to offer; from that to the rsis is to learn, from that to the father is to have progeny; and from that to the men is to be hospitable to them (SB I.7.2.1-6). Elsewhere the same idea of redeeming oneself from the debt by means of the sacrifice is told in a somewhat different way. "Verily even in being born, man by his own self, is born as a debt (owing) to death; and in that one sacrifices thereby one redeems oneself from death" (SB III.6.2.16).

The "redemption" theory is closely connected with the animal sacrifice (see under the section on animal sacrifice for details). The sacrificer is understood to be identical with the animal in the redemption theory. The animal is offered for redeeming oneself (see e.g. KB X.3). Every oblation (havis) is in a way redemption of oneself (KB X.3). Thus we see that the basic idea of the sacrifice involves self-offering and then redeeming oneself by means of a substitute, i.e., an animal.

Sacrificial performance as a journey :

We shall see afterwards how sacrifice is compared or identified with a cart, a chariot or a ship. This comparison suggests that the sacrificial performance is like journey towards the results of the sacrifice such as obtaining

heavenly world, etc. Similarly in the guiding principles of the sacrifice we find one according to which one should not go away from the "path of the sacrifice". Here also the idea of journey is suggested. The Adhvara, according to modern scholars, is connected not with the root dhurv, to injure and thereby implying the idea of 'uninjured', but to the word adhvan meaning path etc. and if this modern etymology is accepted, the word Adhvara itself would suggest the idea of journey. Gonda, for instance, understands the word Adhvan as a way or road which leads to a goal a comparatively safe and possible ... way, a road which makes a good journey. Gonda further notes that the words for "way" or "path" are frequently used in many parts of the world in a religious sense. The term Adhvara accordingly is connected with journey, i.e. the journey of the sacrificer from this world to that world or to some other results of the sacrifice.

The performance of sacrifice as killing and generating it :

Performance of the sacrifice is often described as "killing the sacrifice". 4 "They really slay the sacrifice when they spread it. When they press the king (soma), they kill it. When they quiet the victim, they slay it and with

³ Gonda, VIJ, Vol.III - "Adhvara and Adhvaryu" p.165 cf. the literature referred to there.

^{4 &}lt;u>Keith</u>, <u>RPV</u>, p.460

the mortar and pestle, with the upper and nether mill-stone they slay the havis-offering." Then by means of the daksinas the sacrifice is invigorated (SB IV.3.4.lff.cp.II.2.2.lff;GB II.3.17; cp. also SB IV.6.9.21 for the idea of killing). The idea of generation follows immediately after that of killing. They etymology of the word yajna is based upon the conception of killing and generating. Thus it is said, "when they press him, they slay him; and when they spread him they cause him to be born. He is born in being spread along; he is born moving (yan jayate). Hence yanja, for yanja they say yajna". Thus the 'generation' idea is mentioned here (SB III.9.4.23).5 ŚB XI.1.2.6 mentions the idea of killing and the idea of generating in the following way - "Having killed the sacrifice, he pours it, as seed in to the fire as its womb; for indeed the fire is the womb of the sacrifice. From out of it, the sacrifice is produced. In connection with Soma it is said, "In pressing, the soma is killed. It is killed by means of the stone. By being placed upon stones he rises from thence and thus he lives". (SB III.9.4.8).

Though the sacrifice is sometimes said to be killed, sometimes efforts are also made to show that it is uninjured, safe, etc. The sacrifice is often described as adhvara (adhvaro vai yajnah, ŚB I.2.4.5;4.1.38;39;II.3.4.10;etc.)

⁵ For this, see Keith, RPV. p.460.

The word Adhvara is derived by SB I.4.1.40 from the root dhury 'to injure' and the negative particle. For once, when the Gods were engaged in sacrificing, their rivals, the Asuras, wished to injure them. But though desirous of injuring them, they were not able to injure (dhurv) them and were foiled. For this reason, the sacrifice is called Adhvara (uninjured). Efforts are also made in the course of performance that the sacrifice does not die; on the contrary to see that it is protected. The sacrifice is killed when the oblations are cooked, when the soma is pressed, when the animal is killed. Prajapati, by means of Himkara takes the sacrifice to see that it is not killed (ahatatayai) i.e. it is protected (GB II.3.9). In the Vajapeya after performing the animal-sacrifice of a sterile cow to Maruts, seventeen victims are to be killed for Prajapati. The purpose is not to let the sacrifice be killed (aghataya) i.e. for its safety.

The performance of sacrifice as generating it :

We have already seen how sacrifice is killed mystically, how efforts are made to protect it and how the sacrificial performance is described as killing and generating it at the same time. Let us now see, how sacrifice is described as generating it 6 without any reference to killing it. "One

Keith, RRV, p.261 describes the idea of sacrificial

who sacrifices, generates the sacrifice (vainam va esa janayati yo yajate" SB I.9.1.2). "One who has spread the sacrifice has really generated it (yajnam va etad ajijanata yad enam atata" SB I.9.2.27; IV.4.6.6). "He (the consecrated sacrificer really performs it (the sacrifice); he generates it (esa hyenam tanute esa hyenam janayati" SB III.2.2.12). The reason why one consecrates himself on the day of new moon is: from the new moon, the sacrifice is spread; thence will I generate it (yato yajnas tayate tato yajnam janayamiti" SB VI.2.2.26). When one draws the Asvina graha one generates the whole sacrifice (asvinam graham grhitva kṛtsnam yajnam janayati SB IV.5.6.5).

Thus the performance of sacrifice is described as generating it. But this is not enough. The sacrifice is the most powerful generative unit and we shall now see in more details how sacrifice is described as a generation process.

6 continued ..

performance as generating it as being "precisely in the strain of shallow mysticism which is characteristic of the vedic conceptions". In this context we should only remember that Brahmana-texts as the vedic literature in general represents in our sense a non-systematic knowledge. Therefore, there is no point in describing it 'shallow'.

It is our task to find out the "deep" meaning there.

Sacrifice as a generative process :

Introduction :

To the vedic sacrificer "offspring" was one of the most important objects of desire? In the Sunahsepakatha we have a detailed discussion about the importance of having a son (AB VII.13). Similarly it is said elsewhere, that a man becomes perfect by means of the offspring (prajaya hi manusyah purnah TB III.3.10.4). Sacrifice was a very effective means to fulfil this desire. Sacrifice in general, or the things used in it or the mantras recited in the course of the sacrifice are helpful to get progeny; but it is further worth noting that many a time the sacrifice itself, or various details in it are shown to be a generative process. The Brahmana-texts have their own ideas about how the sacrifice is a generative process, how there are pairings of male and female elements, in what way the "union" takes place, and how the generation becomes possible. Let us illustrate this process of generation.

Sacrifice is generative :

The beings are described sometimes to have been born of the sacrifice. Thus for example JB I.67 states that Prajapati has created the beings by means of the sacrifice

⁷ Cf. the chapter on the "Result of the sacrifice in the Brahmanas".

named Agnistoma. At the end of the Darsapurnamasa offerings there are patnisamyajas. 8 In order to explain why pathisamyajas are to be offered the following reason is given. "From the sacrifice offsprings are assuredly produced; those offsprings produced from the sacrifice are produced from pair (mithuna); and the offsprings produced from pair are produced after the completion of the sacrifice. Hence one causes thereby (by the patnisamyajas) those offsprings to be produced by means of a pair after the completion of the sacrifice." (SB I.9.2.5). Here we know clearly that offsprings are produced out of sacrifice. Similar remarks have been made in connection with the patnivatagraha which is to be drawn in the somasacrifice. (SB IV.4.2.9). "Prajapati having become an embryo was born out of this sacrifice; similarly the sacrificer having become an embryo is born out of this sacrifice" (SB III.2.1.11). Here the birth of the sacrificer is mentioned along with the allusion to the birth of Prajapati from the sacrifice. JB I.17 in a general way says that there are two wombs (yoni), viz., the human womb and the divine womb. The human womb is the human world, (or) the generative organ of a woman. The second, viz. the divine womb is equal to the Ahvaniya (fire). Whatever is offered in it, whatever good deeds are performed, thereby the sacrificer pours himself in the divine womb and is born

⁸ For the Patnisamyajas see Hillebrandt, NVO, p.151ff

on Aditya. JB I.259 says that the sacrificer is born twice. The first birth is out of the pair (of his parents) and the second is out of the sacrifice. The birth out of the pair of his parents is for this world. The birth out of the sacrifice is for that world (i.e. the heavenly world). SB XI.2.1.1 mentions three births. A man is first born from his mother and father. When sacrifice inclines to him and he sacrifices that is the second birth. When he dies and is placed on the fire and then comes into existence again, that is his third birth. The birth out of the sacrifice is said to be more important and real. "Verily unborn is the man in so far as he does not sacrifice. It is through the sacrifice that he is born". (ajato ha vai tavat puruso yavan na yajate. JUB III.3.4.8). The birth from the sacrifice is said to be certain and before it, it is uncertain (SB III.2.1. 40). Thus we see that the sacrifice is described as being generative 10 and the birth out of it is supposed to be very important.

⁹ See in this connection the section on the diksa - one of the significances of which is 'generation'.

Consecration, p.37.. continuity and new birth are professedly the primary concern of the ritual which is governed by the idea of the '(re-)birth out of sacrifice'.

Cf. the same, WZKSOA, VIII, (1964), p.2. See also Lévi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.107ff. Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri.", p.101

Male and Female - a pair (mithuna) :

For the sake of the generation "pair" is an essential factor. When the "pair-oblations" are prescribed in the course of the fire-building it is said that from the pair, generation takes place (mithunad vai prajatih) (SB IX.4.1.5). As there cannot be generation without a pair, there is a tendency in the Brahmana-texts to show how the pair is involved in any particular rite. 11 When there is that pair the rite becomes appropriate, fruitful, productive, etc. Accordingly a distribution of male and female qualitites is done mostly on the basis of the grammatical gender of the words. The built up fire-altar is equal to speech (vac feminine) and the fire which is placed on the fire-altar is equal to breath (prana-masculine). Thus there is a productive pair (SB X.1.1.9). There are six pairs in the Agnihotra ceremony. The sacrificer (yajamana - masc.) and his wife (patni - femi.); the calf (vatsa - masc.) and the Agnihotra-cow (Agnihotri - femi.); the pot (sthali - femi.) and the coals

of ritual pairing in the following way, "Vergessen wir nicht, wie stark das Ritual von dem Gedanken der Begattung durchzogen ist, so stark, dass. z.B. irgend ein Paar von Dingen regelrecht die Paarung (den Koitus) versinnbildlicht oft in unnaturlichster Weise".

(angarah - masc.); the offering spoon (sruk - femi.) and dipping spoon (sruva - mascu.); Ahavaniya (masc.) fire and the log (samidh - femi.) and libation (ahuti - femi.) and the svahakara (mascu.). Thus there are these six pairs in the Agnihotra and one who knows these six pairs gets offspring (SB XI.3.2.1). In the course of Pravargya after uncovering the head of the sacrificer's wife, she is made to pray while looking at the Mahavira. Then it is remarked that the Pravargya (masc.) is a male and the wife is a female. Thus a productive pair takes place there (SB XIV.1.4.16). In the Vajapeya there are both the somagrahas and the suragrahas. The soma(grahas) represent the male and sura(grahas) represent the female. This is a productive pair and helps for obtaining progeny (TB I.3.3.4). In the Darsapurnamasa there is a knot (granthi) in the girdle bound round the waist of the sacrificer's wife. The knot (granthi - masc.) is the male and the wife is the female and thus there is productive pair (TB III.3.3.4). Before the samistayajus, the altar (vedi - femi.) is cleansed by means of the grass-bunch (veda-masc.). Here the altar is the female and the grass-bunch is the male and they form a productive pair (SB I.9.2.24; TB I.3.9.10-11). Thus we see how in the course of various rites various pairs are involved according to the Brahmana-texts and how the grammatical gender helps for making pairs.

Acaturam mithunam prajananam :

In the ideology of the Brahmanas the numbers upto four only are said to be capable of forming productive pairs. The origin of this concept is mentioned in the following mythological story of the Gods and Asuras. Both the Gods and the Asuras were contending for superiority. Neither Gods nor Asuras were able to defeat their enemies. Then they agreed to struggle by means of speech and those who could not follow up the speech uttered by the others by making a pair were to be considered as defeated. Then Indra said, "One (ekah - masc.)" The Asuras replied "One" (eka - femi.)". Thus it was a pair. Then the next numbers two, three, and four were also uttered and there were pairs. But the Asuras could not give the pairing word in the case of the number five. For both the masculine as well as the femine forms of the word are the same. The same is the case of the further numbers. Then as the Asuras could not make the pair, they were defeated (SB I.5.4.6ff;cp. JB II.291f; TMB XXI.13.2). Thus we know that the numbers upto four only are productive. Therefore, there are four patnisamyajas (SB I.9.2.6; KB III.9). Soma is bought with four things : a cow, gold, a garment, and a female goat. For, upto four there is pairing, union, propagation (kg m./o). The number four serves here for getting offspring.

No pair : No generation :

When there is no pair, no generation can take place.

The two persons of the same sex cannot produce an offspring. Therefore, persons of different sex are essential. In the Brahmana-texts difference is sought and sameness is avoided. For what is the same (<u>jami</u>) is not pairing and what is not the same (<u>ajami</u>) is pairing (JB I.300). The similar is not pairing, not productive. (<u>amithunam etad aprajananam yad ekarupam JB I.330</u>).

The Male :

Let us now see the ideas of the Brahmana-texts about the male. It is the male who pours the seed. Therefore, ghee which represents seed is poured by means of a sruva (masc.) (SB VI.3.3.18). The seed is produced from the whole body of the male. The sand is covered in the fire-building ceremony on the whole body of the altar and thus the seed is put into the whole body. Then it is added that the seed is produced from the whole body (SB VII.3.1.28). The seed is poured from the generation organ (ahanasya) of the male and, therefore, in the soma-sacrifices ahanasya verses are recited (AB VI.36). Elsewhere it is said that a male has limbs in excess(atirikta) from which he generates. A kind of Dviratya sacrifice is said to be helpful for getting progeny. For it has three excesses (JB II.238). It should be added that one who has the testicles alone can pour seed and this is the reason why there are two retahsic (seed-pouring) bricks to be used in the

fire-building ceremony (SB VII.4.2.24). A further particularity about the male is that he though one pours seed in many females. With the same saman (understood as a male) praise is made in different rcs (understood as females) (JB II.380). The productivity of the seed of the male is limited to his young age only and the seed of a small boy or of an aged man is not productive. In the fore-offerings (Prayajas) of the Darsa and the Purnamasa sacrifices ghee is used as the offering material. The seed of a boy is not productive but is like water. For ghee is like water. In the middle of these sacrifices sour curds and cake are offered. Therefore, in the middle age the seed is productive. For the offering material in the middle stage of the Darsa-purnamasa is thick and the seed in the middle stage of life is also thick-flowing. In the after offering (anuvajas) again the ghee is the offering-material. Therefore, in the last stage of the life the seed is not productive and is water like; for ghee is water like (SB XI.4.1.15; GB I.3.9). The male infusing seed "heats" the female. The fire-pan (ukha-femi.) is heated on the fire (Agni - masc.). When Agni heats the fire-pan, then the flames mount upto it. The fire-pan is female; the fire is male. Hence when the male heats the female, he infuses seed into her (SB VI.6.2.8).

The Female:

We saw some ideas about the male. Let us see some ideas

about the female. The seed is poured in the wives (patnisu vai retah sicyate). After the offering of the omentum of the Anubandhya-cow has been offered there is a victim for Tvastr. This offering to Tvastr is to be performed in the hut of the wife. The reason for this is as follows. Whatever is for Tvastr is like pouring seed. The seed is poured in the wives. Therefore, this rite is to be performed in the hut of the wife. (KB XIX.6). A female is inferior because she lacks two and from that limb which lacks two, she generates. The Dviratra which has jyotir ukthya as the first day and ayur atiratra as the second is lacking by two on the second day and then the rite becomes helpful for progeny (JB II.238). The female conceives seed while making her lap nude (JB I.173). A girdle is bound around the waist of the wife. This is done while she is sitting; for a female conceives seed while sitting (asina) (TB I.3.3.1). The female is connected with the giving birth. She being one can give birth to many (JB I.280).

Lacking and exceeding (una or nyuna and atirikta):

The female and the male elements are sometimes mentioned indirectly by means of the words una or nyuna (lacking) and attrikta (exceeding). The Trivrt and Pancadasa stomas are respectively una and attrikta. Therefore, they make a pair. To this it is added that from una and attrikta, from the pair, the offspring and cattle are born (unattriktad vai mithunat

prajah paśavah prajayante. B II.239. cp. also JB II.325;

TMB IV.8.3). As in many ideologies elsewhere, here also
we find that the female is supposed to be a more important
part as far as generation is concerned. Therefore, we find
that sometimes it is mentioned that the offsprings are born
out of the nyuna (which represents the female.) (nyunad va
imah prajah prajayante. SB XI.1.2.4; cp.AB VI.9 SadB I.3.17).

It is in the nyuna that the seed is poured (AB VI.9). From
the two lackings (una) the female gives birth (JB II.346;cp.
JB II.353). These words (nyuna or una and atirikta) are based
upon the anatomical observations about the female and the
male, viz., the male has something protruding, in excess,
in the form of the testicles and the penis. The female
lacks these protruding limbs. There are some other anatomical
observations also which we shall see presently.

Anatomy :

The womb (yoni) is said to be placed in the middle of the body. The basis (yoni) and the antistrophy of the Agnimaruta are recited in the middle. Therefore, the womb is in the middle (AB III.35). The sacrificer who desires that a son having brahman-lustre should be born to him, should perform a Prethya Sadaha of which the Agnistoma is in the middle. For, the Prethya Sadaha is the body and the Agnistoma is the brahman-lustre. The generation organ is in the middle. The Agnistoma which is in the middle is, therefore, generative.

(JB II.315). One who desires progeny should perform the Prsthya Sadaha in the middle of which there are the three days, viz., Jyotih, Gauh and Ayuh. For the Prsthya Sadaha is the body and these stomas are generative and when the days on which these generative stomas are used are held in the middle that becomes helpful for progeny (JB II.320). The enclosing stones in the course of fire-altar building are identified with the womb and the saline earth (usah) is identified with the amnion (ulba) and the sand is the seed. The enclosing stones are outside and the saline earth is inside. For the womb is outside and the amnion is inside. The saline earth is outside and the sand inside. For the amnion is outside and the seed inside (SB VII.1.1.16). The two Retahsic bricks are identified with the testicles. These bricks are to be laid down separately. For the testicles are separate. They are settled once and thereby made one and the same. Therefore, the testicles have a connecting part (SB VII.4.2.24).

Lying:

We described above the particularities of the male and the female and the anatomical details found in the Brahmanas. Now we shall see how the Brahmana-texts describe the union of the male and female. It is essential for generation that the male and the female should unite themselves. The sacrifice named Vasisthasya Samsarpa is to be performed by one who desires progeny. Therefore, it is united with the stomas.

Then it is remarked: "From the united pair offesprings and cattle are born (samsaktad vai mithunat prajah pasavah prajayante." JB II.289). In describing this union we may note that the male is supposed to lie on the right side of the female. Accordingly on the right side (south) is the Ahavaniya fire (masc.) and on the left (north) lies the spade (abhri - femi.) (SB VI.3.1.30)12 Again it lies at the distance of one cubit. For the male lies at the distance of one cubit from the female (SB VI.3.1.30). Similarly on the right side of the Asadha brick (femi.) the tortoise (kurma masc.) is to be placed at the cubit's distance for same reason (SB VII.5.1.6). The lying of the male on the right side is expressed in somewhat different way in the following. From the right side the seed is poured. The lump of clay (of which the fire-pan is made) is taken from the right to the left of the hole. For from the right side the seed is infused in the womb (SB VI.4.2.10). On the right thigh of the priest named Udgatr fire is churned; for from the right side the seed is discharged (TMB XII.10.12; cp.JB III.70).

¹² For the idea of male lying on the right side of the female see Oertel, JAOS XXVI,1905, p.188, where he refers to Vatsyayana's Kamasutra and gives greek parallels. He also suggests the reasoning behind the male lying on the right side as the desire of the male child; cf. the same JAOS, XVI, p.234.

The process of seed-pouring :

In the recital of the Ajyasastra there are the Anustubh verses beginning with "Forward to your God Agni" (RV III.13).

There the hotr separates the two first padas. Therefore, a woman separates her two thighs. This separating done by the hotr is thus helpful for the generation (AB II.35 Cp.KB XIV.2).

The hotr mutters the muttering of the hotr (hotrjapa). This is understood as pouring of the seed. The hotr calls the adhvaryu while lying on all fours with the averted face.

Therefore, turning their backs, quadrapeds pour seed. When the adhvaryu takes him he becomes two-footed. Therefore, the bipeds facing each other emit seed (AB II.38). 14

The seed :

The seed (retas) is described as "continuity"(santati) and, therefore, the Retasya saman is to be sung "continuously". In the first part of it, it is sung without allowing others to perceive it. For seed is not perceived as it were (paroksam iva vai retah JB I.100). The omentum (vapa) is said to be identical with the seed. Seed disappears as it were; the omentum also disappears as it were. Seed is white; the omentum is also white. Seed is incorporeal (asarīra), the omentum is

¹³ Cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.107.

¹⁴ Cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.107.

also incorporeal (AB II.14). The wife of the sacrificer looks at the Udgatr priest upto the third verse of the Yajnayajniya laud; for the seed is threefold (TMB VIII.7.14). The TMB does not offer any explanation of the threefold seed. Caland, translating this, has rightly referred to TS V.6.8.4. "Verily, there are three seeds - the father, the son and the grandson (Trini vava retains pita putrah pautrah). 15

From the seed beings are born. The Ahanasya verses are recited in order that the seed-pouring should take place (AB VI.36). Otherwise it is said that nothing is born out of the seedless. The reasoning behind the scattering of sand is that sand is identical with the seed of Agni Vaisvanara. As nothing is born out of the seedless, the sand is scattered and it is hoped that from out of this seed Agni should be born (SB VII.1.10).

The seed is poured in anaudible manner (upamsu). The Patanisamyajas are to be performed in a low voice; for the performance of Patanisamyajas is supposed to be helpful for generation. The generative activity is done secretly.

¹⁵ Caland, Pancavimsabrahmana (translation) p.182, n.2.

¹⁶ For the word <u>Upamsu</u> see <u>Renou</u> and <u>Silburn</u>, <u>Sarupabharatī</u>, Hosiarpur, 1954, p.68, n.l. <u>Renou</u>, <u>La Valeur du silence</u> <u>dans le culte védique</u>, <u>JAOS</u>, LXIX (1949), p.11; the same, <u>Vocabulaire</u>, p.47; <u>Silburn</u>, <u>Instant et Cause</u>, 68.

Whatever is spoken in a low voice is done secretly. Therefore, the Patnīsamyājas are performed in an inaudible manner, in low voice (upāmsu) (ŚB I.9.2.8; cp.KB.III.9). The silent praise (Tūṣnīmsamsa) is recited inaudibly; for the Tūṣnīmsamsa is a generative activity and as seed is poured inaudibly, the Tūṣnīmsamsa is also to be done inaudibly (AB II.39).

The seed is to be poured into the womb :

The seed must be poured into the womb. The offering should be made either simultaneously with the vasat or after it has been pronounced. If the offering were made before the vasat, it would be lost like the seed which is not poured into the womb. (SB I.7.2.14). While ploughing the earth at the time of Fire-building the verses (VS XII.67-68; RV X.101.4,3) uttered are those in which the ploughs are asked to 'cast seed in the ready womb'. Then it is remarked that it is for the seed that womb, the furrow, is made; and if one casts seed into unploughed ground, it is just as if one were to shed seed elsewhere than into the womb (SB VII.2.2.4-5). Sand is thrown on the uttaravedi in the preparation of the Fire-building. The uttarvedi is the womb and seed is infused thus into the womb. The seed which is infused into the womb is generative (ŚB VII.3.1.28). The lotus-leaf is the womb. The Garhapatya is built outside the womb and all the performance prior to the laying down of the lotus-leaf is done outside the womb.

When the Garhapatya is brought and laid down it is established in the womb on the lotus-leaf (SB VIII.6.3.7). Sometimes placing the seed in the very centre of the womb is emphasised. Gods saw the Punasciti and put it on the Garhapatya; and thereby laid seed and generative power into this womb. In the centre they placed it. In the similar manner the sacrificer lays seed and generative power in the centre when he lays down the Punasciti (SB VIII.6.3.10).

The womb :

The most important activity of the womb is to generate.

"The success (raddhi) of the womb consists in seed, in the generation (esa u vai yoneh raddhih yad retah prajatih SB

VIII.6.3.8). Thus when the Gods having built the Garhapatya did not find success therein, they thought of Punasciti. For, the Garhapatya is the womb and the success of the womb is the seed, the generation; and in order to put seed and generative power in the womb they found the Punasciti (SB

VIII.6.3.8ff). The black deer's horn which symbolizes the womb is to be tied to the end of the garment of the sacrificer in the diksa ceremony. It is tied with the open part upwards, for it is in this way that the womb bears the embryo (uttaneva vai yonir garbham bibharti SB III.2.1.29). The womb is of equal size with the embryo. The fire-pan is made a span high and a span side-ways. For Visnu, when an embryo, was a span long.

The fire-pan is the womb; and thus the womb is made of equal size with the embryo (SB VI.5.2.8). The womb enlarges itself as long as there is embryo in the womb. Some ritualists intending to construct higher forms of altars, increase the number of steps and the fathom accordingly. Their argument is: "We enlarge the womb in accordance therewith". This view is, however, not to be accepted. For, the womb does not enlarge along with the child that is born; but only as long as the child is within the womb; the womb enlarges itself so long as the growth of the unborn child continues there (SB X.2.3.6).

The embryo :

When the sacrificer is consecrated he becomes an embryo as it were and is treated as an embryo. He is anointed with fresh butter; for fresh butter appertains to the embryos(AB I.3). A hut is conducted for the sacrificer. This hut is like womb. He is covered with a garment. That garment represents the amnion (ulba). A black antilope's skin covers the garment. That is similar to placenta (<u>jarayu</u>). The sacrificer closes his hands. For closing the hands the embryos lie within the womb (AB.I.3). The nivids are the embryos of the litanies. In that they are inserted before the litanies at the morning pressing, therefore, embryos are deposited at the back and

¹⁷ Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p.103f.

came into being at the back. In that they are inserted in the middle at the midday, therefore, the embryos are held in the middle. In that they are placed at the end in the course of the third pressing, therefore, offsprings are born downwards (AB III.10).

The growth of the embryo :

When the seed is poured in the womb, Tvastr fashions it. When the seed is poured in the form of an offering to soma in the course of Patnisamyajas, an offering is made to Twastr. For he fashions the seed (SB I.9.2.10). Care is to be taken in order that the seed which has been poured should not get harm. The lump of clay to be used for fire-pan is understood as Agni, in the form of seed. This lump of clay is to be held over the animals. The result of this is that Agni becomes equipped with the cattle. The animals are, however not to be touched. For the animals are identical with the thunderbolt (vajra); and if they are touched, the seed in the form of clay would be injured (SB VI.4.4.6). Thus care is taken for the safety of the seed. The seed-pouring is first and then the growth of it. Accordingly after the muttering of hotr (hotrjapa) which is like pouring seed, there is the silent-praise (Tusnimsamsa) which represents the growth of the seed. The Tusnimsamsa is recited secretly (tirah) as it were; for the growth of the seed takes place secretly(AB II.39). The embryos are held for ten months; for Prajapati created

the beings by means of the tenth stotra of Agnistoma and the tenth month of the year (JB I.67).

The birth :

Whatlike the seed is poured into the womb, suchlike is the child born. Therefore, in the consecration ceremony connected with the fire-building, there is a cake for Vaisvanara. It is for Vaisvanara because Agni-Vaisvanara is being produced. In the consecration offering Agni-Vaisvanara is by means of this offering being poured in the form of seed. He is born also as Agni-Vaisvanara; for whatlike is the seed, suchlike is the child born. (SB VI.6.1.6). This performance is done inaudibly, because seed pouring is done silently. But when after Agni has been installed again an offering to Agni-Vaisvanara is offered and this performance is done manifestly (niruktam); for manifest is the seed when born (SB IX.3.1.2). The fire-altar is understood as the head, the great rite (Mahavarata) as the breath, and the Great Litany (Mahaduktha) as the body. The firealter is built first; for the head of him who is born, is born first (SB X.1.2.5). Having loosened the black antelope's skin, the sacrificer descends to the expiatory bath. Therefore, embryos are born freed from placenta. With the garment he descends. Therefore, a child is born with the amnion (AB I.3). The recitation of the Nabhanedisthiya hymn is understood as the seed pouring. It is recited along with the Narasamsa. Nara is offspring and

the Samsa is the speech. Thus by reciting the Narasamsa hymn speech is placed in the offspring. Therefore, offsprings are born speaking (i.e. crying) (AB VI.28).

We have above referred to the idea, viz., the embryos remain for ten months in the womb (see above). But elsewhere it is said that the beings are born after a year. "After a year beings and animals take birth (samvatsaram hi prajah pasavah anuprajayante TMB X.1.9). The Dviratra sacrifice where the first day is Agnistoma and the second day is the Sarvastoma Atiratra, there are twelve stotras. There are twelve months in a year. A year (samvatsara) is identical with the generation (JB II.239). Thus this sacrifice becomes helpful for generation. Here the year is connected with the generation and the year is supposed to be of twelve months. AB IV.22 we get the remark where animals are said to be born after ten months or a year. Thus we find there that according to some authorities the Visuvat rite is to be performed as a special rite on the Visuvat day and not merely as a part of a sattra. But this view is rejected and it is said that the Visuvat is to be performed at the Samvatsara sattra only. Thus they keep holding the seed for a year. Whatever seeds are born before the year, of five months, or six months, they wither. But those seeds which are born in ten months or a year are advantageous. Here both the periods, viz., that of ten months and that of a year are mentioned.

The samans as helpful for generation :

A special mention may be made here of the samans in the generation process. The singing of samans and the uttering of its relative parts are supposed in the Brahmana-texts as generative. Thus the Rathantara saman is said to be the "pouring of seed" (retahsiktih). After the Rathantara saman. the Vamadevya svara saman is used. The svara is identical with the breath and thus by using the Vamadevya svara saman breath is put in the seed which has been already poured by means of the Rathantara. Then the Naudhasa nidhana is used. This is identical with the birth. Then the Kaleya Aila saman is used. Ila is equal to cattle and cattle are equal to food. Thus food is given to those who are born (JB I.305; For similar description of other samans, cf. JB I.306). One who desires progeny should perform the sacrifice, the first day of which is Agnistoma, and the second, the Sarvastoma Atiratra. In this sacrifice, the Trivrt and Pancadasa stomas are used which are respectively "lacking" (una) and "exceeding" (atirikta) From una and atirikta the beings are born. Thus the sacrifice becomes generative (JB II.239). The Vairaja saman is also called generative (prajanana) (JB II.70). The Himkara is described as connected with generation. Thus we read, "After the him sound the seed is implanted" (himkrtaddhi reto'bhidhiyate TMB VIII.7.13; JB III.70). The Himkara is Prajapati and the verses of the Bahispavamana laud are women. By holding, after

the Himkara has been made, the prastava, a pairing takes place and this makes the sacrificer procreative (TMB VI.8.5)18

Conclusion :

We thus have seen how in the Brahmana-texts the sacrifice is described as a generative process. In this connection many details of the ritual are understood as male or female, seed, embryo etc. and many activities are described in the terms of generative process. The samans and its relative factors also have a very significant role to play in this process.

Sacrifice as a cyclical process :

We have above described how sacrifice is connected with the death and birth cycle. Sacrifice is sometimes described as a cyclical process without any reference to the death and birth. Thus the Agnistoma is described as follows: "This is the sacrificial rite without beginning or end; the Agnistoma is like a chariot-wheel endless ..." (AB.43). SB II.3.1.13 describes the Agnihotra as endless. Thus here sacrifice is understood as a cyclical process.

Himkara which is identical with vajra (thunderbolt) is sometimes harmful to the generation. Thus in the recitation of Retasya no Himkara is to be made, lest it should injure the seed - JB I.100; cp.JB I.259; 315.

The wheel (caka) has an important role in the ideology of ancient India. 19 The Bhagvadgita also mentions indirectly the "rotation of the wheel of the sacrifice (vajnacakrapravartana) (III.14 ff). But the Bhagavadgita has explained there the cycle of the worldy processes and sacrifice together and not sacrifice as a cyclic process directly. The Bhagavadgita has earlier also mentioned that the Gods and men co-operate by means of the cycle of the sacrifice. Though these ideas of the Bhagavadgita are more developed, the Brahmana-texts have already given their views in the same manner. Therefore, we shall now see how the Brahmana-texts describe the sacrifice as a co-operative institute between the Gods and men.

The sacrifice as a co-operative institute :

The principle of "do ut des" is involved in the sacrificial theory. 20 The sacrifice is sometimes described as the cooperative institute between Gods and men. Men offer sacrifice to the Gods and the Gods in return provide the men with the required things. The Gods live upon whatever is given from here and men live upon whatever is given from there.

(itahpradanaddhi deva jivanti, amutah pradanan manusyah JB I.116;145;III.245). The JB I.291 with a slight change says

¹⁹ For the importance of cakra (wheel) in the thinking of ancient Indians see Gonda Ancient Indian Kingship, p.123f.

²⁰ For this doctrine cf. G. Van der Leeuw, REM, p.350 ff. Keith, RPV, p.259.

"That world lives because of whatever is given from here ...
this world lives because of whatever is given from there
(itahpradanaddhyasau loko jivati ... amutahpradanaddhyayam
loko jivati). Therefore, when the Gods having collected the
sacrifice went to the heaven and men did not perform the
sacrifice, both Gods and men suffered due to hunger. For,
no offerings went from here upwards, nor the rains could
come downwards. Then through the help of Ayasya the sacrifice
was made available to men and after the sacrificial performance
the cycle of giving and taking became regular (JB II.216).

ŚB I.2.5.24ff a similar story is given expressing the idea of Gods depending upon the sacrifice. When once there was unbelief spread, there was no performance of sacrifice. "Then no sacrificial food came to the Gods from this world. Gods, verily, live upon whatever is given from here (itahpradanaddha deva jīvanti)." Then the Gods took the help of Brhaspati and got the things recovered. Here reference is made only to the subsistence of Gods upon the sacrificial food but no reference is made to the human beings depending upon the Gods.

The idea that the sacrifice should be performed in such a way that both Gods and men should be able to live is found in the following passage - "They say: if the sacrifice is spread upwards, the Gods only will live and not the men;

if spread downwards men only will live and not the Gods. (Therefore) the sacrifice is to be spread upwards, downwards and sidewards ... " (JB I.258). The mutual co-operation between the Gods and men can also be seen when we read, "one who sacrifices, assuredly pleases the Gods. Having pleased the Gods by sacrifice ... one obtains share among them. When one has obtained a share among the Gods, then the Hotr invokes a blessing and that blessing invoked by him, the Gods realise for this (sacrificer) knowing as they do that he has pleased them ... (SB I.9.1.3). Thus the sacrificer pleases the Gods by means of the offering and the Gods please him by means of the blessing. The same idea is found at SB II.3.4.5. "The sacrifice verily belongs to the Gods and the prayer for blessing to the sacrificer. (yajno vai devanam asih yajamanasya). The Agnihotra offering is the sacrifice for the Gods and the approaching (upasthana) to the fires is the sacrificer's prayer for blessing." SB I.9.3.8 also mentions that the sacrificer pleases the Gods by means of the sacrifice. SB I.9.1.10 we are told, "Whatever oblation the Gods graciously accept, by that he (the sacrificer) obtains great thing ... what the Gods delight in they make mountain high. (yaddhi deva havirjusahte tena hi mahajjayati ... yad vai deva havir josayante tadapi girimatram kurvate).

Sacrifice is described as the food of the Gods (yajna u devanam annam SB VII.1.2.10). The provision of sacrifice as

food of the Gods is made by Prajapati. Among all the beings Gods went to Prajapati to demand for the means of livelihood and Prajapati declared the sacrifice as their food (SB II. 4.2.1). The Soma which is identical with the sacrifice (SB XIV.1.3.12 - yaino vai somah) is also described as the food of the Gods (JB I.233).

The sacrifice is also the self of the Gods and it was after making the sacrifice their own self that the Gods placed themselves in the firmament (SB VIII.6.1.10; for sacrifice as the self of the Gods see also SB IX.3.2.7). Sacrifice is the festival of Gods (yajno vai devanam mahah SB I.9.1.11). Further the sacrifice is also the undefeated place (aparajitam ayatanam) of the Gods (TB III.3.7.7).

But not only Gods but even other beings are dependent upon the sacrifice. "The beings depend upon the sacrifice (yajnam va anu prajah" SB I.8.3.27) or "All this depends upon the sacrifice (yajnam hyevedam sarvam anu" SB III.6.3.1). "In the sacrifice are all beings entered (yajne hi sarvani bhutani vistani SB VIII.7.3.21). "The sacrifice is the self of all the beings and of all the Gods (sarvesam va esa bhutanam sarvesam devanam atma yad yajnah" SB IX.3.2.1).

It is interesting to see how Gods and men co-operate. Some the king, is the food of the Gods. But he cannot be the food of the Gods until he is pressed. One offers him after pressing him and having thus made him food of Gods. "Whatever he does for the Gods here, the Gods do the same for him (yaddha va iha devebhyah karoti tad asmai devah kurvanti"). They themselves prospered, make the sacrificer prosper by means of offsprings, cattle and food (JB I.233). Sometimes it is said that the Gods do for the man in the same manner as the man does for the Gods (yadrgaha vai manusyo devebhyah karoti tadrg asmai devah kurvanti JB II.386;390; cp. AB III.6-yadrgiva vai devebhyah karoti tadrgivasmai devah kurvanti).

The theory of sacrifice as a co-operative institute is sometimes based upon the mutual co-operation and mutual gratification of the Gods among themselves. The Gods were of co-operative nature and we find how mutually co-operating they obtained the sacrifice from Prajapati, their father. Thus we read that both the Gods and Asuras were the children of Prajapati. The Asuras were very much proud and went on offering in their own mouths and at the end they were defeated. The Gods, on the other hand, went on offering in each other's mouth. Then Prajapati gave them himself i.e. the sacrifice (SB V.1.1.1; XI.1.8.1f).

Here we find how the Gods were co-operative and hence victorious while the Asuras lacking co-operation were defeated. Sacrifice has the principle of co-operation which would have certainly helped the life of the Aryans to some extent.

Sacrifice and some particular deities :

We saw in general how the sacrifice is a co-operative institute between Gods and men and how Gods were co-operative among themselves. Let us see now how some particular Gods are connected or identified with sacrifice. This will throw some light on the nature of sacrifice.

Sacrifice connected with Indra:

In the Rgveda we find Indra so much prominant; that association of any deity with Indra is one of the ways of high glorification. Many small deities are connected with Indra in the Rgveda for the sake of elevation and exaltation. The connection of sacrifice with Indra has also perhaps the same purpose. Thus we find the expression "aindro yainah sacrifice belongs to Indra" (see e.g. AB VI.9:10). Similarly. a question is asked - "What is the deity of the sacrifice ?" and the answer given is "Indro vai yajnasya devata" which is followed by the remark - "For, when the deity of the sacrifice was Indra, other deities came according to their shares (GB II.3.23). In the Agnihotra performance three rcs are to be murmured while standing between the Ahavaniya and Garhapatya fires. One of these three rcs belongs to Indra and the reason given for this is that Indra is the deity of the sacrifice (SB II.3.4.38). The same reason is again given for the words "O Indra, come (Indragaccha)", in the Sabrahmahnya call (SB III.3.4.18).

Indra is called "the leader of the sacrifice" (Indro vai vainasva neta). While drawing the Antaryamacup the following words are uttered "Restrain thou, O might (Maghavan i.e. Indra), guard Soma! (antaryaccha maghavan pai somam VS VII.4), after which follows the remark: "The mighty (Maghavan) forsooth is Indra; and Indra is the leader of the sacrifice" (SB VI.1.2.15). Indra is also called the self (atma) of the sacrifice and the usual description, viz., the deity of the sacrifice also occurs there. At the end of the Fire-building ceremony, there are eleven samistayajus oblations; for there are eleven syllables in the Tristubh which belongs to Indra. "Indra is the self (atma) of sacrifice; Indra is the deity. One thus finally establishes the sacrifice in him who is the self, the deity of sacrifice. (SB IX.5.1.33).

To make the sacrifice "(associated) with Indra"(sendra) is the purpose of some actions in the ritual. "Among the animals to be called in the animal-sacrifice in connection with the Asvamedha there is a cow wont to cast her calf(vehat) for Indra ever active (svapasya). The purpose given is to make the sacrifice "(associated) with Indra"(sendra) (yajnasya sendratayai) (SB XIII.2.2.9). After the sacrificial post has been fixed sacrificer is to be made speak touching the post the verse (VS VI.4) in which the word Indra occurs and that is for the sake of making the sacrifice "with Indra" (SB III.7.1.17).

Sacrifice is Agni :

The importance of Agni in connection with the sacrifice is obvious. For the sacrifice is performed in the fire (Agni) (agnau hi sarvan yajnan tanvate SB IV.5.1.13). Naturally Agni is the essential part of a sacrifice. The importance of Agni for sacrificial performance is very clearly and appropriately expressed when the sacrifice itself is identified with Agni. Thus, for example, it is prescribed that the fire which is produced by means of churning is to be carried to the ahavaniya in such a way that it turns back towards the sacrificer. "For Agni is this sacrifice (esa vai yajno yad agnih)" and it is in the direction of the sacrificer that the sacrifice enters him, that the sacrifice readily inclines to him; and verily from whatsoever Agni turns away, from him the sacrifice turns away (SB II.1.4.19 for the identification of sacrifice and Agni cp. also SB III.7.1.16: TMB XI.5.2. etc.) Further SB IV.5.1.13 Agni is identified with all the sacrifices. "Agni is all the sacrifices (agnir vai sarve yajnah); for all sacrifices are performed in Agni - the domestic (pakayajna) as well as others".

Agni is called elsewhere the womb (yoni) of the sacrifice. The performance of sacrifice is a kind of killing and then generating the sacrificer. One pours it as seed into Agni as its womb; for indeed Agni is the womb of the sacrifice (agnir yonir yajnasya)(SB XI.1.2.2; cp. SB III.1.3.28). Next,

Agni is supposed to be the lower half and Visnu the upper half of the sacrifice (agnir vai vainasyavarardhyah visnuh parardhyah) (ŚB III.1.3.1; ŚB V.2.3.6). Similarly Agni and Visnu are the two terminal forms of the sacrifice (ete vai vainasya antve tanuvau vad agnis ca visnus ca) (AB I.1)

The work of Agni in connection with sacrifice is to carry the sacrifice or the oblations from men to the Gods.

"Agni having become a horse carries the sacrifice to the Gods" (SB I.4.1.30). TB II.2.7.4; 11.6 says that Agni carries the oblations to the Gods in the other worlds. Thus Agni has an important role in the sacrifice.

Sacrifice is Visnu:

Sacrifice is very often identified with Viṣṇu. In the Arbhava Pavamāna to be used on the fifth day of the Dvadasāha sacrifice, there are verses beginning with "be clarified for the obtaining of booty ..." (RV X.100.6; 7.9; SV II.366-368); where the word Viṣṇu occurs. The significance of this fact is told thus: - "Viṣṇu is the sacrifice (yajno vai viṣṇuh). What here (on this day) is not brought about, that one brings about through Viṣṇu (who is) the sacrifice (TMB XIII.5.5). In the samsrp offerings, performed in the Rājasūya, the last offering is given to Viṣṇu. For sacrifice is Viṣṇu (Viṣṇur vai yajnah) and the offering establishes itself in the sacrifice at the end (TB I.8.2.2). If a dog goes through between the

Garhapatya and the Ahavaniya, an expiation is to be made in which a rc praising Visnu (RV I.22.17) is used. For "Sacrifice verily is Visnu. One pacifies sacrifice by means of sacrifice" (TB I.4.3.6).

Sometimes sacrifice is identified with Sipivista Visnu. If Soma is left over from the third pressing, Gaurivita saman based on the verses addressed to Visnu is to be sung. For "Visnu Sipivista is the sacrifice, in Visnu is one then established" (TMB IX.7.9-10).

The reason why sacrifice is identified with Visnu can be seen in the following story. "The Gods Agni, Indra, Soma, Makha, Visnu and the Visvedevas except the two Asvins performed a sacrificial session ... They spoke, "whoever of us through austerity, ferver, faith, sacrifice and oblations shall compass the end of the sacrifice, he shall be the most excellent and shall then be in common to us all". They agreed. Then Visnu first attained it, and he became the most excellent of the Gods. ... Now he who is Visnu is the sacrifice ... "(ŚB XIV.1.1.1-6). It seems here that the identifications of Visnu and sacrifice is due to Visnu's first attaining of the end of the sacrifice.

In another story Visnu is described to be the same as the sacrifice. The Asuras wanted to possess, the world. Then they tried to divide the world. The Gods placing Visnu, this sacrifice, at their head, went to the Asuras. The Asuras offered

the earth as much as Viṣṇu - who was dwarf at that time - would lie upon. The Gods having enclosed him (Viṣṇu = sacrifice) on all three sides, having placed Agni on the east side, went on toiling and worshipping with the sacrifice (ŚB I.2.5.lff). Here also we get the identification of Viṣṇu with sacrifice. Here Viṣṇu in the form of sacrifice does the work of pervading the earth and this can explain the identification of sacrifice with Viṣṇu.

Visnu was from the beginning connected with ferility, prosperity, benevolence, etc. The sacrifice as we have mentioned is a generation process as well as an important means to obtain various desired objects. The identification of Visnu and sacrifice is very significant from this point of view. 21

Sacrifice is Prajapati :

The Brahmana-texts often identify sacrifice with Prajapati.²²
"Verily, the sacrifice which is being spread is Prajapati (esa vai prajapatir va esa vainas tavate)"(ŚB IV.2.4.16;5.5.1;7.1;
V.1.4.1;2.2.18; 3.4.15; 5.1; 4.4.24; cp. TB.I.4.6.1). "Sacrifice,

²¹ For Visnu and sacrifice identification and its significance see Gonda, Visnuism, p.79ff; p.80 in particular.

²² For sacrifice and Prajapati see Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 78 etc.

verily is Prajapati (yajna u vai prajapatih)(KB X.1; XXV.11; XXVI.3). Similarly we read "Prajapati, verily is the sacrifice and in him are all the desires and all the immortality"(KB XIII.1. GB II.2.18 adds "all the istis"). Many a time Prajapati is said to be the source of the sacrifice (see the section "origin of sacrifice"). Among the stories of Prajapati at the origin of sacrifice we find the stories where Prajapati is said to have given himself in the form of sacrifice, to his offsprings. Then having given himself in the form of sacrifice, to his offsprings. Then having given himself to the Gods he created his counterpart (pratima) (e.g. SB XI.1.3.3; cp.SB V.1.1.12; TMB VII.2.1). Here also we find the identification of Prajapati and sacrifice.

The identification of Prajapati and sacrifice is very important from the ritual as well as the spiritual point of view. Prajapati as an important God (or rather, father of the Gods) was at the origin of the sacrifice. 23 For, sometimes we are told that he has seen the sacrifice and sometimes that he has created it. Sometimes we are also told that he gave himself as sacrifice to the Gods. So it is obvious that he himself is the sacrifice. Many stories of the world creation concern with Prajapati and thus Prajapati is important in

²³ For this see the Chapter "origin of the sacrifice".

cosmological and philosophical speculations. So Prajapati is the most significant junction where the ways of ritualism and those of spiritualism have come together.

Conclusion:

The sacrificial performance as we saw, consists chiefly in offering something to Gods. But the speculations of the Brahmana-texts have conceived it as (i) a collection(sambhara) (ii) paying homage (namah) (iii) a redemption (iv) a journey (v) a process of killing and generating it (vi) a generation process (vii) a cyclic process and (viii) a co-operative institute of Gods and men. Finally it is connected with various deities including Prajapati. Though the Brahmana-texts have ritualism as their chief concern, they have also given birth to spiritualism by their speculations on the ritualism itself and the sacrifice and the Prajapati ideologies are interesting from this point of view.

568

(B) Guiding principles of the sacrificial performance.

Introduction :

Sacrifice is the norm par excellence. It is the birthplace, womb (yoni) of the rta which is the norm, order etc. (yajno vai rtasya yonih SB I.3.4.16). Therefore, efforts are always to be made not to disturb the norm. It is a very essential part of the ritualism, to follow the correct procedure of sacrifice. The expected good result is due only if and when the sacrifice is performed in the prescribed manner. On the other hand, bad consequences follow when there is some flaw in the performance. For the correct performance there are certain guiding principles some positive and some negative which are never to be overlooked. Thus, for example, nothing sacrificial is to be excluded from the sacrifice; or "sameness" (jamita) in the sacrifice is to be avoided. These are negative principles. One has to keep the particular measurement, maintain "continuity" (santati), give the sacrifice "firmness" (dhrti), etc. These are positive principles. The sacrifice is to be performed with great care and these principles are also to be strictly followed. The Brahmanatexts are dealing with ritualism in their own way, and these principles are lying scattered all over their vast expanse. Let us study these one by one.

Not to exclude any sacrificial thing (from sacrifice) :

One should see that no part of the sacrifice is excluded from the sacrifice (na bahirdha yajnad bhavati). In the Udavasaniya isti a barren cow is to be offered. But if by chance it is found after cutting the cow, that she has an embryo in her, then the question arises as to what is to be done with that embryo. Various alternatives such as exposing it on a tree, throwing it into the water, or burying it in a mole-hill are suggested. But according to the SB IV.5.2.16 "It is to be offered to Maruts on the cooking fire of the animal sacrifice. For among the Gods, Maruts are not the oblation-eaters (ahutdah) and the uncooked embryo is, as it were no oblation (ahuti). The animal-cooking-fire is taken from the Ahavaniya : thus the embryo is not excluded from the sacrifice (tatha ha na bahirdha yajnad bhavati) (SB IV. 5.2.1ff). The omentum in the course of the animal sacrifice connected with the soma-sacrifice is to be taken along between the sacrificial post and the fire. The reason why it is not taken outside the altar along the front of the sacrificial post is that it would be thereby put outside the sacrifice (bahirdha yajnat kuryuh). Therefore, it is to be taken along between the sacrificial post and the fire so that it is not excluded from the sacrifice (SB III.8.2.20).

Of somewhat similar significance is the use of <u>antar</u> plus root <u>i</u> with the negative particle <u>na</u>. It is intended

that nothing, which is closely connected with the sacrifice, should be separated from it. Thus according to some, the victim is not to be held on by the sacrificer. The accepted view, however, is that the sacrificer should hold on to it. "For, he would be removed from the sacrifice, were he not to hold on to it (yajnad u haivatmanam antariyad yan nanvarabheta). Therefore, he should hold on to it." (SB III.8.1.10). the Darsapurnamasa offerings the butter after being taken, is first put on the Garhapatya, for the wife of the sacrificer to look at it. "If the wife were not to look at the butter at all, she will stand separated from the sacrifice (atha yan navakasayed antariyaddha yajnat patnim)" (SB I.3.1.20). In the same sacrifice, at the time of touching the offering material the adhvaryu utters the mantra - dhruva asadan etc. (VS II.6), in which there are the words pahi mam yajnanyam and by means of these words, the adhvaryu saves himself from being separated from the sacrifice (SB I.3.4.16).

Not to deviate from the norm of the sacrifice :

One has to follow the norm of the sacrifice. One has to take care not to deviate from the norm, the correct procedure of the sacrifice. This is expressed by means of the phrase "ned yajnasya vidhaya ayani - Lest I would go away from the manner of the sacrifice." For the offering in the Pitryajna which is a part of the Sakamedha - parvan of the Caturmasya sacrifices, the butter is to be taken according to some in the

upabhrt by twice ladling with the dipping spoon, since there are two after-offerings (anuvajas) here. But this view is to be neglected and ladling is to be made eight times. This is to be done with the thought "Lest I would go away from the manner of the sacrifice". (SB II.6.1.13). Similarly in the same performance though the Brahmana-text substitutes the adhvaryu's call for <u>śrausat</u> (viz. o <u>śravaya</u> by om <u>śravaya</u>) and agnidhra's response (viz., <u>astu śrausat</u> by <u>astu svadha</u>), āsuri, a ritualist, wants that the normal manner should be followed and the words o <u>śravaya</u> and <u>astu śrausat</u> should be used and <u>vasat</u> also be pronounced, "Lest we should go away from the manner of the sacrifice" (SB II.6.1.25). Here āsuri has based his view upon the guiding principle, viz., not going away from the manner or norm of the sacrifice.

Another phrase of the same purpose is "ned yajnad avanīti - lest I would go away from the sacrifice". The Daksayana offering is a modification of Darsapurnamasa. In the Darsapurnamasa offerings the first days in both of them are for the preliminary rites. On the full-moon day there are cakes to Agni and Agni-soma, and on the new-moon day there are cakes to Agni and Indra-Agni (or samnayya to Indra or Mahendra). But in the Daksayana there are the Agni-Soma and Indra-Agni cakes to be offered on the first day in the morning. Then in the afternoon the preliminary rites are done. The second day includes a cake to Agni and samnayya

to Indra if it is full-moon day and a cake to Agni payasyā to Mirta-Varuna if it is new-moon day. The reason for the Agni's cake to be offered on the second day is to preserve a common feature of the Darsapurnamasa - the normal offering. "Lest I should go away from the sacrifice, there is the cake for Agni". (SB II.4.4.10. cp.14;18). Thus keeping strictly to the norm without any deviation is the guiding principle asserted in all the cases.

A departure from normality, a deviation from the correct performance is sometimes expressed by the words "to turn aside from the sacrifice - yainad hval". When the sacrificial post (yupa) is errected, the corner (which was previously) facing the fire (agnistha) is to be made opposite the fire. "For, the corner facing the fire (agnistha) is identical with the sacrificer and fire (Agni) is the sacrificer. Hence were the corner facing the fire to be turned aside, the sacrificer will turn aside from the sacrifice. (hvaled dha yainad yainanah). Therefore, the corner (previously facing the fire) is to be made opposite to the fire (SB III.7.1.16).

Still another and more powerful expression for the same idea of deviating from the norm etc. is "one goes away from the path of the sacrifice (<u>vajnapathad eti</u>)" etc. In the Ratnin offerings which are a part of the Rajasuya sacrifice offerings are offered even in the houses of those who do not deserve to be associated with sacrifice (<u>ayajniya</u>) e.g., the

commander of the army (senani), huntsman (govikarta), etc. "One who goes away from the sacrifice, stumbles (hvalati) and one does indeed go away from the path of the sacrifice when one puts those unworthy of sacrifice in contact with the sacrifice ... The path of the sacrifice is Mitra and Brhaspati. For Mitra is Brahman and Brahman is sacrifice. Brhaspati is brahman and brahman is sacrifice. Thus one returns again to the path of the sacrifice when an offering is made to Mitra and Brhaspati"(SB V.3.2.4). There are seventeen special victims for Prajapati, in the Vajapeya sacrifice. According to some the procedure of these victims is after the normal animal sacrifice. But this view is discarded with the remark - "One who goes away from the path of sacrifice stumbles; and one who does in this way (i.e. performs the normal animal sacrifice first and offers the seventeen victims afterwards) goes away from the path of the sacrifice". Therefore, the animal sacrifice for Prajapati is to be done at the same time when the normal animal sacrifice is done (SB V.1.3.14).

To avoid the "sameness (ajamita)":

Efforts are done to avoid repetition, sameness, tautology, etc., and to bring variety in the sacrificial actions. The calling of Ida (idopahvanam) in the Darsapurnamasa offerings is to be done four times with four different formulae slightly

differing from one another. Thus (i) ida is called hither (idopahuta); (ii) Hither is called ida (upahuteda); (iii) May ida also call us (upo asman ida hvayatam) and (iv) ida is called hither (idopuhuta). If each time only the words idopahuta are uttered, there will be the fault of "sameness"; and this is avoided by using these different formulae. (SB I.8.1.24f). While drawing the Agrayanagraha in the Agnistoma the words to be uttered among others are "Thou art Agrayana, a good firstling (agrayano'si svagrayanah). Hereby one makes that speech of renewed vigour. If one were to say, "Thou art Agrayana, thou art agrayana (agrayano'si, agrayano'si) then there would have been the fault of sameness (jami). When one utters the words in the way mentioned above, there is no such defect (SB IV.2.2.9).

The reason why the sameness is to be avoided can be found in the generation ideology of the Brahmana-texts.

Sacrifice is a generation-process par excellence and, therefore, everything in it must be helpful for the pairing, helpful for the generation. Thus JB I.300 says, "The 'same' (jami) is not pairing, not generating. If two men lie together or two women lie together they cannot generate anything except when they get someone making pairing." Further, whatever is "not the same (ajami) is generation". Thus the idea of avoiding sameness is connected with the generation.

Undisturbedness of the sacrifice :

There should not be any 'disturbance' in the performance of the sacrifice. After taking the winnowing basket and the Agnihotra ladle during the performance of Darsapurnamasa offerings, the adhvaryu restrains the speech. The reason is that the speech is identical with the sacrifice undisturbed. When the speech is restrained the sacrifice becomes accomplished undisturbedly (SB I.1.2.2). At the time of milking the cows, the adhvaryu keeps silence upto the milking of three cows. Then he thinks "May I extend undisturbed (aviksubdha) sacrifice" (SB I.7.1.15). When the adhvaryu at the time of the second libation (aghara) takes the two butter spoons he says the words, "May I this day, offer up the butter to the Gods unspilt (askannam adva devebhya ajyam sambhriyasam)(VS II.8); thereby he means to say, "May I tp-day perform an undisturbed (aviksubdha) sacrifice to the Gods (SB I.4.5.1)."

To avoid the criticism of others and the evil results suggested by them :

We find in the Brahmana-texts differences of opinions about various details in the ritual. Each opinion is supported by some argumentation and many times the opinions of some ritualists are refuted and some particular opinion is accepted or established. It is, however, sometimes found that the

criticism of others is also given some consideration and it is advised that as far as possible one should try to avoid that criticism by performing sacrifice in such a way that there will be no criticism at all.

"Morning litany is the head of sacrifice; Upamsu and Antaryama (cups) are expiration and inspiration; speech, verily, is the thunderbolt. Before the Upamsu and Antaryama (cups) have been offered, the Hotr should not utter speech, with speech as a thunderbolt he would interrupt the breaths of the sacrificer. If one were to say then about him, "With speech as a thunderbolt he has interrupted the breaths of the sacrificer, breath will for sake him", it would assuredly be so" (AB II.21). The cups for the two deities are breaths. The second vasat is not to be said for the cups for the two deities. If the second vasat is said he would bring to rest the unresting breaths; the second vasat call is the ending. If anybody were to say of him, "He has brought to rest the unresting breaths; breath will forsake him"; it would be certainly so (AB II.28). If the animal like a vicious boar, vicious ram, or a dog were to go between the fires whilst the Agnihotra offering is being put, an expiation is necessary. Some poke out the ashes from the Garhapatya and keep throwing it down from the Ahavaniya, with RV I.22.17 --"Here Visnu strode ... ", saying Visnu is sacrifice : by the sacrifice we thus continue the sacrifice, and with the

ashes we bestrew its track". But this is not to be done; for if in that case any one were to say, "Surely, this priest has scattered about the sacrificer's ashes: he will soon scatter his last ashes, the chief's household will be wailing", then that indeed would be likely to happen (SB XII.4.1.4). We find in these examples how some criticism is made and bad effects are shown to be likely. This criticism is to be avoided and then thereby the bad results also become avoided.

Continuity (santati) of the sacrifice :

The sacrifice is a homogenious activity. The performance of sacrifice is considered to be like the weaving of cloth.

Therefore, continuity (santati) is expected in the performance of the sacrifice. The continuity (santati) is connected with the "strict order of successive phrases articulated in a total form" and it "forms the essence of the sacrifice."

The scrapings of the introductory offering (prayaniva) are preserved and mingled with the concluding (udayaniva) offering. This is for the sake of continuity (santati) of the sacrifice; for preventing a breach in the sacrifice (yainasya avyavacchedaya) (AB I.ll). In the performance of the Agnihotra the sacrificial grass is not to be thrown in the fire.

¹ For santati cf. Silburn, Instant et Cause p.86 f.

² cf. Silburn, Instant et Cause, p.86. For the sacrifice as continuous see, Hubert, Mauss, "Sacri." p.60f.

The throwing of grass into the fire indicates the end of the sacrifice. The Agnihotra cannot end before the performance of other sacrifices. Therefore, the grass is not to be thrown into the fire. This is for the continuity of the sacrifice (yajnasya santatyai) (TB II.1.4.9). There are one hundred men clad in armour in order to guard the horse (of the Asvamedha) which is let loose. If the unfriendly men were to get hold of the horse, the sacrifice would be cut. In order to preserve the horse and thereby preserve the continuity (santati) and unbrokenness (avyavaccheda) of the sacrifice the hundred men are employed (SB XIII.1.6.3). The sigging of Samtata saman in the Vyudha-dvadasaha sacrifice is also for the sake of continuity of the sacrifice as is indicated by the name itself of the saman (TMB XII.3.7). Similarly the Brhat and the Rathantara samans are continuities of the broken sacrifice (brhadrathantare vai vicchinnasya yajnasya santatyau. JB II.213; 215).

Tying the knots (barsanaddhi):

Closely connected with the ideas of the performance of sacrifice as the weaving of cloth and the idea of continuity (santati) is the idea of "tying the knot" at the ends of the sacrifice (which is like a thread or cloth). The first as well as the last of the rcs which are recited at the time of bringing the soma is to be recited thrice. Then it is said, "Thus one ties the ends of the sacrifice for firmness

(sthemne) for might (balaya) and to prevent slipping
(avisramsaya) (AB I.13) yainasyaiva tad barsau nahyati
sthemne balayavisramsaya). The same remark is made at other
places when at other occasions also the first and the last
verse is recited thrice (e.g. AB I.16; 28;29; II.2; KB IX.4.2)
(without the, word balaya). II.3.11 etc.) Similarly
there is pap to Aditi in the prayaniya (introductory)
offering and in the udayaniya (concluding) offering. That
is "for the support (dhrtyai) of the sacrifice, for the
tying of the knots of the sacrifice (barsanaddhyai) to prevent
the slipping of the sacrifice". This is like tying the knots
at the both ends of the rope for preventing the slipping
(AB I.11).

Neither less (nyuna, una) nor more (atirikta) :

The norm of the sacrifice does not allow anything less or more. Excesses and deficiencies were found in the performance of even the old Rsis. Thus we read "When the Rsis were performing the sacrifice, the Gandharvas came near to them. They looked upon thinking "Here surely they have done too much; here they have done too little". When their sacrifice was completed, they pointed out to them saying, "Here surely you have done too much, here you have done too little" whenever they had done too much it was like a hill; and whenever they had done too little it was

like a pit ..." (ŚB XI.2.3.7-8) whatever in the sacrifice is neither deficient nor excessive that is auspicious(yad vai yainasy anyunatiriktam tacchivam)(ŚB XI.2.3.9).

Accordingly we find places where one is asked to keep strictly to the normal proportion. The lump of clay which is used for the preparing of firepan should be as large as the hole from which it is taken. "For the earth is womb and the clay is seed. Whatever part of the seed exceeds the womb, becomes useless and what is deficient is unsuccessful; but that part of the seed which is within the hole is successful". (SB VI.3.3.26). While building the fire alter which is conceived as a bird the right wing is to be contracted on both sides by just four finger breadths and expanded outside on both sides by four finger breadths. One thus expands it as one contracts it and thus one neither exceeds (the proper size) nor one makes it too small (SB X.2.1.4 and following).

If the normal proportion is not followed then, of course, some positive harm takes place. Therefore, those who make three fire-pans (<u>ukhās</u>) instead of one do it in excess; in redundancy. Whatever is done in excess in the sacrifice; that remains over for the benifit of one's spiteful enemy (<u>vad vai yaine atiriktam krivate yaimānasya dvisantam bhrātrvyam abhyatiricyate</u> SB VI.5.2.22). The same remark is found when it is prescribed that the measure

of the sacrificial ground should not exceed on the eastern side (SB III.1.1.3). In the Asvina sasta there is redundancy of soma. Whatever there is too much, the enemy of the sacrificer becomes energetic thereby (yad vai yajnasya atiricyate bhratrvyas tena yajamanasya pratyudyami bhavati
KB XVIII 1). The duration of the diksa period is of seven days in the Asvamedha sacrifice; but "indeed the vital airs depart from those who exceed the duration of diksa (apa va etebhyah pranah kramanti ye diksam atikramanti SB XIII.1.7.2)

To maintain the measurement :

The sacrifice has got some measurements and emphasis is given on keeping those measurements intact. It is true that there is no one particular measurement. Sometimes the man (purusa) is the measurement, sometimes prajapati in the form of year, or sometimes Prajapati the seventeenth becomes the measurement. The numbers three, five, hundred are also said to be the measurements and each measurement has a particular role according to the situation and has its own significance. To conform to the particular measurement at the particular occasion is, therefore, an important guiding principle.

Sacrifice is threefold (trivrt, tryavrt) :

Many actions in the ritual are prescribed to be done thrice and the reasoning given for the justification of the thrice performance is that the sacrifice is threefold (trivrddhi yajnah; tryavrddhi yajnah). Thus for example, the grains out of the flour of which the sacrificial cake is to be made, are to be cleansed thrice. For the sacrifice is threefold (SB I.1.4.23 trivrt; TB III.2.5.11 tryavrt). Similarly the grass is spread threefold in the Darsapurnamasa sacrifice; for the sacrifice is threefold (SB I.3.3.10). The sprinkling of the Pravargya apparatus is also to be made thrice; for the sacrifice is threefold (SB XIV.3.1.25). The first one as well as the last one of the samidheni verses are recited thrice each. The reason for this is, "of threefold beginning are sacrifices and of threefold termination. (trivrtprayana hi yajnas trivrdudayanah) (SB I.3.5.6).

The reason why the sacrifice is called <u>trivrt</u> (threefold) etc. is not given. It can be sought, however, in the story of the origin of sacrifice given by GB I.1.12. Prajapati practised penance and tortured himself and found this <u>threefold</u>, seven threaded and twenty-one instituted sacrifice. Further we read the three kinds of sacrifices, viz. the seven soma sacrifices; seven paka-sacrifices and seven havis-sacrifices (GB I.1.12; cp. also I.5.23;25). Thus the description that the sacrifice is threefold probably concerns with the three kinds of the sacrifice.

The threefoldness can be explained in another way also. In the description of the Pravargya ceremony, we are told that

northwards to make it threefold. The reason given is, "For threefold is the performance for the Gods (trivrddhi devakarma) (KB II.1). Thus whatever performance relates to the Gods is threefold. The sacrifice is obviously the "performance for the Gods (devakarma)"; and as such can be naturally described as threefold (trivrt).

Sacrifice is fivefold :

The number five, like the number three, has got special significance in the performance of the sacrifice. Sacrifice is often called "five-fold" 'Pankta' and fivefoldness is one of the measurements (matra) of the sacrifice (pankto yajnah panktah pasuh pancartavah samvatsarasya esaika matra yajnasya SB I.5.2.16). In the Prayaniya offering there are five deities (viz. Pathyasvasti, Agni, Soma, Savitr and Aditi). The reason for this five as the number of the deities is that the sacrifice is fivefold (AB I.1). The omentum (vapa) is to be offered in five layers - first an underlayer of ghee, then a chip of gold, then the omentum, then again a chip of gold and then again ghee. The explanation of this is given as follows: "The sacrifice is fivefold, fivefold is the sacrificial animal, and the year consists of five seasons" (SB XI.7.4.4; cp. AB II.14). The same reasoning is given when it is prescribed that the Purusamedha is a five-day's sacrificial performance (SB XIII.6.1.7).

There are some fivefold factors to be used in the sacrifice. The first, there are five kinds of oblations, viz. fried grains (dhana), mush (karambha), pap (parivapa), cake (purodaśa) and milk-mess (payasya); secondly there are five syllables, viz., su, mad, pad, vag and de. Thirdly, there are five naraśamsas in the sacrifice. The morning pressing has two naraśamsa (cups), the mid-day pressing has also two naraśamsa (cups) and the third pressing one naraśamsa (cup). Fourthly, the sacrifice has five pressings, viz., the victim of the day, the three pressings and the concluding victim (AB II.24; cp. for five kinds of oblations KB AIII.2).

The description of sacrifice as pankta (five-fold) suggests that the sacrifice is conceived as a man. For man is also described to be pankta (fivefold). Thus AB II.14 "This man (purusa) is, verily fivefold: hair, skin, flesh, bones and marrow". GB II.6.8 inserts "head (mastiska)" in this list and drops "flesh (mamsa)". For the purusa as fivefold see also JB I.198; TMB II.4.2. Further, the year is also fivefold; for there are five seasons. (SB I.5.2.16). Again Prajapati is also said to be fivefold (SB X.4.2.23). Thus the fivefoldness seems to be a link connecting the man and sacrifice, year and sacrifice, year and Prajapati, and Prajapati and sacrifice.

The reason why the sacrifice is called fivefold may be sought in the story of the origin of saman. In the beginning

there were only rc and saman. After being solicited thrice by rc the saman allowed the union of the two. "They came into order becoming one five, the other five, namely the call (ahava) and the him-call (himkara), the prelude (prastara), and the first rc, the principal part(udgitha) and the middle rc, the response (pratihara) and the last rc and the finale (nidhana) and the vasat call. In that the two came into order becoming one five, the other five, therefore, they say the sacrifice is fivefold, the animals are fivefold". (AB III.23; GB II.3.20).

Another likely explanation of the pankta (fivefold) sacrifice is that the sacrifices are divided according to a classification into five kinds viz. Agnihotra, Darsapurhamasau, Caturmasyani, Pasu and Soma (Aitareya Āraṇyaka II.3.3 - sa esa yajnah pancavidho ghihotram darsapurnmasau caturmasyani pusuh somah). TB II.3.6.1-3 mention is made of these same five sacrifices and thus it is explained why the sacrifice is called pankta (fivefold).

Viraj as a measurement :

Viraj is the name of a metre consisting of ten syllables in each foot. This connection of Viraj with the number ten serves for Viraj being a measurement of the sacrifice. Thus the number ten is expected and we get the mention of Viraj.

When the sacrificial grass is spread, ten sacrificial utensils

are placed upon it. The number ten of the utensils has got a connection with the Virāj metre having ten syllables. The sacrifice is then said to be identical with Virāj (Virād vai vajnāh)³ (ŚB.I.1.1.22). The ten utansils are: winnowing basket (surpa), agnihotra-ladle (agnihotra-havanī), wooden sword (sphya), potsherds (kapālāni), wedge (samyā), black antelope skin (kṛṣṇājina), mortar and pestle (ulukhala-musale) and the large and the small millstones (dṛṣadupale). The process of Agnihotra offering is compared to the Virāj. "Twice one offers in the fire, twice one wipes (the spout of the spoon), twice one eats (of the milk), and four times one ladles. These are ten acts. There are ten syllables in the metre Virāj and the sacrifice is Virāj (ŚB II.3.1.18).

The reason why sacrifice is identical with the Viraj is told in the story of the saman. The rc and saman came into order becoming one five, the other five. In that thus they made up as a set of ten, the Viraj, therefore, they say, "In the Viraj, as a set of ten, the sacrifice finds support (viraji vajno dasinyam pratisthitah) (AB III.23; GB II.3.20).

The importance of Viraj in connection with the sacrifice becomes clear when it is said that one should sacrifice with a sacrifice prospered by means of Viraj. The Viraj is

For the identification sacrifice and Viraj see Renou, JA 240 (1952), p.149. At the same place Renou has noted Viraj as an arithmetical measurement and as a desired object of the sacrificer.

identical with the food (annam vai virat) and then one gives soma to the Gods by making soma food (JB I.233). Therefore, there is many times an effort made to show the mystic connection of Viraj with the sacrifice. This connection is mostly in a mystic manner. But in the sacrifice named Viraj there is a direct connection. "The other sacrifices amount to the Viraj in a mystic manner (paroksam); but this rite (the Viraj sacrifice) is identical with the Viraj in a visible manner (pratyaksam) (paroksam anye yajna virajam sampadyante pratyaksam esa virajam sampannah). For it contains throughout of tens and tens. Ten-syllablec is the Viraj. The food is connected with the Viraj and so it serves for obtaining food (TMB XIX.2.2.4).

The word Viraj is used in a variety of meanings4 e.g. dominant, bright, splendid, ruling far and wide, high rank etc. and it is important to note that in the Brahmanas the word is used mainly as the name of a metre having ten syllables. In the Brahmanas Viraj is not infrequently understood as śri and anna. Thus GB I.5.4, it reads annam vai śrīr virad annadyam. In connection with the Mitravinda offering we read,

For the various meanings of the word Viraj see Renou, JA, 240, p.141 ff; see also Gonda, Visnuism, p.187; the same, Ancient Indian Kingship, p.115 f; the same, Loka, p.27 (n.7).

⁵ Renou, JA, 240, p.147.

"There are ten deities; ten sacrificial dishes, ten offerings ten daksinas to priests - the Viraj consists of decades (of syllables) and thus Viraj is <u>śri</u> (prosperity). One thus establishes (the sacrificer) in the Viraj, in <u>śri</u> (prosperity) and <u>anna</u>(food) (ŚB XI.4.1.18).

Thus the sacrifice is identified with the Viraj and efforts are made to connect sacrifice with the Viraj by using it as a measurement - i.e. the number ten. Again in the concept of Viraj, the idea of "the universe as totality" or "the power of a very high rank representing universal expansiveness" is involved. Because Viraj is a measurement of sacrifice and further also identical with the sacrifices, the sacrifice is also "universal totality" and a power of a very high rank representing universal expansiveness etc.

This power involves the idea of creation of producing food and refreshment. Thus it helps to understand the working of sacrifice.

Hundred as a measurement :

Another measurement of the sacrifice is 'hundred'.

Thus in connection with the Gargatriratra sacrifice, it is said, "There are hundred cows to be given on day after day.

⁶ For Viraj and anna see Mauss, Mélanges d'indianisme ...
a Lévi, p.333 ff.

⁷ Renou, JA, 240, p.149.

Hundred is a measurement of the sacrifice (esa vai yajinasya matra yacchatam) (TMB XX.15.12; JB II.24). Similarly in the Sautramani sacrifice a pot (kumbhi) perforated with a hundred holes is to be used. The reason given for the number hundred is that the sacrifice has hundred as its measure (satamano vai yajnah) (SB XII.7.2.13).

The possible reason why sacrifice has hundred as its measure is that the extent of the human life is often (though not always) considered to be one hundred years and as the sacrifice is identical with the man, this measurement is applied to the sacrifice. We shall further see how man becomes the measurement of the sacrifice in the light of some other particularities.

Man as a measurement of the sacrifice :

Sacrifice is often understood as a man (see further). It is also worth noticing that he is an important measurement of the sacrifice. The man becomes the measurement from two points of view. The first is temporal one and the second is the spatial one.

a) From the temporal point of view :

The sacrifice is identified with the man from the temporal point of view. In order to maintain this the duration of a man's life is considered to be one hundred and sixteen years.

This figure is shown to be correspond to sacrifice, in the following way - "Man is sacrifice. His first twenty-four years are the morning pressing. The Gayatrī has twenty-four syllables. The pressing is connected with the Gayatrī. ... His next forty-four years are midday pressing. The Tristubh has forty-four syllables and the midday pressing is connected with the Tristubh metre ... His next forty-eight years are the third pressing. The Jagatī has forty-eight syllables. The third-pressing is connected with the Jagatī metre ... (JUB IV.2.1.1 ff). Thus the number of the syllables in the metres is shown to be connected with the number of years of the man's life. Thus the man becomes the measurement of sacrifice from the temporal point of view.

b) From the spatial point of view :

In the explanation given for the identification of the sacrifice and the man there it is clearly mentioned that man (purusa) is the measurement of the sacrifice (SB I.3.2.1; III. 5.3.1 - "The sacrifice is made of the same extent as the man - vavaneva purusas tavan vidhivate ...") The fifth oblation in the Audgrabhana-homa which is performed in the course of diksa ceremony is to be offered with the mantra in the Anustubh metre. The verse viśvo devasya netuh ...(VS IV.8) though in the Anustubh metre has thirty-one syllables instead of thirty-two. "There are ten fingers (of hands) ten toes(of feet),

ten vital airs, and the atman is the thirty-first. This much constitutes man. The sacrifice is of the same proportion as a man (<u>purusasammito yainah</u>). Thus whatever its measure, to that extent one takes its possession (SB III.1.4.23). Here the man is taken into consideration from the spatial point of view and is shown to be a measurement of sacrifice.

The spatial measurement has further two divisions.

The one is called the avama matra (the lowest measurement) and the other parama matra (the highest measurement). It is prescribed, "One measures it (fire-altar) by finger-breaths. For the sacrifice is the man; it is by means of him that everything is measured here. Now the fingers are his lowest measurement (SB X.2.1.2). Sometimes similar remarks are made when the parama matra or the highest measurement is mentioned. The highest measure of the fire altar is said to be as much as a man with upstretched arms (SB X.2.2.6).

Prajapati as a measurement :

Prajapati is often identified with the sacrifice. He is also an important measurement. It is famous that the Brahmana-texts often understand the year (samvatsara) as identical with Prajapati. This samvatsara-Prajapati identification becomes a measurement of sacrifice. Secondly, Prajapati is often called the "seventeenth" (saptadasa) Prajapati. This seventeenth Prajapati is also another measurement.

a) samvatsara-Prajapati as a measurement :

There are in the Vajapeya sacrifice the offerings named The number of those apti offerings is twelve. The reason for this number twelve is that there are twelve months in a year (samvatsara) and the year is Prajapati and Prajapati is the sacrifice (SB V.2.1.2). In the preparation of the altar for the Darsapurnamasa the first line of enclosure is drawn around with six sacred utterences (vyahrtis) and second with six. Thus together there are twelve utterences. There are twelve months in a year and the year as Prajapati is the sacrifice. As large is the sacrifice, as wide its extent is so wide it becomes enclosed (SB I.2.5.13). At the Tanuhavis offerings six daksinas are to be given. For there are six seasons in a year. The year is the sacrifice, Prajapati; thus as great as the sacrifice is, as large as its extent is, by so many daksinas, it is invigorated. One may optionally give twelve daksinas; for there are twelve months in a year ... Or one may give twenty-four; for twenty-four half moons in the year ... (SB III.2.2.3-5). Here it will be seen how Samvatsara-Prajapati is a measurement for some particular details of sacrifice.

The thirteenth or the intercalary month is also sometimes taken into consideration while maintaining the year-ideology. The daksinas of dasapeya are either twelve or thirteen for there are twelve or thirteen months in the year.

The year is Prajapati and Prajapati is identical with the sacrifice (SB V.4.5.23). The seasons at times are supposed to be five (instead of six) and then the prescription that one metes out soma five times suits well. For the sacrifice is of the same measure as the year (samvatsarasammito vai vainah) and there are five seasons in a year (SB III.9.4.11).

Taking for granted that there are thirteen months in a year Prajapati is considered to be the fourteenth one. Though there are sixteen mantras in the first Anuvaka of the mantras to be used in the Satarudriya offering which takes place at the Agnicayana ceremony taking into consideration only fourteen mantras, it is said, "There are here fourteen mantras - there are thirteen months in a year. Prajapati is the fourteenth and Prajapati is Agni. As great as Agni is, as great as is his measurement with so much food one thus gratifies him (SB IX.1.1.16). Here we find that Prajapati the fourteenth in connection with the year (having thirteen months) becomes the measurement.

b) seventeenth Prajapati as a measurement :

Prajapati the seventeenth (saptadasah prajapatih) is connected with the sacrifice. Thus "He who knows the seventeenth Prajapati as connected with the sacrifice, his sacrifice is not injured" (GB I.5.21). Accordingly the figure seventeen has sometimes an important role as a measurement.

In the Vajapeya are drawn seventeen Somagrahas and seventeen suragrahas, for the reason that the seventeenth is Prajapati and Prajapati is the sacrifice. As great as the sacrifice is as great is its measure, with that much one thus wins its truth, its prosperity, its light (SB V.1.2.10-11. JB I.2 remarks, "The Vajapeya is seventeenth" - saptadasa u vajapeyah). Similarly in the Rajasuya seventeen kinds of waters are gathered for consecration. For Prajapati is seventeenth and Prajapati is the sacrifice (SB V.3.4.22). There are sixteen 'digits (kala)' in the man. What is a digit to men, that a syllable (aksara) to the Gods. Loma (hair) is two syllables, tvak (skin) two, asrk (blood) two, medas (fat) two, mamsa (flesh) two, snava (sinew) two, asthi (bone) two, majja (marrow) two, - that makes sixteen digits and prana (vital air) which circulates therein is the seventeenth Prajapati (SB X.4.1.17). Here we get the reason why Prajapati is the seventeenth. When we take into account how Prajapati is connected with the figure seventeen, we can understand the remark that seventeen is a measurement of sacrifice (yajnasya matra) (SB I.5.2.17).

Conclusion of the study of measurements of the sacrifice :

We have seen above the various measurements of sacrifice. In the case of some of these the word matra meaning the measurement is directly used; in some other cases it is only implied. Already in the RV X.71.11 we have got the expression "yajnasya"

matra, measurement of sacrifice" (cf. also Nirukta, I.8).

But we see in the Brahmana-texts the developed ritualism and also details about this concept of the measurement of sacrifice. Of course, as it is usual this concept is not to be found developed systematically but to keep the measurement is often mentioned and this is a very important guiding principle in the ritualism of the Brahmanas. This concept of keeping the measurement is closely connected with the guiding principle of avoiding anything too much or too little in point of proportion or measurement.

To preserve "freshness (ayatayamatva)" :

Another guiding principle can be named as "To preserve freshness (ayatayamatva)". Great care is to be taken to preserve the "freshness" of the sacrifice and of the details used in the course of performance of the sacrifice. When anything is used it becomes "exhausted (yatayama)" as it were. There is no vigour, saft, usefulness, etc. in the thing when it is used. Thus, for example, the ashes of Agni are yatayama and, therefore, useless (SB VII.1.1.11 yatayama va affect bhasma). When the belly gets the food, the food becomes eaten and used up (yatayamarupa) (SB VIII. 6.2.13). In the sacrifice it is a guiding principle to avoid exhaustion; and therefore, whatever is used up and hence exahusted, is not to be used until it is refreshed.

After the last fore-offering (prayaja) one sprinkles the oblation-materials with butter one after another and thereby he replenishes them and again makes them fresh (ayatayamani karoti). For butter is fresh (ayatayama hyajyam) (SB I.5.3.25). The reason why the butter (ajya) is described as fresh is told by TB 1.5.3.25 - "Prajapati was ghee and honey. From the honey part he created the beings. Therefore, in honey there is generation. Therefore, they do not perform with it; for it is used up (yatayama). They perform with butter. For butter is not used up (fresh)". In the somasacrifice those which in the forenoon were invitatory verses (puronuvakya) one makes them offering verses in the afternoon; This is for the sake of freshness (KB VIII.9). The purpose of the udavasaniya offering at the end of the soma-sacrifice is to bring freshness to the sacrifice, which has been exhausted. Agni is identical with all the sacrifices and, therefore, in order to obtain the sacrifice again a cake is offered to Agni. And thus sacrifice is obtained. Again the offering verses to be used are in the Pankti metre (verses of five feet). The sacrifice is also fivefold (pankta) and thus the sacrifice is obtained and made fresh (SB IV.5.1.13).

To have "sapfulness (sarasata)" :

Closely connected with the idea of ayatayamatva is the idea of sapfulness (sarasata) and efforts are made to have 'sapfulness' for the sacrifice.

The reason why soma is bought near a vessel of water is that water means sap and one thus buys soma full of sap (SB III.3.3.18). Though the animal is killed at the time of morning pressing, it continues being cooked till the third pressing. "Thereby one puts sap into the whole sacrifice, imbues all the sacrifice with the sap"(SB IV.2.5.13). The third pressing is the pressing "with the sap extracted (ahitarasa)". The Aditya-cup (graha) is full of sap (sarasa). One makes the third pressing full of sap by means of that cup (KB XVI.1: XXX.1). "Metres of the one who sacrifices with soma become extracted of the sap. In that, they offer the oblation to the minor deities (devikas) verily, it serves to confer the sapfulness on the metres" (KB XX.1). The highaltar (uttaravedi) for the second Sadyaskra sacrifice is the threshing floor (of the field). For here the sap of the corns comes together and by means of it one makes the sacrifice full of sap (TMB XVI.13.7).

To give "support (dhrti)" :

The sacrifice is expected to be well-supported and efforts are to be made to give support to it. There are the savaniya purodasas used for the purpose of giving the sacrifice a support (dhṛti) so that it should not sink (TB I.5.4.2). Here the sacrifice is supposed to be a ship and efforts are made to save it by giving it a good support. The wife of the sacrificer helps the sacrifice as a support (dhṛti) and does not let it be loose (asithilambhāva) (TB III.3.3.5).

To give 'firmness' (asithilatva etc.) :

Giving support is mentioned by us and there we get a reference to the asithilambhava in connection with "support (dhrti)." Elsewhere some efforts are to be made for giving the sacrifice "firmness (asithilatva" etc.). Everything in the sacrifice should be firm. When in the performance of Darsapurnamasa offerings the adhvaryu puts the pot on the Garhapatya hearth he utters the text (VS I.2) of which the following words are a part. Visvadha asi paramena dhamna drmhasva mahwah (All-holding art thou; stand firm by the highest law; do not waver). By means of these words he steadifies (drmhati) it, renders it firm (asithila) (SB I.7.1.11). Similarly when at the time of laying down the two pressing boards the words raksohanau vam valagahanau paryuhami vaisnavi (you are the raksas-killers, the charmkillers, Visnu's own, I surround) are uttered, one thereby steadifies (drmhati) them, makes them firm (asithila) (SB III.5.4.22).

To give "firm foundation (pratistha)" :

The sacrifice should always stand on firm foundation (pratistha) and efforts are made to give it a firm foundation. The sacrifice has two foundations the spatial one and the temporal one. The concept of Pratistha is related mainly to the spatial foundation and that of samstha (see further) to

the temporal one. 8 Let us see Pratistha first.

In the performance of Agnistoma the hotr priest should conclude the Vaiśvadeva śastra touching the earth. Then it is remarked, "Thus in the very place where one brings together the sacrifice, in that at the end one established it firmly (tad yasyam eva yajnam sambharati tasyam eva enam tadantatah pratisthapayati) (AB III.31). The reason given for the samistayajus performance is that after creating the sacrifice while performing it, one establishes it firmly where there is the firm foundation of it (ŚB I.9.2.27). At the end of the Pitryajna occurring in the performance of the Caturmasya sacrifices the sacrificer having pulled down the tuck of the nether garment, pays homage to the father. "Six times homage is paid to them, since there are six seasons and fathers are identical with the seasons. One thereby establishes firmly one's sacrifice in seasons" (ŚB II.6.1.42).

While studying the concept of <u>pratistha Gonda</u> has rightly mentioned the importance and function of <u>pratistha</u> in ritualism. "Thus an "establishment" in the ritual sphere can by means of the supposed parallelism between sacrificial

⁸ For these two kinds viz. spatial and temporal foundations see <u>Silburn</u>, <u>Instant et Cause</u>, p.61.

acts and processes in nature or society automatically produce a desired "establishment" of a person or object".9

To reach the end of the sacrifice (samstha etc.) :

While <u>pratistha</u> is the spatial foundation <u>samstha</u> is the temporal foundation. The performance of the sacrifice should be carried on upto the end and when it is thus completed, fully done then alone the sacrifice becomes temporally founded.

Thus the suktavaka represents samstha (end, temporal foundation) of the sacrifice and one who knows this obtains whatever is to be obtained by means of samstha. Further he also attains the end of the life-time (i.e. obtains full life). Similarly samyorvaka is the pratistha (spatial foundation) and one who knows thus obtains whatever is to be obtained by means of pratistha (SB XI.2.7.28-29).

To reach the end and thereby to give the temporal foundation is very important and therefore it is said, "One, who has reached the end of the sacrifice, has become auspicious (bhadram hyabhud yo yajnasya samstham agamat) (SB I.9.1.4).

When the action is completed, done fully, that can become fruitful and give the expected results establishing totality, unanimity, etc. 10 There is a difference of opinion about the offering of omentums offered to the different deities

⁹ Gonda, "Pratistha" in Samnavyakarnam, 1954, p.4.

¹⁰ Silburn, Instant et Cause, p.102.

should be offered differently. But Yajnavalkya holds that all are to be offered simultaneously on the basis of this guiding principle of reaching the end of the sacrifice. By means of the simultaneous offering the end of the sacrifice is reached quickly (anjasa yajnasya samstham upaiti) (ŚB XIII.5.3.6).

Another synonymous expression is "yajnsya udrcam gam". At the hiranyahuti in the purchasing of soma with a cow there is a formula " ... May I obtain a support for my body (tanvo yantram asiya " VS IV.18). In interpreting it, SB III.2.4.12 says, "He who reaches the end of the sacrifice, indeed, obtains a support for his body. Hence thereby one means to say, 'May I reach the end of sacrifice'" (for similar interpretation see SB III.1.1.12; 3.26). In the formula used for the strengthening (apyayana) of soma there also the desire to reach the end of the sacrifice is expressed (yajnasya udrcam gacchema VS IV.7) (SB III.4.3.18). There is danger if the end of the sacrifice is not reached; and good result if it is reached. "The Asvamedha is the royal sway (rastra). It is after royal sway that these strive who guard the horse. Those of them who reach the end become (sharers in) the royal sway that these strive who guard the horse. Those of them who reach the end become (sharers in) the royal sway, but those who do not reach the end are cut off from royal sway (SB XIII.1.3.cp.XIII 4.2.17; TB III 8.9.4).

The mere end of the sacrifice is not to be reached before it is supported by the temporal foundation (samstha) which is the real end of the sacrifice. The Samistayajus is the end (anta) of the sacrifice (see e.g. SB IV.4.5.2; 5.1.5). Therefore in the Diksaniya offering which is just in the beginning of the soma-sacrifice, no samistayajus is to be performed for, one would then reach the end (anta) of the sacrifice before the real end (samstha) which is the temporal foundation of the sacrifice (SB III.1.3.6).

Protection of the sacrifice :

A great care is to be taken for the safety and security of the sacrifice. There is always a fear that the evil beings like raksases and Asuras would creat obstacles in the sacrifice or ruin it. The performance of sacrifice is as is shown elsewhere a kind of "killing", but side by side it is a kind of "generation" also. Meyer has pointed out that the evil beings like raksases are specially notorious for their aggressiveness against newly born children and they also rob the retas. It Thus they are in hindrance in a generation process like sacrifice. So to remove these evil beings is very essential for the safety and security of the sacrifice.

¹¹ Meyer, Trilogic, I p.136, on the asuras and raksases disturbing the sacrifice, see also Rönnow, Trita Aptya, p. 11.

In the Firebuilding ceremony when the Ahavaniya fire is built up two offering spoons are to be laid down. The first is of the karsmarya wood and the second of the udumbara wood. The karsmarya spoon is to be laid on the southern side; and this is expected ro remove the raksases, the fiends and make the sacrifice free from danger. The Gods have also used this spoon for the same purpose (SB VII.4.1.38).

In the Darsapurnamasa offerings the winnowing basket and the Agnihotra ladle are heated on the Garhapatya fire. At that time the following formula is to be uttered. "Schorched is the raksas, schorched are the enemies. (pratyustam raksah pratyusta aratayah)" (VS I.7a) or "Burnt out is the raksas, burnt out are the enemies (nistaptam rakso nistapta aratayah)" (VS I.7b). The explanation for this is given as follows: "The Gods when they were performing the sacrifice, were afraid of a disturbance on the part of the Asuras and Raksases. Hence by this means one removes them from here, at the very beginning of the sacrifices" (SB I.1.2.2-3).

Appropriateness, efficiency, success, prosperity etc. (Samrddhi):

Every care is taken to see that the sacrificial action or detail is appropriate, efficacious, successful, prosperous (samrddha) and to avoid whatever is inappropriate,

inefficacious, unsuccessful, unprosperous etc. (vyrddha). 12
"Whatever there is, in the sacrifice, appropriate that is

samrddha (vadvaine bhirupam tat samrddham" AB I.16, 19; 20;
22; KB I.1; III.2.9; VIII.6 etc.) and therefore verses

appropriate for a particular rite are to be used. In

connection with the appropriateness of the verses we often

read the following statement - "That in the sacrifice is

samrddha, which is samrddha in the form, that rite which

as it is performed, the rc describes (etad vai vainasva

samrddham vat karma krivamanam rg abhivadati" AB.I.4; 13;
16; 17; 25; 28; 29; II. 28 etc. GB II.4.2, adds yajus to the

rc in this context).

In the reestablishment of the fire (punaradheya) according to some, the yajus formulas are not to be used and the materials (sambharas) are not to be collected (again). For both of these have already been done in the first establishment of the fire. This view is, however, not to be accepted. It is further prescribed that the yajusformulas are to be used and the materials are to be collected.

On the words samraha and vyrddha see Silburn, Instant
et Cause p.6; - On retrouve cette hantise de la totalité
et du parchévement liée de façon immédiate et essentielle
à l'efficacité du rite dans samradha qui s'exprime ces
deux notions en leur union indissoluble; samrah participer
totalement à quelque chose, être approprié et de ce fait,
être efficase. Vyrddha est par contre ce qui est inefficase
et inapproprié. L'efficacité est donc une participation
formelle totale.

This is for the sake of appropriateness, success etc. of the sacrifice (TB I.3.1.4-5). For, as the establishment is done again, the yajus formulas are also to be used again, and the materials (sambharas) are to be collected again. Thus all the things are done again and are appropriate to each other. In the Rajasuya, there is a series of various offerings to Anumati etc. in the beginning of the sacrifice. In that series a cake on eight potsherds is to be offered to Agni; and curds is to be offered to Indra. The daksina for this offering is a draught ox. It is for the sake of appropriateness etc. (samrddhi) for as the ox is a draught ox it belongs to Agni, and because it is an ox, it belongs to Indra. Thus, it is appropriate (samrddha) and therefore it helps for achieving success (TB.I.6.1.8.9). The beginning of the Asvamedha sacrifice is to be done on the Citra constellation. For the Asvamedha is a citra i.e. astonishing rite (citram va etat karma yad asvamedhah). So there is appropriateness (samrddhi) (TB III 8.1.1-2).

while efforts are made to bring <u>samrddhi</u> to the sacrifice it is obvious that efforts are also made to avoid <u>vyrddhi</u> which means inappropriateness etc. In the Aśvamedha performance the horse is to be bound by means of a cord. But it is not be bound without the yajus-formula; for "inappropriate(<u>vyrddha</u>) in the sacrifice, assuredly is whatever is performed without

yajus-formula (vyrddham u va etad yad ayajuskena kriyate)" The adhvaryu uses then the formulas imam agrbhnan rasanam etc. (VS XXII.2. ff). This is for the sake of appropriateness, success etc. (samrddhi) (SB XIII.1.2.1; cp. TB III.8.3.2). In the Sautramani, for the preparation of sura melted rice is to be bought, according to some from a eunuch. They argue thus: "The eunuch is neither woman nor man and the Sautramani is also neither an offering (isti) nor an animal sacrifice". But this view is rejected by saying that the Sautramani is both an offering (isti) and an animal sacrifice; and the eunuch is something which is inappropriate (vyrddha) among men. Those who bring it from eunuch, bring failure into the very beginning (mouth) of the sacrifice. Therefore, the buying of the melted rice is to be done from the vendor of soma. For the Sautramani is soma. One thus puts a form of soma into the very beginning (mouth) of the sacrifice. This is for the success, prosperity (samrddhi) of the sacrifice (SB XII.7.2.12).

It is often found in the Brahmana-texts that there are many thinkers who have their own way of thinking which may be different from the general way of thinking. Thus though, in general the Brahmana-texts and all the ritualists agree that there should be appropriateness etc. (samrddhi) in the sacrifice and in appropriateness etc. (vyrddhi) is to be avoided, Aruni has something different to say. According to

him the inappropriateness is not so harmful. He says, "If one supposes oneself worse (papivan) if there is anything inappropriate (vyrddha) how will he be able to sacrifice at all? (kim sa yajeta yo yajñasya vrddhya papivan manyate"). He implies thereby that it is very difficult to be very very careful and keep the appropriateness everywhere (and avoid inappropriateness etc. in toto). He not only thus allows some inappropriateness but going further he says, "I for one, become better by means of the inappropriate (vyrddhya) in the sacrifice". (ŚB IV.5.7.9; cp. ṢaḍB I.6.2-3). Here we see how some thinkers are akin to actuality and hence prefer to emphasize the "spirit" rather than the "letter" of the ritual precepts.

To have "congruence (salomata)" :

Somewhat connected with the idea of samrddhi and rupasamrddha is the idea of "congruence (salomata, salomatva").

In the Brahmana-texts we find efforts for maintaining the
congruence. A mule is in general not to be given as a daksina.

When the creatures were seized by Prajapati, it went forth.

Prajapati took away its seed. Then the mule became barren.

"It is, therefore, not to be given as a daksina because it
exceeded (went away) (atyaricyata). But the mule can be given
as daksina for an excessive (atirikta) sacrifice, for the
sake of congruence (salomatvaya). Thus it should be given as a

daksina at the laud of the Sodasin. The Sodasin is an excessive (atirikta) sacrifice. Thus one gives an excessive daksina at the excessive sacrifice for the sake of congruence (TMB VI 1.4-5). Gauriviti Saktya saw the saman Gaurivita as an excess of the brahaman. When the praise is made by means of the Gaurivita Sodasin, excess is put in the excess for the sake of congruence of the sacrifice (yajnasya salomatayai) (JB I.204). As regards the congruence (salomata) in the number of potsheds for the sacrificial cakes in the three pressings of the soma sacrifice, it is said; "If in the morning pressing eight potsheds are made, then in the mid-day pressing eleven and in the evening pressing twelve potsheds are made, that becomes incongruent in the sacrifice (viloma tad yajnasya kriyate). Therefore at all the three pressings eleven potsheds are to be made; for the sake of congruence of the sacrifice (yajnasya salomatvaya) (TB I.5.11.4). The congruence is shown in the following manner about the constellations on which Agni is to be established. The two fires (viz. Garhapatya and Ahavaniya) are to be established on the krttikas. For the Krttikas belong to Agni. Thus it will be congruent to establish Agni on the constellations belonging to Agni. (SB II.1.2.1).

Completeness. Perfectness etc. (Sarvatva, Krtsnatva) :

The sacrifice is always supposed to be a complete, whole unit by itself. Nothing, thereof should remain incomplete,

lacking, deficient etc. Therefore, efforts are made to achieve completeness, perfectness etc. Thus for example the black antelope skin is taken by the sacrificer for the sake of completeness of the sacrifice by itself. (yajnasya eva sarvatvaya) (SB I.1.4.1). Similarly, in the Darsapurnamasa offerings after having the last cow milked, having poured a drop of water into the pail which he has made the milker use and stirred it, one pours it to the milk. One does this for the sake of completeness of the sap (rasdsyo sarvatvaya) (SB I. 7.1.18). The sacrificer covers himself in the ceremony of diksa with the garment. This is for the sake of completeness. For man puts upon himself his own skin thereby. According to the Brahmanas the skin of the cow was originally that of man and it was transferred from man to the cow by the Gods seeing the utility of the cow, in order to protect it from rain, cold and heat. put the skin of man on the cow. Therefore man has no skin now. The covering of the body by means of the garments means to have a skin again and to be completed (SB III 1.2.13 ff) The wives of the king at the time of the intercourse with the horse in the Asvamedha sacrifice, wear gold ornaments with a view to completeness of the intercourse (mithunasya eva sarvatvaya) (SB XIII.4.1.8).

Sometimes words like <u>kṛtsnatā</u> (perfectness), <u>kṛtsna</u> (perfect) are used and efforts are made to attain it. For the performance of the Agnihotra, waters are to be brought.

For waters are perfect and by bringing the waters the oblation material becomes perfect (havisa eva kṛtsnatāyai) (KB II.1)
Among the sambhras to be collected for the establishment
of the fire, gold is brought. Thereby one establishes Agni
with seed (saretasam) and perfect (kṛtsnam) (ŚB II.1.1.5).

Conclusion:

These guiding principles throw good light upon the sacrifice in the Brahmanas. The sacrifice was a measured, well supported self - complete activity. Everything in it was to be done with great care and all the details were to be done in a rigorously correct manner. The correct way of the sacrifice was never to be overlooked. Thus it will be clear how ritualism had grown to a high degree in the minds of the Brahmana-authors. It may appear at the first sight that to the Brahmana-texts the sacrifice in itself is so much important that it is not a means to an end but an end in itself. Thus Winternitz remarks "Das Opfer ist hier nicht mehr ein mittel zum Zweck, sondern es ist Selbstzweck, ja, der hochste Zweck des Daseins". 13 But it is worth remarking that this sentence of Winternitz is rather hyperbolical and is not to be taken literally. For, though it is obvious that the sacrifice was performed and thought over with the utmost seriousness, it was, nevertheless, considered as a

¹³ Winternitz, GIL, p.171 (HIL, p.172).

means to the result which is also described by the Brahmanatexts themselves. The Brahmana-texts maintain that the result is sure to accrue provided that the sacrifice is performed with great care and faith (sraddha). The ritualism of the Brahmanas is no doubt mechanical in its working and does not allow any fault, mistake, omission etc. in the sacrificial performance, but we also see how in this rigorous ritualism also some thoughts can be seen according to which the so called mistakes etc. also if they happen at all, can produce good result. Thus we may recall the examples of the atirikta (in excess) and the nyuna or una (deficient) or the vyrddha (inappropriate, unsuccessful, etc.) which are normally to be avoided because they produce bad result, can give good results also. Thus whatever there is nyuna, that is generative and whatever atirikta is helpful to obtain cattle (SB XI.4.4.8), We have already referred to Aruni's view about the vyrddha in the sacrifice as leading to good result. Thus in the ritualism itself the "spirit" is not totally neglected in the preference of the "letter".

(C) The nature of sacrifice: Comparisons and identifications

Introduction:

To have a clear idea about sacrifice as conceived by the Brahmana-texts it will be very useful to take into consideration the comparisons and identifications of sacrifice, which occur in the Brahmana-texts in the course of descriptions of some particulars sacrifice or some sacrificial rites scattered all over the Brahmana-texts. In such descriptions often direct or indirect comparisons or identifications are found. Sometimes sacrifice is compared to some inanimate things or to some animals. Sometimes sacrifice is identified with some deities also. Here it will be interesting and useful also to study these in some details.

Sacrifice as a garment (vasas) :

The sacrifice is often understood as a garment and the performance of sacrifice is described in terms of extending, spreading or weaving a garment. Among the daksinas to be given for the Traidhatavi Udavasaniya isti, there are three garments to be given to the Adhvaryu; and the reason for this is as follows; The Adhvaryu "spreads"

For the metaphorical description "weaving (le tissage)" see Silburn, Instant et cause, p. 86.

(<u>tanute</u>) the sacrifice and the garments are (also) "spread" (SB V.5.5.18). Thus the comparison of sacrifice and garment is suggested here. Again, the performance of Dvadasaha is said to be like spreading a garment (<u>tantram</u> va etad vitayate yad dvadsahah (TMB I.5.6).

The very root tan meaning to extend, to streatch, (to weave) etc. which is frequently used in connection with sacrifice, for conveying the performance of the sacrifice and this implies that the sacrifice is understood as a garment. Thus, by means of speech (vac) they extend all the sacrifice-vaca sarvam vajnam tanvate (TMB XIII.12.3; CP. KB XXIX-3 - vacaitva tat sarvato yajnam tanvate). We often get expressions like "The Gods spreading the sacrifice (deva ha vai yajnam tanvanah" e.g. SB III.3.3.16; 4.2) or "The Gods spread the sacrifice (deva vai yajnam atanvata" e.g. AB VI.4.11) or the passive form "the sacrifice is being spread (yajnas tayate" e.g. SB IV.5.1.5, GB I.1.22).

Sometimes the root tan is used with the prefix vi as in "He verily spreads the sacrifice (yajnam eva vitanoti SB I.1.1.12). Similarly the noun atana formed from the same root with the prefix a, is applied to sacrifice (yajno va atanah SB III.8.2.2) and implies the comparison of sacrifice with garments.

The root tan with the prefix sam implies the "continuity" of spreading after some break. Thus if there is any mistake, the sacrifice which is like a garment, is supposed to be torn cur, broken, etc. If the fire is extinguished that is a kind of cut. Then the fire is to be brought from the Garhapatya fire to the Ahavaniya fire and a prayer is to be offered in the mind. "Mind is Prajapati. Sacrifice belongs to Prajapati". One thus "spreads continuously" the sacrifice by means of mind (manasaiwa yajñam santanoti) (TB III 7. 1.2.3) The idea of sacrifice as a garment is implicit here.

AB III.18 also we get the idea of sacrifice and garment comparison. "Just as one continues (samnidadhyat) putting together a garment with a needle (suci) so one continues by means of the inserted verses (dhayyas) putting together the breach (chidra) in the sacrifice who knows thus".

The comparison of sacrifice and garment suggests
the nature of sacrifice, namely that of "spreading", being
"continuous", "one" "synthetic" etc. The guiding principle
santati (continuity), which has been already discussed above
is also based upon the sacrifice and garment comparison. If
there is any cut in the garment, it mars its beauty.
Similarly mistake in the sacrifice affects its efficiency.
Therefore, the further implication of this comparison is
that one should perform the sacrifice correctly and carefully.

Sacrifice as a water-jar (udadhi)

Sacrifice is sometimes understood as a water-jar; and care has of course, to be taken that there are no clefts (chidra) therein. The mistakes in the sacrifice are like clefts to the water-jar in the form of sacrifice. One of the names of sacrifice is Makha (for makha - sacrifice see SB VI.5.2.1; XIV.1.2.9; TB III.2.8.3 etc.) The etymological explanation of the word given in the Brahmanas is as follows. "Makha is a name of the sacrifice; because it has the power of preventing the cleft (chidrapratisedhasamarthyat). kha means thidra and ma is its prevention. "Let nobody creat any cleft to the sacrifice". This is the explanation of the word makha and it suggests that no cleft (chidra) i.e. mistake etc. is permissible in sacrifice. It is further added that the sacrifice which has a cleft leaks like a broken water-jar (udadhi) (GB II 2.5). The causes of cleft (chidra) and those of leakage (sru) are also described elsewhere but without direct identification of sacrifice with water-jar. Thus it is said that one should not pass over a sentence of the Nivid. If one were to do so, one would create a cleft

For the word makha, see Gonda, Visnuism, p. 167f.

Mayerhofer Worterbuch p. 542 f.

in the sacrifice. (AB III.11). The idea of covering up of the cleft then follows. Thus, for example, it is said, "What part of the sacrifice leaks, that leaks towards the speech (vac). The Anustubh is the speech and Visnu is the sacrifice. By means of the speech one covers the cleft in the sacrifice" (TMB IX.6.10).

The comparison, direct as well as indirect, of the sacrifice to water-jar suggests how mistakes should not be done in the sacrifice and if they are done how the sacrifice is harmed. This ultimately means that one should perform the sacrifice very carefully.

Sacrifice as a hare (sasa)

"As is the hare so is the sacrifice. (yatha vai śaśah evam yajnah)" (JB II.395) One who seeks to catch the hare letting it run away cannot catch it. One who seeks to catch it not letting it run away can catch it. Those who say to leave (the Darśapurnamasa offerings from the sacrificial session) want to catch while allowing the sacrifice to go away. Therefore, they should not be left.

This comparison is primarily concerned with the performance of Darsapurnamasa offerings in the course of a sacrificial session. One cannot leave a thing and get advantage out of it. So by leaving aside any sacrificial rite one cannot get its result. Therefore, the Darsapurnamasa offer-

ings are to be performed. Secondly, it is suggested that in the course of performance of any sacrificial rite there should not be any omissions due to negligence etc. The full performance of all the details is required. One should be careful enough to see that nothing which is due is being left.

Sacrifice as a black-antelope (krsna):

"The sacrifice ran away from the Gods in the form of a black antelope and roamed about. The Gods having thereupon found it and stripped it of its skin. They brought it (the skin) away with them. Its white and black hairs are the saman and the black ones the rc. The brown and yellow ones represent the yajus text. The story is told for prescribing the black antelope's skin to be used for husking and bruising the rice (SB I.1.4.1-2; CP TB III.2.5.6; 6.1-2). The comparison of sacrifice and black antelope signifies the relations between the sacrifice and the vedic recitations. It also suggests that the sacrifice goes away if there are any mistakes and therefore one has to be careful.

Sacrifice as a deer (mrga):

TMB VI.7.10 describes the sacrifice to be of the

On sacrifice running away, cf. Keith RPV, p.458.

nature of a deer (mrgadharma). At the Bahispavamana, the priests move somewhat stooping, For up-stream (prati-kulam) from here (from this earth), as it were, is the world of heaven; stealing along, as it were, they move. The sacrifice indeed is of the same nature as the deer (mrgadharma vai yajnah) - in order to sooth, not to terrify the sacrifice. Sayana explains the word mrgadharma as follows - Sacrifice has the nature of running away like the deer and the priests move without making any noise, lest the sacrifice the deer would run away.

The sacrifice is here said to be fleet like deer; it runs away if there is any mistake or omission. Thus here also emphasis is given upon the correct performance, carefulness etc.

Sacrifice as a cart (anas) :

The sacrifice is sometimes described as a cart4.

cf. Renon, JA, 241 (1953), p. 178 f. "Le sacrifice est volontiers concu comme un char; nombre d'expressions du rituel védique s'expliquent en partant de l'idée d'attelage, qui évoque a la fois la circulation "physic" a l'inter de l'aire sacrificielle la circulation invisible des die dux et en particulier d'Agni,

When soma is to be taken down from the cart it is to be taken down between the shafts of the cart. For the sacrifice is a cart and thus soma is not put outside the sacrifice (SB III.9.3.3). The rice required for the Darsapuranamasa sacrifice is also to be taken from the cart. For the cart and sacrifice are identical. Moreover the cart represents abundence (bhuma) and sacrifice is also abundence; and thus surely abundence is gained. (SB I.1.2.6). The two spoons (srucau) are described as the two yoke-fellows (yujau). "They are yoked when they are used ... They are unyoked at the after-offerings (anuyajas) and then again they are un-1 and unyther yoked Hence one yokes and then unyokes and again yokes* (SB I.8.3.2.7). The comparison of the sacrifice to the cart is implied when it is said that the sacrifice carries the oblations when it is yoked with the Brahman and metres (AB III.45). The metres when kindled carry the sacrifice (i.e. the cart in the form of sacrifice) to the Gods (SB I.3.4.6). The metres are said to be the (draught) animals of the gods. As the harnessed cattle, here on the earth, draw for the man, so do the harnessed metres draw the sacrifice for the Gods (SB IV.4.3.1. cp.I.8.2.8). Again the statement "One yokes

mais aussi caractere "dirigé" ordonné, tendu, des actes liturgiques et de la pensée qui les commande".

the sacrifice when one spreads it (yunkte va etad yajñam yad enam tanute SB I.9.2.32) imppies the cart-sacrifice comparison.

Sacrifice as a chariot :

TB III.3.7.4-5 we find that the sacrifice is compared to a cart as well as to the chariot. In the darsapurnamasa sacrifice at the time of agharahomas one aghara (libation) is made by adhavaryu while he is sitting and the other while standing. Then it is remarked "As one would yoke a cart or a chariot so also the adhvaryu yokes the sacrifice."

Sacrifice is compared to a chariot also. The fourth Sadyaskra sacrifice is described as cakrivan which according to Sayana means " a chariot with wheels." As by means of a chariot having wheels one can go wherever one wants, by means of this sacrifice one can get any desire fulfilled. (TMB XVI. 15.4) When the daksinas are called "internal fastenings (slesma)" of the sacrifice, the comparison between sacrifice and chariot is implied. A chariot devoid of fastenings is not able to carry "Even as a chariot provided with fastenings one is sure to attain the reaching of the desired object, so one attains

For the sacrifice as a chariot see <u>Gonda</u>, <u>VIJ</u>, Vol.3 (1965), p. 172.

through the sacrifice with daksinas whatever one wishes".

(TMB XVI.1.13). When the Ausana and Kava samans are described as the reins (rasmi), it is implied that the sacrifice is a chariot (TMB VIII. 5.16). If there is no proper adjustment of speech (= the priests named hotr, adhvaryu and udgatr) and mind (= the priest brahman), in the course of the performance of the sacrifice, the sacrifice fails just as a man with one foot or a chariot with one wheel fails (AB V.33) and the sacrifice does not fail if there is proper adjustment of the priests just like the two footed man or a two-wheeled chariot (AB V.33; JUB III.4.2.1; SadB.I.5.4; GB I. 3.2.). The description of the Darsapurnamasa offerings as "running the race" (SB XI-1.2.10) is very much connected to the sacrifice-chariot ideology.

Further, sacrifice is described as a chariot of Gods leading to the heaven. "Sacrifice is the chariot of Gods (Devaratho va esa yad yajnah) and the ajya and the Prauga sastras are its inner reins" (AB II.37). Similarly, "Sacrifice is a chariot of the Gods; the introductory and concluding offerings (prayaniya and udayaniya) are the two sides (or "the two horses") of it. He who makes them alike, just as one can perform a journey as desired by driving in a chariot with two sides, so safely he attains the world of heaven. He who makes them unlike, just as one cannot perform a journey as desired by driving on in a chariot with one side only,

so he does not safely attain the world of heaven. Therefore, the introductory and concluding offerings must be alike; the introductory offering ending with the samyuvaka and concluding offerings ending with the samyuvaka (KB VII.7). A detailed metaphorical description of the sacrifice as the devine chariot is found as follows - "One who knows the chariot of the Gods becomes possessor of chariots. The sacrifice is the chariot of Gods (yajno vava devarathah). The Bahispavamana is its front-part-of-the-chariot, Brhad and Rathantara are its two horses; the four ajyastobras are its cords (yoktrani); the two Pavamanas are its reins (abhisu); the Ausana and kava samans are its two sides (paksasi); the Naudhasa and Kaleya are its two axel pegs; the Vamadevya is its driver's seat; Yajnayajniya is its middle place; and the Man is one who sits in it (JB I. 129-130)7. Even the two churning sticks (aranis) are metaphorically called the divine chariot (devaratha) and it is added that when the fires are "mounted" on the two aranis the sacrificer attains safely the world of heaven (KB II.6).

⁶ Keith. RBT, P. 387, n.2 to KB VII.8 (of. also the same, JRAS, 1911,p. 1040).

⁷ Translation based upon Caland JB in Auswahl p. 34 f.

The comparison of sacrifice to a cart or a chariot may lead us to understand the nomadic life of Aryans before they were settled. In the nomadic life they must have naturally been helped by these vehicles. Meyer rightly pointing out this makes us refer to the following story - Gods and Asuras, both of them sprung from Prajapati and were contending. The Gods drove about on wheels (i.e. carriages) and Asuras stayed at home. The Gods while driving about on wheels found this rite (Fire-building ceremony). As the Gods saw this rite while they were driving on wheels, therefore the formulae in the performance are with sacrificial cakes (see SB VI.8.1.1). This story can very well throw light upon the importance of wheeled carriages and the sacrifice is the life of the Asuras as well as upon the connection of the wheeled carriage and the sacrifice.

Sacrifice as a ship (nau)

The sacrifice is sometimes described as a ship?.

While soma is being carried after it has been purchased, among the eight verses the following words are uttered "...

Let us mount that ship fair-crossing (sutarma) by which we may pass over all evils". (RV VIII.42.3). Then it is

⁸ Meyer, Trilejie, III.259.

⁹ For the sacrifice as ship cf. Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 88.

commented upon by these words "The ship fair-crossing is the sacrifice (yajno vai sutarma nauh). The ship fair-crossing is the black antelope skin (kṛṣṇājinam vai sutarma nauh). The ship fair-crossing is the speech (vag vai sutarma nauh). Verily, thus having mounted upon speech with it, he crosses over to the world of heaven (AB.I.13) 10 . While glorifying the importance of sacrifice it is said that those who have not offered sacrifices cannot sail across (taranti) the words (anistayajna na taranti lokan) (GB.I.5.25) and here it is suggested that sacrifice is a ship useful for crossing over the worlds.

Elsewhere the sacrifice is described as the divine ship (daivyesa naur yad yajnah) and in connection with the Ausana and kava samans it is said "The ship which is driven by the father and the son remains uninjured. The sacrifice is the divine ship. These father and son drive it afterwards; that is for the uninjuredness of the sacrifice. Therefore (among those) who ascend the ship, it is the father and the son who first ascend the ship'(JB.I.166)11

There is a fulfledged metaphor in which first the

¹⁰ cf. Keith, RBT, p. 116 f.

¹¹ Translation based upon Caland, JB in Auswahl, p. 54.

Bahispavamana is described as a ship leading to heaven and then the whole sacrifice in general is said to be a ship leading to heaven. "The Bahispavamana chant is truly a ship bound heavenwards; the priests are its spars (sphyah) and cares (aritrah) - the means of reaching the heavenly world. If there is a blameworthy one even that one (priest) would make it (that ship) sink. He makes it sink as one who ascends a ship that is full would make it gink. And indeed every sacrifice is a ship bound heavenwards (tad vai sarva eva yajno nauh svargya) and hence one should seek to keep a blameworthy (priest) away from every sacrifice". (SB IV 2.5.10). If the priest brahman who really speaking protects the sacrifice is any how negligent, or away from the sacrifice, the sacrifice sinks down like a broken ship. As the (sunk down) ship is occupied by the creatures in the sea, the sacrifice is in a similar manner occupied by the evil spirits (GB II.2.5). Here the importance of good priests and carefulness at maintained and the bad consequences if there is any blameworthy priest or if the priest brahman becomes careless are told.

"Agnihotra, truly is ship (that sails) heavenwards (naur ha va esa svargya yad agnihotram). The ahavaniya and the Garhapatya are the two sides of that same heavenwards bound ship and that milk-offerer is its steersman. When he (the

sacrificer) walks up towards the east then he steers that (ship) eastwards towards the heavenly world, and he gains the heavenly world by it ... (SB II.2.3.15-16). Elsewhere Svadhā is described as the golden ship and then it is said that the sacrificer ascending it goes to the heaven (JB I. 29). The resemblance of the sacrifice is merely suggested when it is said that the brahmen priest causes the sacrifice to cross over (tarayati) GB II.2.5).

The sacrifice - ship ideology is continued in the Upanisads; but while in the Brahmanas we read that the sacrifice-ship is helpful to cross over the worlds, in the Upanisads; we read that the sacrifices are like unfirm ships (plava hyete adrdha yajnarupah. Mundakopanisad I.2.7) and thus these sacrifice-ships are rediculed there.

The significance of the comparison of the sacrifice to a cart or to a chariot or to a ship is similar in each case. The sacrifice is supposed to be a very effective means to obtain various kinds of results. The vehicles and ships are used in order to reach any particular place. The sacrifice like cart etc. is useful for attaining the result, especially heavenly world etc.

Sacrifice as a horse :

Sacrifice is said to have gone away from the Gods,

and assumed the form of a horse. The Gods rushing after it, took hold of its tail (vala) and tore it out and having torn it out, they threw it down in a lump and what had been the hairs of the horse's tail they grew up as those plants (of asvavala- grass) (SB III 4.1.17; cp TMB VI.7.18). Therefore, the prastara-bunch is to be made of asvavala-grass. In this story the sacrifice is understood as a horse. JB.I.83-84 describes the sacrifice as a divine horse (daivyo vaji) "One who knows the divine horse (vaji) becomes possessor of vigour (vaji). Sacrifice is, verily divine horse (yajno vava daivyo vaji)", Who ascends an angry horse in the human world the horse injures him. Similarly, if the divine horse in the form of sacrifice is unpacified, then it injures one who ascends it. At the time of Bahispavamana chant, the adhvaryu crawls taking a bunch of grass in his hand. Then the following words are to be uttered - etad aham daivyam vajinm sammarjmi. Thus a kind of pacification is made and then there is no harm (JB I 83-84).

The sacrifice-horse comparison seems to be connected with the idea of "reaching the desired result" and for that purpose the sacrifice-horse is useful.

Sacrifice as a bird (vayas, paksin) :

Sacrifice is sometimes described as a bird. "The sacrifice is fashioned like a bird (vaya iva ha vai yajno vidhiyate). The Upamsu and Antaryama (grahas) are its wings and the upamsusavana (stone) is its body. They repose without being moved until the evening soma-feast. The sacrifice is spread along; but what is spread along moves, whence those birds fly spreading their wings, not drawing them in. At the evening soma-feast they are again used; whence thege birds fly drawing in their wings to fold them. This indeed is after the manner of the sacrifice (SB IV.1.2.25-26). When the sacrifice went away from the Gods, it wandered in the form of a Suparna i.e. the well-winged bird; the falcon (TMB XIV.3.10). Again, the sacrifice named Paksi ("winged") is equal to a bird (TMB XIX.10.1). The sacrifice named syena (falcon) gets its name from a bird. "The swiftest of the birds is the falcon; just as the falcon catches (other birds), in just the same way he (the sacrificer) catches him (his rival) by this incantation rite (SadB II. 8.3). comparison of the sacrifice to bird is extended when the Trivrt stoma is compared to a swift bird (JB III.309). Similarly about the first Caturdasaratra sacrifice it is said that the days of this sacrifice are "winged" and whatever wish is desired that is obtained by these days (TMB XXIII.3.7). Sauparna saman is called paksi ("singed") and he who knows

this he having become paksi ("winged") and luminous obtains the holy worlds (TMB XIX.11.8). The days of Trimsadratra are also called "winged" and all desires are fulfilled by means of them (TMB XXIV.3.3). The sacrificial session named Drtivatavator ayana is also "winged" and by means of it one can reach to whatever desired object, for, a winged bird reaches wherever it wants to go (TMB XXV.3.4).

It will be seen here how the comparison of sacrifice to the bird is also connected with the result of the sacrifice. The sacrifice or the sacrificial details are shown to be efficient to enable the sacrificer to reach the desired object; for the bird obtains whatever is desired immediately. Similarly sacrifice which is like a bird is an effective means to get the result.

Sacrifice as a Tree :

The comparison of sacrifice to tree is indirectly suggested in some passages. Thus, for example, the inserted verses (dhayyas) and offering verses (yajyas) are the root (mulam) of the sacrifice. If the different inserted verses and different offering verses are used, then the sacrifice will be uprooted (unmulam kuryat) (AS III.31; GB II.3.22). Here the idea of sacrifice as a tree is merely suggested by using the metaphoric description of dhayyas and yajyas as root. The same metaphor is used in connection with silent

praise (tusnimsamsa). If the priest wishes that the sacrificer should be homeless the silent praise is not to be recited. Then the sacrificer comes to ruin along with the sacrifice which being without a "root" fails to ruin (AB II.32).

The sacrifice and tree comparison suggests the firmly foundedness, deep-rootedness of the sacrifice and this adds to the effectiveness of it.

Sacrifice as Speech (Vac) :

The sacrifice is very often identified with the vac.

We get statements like "sacrifice, verily is the speech (Vaq
vai yajnah") (e.g. AB V.24; SB I 7.1.15; III 1.3.27; 2.1.38;

TMB VI.7.11 etc.). Sometimes, merely the Dvadaaaha sacrifice
is identified with the vac. Thus vag vai dvadasahah (TMB XII
5.13) or vag va esa pratata yad dvadasahah (TMB XIII.5.10;

JB III.177).

One of the reasons of this identification is that vac has played an important role in the bringing of soma for the sacrifice "Soma, the king was among the Gandharvas. The Gods, and seers meditated on him, 'How shall some, the king come hither to us?" Vac said, 'The Gandharvas love women; with me as a woman do ye barter it'. 'No', said the Gods, 'How could we be without you?' She replied, 'Still do ye buy. When ye will have need of me, then shall I return to you'.

'Be it so' they replied. With her as a stark naked one, they brought soma, the king ... " (AB.I.27). Further we find that the sacrifice and vac are shown to have husband and wife relation. Thus when the Gods and Asuras obtained their inheritance, the Gods obtained the mind which is identical with the sacrifice and the Asuras obtained vac. The sacrifice like a male called thrice the vac - the female and she came at the third call. Then the Gods obtained the vac. The sacrifice united with her... (SB III.2.1.18 ff). One more reason for the identification may be that sacrifice is based upon the vac (vaci yajnah pratisthitah TMB XI.5.28).

It is obvious that the vac is the threefold vedic literature. The vac is divided into three kinds - Rc-verses, Yajus - formulas and the saman-tunes (SB VI.5.3.4; tredha vihita hi vak; rco yajumsi samani SB X.4.5.2. makes slight difference - sa va esa vak tredha vihita ...).

Thus the most important reason for the identification of the sacrifice and vac is that the vac i.e. the vedic literature has been used immensely in the course of sacrificial performance. Thus we find such remarks - "By means of vac, the sacrifice is spread (vaca yajnas tayate" KB VII.9; X.5 XVI.1) or They, verily, spread all the sacrifice by means of vac (vaca vai sarvam yajnam tanvate" TMB XIII.13.3). Thus by means of the vac, i.e. the vedic literature the sacrifice is

performed. This will lead us to see the relations between the vedas and the sacrifice.

The sacrifice and the Vedas :

Constant use of vedic recitations in the performance of the sacrifice shows glaringly the close relations of the sacrifice with the vedas. "Sacrifice is acquired by and as it were, grasped/by the vedas (vedair yajnah abhipannah grasitah"

GB I.1.37). GB I.1.38 we read, "This sacrifice is based upon the vedas (ayam yajno vedesu pratisthitah"). As the rivers flowing to different directions have various names, but when they go to the sea, they lose their names and are called "sea" alone, similarly, all the vedas with all their ancillary literature come to be called sacrifice (yajan) when they meet with it. (GB I.2.10).

The threefold knowledge :

The sacrifice is identified with the threefold knowledge. (trayī vidyā) i.e. the three vedas viz. the Rgveda, the Yajurveda, and the Sāmaveda (Saisā trayī vidyā yajnāh) (ŚB 1.1.4.3). Similarly a remark is made by ŚBK VII.5.3.8 "Thus much is the sacrifice as much is the threefold knowledge. By means of this threefold knowledge the sacrifice is performed (etavan vai yajnāh yāvatyesa trayī vidyā. Etayā hi trayyā vidyayā yajnām tanvate") (Cp.ŚB II.5.5.10). The end of the sacrifice

is reached by means rc, saman and yajus (rksamabhyam vai yajur bhir yajnasyodrcam gacchanti) (ŚB III.1.1.12). The Gods followed the way of satya. The satya is described as the same the threefold knowledge (trayi vidya) i.e. the three vedas. The Gods spoke, "Let us spread the satya by performing the sacrifice (yajnam krtvedam satyam tanavamahai" ŚB IX.5.1.18). Similarly JB I.358 narrates a story in which Prajapati asked the Gods to spread the sacrifice by means of the three-fold knowledge (trayena vedena).

GB I.2.8 describes how four vedas are connected with the sacrifice. The rc forms the body of the sacrifice, the yajus is connected with its movement, the saman with its lustre and the Bhrgvangirases (i.e. the Atharvavedic mantras) with the water. GB I.5.28 we get the description of how the sacrifice is projected by the four vedas. It is important to note here, while the other Brahmana-texts always refer to the three vedas only, the GB invariably refers to the four vedas. In the SB where we know the order of the use of the vedas we are told as follows - The Gods performed sacrifice accompanied by yajus first, then by rc and then by saman (yajusa ha vai deva agre yajnam tenire atha rca atha samna) (SB IV.6.7.13; cp. V.5.5.5.). Here we find no reference to the Atharvaveda. It can be said that there was some reluctance among the authors of these Brahmana-texts for the ritual use of the Atharvaveda and the Gopatha Brahmana when belongs to the AV. alone gives some place to the Av.

Sometimes importance of some particular veda-branch is mentioned emphatically. Thus "Whatever of the sacrifice is done without a yajus-formula, is unsuccessful (vyrddham va etad yajnasya yad ayajuskena kriyate" SB XIII.1.2.1.; TB III 8.3.2) Thus the importance of yajus is emphatically stated. Similarly "There can be no sacrifice without saman they say (nasama yajno stityahuh) (SB I.4.1.1.). The saman is the sap of all the vedas according to SB XII 8.3.23; and GB II 5.7. TS VI 5.10.3-4 importance of Rc is given in comparison of rc with other veda branches. "Whatever of the sacrifice is done accompanied by a saman or yajus is loose; whatever by rc is firm (yad vai yajnasya samna yajusa kriyate sithilam tad yadrea tad drdham")

Concluding remarks :

We saw the identification of <u>Vac</u> and sacrifice and reasons for this identification. As <u>Vac</u> is the same as the vedas we also saw the relation between the vedas and the sacrifice. <u>Vac</u> and sacrifice identification and relative points are very important in understanding the "ritualism" in the Brahmanas. The particular words have a particular effect and the use of them in the ritual in the prescribed order accent etc. is essential. <u>Vac</u> represents the "letter" of the sacrifice. We have seen how KB VII.9; X.5; XVI.1 or TMB XIII.13.3 say that by means of the speech the sacrifice

is formed. But it is also worth noting that AB III.ll says that by means of mind the sacrifice is performed (manasa vai yajnas tayate manasa kriyate). This mind represents the "spirit" of the sacrifice and the "spiritualism" also has some place side by side with "ritualism".

The same blend of "ritualism" and "spiritualism" can be found in the identification of the sacrifice and man (purusa) or Prajapati which we shall see in the following.

Sacrifice as a man (purusa) :

(a) Metaphorical descriptions:

The sacrifice is very often described to be a man (purusa) "The sacrifice is man; the morning pressing is the upper breaths; the midday pressing is the body and the third pressing the breaths (KB XXV.12). The sixteen ahutis in the Darsapurnamasa are identified with the various limbs of the body and then a remark is made, "There are sixteen offerings; for man consists of sixteen parts and the sacrifice is the man (purusa)" (SB XI.1.6.36). Accordingly there are many descriptions where some particular sacrificial rite" is described to be some part of the body. Thus for example the Tusnimsamsa is identified with the eye of the sacrifice (AB.II.32). Elsewhere the butter portion (ajyabhagas) are identified with the eyes (SB I.6.3.38; XI I.6.29; 7.4.2).

Many factors of the sacrifice are understood as the "head" (siras) of the sacrifice. The Pravargya rite is described as the head of the sacrifice (SB III 4.4.1; SB XIII.3.1.13 29) and when the Pravargya ceremony is performed the head is, as it were, put on the sacrifice (TMB VII.5.6; SBXIV, 3.1. 15). Sometimes the Mahavira pot which is used in the Pravargya rite is said to be the head of the sacrifice (KB VIII 3). In similar manner, many other details such as the Atithyesti (AB I.17; 25; SB III.4.1.16; 26), the second libation (SB I.4.1.5.5; III.7.4.7; cp SB III.3.3.12; VI 5.2. 1), the fire pan (ukha)(SB VI.5.3.8; 4.15) etc. are identified with the head. There is in the Brahmana-texts, no consistency in identifying a particular detail with the particular limb. Thus the Atithyesti is identified with the mouth of the sacrifice also (TB II 2.2.6). Similarly the Ahavaniya is also called the mouth of the sacrifice (SB III 5.3.3). The high altar (uttarvedi) is the nose of the sacrifice (SB III 5.1.12). The high altar futtarvedi- The Usnih and Kakubh are supposed to be the two nostrils of the sacrifice and then it is added "Therefore although being the same metre, they both convey in different ways the sacrifice. Therefore from each of the nostrils, although they are similar, the two breaths issue in a different way" (TMB VIII.5.4.).

The following is a more detailed description. The Havirdhana is identical with the head, Ahavaniya with the mouth, sadas with the belly, ukthas with the food, the marjaliya with the arms ... (GB II.5.4), The sixteen offerings in the Darsapurnamasa are shown to be identical with the sixteen parts of the human body. "There are five vital airs (pranas) (two ears, two nostrils and one mouth) not including the eyes. They are the five fore offerings (prayajas) and the two butter-portions (ajyabhagas) are the eyes. The svistakrt is the same as the downward vital air (avam pranah). The three after offerings (anuyajas) are the three male organs (i.e. the penis plus two testicles). The four Patnisamyajas are the two arms (bahu) and the two thighs (uru). The ida is this vital air (in the centre). The invitatory and offering formulas are the bone and the offering material (havis) is the flesh (mamsa) (SB XI.1.6.29).

The reason why the sacrifice is understood to be a man is given as follows, "The sacrifice is the man(purusa). The sacrifice is the man for the reason that the man (=sacrificer) spreads (performs) it, and that being spread it is made of exactly the same extent as the man. That is the reason why the sacrifice is the man (purusa)" (SB I.3.2.1. III.5.

3.1.). Here the sacrifice and man identification is explained on the basis of the sacrificer and sacrifice identification.

The same can be seen in another explanation of the sacrifice

and man identification. The two oblations for the firealtar added to the nine samistayajus oblations are remarked
in the following manner. "Two-footed is the sacrifice;
and Agni is the sacrificer. As great as Agni is as is his
measure, with so much one complete the sacrifice". (SB IX
5.2.31). Again the sacrifice is the body of the sacrificer
and the Rtvijs prepare his body. Thus the man (purusa) who
is identical with the sacrifice is the sacrificer himself
and he becomes the measurement of the sacrifice. The sacrifice as we have shown elsewhere, is the microcosm and the
basic principle of life. Therefore the purusa and sacrifice
comparison and identifications have the latent philosophical
forces of the later times.

Sacrifice as the universal principal of life:
Sacrifice as the "one that blows (yo'yam pavate)".

Sacrifice is identified with the wind (vata) by SB III.

1.3.26. It is, however, remarkable that many a time we get
the identification of the sacrifice and wind in an indirect
manner. The expression used for this kind of identification
is "This one verily is the sacrifice which blows (ayam vai y
yajnah yo'yam pavate"). Further we read: It (the wind identi
cal with the sacrifice) has two paths - speech and mind (vac
and manas). For by speech and mind the sacrifice proceeds ..

(AS V.33; GB I 3.2; cp JUB III.4.2.1; SB I 9.2.28; II.1.4.21

IV 4.4.13). SB XII 1.1.1 and GB I.1.4 say "This, that blows here is the sacrifice. It they want to obtain who consecrate themselves for a year long sacrificial session".

This identification of wind and sacrifice leads us to the Prna ideology in connection with it. "This one that blows to the sacrifice. He blows alone here. He when entered into the inner part of the man, he is divided into ten parts. From the vital airs (prana) thus disturbed, it (the sacrifice) is born from out of its womb..." (SB XI.1.2.3). Here the sacrifice is described to be the Prana.

ŚB XIV 3.2.1 describes the sacrifice as the self (atman) of all the beings and all the Gods (sarvesam va esa bhutanam sarvesam devanam atma yad yajnah)."

The next stage in this course is obviously the identification of the sacrifice and <u>brahman</u> (SB III 1.4.15; V.3.2.1) (KB XXI.5 identifies Agnistoma with brahman;) which in the later philosophical thought became the highest principle in the philosophical thought.

Concluding remarks :

A general review of all the comparisons and identifications will be of some importance. We know that the Brahmana-texts are not systematic, consistent or logical texts of scientific nature. Therefore we do not get any coherent picture of sacrifice described by the Brahamana-texts. It is for us to select the scattered passages about what the Brahamana-texts understand by the word yajna. The comparisons and identifications of sacrifice which we described above give the following principles about the sacrifice.

- (1) The sacrifice is a very serious activity and one has to be very careful in its performance.
- (2) It is sure means to any result provided of course it is performed correctly.
- (3) The sacrifice is closely connected with the vedic lore, the vac (speech) so much so that it has been identified with it. But not only the vac (letter) but also manas (mind)

 ("Spirit"), is important for the sacrifice.
- (4) It is also identical with Man (<u>purusa</u>). This identification forshadows the philosophical ideas about the later <u>Purusa</u> and spiritualism.
- (5) It is further identical with Atman and Brahman which also are the ideas very important in the philosophical thought.
- (6) Thus the Brahmana-texts which are primarily devoted to the "ritualism" also pave the path of the "spiritualism".

(D) The Microcosm and Macrocosm

While studying the doctrine of sacrifice in the Brahmana-texts we have to take into consideration the relations of the ritual sphere, i.e., the microcosm and the actual world i.e. the macrocosm. Sacrifice is conveived as the microcosm and whatever happens in the microcosm is reflected in the macrocosm and whatever happens in the macrocosm is also reflected in the microcosm. The Brahmana-texts maintain that can one/control the natural phenomena by means of the rites, formulas etc. But the rites themselves are sometimes based upon observations in the world. Let us see these relations between microcosm and macrocosm by means of a few illustrations.

Sacrificial rites influencing the world :

The rites performed during the course of the sacrifice have effect upon the worldy affairs. The first layer of the fire altar is equal to the terestrial world and the filling of soil means cattle. Thus in covering the first layer with filling of soil one covers the terestrial world with cattle.

cp. Oldenberg, Die Lehre der Upanishaden, p. 50, G.v. der Leeuw, REM, p. 220.

The second layer is the air and by covering it with soil the air is filled with birds. The third layer is the sky and by covering it with soil, the sky is filled with stars. The fourth layer is the sacrifice and filling it with soil, the sacrifice is filled with daksinas. The fifth layer is the sacrificer and covering it with soil, the sacrificer becomes filled with progeny (or subjects). The sixth layer is the heavenly world and by covering it with soil, the heavenly world becomes filled with Gods. The seventh layer is immortality. This is the last layer. Then immortality is bestowed as the highest bliss of all this universe. Therefore, immortality is the highest thing of all this universe. Therefore Gods are not separated therefrom and therefore they are immortal (SB VIII.7.4.12ff). Here the various layers of the fire building are supposed to be the representatives of the various worlds and whatever is done in connection with the layers will then necessarily be done in connection with the worlds. The fire altar is elsewhere conceived as symbolizing the earth. The earth is of the measure of the vedi. By means

For the fire-building (agnicayana) as the representative of the world cf. Eliade, Sacred and Profane, p. 30f.

Gonda. Religionen Indiens, I, p.194.

of the first parigraha in connection with the vedi the enemy of the sacrificer is removed from the vedi, i.e., from the earth and the second parigraha is done for oneself (TB III. 2.9.12). The waters for sprinkling on the altar which is prepared for the Darsapurnamasa sacrifice should be as much as possible. For Asita Devala said, "There were as much waters on the world as much there are the sprinkling waters". (TB III.9.2.15). This means that the proportion of waters in the world depends upon the proportion of waters used in this rite of sprinkling. So one should use a large amount of waters so that there will be plenty of waters in the world. The graha which is called Dronakalasa is equal to the nation and the stones used for soma-pressing are equal to the subjects. If the Dronakalasa becomes unfirm, then the nation also becomes unfirm; then the subjects also become unfirm; then the sacrificer also becomes unfirm. Consequently the progeny also becomes unfirm (JB I.80).

Worldy practices explained on the ritual basis:

sometimes the facts observed in the worldy life are explained on the basis of ritualistic basis. The saman united itself with three rcs and, therefore, the saman is chanted with three rcs. This ritual particularity is used to explain the worldy practice, viz., one husband has many wives but not one woman at once many husbands (AB III.23).

The saman is understood here as the husband and the rc as the wife. The wives of the Gods are celebrated after Agni, the lord of the house. Therefore, it is added, that the wife sits behind the Garhapatya. The comparative superiority of the wife to the sister is explained further. According to some Raka is to be celebrated first. They argue that a sister has the first drink. But this view is rejected and the view that the wives of the Gods should be celebrated first is put forth. The reason is that by means of this seed becomes placed in the wives with Agni. Then on the basis of this ritual pecularity it is said that a sister though of the same womb has to live as inferior to a Wife, though of a different womb (AB III.31). Here the superiority of the wife to the sister which is observed in the worldly experiences is explained on the ritual basis viz. the wives of the Gods and not Raka are celebrated first.

Some facts about the teeth, hair and seed are explained on the basis of the sacrificial actions. Inasmuch as the for-offerings are without invitatory formulas, therefore creatures are born without teeth; and inasmuch as the chief oblations have invitatory formulas, therefore the teeth grow in them and inasmuch as the afterofferings are without invitatory formulas, therefore the teeth decay in them. Inasmuch as the patnīsamyājas have invitatory formulas, therefore

the teeth decay in them. Inasmuch as the pathisamyajas have invitatory formulas, therefore, the teeth come permanently to remain with them; and inasmuch as the samistayajus is without invitatory formulas, therefore, they all decay in last stage of life. Inasmuch as uttering the invitatory formula he offers with the offering formula, therefore the lower teeth grow first then the upper ones. Inasmuch as after uttering a Gayatri verse as invitatory formula, he offers with a Tritstubh verse, therefore, the lower teeth are smaller and the upper ones are broader. Inasmuch as he pours out the two libations of ghee in a forward direction, therefore, the incisors are larger. Inasmuch as the two samyajas are in the same metre, therefore, the molars are of equal size. Inasmuch as a cover of sacrificial grass is spread on the vedi, therefore, creatures are born with hair. Inasmuch as the second time, therefore, the hair of the beard and the arm-pits and other parts of the body grow. Inasmuch as the prastarabunch is thrown into the fire after the oblations, therefore, the hair of the head become grey first. Inasmuch as after the prastarabunch all the sacrificial grass on the altar ground is thrown into the fire, therefore, in the last stage of life, one becomes grey all over. Inasmuch as the fore offerings have ghee for their offering material, a boy's seed is not productive, but is like water, for ghee is like water. Inasmuch as in the middle of the sacrifice they sacrifice with sour curds and with cake, therefore, it is productive in the middle stage of life. For thick flowing is this offering material and

thickflowing is seed. Inasmuch as the after offerings have ghee for their offering material, the seed is not productive in the last stage of life and is like water, for ghee is like water. (ŚB XI.4.1.12-13 cp GB V.3.7-9).

While baking the sacrificial cake, water is sprinkled upon it. Thereby skin is created upon the cake. There is skin upon the flesh in the body of an animal. The skin is created on the cake and therefore there is skin upon the flesh. (TB III.2.8.4). Further the cake is covered by means of ashes. Therefore, it is added, bone is covered with the flesh. Again it is to be covered by means of the grass-bunch (veda). Because it is govered with grass-bunch therefore the head is covered with hair (TB III.2.8.7-8). Milk is poured into the fire-pan (ukha). The fire-pan (ukha) is female. Then it is said that because milk is poured into the fire-pan, there is milk in the female (SB VI.5.4.15). Thus we find that the worldy observations are explained on the basis of the ritual particularities.

Sacrificial rites based upon the worldly observations :

We saw above how some worldly observations are considered in the Brahmana-texts as being based on the ritual practices.

On the other hand, we also see that some ritual practices have their origin in the worldly practices, observations etc. Thus

for example, fire is kindled, when soma, the King, comes. Just as in the world when a human king has come or another deserving person has come, an ox or a cow that miscarries is slain, so also for the king soma, they slay in that they kindle the fire. For Agni is the victim of the Gods (AB I. 15). Here the producing of the fire which symbolizes an animal is shown to be based upon the worldly practice of welcoming a king or a great man by means of killing a cow for him. TB I.3.1.5-6 we read that the performance of Punaradheya (reestablishment of the fires) is to be done in such a manner that the words uttered would not be heard by any one. The explanation of this rule is given as follows -The performance of Punaradheya is like searching something which is lost. The search is done in such a manner that no voice would be heard. The Punaradheya is also to be performed in the same way. But the formula regarding the Svistakrt offering in that rite is, however, to be pronounced loudly. It is similar to the expression "This one" which one would utter loudly after one has found the lost thing. Here the ritual peculiarities are based on the practical experiences.

Similarly we are told at SB XII.2.2.8 that one has to plough only the body of the altar site. The wings and tail of the altar site are not to be ploughed. This is supported by the general observation viz. the food which is put into the body benefits the body as well as the wings and tail,

but that which is put into the wings and tail does not benefit either the body or the wings and tail. SB VII.2.2.17 we are told that there are sixteen furrows in all. Prajapati consists of sixteen parts. Prajapati is equal to Agni. One thus puts food proportionate to the body, satisfies and does not become harmfull; but that which is too much creates harm and that which is too little does not satisfy. Therefore proportionate food is satisfying as well as harmless to the body. This worldly experience is used in order to explain the number sixteen of the furrows which is like putting food. The mortar and pestle are put down in the Fire-buildingceremony and this is supposed to be like putting food as it were. They are put at the distance of a cubit. This distance of one cubit is based upon the practical observation. In the usual practice of eating food, it is taken from a distance of one cubit and then eaten. (SB VII.5.1.13). On this basis the distance of one cubit in the ritual context is explained. At another place it is asked "why there is a milkmess at the morning pressing ?" and why there is no milkmess at the midday and third pressings?" In the answer given to this querry, reference has been made to the worldly observation. The sacrifice is born at the time of the morning pressing. Milk is the portion of a young boy. Therefore there is a milkmess in the morning. When one grows one is past the breast then; the sacrifice is also grown up at the time of midday

and third pressing. Therefore there is no milkmess at those pressings (KB XIII.2).

Both the above processes mixtured :

We illustrated above the two processes between microcosm and macrocosm. According to the first the worldly facts are based upon the ritual facts. According to the second the ritual facts are based upon the worldly facts. But there is no hard and fast line between these two. The relations between these two worlds are so close and complex that we cannot strictly differentiate and say what affects another. SB IV.1.2.25-26 we read that the sacrifice is spread like a bird. Here the sacrificial procedure is supposed to be based upon some worldly thing. Then it is further said that the Upamsu and Antaryama grahas are its (of the sacrifice = bird) wings and the upamsu - savana - stone is its body. They repose without being moved until the evening soma-feast. "The sacrifice is spread along, but what is spread along moves, whence those birds fly spreading their wings, not drawing them in. At the evening soma-feast they are again used; whence these birds fly drawing in their wings to fold them. This indeed is after the manner of the sacrifice." Here the particularities observed in the worldly affairs. viz. in the case of the birds, is said to be based upon the ritual details. But the sacrifice itself is said first to be an imitation of the bird. Thus we see here how the microcosm

and macrocosm are interdependent according to the Brahmana-texts.

Cosmic sacrifice :

The microcosm and macrocosm not only effect upon each other, but they are also intermingled. The Brahmana-texts going a step further understand the whole mactocosm as a microcosm. Thus the world itself is sacrifice. Whatever happens in the world is sacrifice. The fire offers itself in the rising sun; yonder sun when setting offers itself in the fire at evening. The night also offers itself in day; the day in night; the expiration offers itself in the inspiration; the inspiration in expiration. These six sacrifice themselves into each other (KB II.8). The heaven is supposed to be identical with juhu; the sky with upabhrt and the earth with dhruva. Thus the three worlds are identical with the three ladles. The washing of these ladles is supposed to be the same as rain (TB.III.3.1.1-2). The world is supposed to be consecrated for this, mystic commic sacrifice. Thus we get the following description. The Earth is the diksa (consecration). By means of it, Agni is consecrated. The Antariksa is the diksa. By it Vay is consecrated. The Heaven (Dyauh) is the diksa. By it Aditya is consecrated. The directions (Disah) are the diksa. By them the Moon is consecrated. The Waters are the diksa. By them Varuna is consecrated. The Herbs are the diksa. By them the King Soma is consecrated. The Speech (Vac) is the diksa. By it the Prana is consecrated (TB III.7.7.4-7). Thus we see how the three worlds along with the waters, herbs etc. are consecrated. At the time of consecrating the sacrifices the adhvarya prays that the Earth, Antarisksa etc. should be consecrated following the sacrificer (TB III.7.7.7 ff, cp.JB II.53). In the prayer made by the consecrated we find that the Sun is said to be consecrated. The sacrificer says that he is consecrated in the manner of the Sun (JB.II.52; cp. III.359). Thus the Sun seems to be the diksita (consecrated) par excellence. Thus there is a cosmic sacrifice continuously going on and all the things are consecrated for this sacrifice among which the Sun is the chief.

Conclusion :

We illustrated the relations between the microcosm (sacrifice) and the macrocosm (the actual world) in the following way.

- 1. The microcosm affects the macrocosm.
- 2. The macrocosm affects the microcosm.
- 3. Sometimes these two are intermingled.
- 4. The Brahmana-texts maintain that the there is a cosmic sacrifice always going on and the world itself is a big sacrifice. This leads up to understand that the macrocosm itself is a big microcosm. Thus we see how ritualism slowly tends into philosophical speculations in a remote manner and gives birth to spiritualism.

CHAPTER X

HENORITUALISM OF THE BRAHMANA-TEXTS

Introduction :

Some indologists have understood and occasionally described some of the characteristics of the ancient Indian life and thought in a very effective manner. Max Muller's theory of Henotheism or Kathenotheism can be mentioned as an example in this connection. He has said that in the hymns of the RV we find 'a successive belief in single supreme gods'. Even though his theory was not accepted by some of the later scholars in its full extent, no other plausible explanation has been offered for the examples given

The theory of henotheism was developed by Max Muller under the influence of the famous philosopher Schelling cf. J. Hastings ERE VII.810 b.

² Cf. Heiman Betty "Kathenotheism and Danastutis or Kathenotheism and Istadevatas" ABORI, 28(1949) (p.26 ff) p.32 says, 'Max Muller's term Kathenotheism is the outcome of his vision of the most characteristic basic trends of the vedic, classical and even post-classical indian religions'.

Max Muller, Lectures on the origin and growth of religion p.271 and further etc. A History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p.532.

For the criticism on henotheism see Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, p.101; Bloomfield Religion of the Veda, p.199; Keith, RPV, p.89.

by Max Muller and such similar ones. I, however, feel that there is not only henotheism and not only in the RV,5 There is a general 'henoism' in Indian thinking and not only any deity is considered to be supreme successively, but anything which is the subject matter at particular moment becomes the omnipotent, the highest, the only one identical with all etc., for the time being. Henoritualism of the Brahmana-texts is only a part of that henoism. It is well known that the Brahmana-texts treat each and every detail in the ritual with the utmost care and importance. Thus Winternitz says, "Every single sacrificial act is treated with the greatest circumstantiality. Enormous importance is attached to the most trivial circumstances, to the least details. Whether an action is to be performed to the left or to the right, whether a pot is to be put in this or that spot on the place of sacrifice, whether a blade of grass is to be laid down with the points to the north or to the northeast, whether the priest steps in front of the fire or behind it, in which direction he must have his face turned, into how many parts the sacrificial cake is to be divided, whether the ghee is to be poured into the northern or the southern half or into the centre of the fire, at which instant the

⁵ For the henotheism in the post-vedic literature and particularly in the epics, See Gonda Religion in Indiens, I. p. 232.

repetition of a certain spell or the singing of a certain song has to take place, these are (questions upon which) generations of masters of the art of sacrifice have meditated, and which are treated in the most searching manner in the Brahmanas."6 In the careful descriptions and explanations of the ritual in the Brahmana-texts a particular henoritualistic tendency is seen. Thus the rite or the ritual detail which is in the context, is the only existing one in the view point of the Brahmanas. They say that Gods obtained heaven by means of it; Prajapati created beings by means of it; it is identical with all the worlds, it is identical with all the sacrifices, it is identical with 'all'. But while describing and praising a rite in this way the Brahmana-texts forget that they have described another rite also in the same way. This tendency of successive glorification for the time being (with the highest kind of words) of a rite in the hand is named here as 'henoritualism' and in the following lines various aspects of henoritualism along with a few examples are mentioned.

Gods went to the heaven (swarga) :

In the henoritualistic praising of any sacrifice or any ritual detail the Brahmana-texts often mention that the

⁶ Winternitz, HIL p.272

Gods went to the heaven by means of that particular sacrifice or the ritual detail. Now MS I.6.13 clearly says that Gods along with every thing have ascended upto the heaven only once (sakrd) (sakrd vava devah sarvena sakam svargam lokam samaruksan). But still at different times different rites are said to be used by Gods for obtaining the world of heaven. It will be clearly seen how the Brahmana-texts remain oblivious of other rites for the time being and consider the rite in the context to be the only one in existence. Thus even though according to the Brahmana-texts the Gods have gone to the heaven once for all, they must have obtained the heaven by means of not one but several rites which are said to have helped them to obtain it. It is the henoritualistic tendency of the Brahmana-texts which makes them ascribe the attainment of heaven by Gods wholly and completely to only one particular rite in one particular context, making not even the slightest mention of the other means thereof (which in fact even had been similarly raised up each its own context).

JB III.68 and 3000 we are told that by means of the Dvadasaha sacrifice the Gods went to the heaven. JB II.110, however, we read that the gods went to the heaven by means of the Atiratra-sacrifice. About some ritualistic details also it is said that by means of them the Gods went to the heaven. Thus, for example, the Nakasad-bricks (TS V.3.7.1,

KPKS XXXI.17), the Upasad-offerings (KPKS XL.5) the Prayaniyaday (JB.II.377), the sacrificial post (yupa) (KPKS XLI.2), the meters (TS V.2.3.4; KPKS XLV.5), the Prayajas (SB. XI 2.7.26), etc.. In connection with many samans also obtainment of svarga by means of them is mentioned. Thus, JB III. 67 we read the Gods were desirous of the heaven and they having practised penance saw the saman named Vairajasaman and obtained the heaven (JB III.67). The same thing is told about samans like Syena-saman (JB III.158), Dirghasaman (JB.III.162), Plavasaman (JB III.195) etc. The Abhiartasaman (TMB IV 3.2), Paraka-saman (TMB XXV 8.2), the Brhatsaman are also said to have been helpful to Gods in obtaining the heaven. JB.III.253 makes a very interesting statement -The Gods when they went to the heaven by means of the Triratra sacrifice, went to it by means of this (Samkṛti) saman. Here the Brahmana forgetting the same statements in connection with other rites assume that the Gods went to the heaven by means of the Triatra-sacrifice and then again by means of the Samkrti-saman. Thus the Brahmana-texts show a henoritualistic tendency.

Removal of the Asuras :

Different rites are connected with the removal of the Asuras at different places. It is said there that the Asuras were removed by means of those individual rites. Now, the removing of evil beings like Asuras who were antagonistic to

the Gods and to their sacrifice was very important for the sake of safe and correct performance of the sacrifice. In order to get the desired result of the sacrifice correct performance of it was required and the removal of the Asuras was an essential factor in the correct performance of the sacrifice. Therefore in praising any rite or any ritual detail it is often said that by means of that rite or ritual detail Asuras were removed. In connecting any rite or the ritual detail with the removal of the Asuras, the Brahmanatexts show themselves to be perfectly oblivious of the fact that the same thing has been told in connection with some other rites.

Thus when the Gods and the Asuras were trying to win these worlds the Gods are said to have removed the Asuras from these worlds by means of the sixth day in the Dvadasaha sacrifice (AB V.II). According to TMB AVI.2.2 the Gods removed the Asuras by means of an ekaha named Go (gava vai deva asuranebhyo lokebhyo'nudanta). But the same sentence is repeated in connection with another sacrifice named Gostoma which is an atiratra and Gods are said to have removed the Asuras by means of it (TMB XX.6.1). MS.I.10.5 we are told that Prajapati removed Asuras by means of the Caturmasyasacrifices; but TB II.2.4.6 we read that Prajapati removed the Asuras from these worlds by means of the Trinava stoma. Ritual details such as anunirvapya (KB II.2) Prayajas(TS II.6.1.3)

etc. are also said to have helped the Gods in removing away the Asuras.

Connection with Creation :

In praising henoritualistically a rite or a ritual detail the Brahmana-texts connect it with the creation of all the beings. Thus, for example, Prajapati is said to have created, all the being by means of the Vaisvadeva-parvan in the Caturmasya-sacrifice (SB II.5.2.1; V.2.4.1.KB V.3; TB I. 6.8.1). But elsewhere it has been said that Prajapati created the beings by means of the whole of the Caturmasya-sacrifice (MS I.10.1). Similarly in connection with the other sacrifices also it is said that Prajapati created the beings by means of those sacrifices. Thus at different places Prajapati is said to have created the beings by means of Agnistoma (TS VII. 1.1.2; JB I.67), Apurva-sacrifice (JB.II.174), Visvajit sacrifice (JB II.184) Prajapatya-sacrifice (JB II.288), Visvasrjamayana-sacrifice (TMB XXV.18.2), Prajapatheh Saptaratrasacrifice (TMB XXII.5.3), fourth Pancadasaratra-sacrifice (TMB AXIII.9.2) etc. Sometimes some ritual details are connected with the creation. Thus Prajapati is said to have created the beings by means of the stomabhagas (KPKS XXXI.17, TS V.3.5.5), Trivrt-stoma (MS.III.6.7 KPKS XXXVI.1), Amahiyava-saman (TMB VI.5.1), Visnukramas (SB VI 7.4.1;7)etc. Sometimes some ritual details are praised by saying that Prajapati took the forms of those ritual details (or like that) and created the beings etc. In the Agnicayana ceremoney a

tortoise (kurma) is to be laid down as a brick. To this it is added that Prajapati created the beings having become a tortise (SB VII 5.1.5). Having become Saddhota, Prajapati created all this (TB II.3.2.3). In praising the Asvasaman it is said that Prajapati has created all the beings by means of the Asvasaman having himself become a horse (asva)(JB III. 11). Thus these ritual details are connected with the creation.

but Prajapati does not appear there as the creator. Thus TB III.12.9.4 praising henoritualistically the Sahasrasamvatsarasattra says that Viśvasrj Gods created all this universe by means of this sacrifice. While praising the Pancavimsastoma it is said that Manu created the beings by means of it (MS III. 10.3). While prescribing that water is to be sprinkled upon the animal which is to be offered in the soma-sacrifice, MS III.10.1 says that from waters the beings are born (adbhyo vai prajah prajayante). Following the sukra and manthin soma cups the beings - both the eaters and the eaten are born (TS VI.40.10.4). KPKS ALIV.I, however, says that when the Agrayana-vessel is used all the beings are born following it. According to SB IV.3.1.22 and 25 one who has taken the Rtugrahas has created all this.

TMB VI.9.15 gives the details of the creation done by Prajapati by means of the Pratipad with the words etc asrgram etc.

By means of the word ete Prajapati created the Gods. By (the word) asrgram he created men; by (the word) indavah he created the fathers, by the words tirah pavitram he created the soma cups, by the word asavah he created the stotra, by the word visvani he created the sastra, and by (the words) abhi saubhagena he created other beings (cp. TMB XII.1.3; JB I.94).

Thus it will be seen how the Brahmana-texts in order to praise any ritual detail connect it with the creation. In doing this for one ritual detail they consider that thing only. They seem to forget for the time being that such connection with the creation has been maintained by them elsewhere with some other things also.

Identification with the worlds :

In the henoritualistic way of the description of a rite or a ritual detail the Brahmana-texts sometimes identify it with the worlds or the world. Thus JB III.320 says that the Dvadasaha is identical with these worlds. Earlier JB II.284 has identified the Triratra sacrifice with these worlds. SB XIII.6.1.7 identifies the Purusamedha with these worlds. Some ritual details are also identified with these worlds. Thus the firepan (ukha) is said to be identical with these worlds (SB VI.5.2.17 VI.6.2.1; VII.5.1.27;2.1). SB VI.5.3.3 says further that while one makes the firepan one makes these worlds.

The fire which is being built up is also identified with these worlds (SB VI.7.1.16; VII.3.1.13). Similarly the srucs (TB III.3.1.2), the Pravargya (SB XIV.3.2.23); the meters (JB I.132); the Gayatraparsvasaman (JB III.214); the Ajyadohasamans (TMB XXI.2.7), the Svarasamans (AB IV.19) etc. are identified with these worlds.

161

The barhis is identified with this world (ŚB I.9.2.29). Similarly the built up Agni is identified with this world (ŚB X.5.4.1). The svayamātrnnā brick is identified with these (three) worlds (ŚB VII.4.2.8) and this (earth) (ŚB VII.4.2.1). The Asadhā brick is also said to be identical with this (earth) (ŚB VII.4.2.3.2).

It will thus be seen how the Brahmana-texts show awareness of the contextual thing only and they identify it with all the worlds or this world etc. They, the time being appear to be totally unconscious of the fact that they are identifying at different places different things with the three worlds and considering the thing in the hand as the only one existing.

Identification with vajra (thunderbolt) :

In henoritualistic praises of some ritual details the Brahmana-texts often identify those details with the vajra which represents vigour (cf. viryam vajrah SB I.3.5.7; cp.VII. 5.2.24 etc.) and is the instrument by means of which the active

fighter or energetic champion of the welfare of man-kind, the weather-god rouses, generates or makes accessible the powers and substances which mean food and life. 7 It also removes the evil spirits. So identifying something with vajra is a very effective way of praising it. When the Brahmana-texts praise anything by identifying it with the vajra they totally forget for the time being that they have identified some other things also with the vajra. Thus, for example, the Sodasi-saman has been identified with the vajra (e.g. TMB XII.13.14). But at the same place the Sakvariverses are also identified with the vajra. Elsewhere the Pancadasa-stoma is also said to be identical with the vajra (TMB II.4.2). Similarly the himkara (KB III.2: JB I.315). the vasatkara (AB III.8, KB III.5, SB I.3.3.14 etc.) clarified butter (ajya) (SB III.5.4.2, VI.3.1.39), the sacrificial post (AB II.1.3; KB X.I.etc) etc. are also identified with the vajra.

Identification with 'this one who shines (ya esa tapati)' :

The Brahmana-texts identify at different times different rites henoritualistically with 'This one who shines (ya esa tapati) i.e. the sun! Thus SB X.6.5.8 we read 'this verily is the Asvamedha who this shines'. Similarly about the Agnistoma we read 'That this Agnistoma is verily who this shines'

⁷ J. Gonda Visnuism, p.52.

(JB I.314; cp. AB III.44; GB II.4.10). The same remark is found in the case of the Dvadasaha sacrifice (JB III.373;386) and the Vajapeya sacrifice (GB.II.5.8) also. Not only the sacrifices but ritual details like vasatkara (ŚB XI.2.2.5); the svahakara (ŚB XIV 1.3.26); the sacrificer (JB I.62) are also said to be identical with the 'one who shines and each time the Brahmana-texts forget that other things are also described in the same manner.

Identical with the year (Samvatsara) :

The Brahmana-texts henoritualistically identify the rite in their hands with the year. Thus the Agnistoma has been identified with the year (Samvatsara) (MS III.8.10; IV.5.7; KS AXVI.1. JB II.304). Similarly the Caturmasya-sacrifices (MS.I.10.7); the Sautramanī sacrifice (ŚB XII.8.2.31;36); the fire which has been built up (ŚB VI.7.1.18), the Sadaha (KB XIX.10) the Sarvastoma (JB II.279) the Pravargya (ŚB XIV 3.2.22) etc. are identified with the year. While identifying one rite with the year the Brahmana-texts do not take into consideration that they have identified many other rites also with the year. The Brahmana-texts are busy with the rite in their hands only. They care of it only and for the time being only. This is henoritualism.

Identification with Prana:

The Brahmana-texts in order to praise any ritual detail

identify it with Pranas - the vital airs; breaths etc. They thereby imply that as the Pranas are important for life of a man similarly that particular detail is also of very much importance for the sacrifice which is also considered as a man. At different times different things are said to be identical with Pranas. While identifying something with Pranas the Brahmana-texts totally forget that they have identified some other things also with the Pranas. Thus JB II.255 says that in the Triratra sacrifice everyday there are ajidohas. The Brahmana-text further tells that the ajidohas are identical with the Pranas. Therefore one who uses them becomes firmly established in Pranas. While telling the importance of the kurmestaka in the Agnicayana ceremoney, SB VII.5.1.7 describes that the kurma (tortoise) is identical with Prana. The Prana makes all these beings; therefore when the kurmestaka is put, Prana is put in the fire which is being built. But elsewhere the bricks named Svayamatrnna (SB VII.4.2.8), Asadha (SB VII. 4.2.36), the Pranabhrt-bricks (SB VII.1.1.1) the Visvajyotisbrick (SB VIII 3.2.4 etc.), the Valakhilya-bricks (SB VII. 3.4.1) are also identified with Pranas and similar remarks are made there. The seventh layer is also identified with the Pranas by SB VIII.7.4.21 while SB IX.2.1.17 identifies the whole built up fire with Pranas. Thus the henoritualism is obvious here.

Elsewhere other things are also identified with the Pranas. Thus the nineth day in the Dvadasaha (TS VII.2.8.4), the Vamadeva saman (JB III.301), the Garhapatya-fire (JB.I.61), the vasatkara (SB IV.2.1.19) the Prayajas (SB XI 2.7.21) etc. While JB III.301 has identified the Vamadevya-saman with Pranas, SB IX.1.2.32 identifies all the samans in general with the Pranas JB I.111 says: Prajapati created the beings. He created them without Pranas. By means of this (Gayatra) Saman he put prana (breath) in them. Thus the Gayatra-saman is also connected with the Pranas. According to JB II.136 and SB VIII.4.1.3 the stones are identifial with the Pranas. Thus whatever rite or ritual detail is in hand that is the supreme to the Brahmana-texts and they praise it with the same kind in which they have praised the other rite or ritual detail.

Identification with Prajapati :

The Brahmana-texts at different places identify different rites or ritual details with Prajapati. While identifying a rite or a ritual details with Prajapati they forget that elsewhere they have identified another rite or another ritual detail with Prajapati. Thus, for example, JB I.139 and II.15 we find that the Vamadevya-saman is identified with Prajapati. But elsewhere Rathantara (JB I.231) and Vairaja (TMB XVI.5.17) samans are also said to be identical with Prajapati. Similarly

the Asyamedha is identified with Prajapati by SB XIII.2.2.13: 4.1.15 but elsewhere when it is a matter of praising the Dronakalasa it is also said to be identical with Prajapati (SB IV.3.1.6). Elsewhere other sacrifices like Dvadasaha (AB IV.25, JB III.372;376), Agrayana (TS VI.4.5.11, 5.7.1; are identified with Projector.
8.1. KPKS XLIII.9). Though the Dvadasaha sacrifice is said to be identical with Prajapati, when it is a matter of the tenth day of that sacrifice this tenth day is also described as being identical with Prajapati (JB II.4.29; III.308). Elsewhere the Prataranuvaka (JB II.37) and Himkara (TMB VI. 8.5) are also identified with Prajapati. Thus the Brahmanatexts while identifying a rite with Prajapati forget that they have elsewhere identified another rite with Prajapati. They while describing a particular thing think that that rite alone is existing and try to give it the highest position by identifying it with Prajapati whose importance is very high in the view of the Brahmana-texts.

Limbs of the sacrifice-man :

The sacrifice is often conceived either directly or indirectly as a man. Various ritual details are metaphorically described as the limbs of that sacrifice-man. It is, however, interesting to see the henoritualism here also. Thus the Brahmana-texts as usual forget for the time being all the other rites and their descriptions. Many ritual details are

described in the same manner. Many things are said to be the head of the sacrifice e.g. the Pratarunuvaka (AB II.21), sacrificial cake (prodasa) (MS.IV.1.9), fire-pan (ukha)(SB VI.5.3.8), Atithya (SB III.2.3.20, KPKS XXXVIII.1), Pravargya (SB III.4.4.1), havirdhana (SB III.5.3.2) etc. Many things are said to be the eye or the eyes of the sacrifice e.g. uktha as the eye (TS VI.5.1.4); ajyabhagas (SB.I.6.3.2; MS I.7.4; KPKS VIII.5) the Sukramanthins etc. as the eyes (TS VI.4.10.3) the Agnistoma sacrifice (KB XIX.8 MS IV.4.10), Soma-pressing stones (MS IV.6.1), the Agnidhra priest (MS IV.8.3); the Bahispavamana (AB.II.22), the juhu (MS III.1.1) etc. are described as the munth of the sacrifice. It may be seen how the Brahmana-texts identify different things at different times with the same thing forgetting the others and this is henoritualism.

The Sacrifice :

The Brahmana-texts sometimes henoritualistically describe a particular sacrifice as the sacrifice. Thus TMB VI.3.1f we read 'This verily is the sacrifice namely the Agnistoma.

For any other sacrifice is performed for obtaining one desire only and the Agnistoma for all.' Now here Agnistoma is said to be the sacrifice for by means it all the desires are obtained and no other sacrifice can be able to fulfill all the desires according to TMB VI.3.1f. But this cannot be taken too literally. For in the cases of other sacrifices also the results of

fulfilling all the desires are told e.g. Dvadasaha (TMB X. 5.14), Caturmasya-sacrifices (GB II.1.26) Vajapeya (SB V.1.1.8) etc. This will show how the Brahmana-texts in their henoritualistic descriptions forget the other rites for the time being. The Vajapeya sacrifice is also described as the sacrifice. Thus TB I.3.2.5 describes the Vajapeya as the sacrifice. There we are told that Prajapati gave all the sacrifices to the Gods but reserved the Vajapeya for himself. Then the Gods said 'Vajapeya is the sacrifice'. Here it is interesting to note that a similar story of Prajapati and Gods (about Asvamedha) describes Asvamedha as the sacrifice. (TB.III.8.14.1; cp. SB XIII.2.1.1). In connection with the Ajyabhagas also similar story is told. Thus Prajapati gave all the sacrifices to the Gods but reserved the Ajayabhaga for himself. Then the Gods said; "This is the sacrifice namely the ajya" (TS.II.6.3.1) It will be clear now how, for the time being, the Brahmana-texts, consider a sacrifice or a ritual detail as the sacrifice, forgetting other rites. This is what can be called henoritualism.

The sacrifice is this much :

In praising any rite or ritual detail the Brahmanatexts henoritualistically say that the sacrifice is this much only. Thus we often read 'The sacrifice, verily, is as much as the Agnistoma (etavan vai (JB vava) yoino yavanagnistomah. TS VI.4.10.1; KS XXII.I XXVIII.1; KPKS XLIV.1; JB I.179; TA V.6.3). SB VIII.1.2.10 remarks about the Pranabhrd-istakas that all the sacrifice is this much. But the same SB elsewhere makes the same remark about the Nakasadistakas (SB VIII. 6.1.10). MS IV.6.5 we are told that the sacrifice is as much as the ukthyagraha; but KS XXVII.7 says that the sacrifice is as much as the Sukra and Manthin grahas. While praising henoritualistically the three vedas SBK VII.5.3.8 says 'The sacrifice is as much as this three-fold knowledge'; cp. SB V.5.5.10) TS.I.7.5.2 remarks that the sacrifice is as much as the portion of the sacrificer (yajamanabhaga) TB I.8.7.2 says that the sacrifice is as much as the (three) Pavamanas (viz. Bahispavamana, Madhyandinapavamana and Arbhavapavamana). Thus, it will be seen how at different places different sacrifices and different ritual details are said to be equal to the sacrifice without remembering the existence of others at each time.

A part is identical with the whole :

The henoritualistic tendency of the Brahmana-texts is also seen when we find that some particular ritual detail is identified with the whole sacrifice. Thus for the time being that part is shown to be identical with the whole. Thus, for example, the black antelope's skin (kṛṣṇajinam) is often identified with the sacrifice. In the Sautramani sacrifice

the sacrificer is consecrated on a black antelope's skin. Then it is said that such a skin is identical with the sacrifice and the sacrificer then becomes consecrated in the whole & sacrifice (SB XII.8.3.3). For the black antelope's skin and the sacrifice identification see also SB III.2.1.8;28; VI.4.1.6; 7.1.6; IX 3.4.10 etc.) But elsewhere other things are also identified with the sacrifice and the Brahmana-texts forget in each case any other ritual detail being identical with the sacrifice according to their own statements elsewhere. Thus SB III.9.3.3 the carriage (anas) is identified with the sacrifice. TB III.9.8.2-3 we are told that in the process of the Asvamedha a cow is to be seized. Then the cow is there said to be identical with the sacrifice and it is added that by seizing the cow one seizes the sacrifice. SB II.2.4.13 also identifies the cow with the sacrifice and adds the reasoning that no sacrifice is performed without a cow. Many times waters are said to be identical with the sacrifice. Thus when in the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices, waters are said to be laid down TB III.2.4.1 says that waters are identical with the sacrifice and when one leads waters one has led the sacrifice. For the identification of the waters and the sacrifice see also AB II.20; KB XII.10; SB I.1.1.2 etc. Similarly many other ritual details are also identified with the sacrifice e.g. the ajya (SB XII.8.1.18;19; TB III.8.4.1;) the animals (SB III.1.4.14; 2.3.11); the prastara (SB III.4.3.19) the somagrahas (ŚB IX 3.2.10); the oblation (ahuti), (ŚB III.

1.4.1; VII.5.2.23), the svahakara (ŚB III.1.4.27; IX 2.3.44);

the Hotr priest (ŚB XIV.3.1.34) etc.

The part and whole identification is also seen elsewhere. Thus the Mahaduktha is said to be identical all the rc verses (SB X.1.1.5). The Mahavrata-saman is identical with all the Samans (SB X.1.1.5). KPKS identifies the Anustubh metre with all the metres while the Aticchandas metre is identical with all the metres according to SB III.3.2.11; IV.4.5.7; V 4.3.22 TS.V.3.8.3 etc. AB I.6 however, maintains that by using the Virat metre one possesses the power of all the metres., obtains the association and similarity of all the metres. It is interesting to note thus that at each place when any particular thing is in the context that becomes identical with the sacrifice and a part for the time being is supposed to be identical with the whole. In doing this the Brahmana-texts forget for the time being all the other ritual details and forget that they are elsewhere said to be identical with the sacrifice or with the whole class and give their attention only to the contextual thing.

No sacrifice without it :

In describing the importance of any particular ritual details the Brahmana-texts point out that without it there is no sacrifice. So that thing for the time being they describe

to be of the highest importance and of the essential nature for the sacrifice itself. Thus it is not a sacrifice where there is no saman (ayajno va esa yo'sama) (TB II.1.5.6). It is not a sacrifice where there are no (deva) patni (mantra)s (ayajno va esa vo'patnikah) (TB II.2.2.6). It is not a sacrifice where there is no sacrificer's wife (ayajno va esa yo'patnikah) (TB III.3.3.1). "It is not a sacrifice which is devoid of Agni (ayajno va esa yo'hagnih)" (KB VII.7) It is not a sacrifice where no stoma is used (ayajno va esa yatra stoma na yujyate) (MS I.5.5.). Thus it will be seen that for the different times being the saman, the devapatnimantras, the sacrificer's wife etc. are considered to be of so much importance that without them there is no sacrifice. At the time of making this statement in one case the Brahmanatexts forget that they have made similar statements in connection with the other rites also. Similarly MS I.10.17 says that the sacrifice is sacrifice not because of the dhanas nor because of the mantha; it is sacrifice because of the sacrificial cake (purodasa). MS III.6.4 quotes the opinion of Aruna Anpavesi according to whom "What will he sacrifice with the sacrifice, he who does not know the materials (sambharas) of the sacrifice?" KB XVI.5 says that the sacrifice is extended (performed) by means of the ghee and soma. Here also the Brahmana-texts in order to maintain the importance of the sacrificial cake, the materials (sambharas) or the ghee and soma try to make them the essence of the

sacrifice forgetting for the time being that there are also other essential things.

All the sacrifices:

In the henoritualistic descriptions the Brahmana-texts often describe a sacrificial rite as representing all the sacrifices. Thus MS I.8.6 we are told that in the Agnihotra all the sacrifices are included. The sacrificer having the knowledge (mentioned by sadB) of Agnihotra (IV.1.1ff. and 2.1) performs the Agnihotra has sacrificed with all the sacrificial rites according to SadB IV.1.16 and 2.1. JB I.4 and 38 ff we are told that the Agnihotra includes other sacrifices. Similarly the Agnistoma (AB III.39-41 cp.II.49; JB.I.49; II.177); the Rajasuya (SB V.3.6.9; 10.4.5.14; 5.5.11); the Traidhatavi include all the sawifices. offering in the Rajasuya (TS II.4.11.2ff cp. KS XII.4). The Agnicacyana, (SB X.1.5 1 ff), the sacrifice named Kundapayinam ayanam (TMB XXV.4.3) are said to be representing all the sacrifices. The Vajapeya (TB.I.3.4 if KS XIV.9) and the Samvatsarasattra (SB XII.3.5.3 ff) are also said to be including in themselves many other sacrifices. The Brahmana-texts try to show whatever sacrifice is in their hand at a time to be the only greatest one. They give all the attributes possible to it and forget others. But at different times different sacrifices become prominent. This is due to the henoritualistic tendency.

Supremecy etc.:

The Brahmana-texts describe the rite in their hand to be the supreme, the highest etc. for the time being. Thus the Sarvamedha is said to be the supreme (parama) among all the sacrifices (SB XIII.7.1.2). But elsewhere Triratra-sacrifice is said to be the supreme (parama)(TS V.4.12.1) and the highest (varsisthah) (JB II.284). The second Sahasra sacrifice is also said to be supreme (TMB XVI.9.2). Sarvajyotis sacrifice is also according to TMB XVI.4.2 the supreme (parama) sacrifice. TS VII.4.10.1 and KS XXXIII.2 quote the opinion of the Brahmavadins according to whom the Atiratra is the supreme sacrifice. Dvadasaha is said to be the best sacrifice (<u>śresthavajna</u>) of all the sacrifices (AB IV.26). Agnistoma is also said to the chief sacrifice (<u>jvesthavajna</u>)(TMB VI.3.8. 10; cp.JB I.67; II.378).

TB I.3.6.8 says that the nīvaras form the supreme(parama) food of the Gods, but elsewhere it says that that stoma is the supreme (parama) food of the Gods. At different places different stomas are said to be supreme. Thus according to TMB III.3.2 the Trayastrimsastoma is the supreme of all the stomas, while according to KB XI.6 Ekavimsa Catustoma is the supreme (see also KB XV.5; XVI.7). According to AB IV.19 Catustrimsa is the top-most (uttamah). The Trivrt-stoma is also said to be both the lowest (avama) and the supreme(parama)

(JB.I.248; TS.VII.1.3.3). Thus the Brahmana-texts try to describe the rite in their hand as supreme while forgetting that they have described other rites to be supreme for other times being. This is henoritualism.

All the desires are fulfilled :

From the view point of the henoritualism connection of a rite with the obtainment of all the desires is very important. There are various sacrifices and they are performed for obtaining various results. Still in order to praise henoritualistically the rite in the context of Brahmana-texts connect it with the obtainment of all the desires. Now if there is any sacrifice which can fulfill all the desires why there are other sacrifices ? And if any sacrifice only can help to get all the desired objects how some other sacrifices are also described in the say way ? whis can be explained on the basis of the henoritualism. The Brahmana-texts for the time being consider the rite in the hands as the omnipotent one. But at another time they consider another rite as the omnipotent one. Thus for example in connection with the Agnistoma the TMB VI.3.2 says that any other sacrifice is performed for only one desire; but the Agnistoma is performed for all the desires. This is not true. The Brahmana-texts maintain that there is 'plurality' of results. Thus one rite can give many results. So there are sacrifices which can give results more than one. Similarly there are sacrifices other than the

Agnistoma which can give all the desired results according to the Brahmana-texts themselves. So the description of the Agnistoma here is obviously henoritualistic. Let us see some examples of the sacrifices which are connected with all the desires. Thus for example the Dvadasaha with transposed meters (TMB X.5.14); the long sacrificial session of one year or more (SB IV.5.1.12); Asvamedha (e.g. TS.V.4.12.3); Visajit Sarvaprstha sacrifice (TS. VII.1.10.4); Second Sahasra sacrifice (TMB XVI.9.4); Sarvajit sacrifice (TMB XVI.7.2); Purusamedha (SB XIII.6.2.10) etc. are said to be able to give all. Some ritual details also can give all. Thus the Okonidhana Vaitahavya saman (JBI.214); Apalasaman (JB I.221); Aurnayavasaman (JB. III.76); Jarabodhiyasaman (JB. I.97) and many other samans are connected with the obtainment of all the desires. The Prataruvaka is to be recited without any measure. That is for obtaining all the desires. (AB II.19).

Identical with 'all' (Sarva) :

While praising a rite the Brahmana-texts henoritualistically identify that rite with 'all'. As usual, the Brahmana-texts forget the other rites while identifying one rite with 'all'. Thus the Purusamedha (e.g. ŚB XIII.6.1.3); the Agnihotra (ŚB XIV 3.2.26); Caturmasya-sacrifices (ŚB XIV.3.2.28 etc.) the Pravargya (ŚB XIV.3.2.22 ff); the Upamsugraha (ŚB IV.1.1.5); Agrayanagraha (ŚB IV.2.2.1 ff); Amsugraha (ŚB IV.6.3.15) etc. are identified with 'all'.

Shower of Praises:

The Brahmana-texts wax eloquent while praising a rite in their hand and at that time they make a shower of praises. Thus in connection with the Asvamedha we read "Verily this is the sacrifice called strengthful (prabhu),...Plentuous (vibhu)... obtainment (vvasti),;; distinction (vidhrti),... reverance (vvavrtti),... food-obtaining (urjasvan),... milkful(payasvan),... abounding in Brahaman-splendour (brahmavarcasi),... excelling in hunting (ativvadhi),... long one (dirgha),... adaptness (klpti),... firm foundation (pratistha)"(SB XIII.3.7.1 ff; TB III.9.19.1 ff). Similarly TS II.5.6.2 ff we get an eulogy of the Darsapurnamasa sacrifices. The new and full moon sacrifices are the chariot of the Gods ... are the limbs and joints of the year ... are the eyes of the year .. are the striding of the Gods ... are path on which the Gods fare.. are the bay steeds of the Gods ... (TS II.5.6.2 ff).

Concluding observations :

From the above given examples it will be clearly seen how the Brahmana-texts are henoritualistic in their attitude. Each contextual rite becomes the only existing rite for the time being according to the Brahmana-texts. These texts give the same kinds of high praises to each rite successively and while praising one rite they seem to forget all the rest.

Some of the devices to which we may draw special attention are

following :- connection of a rite with the creation of the beings etc., considering a part as identical with the whole sacrifice or considering a sacrifice identical with all the sacrifices, connecting a rite with the fulfilment of all the desires, identifying with 'all' etc. It may be added that the henoritualism of the Brahmana-texts is worth noticing for it is an important link between the 'ritualism' and the 'spiritualism' of the Brahmana-texts. Thus it is often told above that by means of the henoritualistic way of praising of a sacrifice or a sacrificial rite the Brahmana-texts want to show that that sacrifice or the sacrificial rite is of importance or is inevitable in the performance. This is ritualism. But this henoritualism also assures that even one rite is sufficient to give you everything. So if you are not able to do something it does not matter. Thus there is no rigidity; no stress on mere "letter" but on "spirit".

Secondly the henoritualsim which as I think is only a part of the general henoism is closely connected with the latent monism which is seen more prominently in Upanisads and other literature. In the Rgveda I.164.46 we have already got the line ekam sad vipra bahudha vadanti (the truth which is one, the wise persons describe it variously). Thus the monistic ideas are present in the Rgveda. In the SB X.5.2.20 we get a similar monistic thought which is connected with the ritual and is also of the henoritualistic nature. Thus

in describing the fire altar (which is built up) in an essoteric (adhyatmak) way and understanding it a divine being SB X.5.2.20 says 'That same (divine being) the adhvaryus serve under the name of 'Agni' (fire altar) and 'yajus' because he holds together (yuj) all this (universe). The chandogas (Samaveda-priests) under that of 'Saman' because in him all this (universe) is one and the same (Samana) the bahvrcas (the Rgveda-priests) under that of 'Uktham' because he originates (utthapp) everything here; those skilled in sorcery under that of 'yatu (sorcery)' because everything here is held in check (yata) by him; the serpents under that of visa (poison) the snake-charmers under that of 'sarpa(snake)'; the Gods under that of 'urg (strengthing food)'; men under that of rayi (wealth) the Asuras under that of maya (magic power); the fathers under that of svadha; those knowing the divine host under that of 'devajana (divine host)': the Gandharvas under that of rupa (form) the Apsaras under that of 'Gandha (fragrance)' - thus in whatsoever form they serve him that indeed he becomes and having become that he is helpful to them. A very much similar idea is found in a verse of Hanumannataka I.3: where Visnu is described to be worshipped under different names and forms by different thinkers. Thus the worshippers of Siva worship him as Siva; the vedantins as the Brahma; the buddhists as the buddha, the naiyayikas as karta, the jainas as the Arhat and the mimamsakas as Karma. Similar ideas can be found in Ganeshmimnah stotra verse 2; Sivamahimnah stotra verse Jetc. Thus the henoritualism and the henoism in general are closely connected with the moniem and thus with the spiritualism.

Summarily we may say -

- 1 The Brahmana-texts reflect throughout a henoritualistic tendency in their dealing with the ritual.
- 2 The henoritualism is broadly speaking considering the rite in the hand as only the one existing and praising each rite successively in the same or similar ways.
- 3 The henoritualism is a part of general henoism observed in Indian literature and way of thinking and the both are closely connected with the monism. Thus the henoritualism is a link between the ritualism and spiritualism of the Brahmana-texts.

RITUALISM AND SPIRITUALISM

Introduction :

In the Brahmana-texts, we find that every minor detail is considered to be important! In the ritual everything or every action has got its own magic significance and therefore it is essential that one has to take care for the correct performance of every detail. As we have seen while studying the Prayascitti, a mistake in the performance not only hinders the expected good result but itself creates some positively bad effect. So upon the correct performance of every detail does the woe or weal of the sacrificer depend. It will appear, at the first sight that the Brahmana texts are too rigid in their ritualism and they want every detail to be fulfilled in the prescribed manner alone and this rigidity of the ritualism is inevitable for the obtainment of the desired result. Now, we shall see how far this first impression is correct and whether the Brahmana-texts give importance to the "letter" or to the "spirit" of the rules they have prescribed.

In the cases of difficulties -

The Brahmana-texts are not unaware of the fact that even though the sacrificer takes care as far as possible

l Winternitz, GIL, I, p. 171 f.

there is likelihood of some cases of difficulties. Brahmana-texts show some way to overcome these difficulties. Thus, if the soma is carried off by somebody, efforts are to be made for searching and reobtainment of it. But if it is not found, then an expiation is to be made by means of substituting the soma. Of the two kinds of phalguna-plants viz. the red flowering (lohitapuspani) and the brown-flowering (arunapuspani), the brown-flowering Phalguna plants are to be used; for they, the brown-flowering Phalgunas are akin to the soma-plants. If that plant is not available, the Syenahrta plant may be used. When Gayatri was bringing soma, a spring of soma fell from her and it became the Syenahrta plant. Therefore, it can be a substitute of the soma. If the Syenahrta is not obtained, Adara-plant is to be used; for when the head of the sacrifice was cut off, then the Adara plants sprung from the sap which spirited from it. Therefore, Adara-plant can be used. If the Adara-plant is not available the Durvas can be used for pressing. If they are also absent yellow kusa (grass) can be used (SB IV. 5.10.1 ff; cp. with some differences TB I 4.7.5 f; JB I. 354; TMB IX 5.2.ff) 2 If anybody among those who are consecrated for the sacrificial session dies, then the dead body is to

For, if soma is carried away see <u>Caland</u>, <u>Henry</u>, <u>L'Agni</u>stoma, section 23.

be kept on the southern side of the fire-altar and the sarparajni-verses are to be recited. The others fan upon that dead body and cover it. They take three rounds around the Marjaliya. In the Bahispavamana-stotra, they recite the verse "Agna ayumsi pavase" (TS I.4.29), recite the Rathantara-Saman and thus they place "life" in themselves and remove the evil from themselves (TB I.4.6.5 ff). It is a rule that the priests who officiate at the time of Prayaniya should also officiate at the time of Udayaniya (KB VII.5). But, if anyone of them dies in the meanwhile, others may officiate in stead (SB III.2.3.22). If the fire, when being kindled is not born, then another is to be brought. If, it is not obtained, then in a she-goat (i.e. in her ear) offerings are to be made. For the shegoat belongs to Agni and offerings are thus made into Agni itself. In the absence of a shegoat offering is to be made on the right hand of a Brahmana. For a Brahmana is Agnivaisvanara. In the absence of a Brahmana, offering is to be made on the Darbhagrass. the absence of darbhas, in the water (TB III.7.3.2; cp. SadB IV 1.12 where a he-goat, instead of a she-goat is mentioned). In the Asvamedha sacrifice when horse is let loose, then, for the sake of protection of the horse, four hundred persons are provided; but still, if the horse is carried away by the enemies, another horse is to be sprinkled upon and used for the sacrifice (TB III 8.9.4). Thus, the apparently

rigid ritual shows elasticity in the cases of difficulties³ and prescribes substitutes. The substitute is identical with the thing⁴ for which it stands and it helps to get the desired result. Thus, the provision of substitute shows how the ritualism gives importance to the "spirit" and not to the "letter" of the rules in the ritual.

Possibility, availablness etc. considered:

The Brahmana-texts take into consideration possibility of availableness. The ritual demands the particular thing which is appropriate for the particular performance. The Brahmana-texts emphasize upon the appropriateness (samrddhi) of the things used and the ritual in general. But still they never forget that it is not always possible to possess exactly the same thing which is required and then they suggest some substitutes etc.

The rule that one who has been consecrated for the sacrifice should speak truth only must have appeared rather very difficult to follow. Thus some ask, "what man can speak all truth? The Gods are of truth-compact, the men of untruth-compact." Then, taking into consideration this human weakness

³ cf. v. Negelein, JAOS, 34, p. 261

⁴ Gonda, Religionen Indiens, I. p. 177

to speak truth alone, following concession is given. The consecrated sacrificer should speak every word accompanied by the word "vicaksna". Then his speech becomes essentially true (AS I.6). The offering of omentum in the animalsacrifice connected with the soma-sacrifice is to be offered in five layers. First a basis of ghee is made, then a piece of gold is put, then the omentum, then again piece of gold and then a layer of ghee - in this manner is the omentum to be offered. But if gold is not available then first two layers of ghee are to be made. For ghee is ambrosia and gold is also ambrosia and thus the desires in the ghee and the desires in the gold are obtained (AS II.14). Thus here ghee becomes the substitute of gold and the Brahmana-texts have taken into consideration the availableness of gold. Elsewhere also ghee can represent gold. Thus, for example, in the fire-building ceremony with the caturhotr formulas, bricks of gold are to be used. But if gold is not obtained. sands anointed with ghee are to be used (TB III.12.5.12).

A barren cow (vasa) also may sometimes be needed but may not be available. At the end of the Agnistoma a barren cow is to be offered to Mitra and Varuna. But if such a cow is not available, then a bullock can be used (SB IV 5.1.9) Elsewhere, it is said that a spotted barren cow is very difficult to be obtained and if such a one is not available any barren cow can be offered (SB V 1.3.3).

A white horse is to be given as daksina for the oblation to Surya in the Sunasiriya offering. The white horse represents the sun. But if such a horse is not available, then a white bull is to be given (SB II.6.3.9). In the fire building ceremony a white horse is to be led in the front while the ahavaniya is being laid. But in the absence of a white horse, a horse which is not white may be used and in the absence of any horse whatever even an ox may be used (SB VII.3.2.16).

Appropriate (samrddha) victims are said to be difficult to obtain in connection with the seventeen victims for Prajapati in the Vajapeya. They should be, as a rule, horn-less white-and-black-coloured (Syama) and uncastrated males. As all the victims with all these perfections are difficult to obtain, even some with these perfections will do (SB V.l. 3.7-10.10- durveda evam samrddhh pasavah). Similarly the difficulty of obtaining the appropriate (samraddha) victims is recognised in the Sautramani victims. Thus, there is a reddish-white he-goat for Asvins, an ewe with teats in the dewlap for Sarasvati and a bull for Indra Sutraman. In the absence of such appropriate victims only goats can be used; but in that case the goat for Asvins should be red one (SB V25.4.1).

The appropriate mantras to be used, also can be substituted in their absence. Thus, for example for the offering of a barren cow for the Victorious (<u>ujjesa</u>) Maruts in the Vaja Peya, the invitatory and offering verses should be containing the word "victorious (<u>ujjesa</u>). But if such verses are not available, then any verses to Maruts may be used (SB V.1.3.3).

Thus, we see how the Brahmana-texts suggest the substitutes and thus show how they give importance to the "spirit" and not the "letter" of the rules.

Spirit, not letter -

Let us see some examples where we find the emphasis on the spirit and not on the letter. After telling the various timings suitable for the establishment of fires in accordance with the castes and the desires of the sacrificer, it is told that one may establish fires at any time when one feels called upon to the sacrifice. Then, it is added that one should not put it off from one day to the morrow, For who knows the morrow of man ? (SB II, 1.3.9; TB I 1.2.8).

Yajnavalkya says that the priests constitute the place of the sacrifice and when there are wise and learned priests, no failure can take place there (SB III. 1.1.15). Elsewhere in connection with the same problem, it is said

that one may sacrifice at any place and if one possesses faith and sacrifices at any place, one prospers (SadB II 10.25- yasminneva kasmimsca śradadhano yajata rddhnotyeva).

The words "spirit" and "letter" would at the first sight appear rather foreign to the Brahmana-texts. But the Brahmana-texts have their own expressions signifying the "spirit" and the "letter". Thus, the sacrifice is said to have two wheels viz. speech (vac) and mind (manas) (AB V.33; JUB III.4.2.1; SadB I. 5.4.; GB I.3.2) and these two words would correspond to "letter" and "spirit" respectively.

Sometimes, it is said, "By means of vac (speech) the sacrifice is spread "(vaca yajaas tayate" KB VII.9; X.5; XVI.1) or "They verily spread all the sacrifice by means of vac (speech) (vaca vai sarvam yajaam tanvate" TMB XIII.13.3) Thus here importance is given to vac that is the "letter" part of ritual. But elsewhere importance is given to manas (mind) i.e. the "spirit" part of it. Thus AS III.11 says "By means of manas (mind) the sacrifice is spread, by means of mind, performed (manasa vai yajaas tayate manasa kriyate). Thus in the Brahmana-texts themselves both the ritualism and spiritualism find their places and slowly and slowly the "spiritualism" is being recognised even in the "ritualism".

Efforts for making the performance easy -

The Brahmana-texts reflect how efforts are made to make performance easy. After the performance of Visvjit, the sacrificer has to behave in a restricted manner. For a year, he should wander laying on the ground accepting the uncultivated fruits only; not asking for food, clothed with what is given. Here Kausitaki gave his opinion "Having done this for twelve nights he may devote himself to another desire. For the year has twelve months and this period (of twelve nights) is an image of a year' (KB XXV.15; cf. JB II.181 and TMB XVI.6.1 ff; both these texts prescribe the restricted life of the sacrificer only for twelve days and are thus in agreement with Kausitaki). Thus in order to make the performance easy the long period of the restricted life has been shortened here. The daksina for the Visvajit sacrifice is "all (visva)" that one possesses; but there is also an opinion mentioned according to which one may give thousand (cows) as daksinas; for a thousand means "all" (JB I. 191). Here also the tendency to make performance easy is seen.

Rigidity with concessions -

The cow by means of which soma is to be purchased, must be with some special characteristics. It should be a brown one with red-brown eyes. In the absence of a brown cow with red brown eyes, a dark-red cow can be used; in the

absence of a dark-red cow a ruddy cow may be used. But in any case the cow being ruddy and having reddish white eyes should not be used (SB III 3.1.15). Thus, though some concession is given, a definite rule is also mentioned. Similar is the case of the spade (abhri) to be used at the time of fire building ceremony. It should be spotted. For such a one is of Agni's nature. But if such a one cannot be obtained, then even an unspotted one can be used, but it must be hollow (susira), nevertheless (SB VI.3.1.32). Thus, here also we find some rigidity with concessions.

One's discretion is allowed :

A kind of non-rigidity can be seen when instead of prescribing some definite rule, one is advised to use one's own discretion. The adhvaryu gives the directions to the agnidhra priest in the following manner - "Put the sprinkling water down to the altar; put fuel and barhis beside it wipe the ladles; gird the sacrificer's wife, come hither with the clarified butter." But then it is added that the adhvaryu may or may not pronounce these directions. Thus, it is the adhvaryu who has to choose what is to be done. The reason why there is no harm if these directions are not uttered is that the agnidhra himself knows what work is to be done at that particular time (SB I.2.5.21). Various options are given about an What distance Ahavaniya is to be laid down from

Garhapatya. One option is of eight steps. For, of eight syllables consists the Gayatri. Another option is of eleven steps; for there are eleven syllables in the metre Tristubh and the sacrificer ascends to the heaven by means of Trstubh. The next option is of twelve steps. Jagati metre has twelve syllables and thereby the sacrificer goes to the heaven by means of Jagati. But then, it is added that there is no fixed measure as regards this and therefore one may lay down the ahavaniya where one think it proper (SB I.7.3.23 ff). At the end of the establishing of the fires, the sacrificer goes round to the east side of the fire and taking hold of the top part of the burning sticks he mutters "dyauriva buuma .." (VS III.5). But then it is added that one may mutter this prayer or not according to one's own discretion (SB II 1.4.28).

The Brahmana-texts attach great importance to the discretion. Thus for example, after telling the various directions to which one may go for the expiatory bath (Avabhrtha) it is said that one may go to any direction where there is abundant water. Then a remark is added in which importance of one's discretion is glofified. "When anybody who knows this (is better) than that, "performs a rite, he becomes better by this rite" (SadB III.1.31- yad vai vidvan karma karotyasmadidam iti vasiyaneva tena bhavati).

Symbolic performance :

In order to understand how there is elasticity in the generally rigid ritual we may also take into consideration how sometimes the actual performance is not done; but only symbolical performance is done. In the Darsapurhamasa there is no actual use of saman-singing; but by the sacred syllable om the sacrifice sympolically becomes endowed with saman (SB I.4.1.1). There arises a doubt as regards how clod-bricks come to be put on as baked, as heated ones (which they are not). The answer is that the clods are vital sap and the vital sap is naturally heated. Again, whatever comes into contact with Agni Vaisvanara, even thereby comes to be put on as baked and as heated (SB VII.3.1.26). There are the Lokamprnas in the case of other layers. But for the fifth layer there are no Lokamprnas. The question, then, is "Which is then the Lokamprnal" The answer is that this sun is the Lokamprna (SB VIII.5.4.8). Thus symbolically the Lokamprna brick is present in the fifth layer also. The symbolical manner of performing the Darsapurnamasa is that ghee is offered in the mind; for the mind is the full moon. Similarly, one makes an offering to Speech. Speech is the new moon. Thus full-moon (Purnamasa) and new moon (Darsa) sacrifices are symbolically offered (SB XI 2.4.8). He who enters on a Brahmacarin's life, enters on a long sacrifical session. The log he puts on the fire in entering into the Brahmacarin's life is the opening (offering) and that which (he puts on the fire) when he is about to

bathe is the concluding (offering) and what logs there are between these are just his (logs) of the sacrificial session (SB XI.3.3.2). Thus the Brahmacari symbolically performs even the sacrificial session. Symbolically even the usual breathing is also identical with the performance of the Agnihotra and therefore as one breaths one offers the Agnihotra (JB I.20). Mere recitation of various samans is said to be bringing the results of the performance of the various sacrifices. One should recite the three samans based on agna ayahi vitaye for nine times each and then one obtains the Agnyadheya. In the same manner reciting the saman based on Indraya pavate madah one obtains the pavamanahavimsi. The Darsapurnamasas are obtained by means of the samasinging based on suvarmahah suvarmayah. The Caturmasya-sacrifices are obtained by means of tam indram vajayamasi. By means of asya praisa one obtains the Pasuka-sacrifices. By means of trataram indram yajamahe one obtains the Pasubandha. Subsisting on milk and using samans based Brhad indraya gayata one obtains both the Sautramanis (the Sautramani normal and the Sautrama in named kokila) (SVB I.3.2.ff). Thus by means of mere recitation of the samans various sacrifices are symbolically performed.

Mistakes said to be fruitful -

For the obtainment of desired result, the correct

mode of performance is to be adopted. As we have seen elsewhere the mistakes not only creat hindrance in the desired result, but also creat positive harm. Now, though, the mistakes are therefore to be avoided, the Brahmana-texts are aware of the general experience that to err is human. They have, therefore, prescribed expiations (prayascittis) for atoning the mistakes. But sometimes they go still further and maintain that even the mistakes can give good result. Thus SB IV.5.7.9 quotes the opinion of Aruni - "Why should he sacrifice who would think himself the worse for the inappropriatness (mistake etc.) in the sacrifice ? I, for one, am better for the inappropriatness of the sacrifice." The mistakes in the performance are described as the doors to the Brahman. He who offers with slightly burnt sacrificial food, enters through the fire-door of the Brahman and then he is united with the Brahman. He who offers with the sacrificial food fallen on the ground enters through the wind-door of the Brahman and unites with it. He who offers with the uncooked sacrificial food enters through the water-door of the Brahman and unites with it. He who offers with slightly browned sacrificial food enters through the moon-door of the Brahman and unites with it. He who offers with browned sacrificial food enters through the lightning door of the Brahman and unites with it. He who offers with well-cooked sacrificial food, enters through the sun-door of the Brahman and unites

with it (SB X 4.4.1 ff). Except the last case, here, all the other cases are of the "mistakes" - nature. But still they are described here as giving good result. Generally, there should not be anything incomplete or too much or anything wavering in the sacrifice. But even if such things happen they are told to be giving good result. Thus, whatever part of the sacrifice is incomplete, that part is productive. Whatever is too much, that is favourable to cattle. Again whatever is uncertain or wavering (sankasuka) in it that makes for prosperity. Whatever is correct or perfect in the sacrifice that is conductive to the heaven (SB XI.4.4.8; see 9 ff also) KB XI.8 says somewhat differently but with the same intention that there are three desires (kamas) in the sacrifice - that in its completion, that in its deficiency and that in its redundancy. The complete part gives heaven, the incomplete gives food, and the redundant gives generation. Thus even mistakes are said to be producing good result and this shows how the Brahmana-texts see the "spirit" and not the "letter" in the ritual.

Principle of Śraddha -

The sacrifice is not a magical machine which produces result by itself if performed properly. There is the principle of Śraddhā involved. The importance of śraddhā is stated expressly by Keśī Dālbhya in the following words, "The imperishableness of what has been offered once is Śraddhā (faith).

He who sacrifices with faith, his sacrifice perishes not (śraddhaiva sakrdistasyaksitih sa yah śraddahano yajate tasyestam na ksiyate)" (KB VII.4). The sraddha is thus the faith in the efficience of the ritual acts. 5 Thus Levi has rightly remarked "La confiance est nécessaire à ce point que sans elle le sacrifice est sterile au moins pour le sacrifiant" The importance of sraddha is told differently in the Brahmana-texts. Thus, a question is asked about the way of performance of the Agnihotra in the absence of the wife. The answer is that the wife is the faith (Sraddha) and the sacrificer is the truth (satya). Faith and truth are the highest pair. By faith and truth as a pair the sacrificer conquers the world of heaven (AB VII.10). Here the importance of faith and truth is told and it is implied that they are at the foundation of the sacrifice. Some questions and answers took place between Janaka and Yajnavalkya. Janaka asked, "If there is no milk how the

of. Levi La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 108 ff; Hubert,

Mauss, "Sacrifice", L'Année Sociogique, Vol 2, 1897
1898, p. 61; Silburn, Instant et cause, p. 59; 90;

Gonda Religionen Indiens I, p. 43.

⁶ Levi, La doctrine du sacrifice, p. 113.

Agnihotra is to be performed ?" Yajnavalkya told, "With rice and barley." Janaka asked about the performance even when rice and barley were not available. Then Yajnavalkya told that the other herbs were to be used in that case. The questions and answers went on further and from them we know that in the absence of other herbs, forest herbs are to be used; in their absence fruits of trees are to be used; and in their absence water is to be used. Janaka still goes further and asks the way of performance in the absence of even water. The answer of Yajnvalkya, then, is "Then there is nothing whatsoever here and yet truth is to be offered in faith" (SB XI 3.1.1 ff; cp. JB I.19). Similarly AB V.27 says that if all the milk for the Agnihotra be poured out then he should call another cow and milk her and offer with it. but there must be an offering, even if only in faith. SB XII.1.2.1 and GB I.4.7 we are told that the Gods have created the diksa from the faith (Sraddha). GB I.4.8 we are told further that one who performs the diksniya offering becomes associated with sraddha. Elsewhere also the diksa is connected with sraddha. "The truth is the form of the fast observance (vrata) and faith is that of diksa (SB XII.8.2.4) Sraddha along with satya, srama, tapas, yajna and ahutis can give the same result of the sacrificial session which the old performers obtained having performed it with the three great rites (on the caturvimsa-day, on visuvat-day and the mahavrata-day itself)

(SB XII.1.3.23). Vatsapri Bhalandana is told to have practised penance for the sake of obtaining sradcha. He, after practising penance saw the Vatsapra saman and then obtained sraddha. (TMB XII.11.25). JB I.41 describes how at different stages of the Agnihotra the Gandharvapsarases, the Grahas and Pitars, the vital airs, the Gods, the birds, the seers and the serpants warn the sacrificer "Let not your faith depart from you (Sraddha te ma vigat) (JB I.41). In his preaching, Ahinasa Asvatthi told his sons, "Do not depart from the sacrifice" (JB II 419). He further explained what he meant by these words, "Let not the faith (Sraddha) go away from you ... The faith, verily, is the queen of the Gods, (Sraddhad sma vo ma vyaid esa ha vai devanam rajni yac chraddha. JB II 426). Thus one must have faith. Those who do not have sraddha they have to lose. Thus AB IV. #7 tells us a story - "The cows being desirous of obtaining hoofs and horns, held (once) a sacrificial session. In the tenth month (of their sacrifice) they obtained hoofs and horns. They said, "We have obtained fulfillment of that wish for which we underwent the consecration into the sacrificial rites. Let us rise" When they arose they had horns. Those who, however, thought to finish the year and on account of lack of sraddha they became hornless (tupara) (cp. TMB IV 1.1-2; JB II. 374). Thus asraddha leads to some loss; and therefore one should possess sraddha.

Importance of knowledge -

The attitude of understanding, explaining or knowing the siggnificances of the ritual details is seen everywhere in the Brahmana texts. Kausitaki used to say regarding the tenth day of the Dvadasaha - "The tenth day is that which is above in the sky; there-fore it is not to be explained; for no one knows it clearly. 'Let me not explain ignorantly' (he thinks). The tenth day is a limited divine rite; it is the anustubh; he who explains it makes a surplus; stumbling is liable to befall him who explains." As to this they say; Let him explain; the sacrifice rejoices at the approach of a wise man, 'what is to become successful in me that will he cause to be successful' (nandati ha vai yajno vidusagacchata yan me samrddham bhavisyati ayam me tat samardha yisyati KB XXVII.1) Thus here the importance of the explanation given by a wise man is praised and said to be rejoicing to the sacrifice. So the ritual requires proper understanding, knowledge and power of explaining. Accordingly SB IX.4.2.27 allows any additional offering (in the course of Agnicayana, after the Santati-homa) provided that it has any explanation, reasoning etc. (Brahmana) for it. SB IX 2.3.27 calls the performers of the sacrifice "well-knowing" ones (suvidvamsah) Though the Pravargya-ceremony is not to be performed by one who has not already performed a soma-sacrifice, a concession has been given to a wise and learned sacrificer. He can

perform the Pravargya even in his first soma-sacrifice also. For he is the body of the sacrifice (KB VIII.3; GB II 2.6; cp SB XIV 2.2.49 ff). The power of knowledge is so much that for one who knows that the fire altars are, in truth, knowledge-built, all the beings at all times build the altars for him even whilst he is asleep (SB X.5.3.12). Sauceya Pracinayogya speaks to Uddalaka Āruṇi, " if thou hast offered the Agnihotra knowing this (e.g. why the spoon is shaked after the offering etc.) then it has indeed been offered by thee; but if (thou hast offered it) not knowing this, then it has not been offered by thee." (SB XI.5.3.4).

The importance of knowledge was recognised so much that there must have been some persons like Bharadvaha whose interests were devoted to the study of the veda alone. Thus, Bharadvaja was a student of the veda for the period of three lives. When he was very much old and lying down, Indra came and asked, "If I were to give you the fourth life what would you do?" Bharadvaja said, "I shall remain student only during that life." Indra showed him the three vedas in the form of three mountains and took a handful of each mountain and said, "Those are the Vedas. The Vedas are endless; and what you have learnt is only these three handfuls and the remaining is not learnt at all by you. Come here, know this. This is the all knowledge," and then Indra taught the

Savitra-fire building to Bhradvaja and Bharadvaja by knowing that, became immortal and went to the heaven (TB III.10.11. 3-5). The enthusiasm to learn on the part of Bharadvaja is noteworthy. But all he was learning was the "letter" and it was Indra who gave him the "spirit" in the form of the mystical knowledge of Savitra-fire-building. Thus the progress from ritualism to spiritualism is seen here. SB X.5.4.16 gives us the importance of Vidya (knowledge) and tells us that mere ritualism will not lead us to the highest position. "By knowledge they ascend that (state) where desires have vanished; daksinas do not go thither; nor the fervid practisers of rites without knowledge". The upanisadic thoughts in later times have their germs in such thoughts in the Brahmanatexts and in the efforts "to know" which are found in the Brahmana-texts in connection of the ritual theory. Thus the ritualism itself is giving place to the spiritualism.

Philosophical thoughts -

The Brahmana-texts are mainly interested in the tirual and whatever other topics there are in the Brahmana-texts they also are originated in the circle of ritual. But the Brahmana-texts are not interested in mere description of the ritual. They are interested in explaining it justifying it, establishing it. In their thinking of the ritual itself sometimes philosophical thoughts take place.

Thus the Brahmana-texts indulge themselves on the origin of the universe and we get some idea of their cosmology. Prajapati desired "May I be more (than one) may I be reproduced." He toiled and practised austerity. He created the Brahman, the triple science (the three vedas). He created the waters out of Vac (speech). He desired to be reproduced out of those waters. He entered the waters with that triple science. Thence an egg arose ... The embryo inside was created as the foremost and it was Agni. ... Prajapati desired to creat earth from the water ... He again desired to be more and after practising penance he created clay, mud, saline soil and sand, gravel, rock, ore, gold, plants and trees ... (SB X 1.1.8 ff). Further it is told that Prajapati again desired to multiply himself. By means of Agni he entered into the union with the earth. Thence an egg arose. The embryo which was inside was created as Wayu. The tear which has formed itself became those birds. The juice which was adhering to the shell became those sunmotes and that which was the shell became the air. Prajapati again desired to multiply himself. He entered into union with the air. Thence an egg arose. He touched it. From it the yonder Sun was created; and the tear which formed itself became that variegated pebble (asman); the juice which was adhering to the shell became those sunbeams; that which was the shell became the sky. Prajapati again thought to multiply himself. By means of the Sun he entered into union with the sky; thence an egg arose. He touched it; from it the Moon was created; and the tear which formed itself became those stars; the juice which was adhering to the shell became those intermediate; that which was the shell became those chief quarters. Having created these worlds, he desired to creat the creatures. By his mind (manas) he entered into union with speech (vac) and created Vasus, Rudras, Adityas and the All gods and placed them in quarters (SB VI 1.2.1 ff).

Elsewhere, it is said that in the beginning there was neither <u>sat</u> (being) nor <u>asat</u> (nonbeing). There was only that mind. Wherefore it has been said by the Rsi (Rgveda X 129.1) 'There was neither the non-being nor the being.' For mind was, as it were, neither being nor non-being. This mind, when created wished to become manifest, more substantial. It sought after a self (body). It practised austerity. It then beheld thirty-six thousand Arka-fires of its own self, composed of mind, built up of mind ... That mind created speech. This speech when created wished to become manifest; it practised austerity ... That speech created the breath. This breath when created, wished to become manifest ... The breath created the eye. This eye when created wished to become manifest.. The ear when created wished to become manifest.. The ear created work

and this condensed itself into the vital airs, into this compound, this composition of food... This work when created wished to become manifest ... The work created fire... The fire when created wished to become manifest ... (SB X 5.3.1 ff). Such speculations occur in connection with the Agnicayana.

In the Upanisads the highest principle Brahman appears to have been prominant. But the Brahmana texts have also tried to give an exposition of the Brahman principle. Thus, it is told that in the beginning there was this Brahman only. It created the Gods and having created them, it made them ascend these worlds; Agni this (terestrial) world. Vayu the air, and Surya the sky. And the deities who are above these, it made ascend the worlds which are above these ... Then the Brahman itself went up to the sphere beyond. Having gone upto the sphere beyond, it considered, 'How can I descend again into these worlds?' It then descended again by means of these two; Form and Name. Whatever has a name, that is name; and that which has no name and which one knows by its form, 'This is (of a certain) form,' that is form; as far as there are Form and Name so far, indeed, extends this (universe). These indeed are the two great forces of the Brahman ... These indeeds are the two great manifestations (SB XI, 2.3.1 ff). Thus here the Brahman is said to be at the origin of everything. The Name and Form are said to be its manifestations.

This philosophical part comes in the SB in connection with the explanation of the Agharas (libations of ghee).

In the Brahmana-texts some thoughts of monism came forward slowly and slowly. Thus even though different persons may describe differently, the reality is one and the same and does not change. "That same (divine person), the adhvaryus serve under the name Agniand Yajus because he holds to-gether (yuj) all this universe. The Chandoges under that of (saman); because in him all this (universe) is one and the same (samana); the Bahvrcas under that of 'uktham' because he originates (utthapayati) everything here; those skilled in sorcery (yatu) under that of 'sorecery' (yatu) because everything here is held in check (yata) by him; the serpents under that of 'poison'; the snake-charmers under that of 'snake', the gods under that of 'uri', men under that of 'wealth', demons under that of 'maya', the deceased fathers under that of svadha; those knowing the divine host under that of 'divine host', the Gandharvas under that of 'form' (rupa), the Apsarases under that of 'fragrance' (gandha) thus in whatsoever form they serve him that indeed he becomes, and having become that he is helpful to them. (SB X.5.2.20). This comes again, in connection with the Agnicayana. Further it is said that by means of the knowledge of this one gets immortality (SB X.5.2.23). Thus the knowledge is said to be the cause of getting immortality. Thus we find that many philosophical speculations occur in connection with the ritual in the Brahmanas.

Some modern scholars on the origin of Upanisadic philosophy:

Because the Brahmana-texts are full of ritual descriptions some modern scholars concluded that the Brahmana-period was the period of ritualism and the upanisadic philosophy was a kind of revolution made by the non-brahmanins - particularly by Ksatriyas against the ritualism and the superiotity of the Brahmanas implied in it. Thus Deussen says "Namentlich scheint die geistige Revolution gegen den Brahmanischen Ceremonialkultus welche zu den Upanishades fuhrte, ursprun glish in Kshatriyakreiseh entstanden and genanrt worden zu sein " Similarly, it has been remarked that there is a great difference between the interests and views of the Brahmana-texts and of the Upanisad-texts. Thus, it is said by Masson-Oursel, "La notion d'upanisad, si différente de celle de brahmana, temoigne d'une gylose tout autre que la technique rituelle, patrimoine de la caste sacerdotale. Il s'agit de révélations transmises en secret touchant la verite metaphysique, non plus la ponctualité dans le culte on l'exegese vedique."9

geschichte, p.lff.

⁹ P. Masson Oursel, La pansée en Orient, Paris, 1949, p. 134.

Now, it is important to note that the Brahmanatexts do not reflect all the cultural movements of the period in which they are produced. Their main theme is ritualism, no doubt. But that does not mean that in that period there was no philosophical thinkers among the Brahmanas. We have everywhere seen that the ritualism of the Brahmanas is accompanied with the spiritualism. There were free thinkers in the Brahmana-class also who could say that a particular sacrifice (e.g. \$Vajapeya) should not be performed at all or say that one can obtain the highest bliss only through knowledge and not through ritual (cf. SB X 5.4.16). It is also not theoretically correct to hold that the Brahmanas were imposing their supermacy and they were not co-operating with the Ksatriyas (see the chapter on Rtvije). Even though some non-brahmanical personalities like Janaka etc. might have taken part in the Philosophical discussions they were not too far away from the general way of thinking of the Brahmanas and the Brahmanas also have taken part in the philosophical discussions and contributed to the philosophical thoughts in general, the roots of which can be traced back in the period of Rgveda or Atharvaveda also. Not every Brahmana was a priest or an adept in the art of sacrifices and among the Brahmanas also some must have been exponents of new doctorines.

The Brahmana-texts and the Upanisad-texts do not have their interests and views too different as it would appear at first sight (see the ouotation of Masson-Oursel). Most of the thoughts in connection with the Agnicayana (tenth kanda) those in connection with some other rites and in general mentioned in the eleventh kanda of the Satapatha-Brahmana are quite of the upamisadic nature. The name Jaiminiyaupanisad-Brahmana itself includes both the words Upanisad and Brahmana and shows that there is no essential difference between these two. The Kenopanisad is included in (or extracted out of) the Jaiminiya-Wpanisad-Brahmans (IV-10.1.ff) The Brhadaranyaka-upanisad (a name which includes both the words Aranyaka and Upanisad) is a part of the Satapatha-Brahmana. Actually the Brahmanas, the Aranyakas and the Upanisads are so closely connected with each other that it is very difficult to make a differentiating line between each other.

Conclusions -

- 1. In the Brahmana-texts we find that the exponents of ritualism take into consideration both the "letter" as well as "spirit".
- 2. The Brahmana-texts show how slowly and slowly the "spirit" is becoming more important even in the ritualism.

This is the first sign of the upanisadic spiritualism.

3. There are philosophical thoughts which occur in the discussions of the ritual. Thus the later upanisadic philosophy is not a revolution against the ritualism but merely a further development of the thoughts already existing.

- Winternitz, HIL p. 202; for the philosophy of the
 Upanisads as not revolutionary to the Brahmana-texts
 see also Oldenberg, Lehre der Upnishaden, p. 166 ff;
 Oltramare L'historie des Idee Théosophiques I.96 f.
 etc. Renou, "Les relations du Satapatha-Brahmana avec
 la Brhadavanyaka-Upanisad..." IC, 14, 1947, p. 75 ff
 p. 89 particular.
- See on the relations of the Brahmana-texts and the upanisads as closely connected, Renow " Le passage des Brahmana aux Upanisad " in JAOS, 73 (1953) p, 138 ff. cf. also Silburn, Instant et cause, p. 103.
- 12 cf. Keith, RPV. p. 49P. "As the distinction between Brahmanas and Aranyakas is not an absolute one, though the Aranyakas contain more advanced doctrines than the brahmanas, so also the distinction between "Panisady and Aranyakas is also not absolute.." see also p. 496-"the philosophy of the Brahmanas seen in the Upanisads is essentially the development of the philosophy of the Brahmanas."

CHAPTER XII

RECAPITULATION

We have mentioned in the Introduction that the sacrifice was already developed to a considerable extent in the Rgveda. But the sacrifice had not as yet become a static phenomenon. It was a growing institution; and the Samhitas of the Yajurveda and of the Samaveda, which are more directly and purposefully meant for the sacrifice, show a further progress of the ritual. The samhitas of the black yajus school contain some portions which are not in any way different from the Brahmanas. We, however, for the sake of convenience have limited ourselves to the Brahmana-texts and only occasionally have used the samhita-texts and other texts which show some aspects of the ritual.

In the Brahmana-texts we find that ritualism is growing in two ways. In the already established ritual some details are newly coming, some are being changed, etc. Secondly many popular rites are being elevated and are being sanctioned and established in the higher ritual. The origin of the sacrifice has already gone beyond the sight and some mysterious stories which are likely to contain some grains of truth are being told in the Brahmanas. From them we know that sacrifice was either seen or created by Prajapati and was obtained as a heritage by the Gods who either collectively or individually

also contributed to its development one way or the other. They tried to keep it secret but the seers found it out themselves, developed it and then it was obtained by men among whom it continued from father to son. The sacrifice was never a static phenomenon and it has passed through many vicissitudes which are naturally reflected in the Brahmanas. Sacrifice as an institution was not only growing but advancing even geographically. Many practices were removed, changed, modified, introduced, etc. Particularly in the animalsacrifice we see that once man was the victim then horse etc. and ultimately a he-goat and Purodasa became prominent as the material of the sacrifice, the last (=purodasa) was an animal only symbolically. There were many thinkers, many ritualists holding their own views about the ritual details. They often discussed, put forth reasonings in their favour, and refuted the opinions of others. Sometimes the opinions of others were rejected, sometimes a compromise took place, and sometimes their opinions were accepted. This shows how free thinking was not absent in the so called dogmatic ritualism of the Brahmanas.

While there were vicissitudes at different periods and differences of practices in different regions, there was simultaneously a tendency to adopt the popular ritual and elevate and establish it in the ritual of the "classes".

Such rites were highly praised, the objections against them raised by the "conservatives" were removed, and ultimately they were firmly established in the śrauta-ritualistic system. The Brahmana-texts, however, give some glimpses of the adoption and "elevation" (if we may be permitted the use of this term) of various rites e.g. the Caturmasyas, Vajapeya etc. Thus the sacrifice was a growing institution in the Brahmana-period and it was also getting intellectual standpoint.

The Brahmana-texts do not merely describe the sacrifice; they mean to explain every detail of it, of course, in their own ways. Their ways may not be from our point of view logical or consistent; but it is quite evident that they want to be logical, constitent, etc. in their own way. In order to see how the Brahmana-texts describe and explain the sacrifice, we instead of studying all the sacrifices, took into consideration the ancillary rites which are generally connected with all the sacrifices - with the soma-sacrifice in particular, which is of more importance and extent as far as the space it has filled in the Brahmana-texts is concerned. Even though we have not studied all the sacrifices in their details, we have seen how the Brahmana-texts classify the sacrifices in several ways (each classifications does not necessarily cover all the sacrifices) and how they generally explain the names of the sacrifices. In the study of the ancillary rites we came to the conclusion that the various significances of

various ancillary rites are connected with the general doctrine of the sacrifice - and the Agnicayana-rite is concerned with the philosophical speculations also.

The performers of the sacrifice are the sacrificer, his wife and the priests. They are expected to do various duties, and observe some <u>vratas</u>. The relations between the sacrificer and the priests are just like those between the body and the limbs. Full co-operation is, therefore, expected between the sacrificer and the priests. The priests are especially expected to be wise, of pure conduct, etc.

The sacrificer sacrifices obviously to get some result. The results of the desires are numerous, e.g., getting children, prosperity, heaven and immortality and further "to get all". The Brahmana-texts explain how a particular result can be obtained from a particular action. There is, however, no strict, fixed cause and effect relation; the Brahmana-texts obviously maintain "plurality of results", and "plurality of causes". The sacrifice has magical powers and works apparently like a machine; if you perform a sacrifice correctly and carefully the result is bound to follow. But this is not all. One has to possess <u>śraddha</u>, faith in the working of the sacrifice. The Gods are not as may be supposed at first sight subsidiary to the sacrifice. They are not mere slaves of the sacrifice. It is theirs to give the result or to

withhold it. Moreover, the sacrifice is not, as it also may appear at first sight, an end in itself; it is a means to obtain the result; the means par excellence. But one may obtain the result by mere "knowledge" also. The attitude of the Brahmana-texts in general may be described as being activistic, optimistic, and positive. And yet thoughts of renunciation are not totally wanting in the Brahmanas.

Now, how does the sacrifice work? What is the doctrine of the sacrifice? The sacrificial performance is described in various ways. Its principal nature consists of offering (ahuti) but it is metaphorically described as "collection", "homage", "journey", "killing and generating", "generation", etc. The sacrifice is described as a co-operative institute of Gods and men. Thus there is mutual giving and taking. There are many guiding principles for the performance of sacrifice and one has to be very careful in following them. The sacrifice is the "norm" par excellence and this "norm" is not to be disturbed in any way. One has to keep the "continuity (santati)" of the sacrifice, maintain its measurement and make it complete. The sacrifice is identified with many things. Some of these identifications show how in the sacrificial performance accuracy and vigilance are absolutely necessary. Thus the sacrifice is identical with the water-jar and one has to take care that there is no hole to the water-jar (i.e. there are no mistakes in the performance of the sacrifice). Other identifications also throw similar light upon the working of the sacrifice ensuring ultimately how the sacrifice leads to the expected results. Thus the sacrifice is identified with a cart or a chariot or a ship that leads to the goal. The most important theory involved in the sacrifice is that it is a microcosm. The microcosm and macrocosm are interrelated. The actions done in the microcosm (on the sacrificial plane) are many times based on or imitations of the actions done in the macrocosm and the actions in the macrocosm are also, on the other hand supposed to be an effect of the actions in the microcosm. Thus both these microcosm and macrocosm are interdependent. In... en faisant exister le rta dans leur sacrifice, les pretres le produisent en même temps ou le renforcent dans le monde. This explains how the sacrifice can give good results to the performer.

In the explainations of the "ritualism" the germs of "spiritualism" are found in the Brahmana-texts. In order to maintain this point strongly we have in each chapter shown how side by side with the ritualism, spiritualism is present. Speaking of the ritualism and spiritualism in general separately, we have pointed out that even though the ritualism requires correct, careful performance, the Brahmana-texts are not

P. Mus, BEFEO, 33, 1934, p.385 ("Culter indiens et indigenes au champa" p.367ff.)

texts have never ignored the "spirit" of their rules etc.
in preference of the "letter" of the rules. If anything
required for the sacrifice is not available the Brahmana-texts
prescribe substitutes. As in the theory of result of the
sacrifice there is plurality of results and plurality of causes
and in the ultimate analysis anything can produce anything,
similarly according to the substitute theory, ultimately
anything can substitute anything. According to the Brahmanas
the bandhuta doctrine is underlying all the world and this
is the basis of the "spiritualism" and philosophy prominently
found in the Upanisads. Passingly we have also mentioned
some philosophical speculations in the Brahmanas which can
be said to be the predicessors of the Upanisadic philosophy.

The study of the sacrifice in the Brahmanas would make it clear how the Brahmana-texts even though they appear "twaddle"-like, are, if carefully and minutely studied can give many glimpses of the religious and philosophical history of India. This study reveals to us how several sacrifices or sacrificial rites have been "elevated" by the Brahmana-texts with their powerful arthavadas and how they have thus made an important contribution to the ritual by developing the same. They have also tried, to give a firm position to the "elevated" rites and a firmer one to those already established. The

Brahmana-texts have tried to supply side by side an "intellectual" background to the ritual in their own way.

The description (rather prescription vidhi) and explanation (arthavada) portions of the Brahmana-texts have two results - (1) growth of ritualism and (2) growth of spiritualism, thinking, philosophy, etc. The first is mainly reflected in later Kalpa-sutra literature and the second in the Upanisadic literature.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A list of books and articles etc. written by modern writers mentioned in the thesis.

(P. = Pages.)

Arbman E.

Rudra, Untersuchungen zum altindischen, Glaubens und Kultus, Uppsala, 1922.

Bloomfield M.

"Contributions to the interpretation of the Veda"

(The original daksina of fee of the priests, p.408ff)

A.J.Ph. 17 (1896) p. 399ff.

Bloomfield M.

The Atharvaveda, Strassburg, 1899.

Bloomfield M.

"The story of Indra and Namuci", JAOS 15, 1893, p.143ff.

Bloomfield M.

The Religion of the Veda, New York, 1908.

Bohtlillgk O., Roth R.

Sanskrit Worterbuch, St. Petersberg, 1855-1975.

Bolle e W. B.

Sadvimsa-Brahmana (introduction, translation etc.)
Utrecht, 1956.

Buitnen V. J.A.B.

The Pravargya, Poona, 1968.

Caland W., Henry V.

L'agnistoma, Paris, 1906-07.

Caland W.

Das Jaiminiya-Brahmena in Auswahl, Amsterdam, 1919.

Caland W.

Das Śrautasūtra des Apastamba aus dem Sanskrit Übersetet, II, Göttingen, 1921.

Caland W.

Pancavimsa-Brahmana (Translation) Calcutta, 1931.

Chaudhuri J. B.

"The wife in the vedic ritual" INQ 16, 1940, p.70ff.

Colebrook H. T.

Miscellaneous Essays, Vol. I, Madras, 1872.

Deussen P.

Allgemeine Geschichte der Philosophie ... Leipzig, 1894.

Devasthali G. V.

Religion and Mythology of the Brahmanas, Poons, 1965.

Diksit Y. G.

Aryavaidyakalanidhi athava arogyaraksanaca mahitagar, Poona, 1909.

Edgerton F.

"The Upanisads: What do they see and why?"

JAOS, 19, 1929, p.97ff.

Eggeling J.

The Satapatha-Brahmana, (SBE = Sacred Books of the East) Vol. 12, 26, 41, 43, 44. (reprint) Delhi, 1966.

Eliade M.

Birth and Rebirth, New York, 1958.

Eliade, M.

<u>Patterns</u> = Patterns in comparative Religion, New York, 1958.

Dliade M.

The Sacred and Profane, New York, 1961.

Filliozat J.

La doctrine classique de la médcine indienne, Paris, 1949.

Frazer G. J.

The Golden Bough, (abridged edition), London, 1963 (reprint)

Friedlander W.

Der Mahavrata-Abschnitt des Sankhaya-Aranyaka,
Berlin, 1900.

Gampert W.

Die Sühnezeremonien in der altindischen Rechtslitteratur, Praha, 1939.

Garbe R.

"Die Pravargya-ceremonie nach den Apastamba-

Śrautasūtra", ZDMG, 34, 1880, p. 319ff.

- Garbe R.

 Beiträge zur indischen Kulturgeschichte, Berlin, 1903.
- Collection of the fragments of lost Brahmanas,
 Calcutta, 1947.
- Gonda J.

 "Abharana", New Indian Antiquary, II, 1939-40,
 p. 69ff.
- Gonda J.
 "Ursprung and Wesen des indischen Dramas" AO, 19
 1943, p.329ff.
- Inleiding tot het indische Denken, Antwerp, 1948.
- Notes on Brahman, Utrecht, 1950.
- Gonda J.

 The Rgvidhana, Utrecht, 1951.
- "Pratistha", Saminavyakarana (Studia Indologica
 Internationali), Poona, Paris, 1954.
- <u>Vispuism</u> = <u>Aspects of early Vispuism</u>. Utrecht, 1954.
- "Etymologies in the ancient Indian Brahmanas" Lingua

5, 1955, p. 61ff.

Gonda J.

Four Studies = Four Studies in the Language of the Vedas, 's-Gravenhag, 1959.

Gonda J.

Die Religionen Indiens, I, Stuttgart, 1960.

Gonda J.

"Ancient Indian religions Terminology" HR (= History of Religions) I, 1961, p.243ff.

Gonda J.

"Ascetics and Courtisans", Brahmavidya (ALB)
25, 1961, p.78ff.

Gonda J.

"The Indian Mantra" Oriens 16, 1963, p.124ff.

Gonda J.

"Gifts and Giving in the Rgveda" VIJ, II,1964, p.9ff.

Gonda J.

"Adhvara and Adhvaryu" VIJ, III, 1965, p.163ff.

Gonda J.

Change and Continuity in Indian Religion, The Hague, 1965.

Gonda J.

The Savayajñas, Amsterdam, 1965.

Gonda J.

"Bandhu in the Brahmanas" Brahmavidya (ALB) 29,1965,

Gonda J.

<u>Kingship</u> = <u>Ancient Indian Kingship from Religious</u>

Point of View, Leiden, 1965.

Gonda J.

Loka = Loka, the world and Heaven in the Veda,
Amsterdam, 1966.

Guntert H.

"Zur Bildung der altindischen Desiderativa", IF 30, 1912.

Hastings J. (ed) ERE-

Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethicks, New York (1955) (reprint).

Hauer J.W.

Yogapraxis = Die Anfange der Yogapraxis im atten Indien, Stuttgart, 1922.

Hauer J. W.

Vratya = Der Vratya, Stuttgart, 1927.

Haug M.

Aitareya-Brahmana (Introduction, text, translation etc.) I and II Bombay, 1863.

Heesterman J. C.

Consecration = Ancient Indian Royal Consecration,
's-Gravenhag, 1957.

Heesterman J. C.

"Reflexions on the Significance of Daksinas", IIJ, 3, 1959, p. 24lff. Heesterman J.C.

" Vratya and Sacrifice, IIJ, 6, 1962, p.1ff.

Heesterman J.C.

"Brahmin, Ritual and Renouncer" WZKSOA, 8, 1964, p.1ff.

Heiler F.

Erscheinungsformen und Wesen der Religion, Stuttgart, 1961.

Heiman B.

"Kathenotheism and Danastutis or Kathenotheism and Istadevatas ?" ABORI[(28)(1948) p.26ff]

Henry V.

"Physique Védique" JA, 5, 1905, p.385ff.

Hillebrandt A.

Das altindische Neu - und Vollmondsopfer, Jena, 1879.

Hillebrandt A.

"Nationale Opfer in Alt-Indian" Festgat an O. v. Böhtlingk, Stuttgart, 1888, p.43ff.

Hillebrandt A.

Rituallitteratur: Rituallitteratur Vedische Opfer und Zauber, Strassburg, 1897.

Hillebrandt A.

Das Freiwillige Feurtod in Indien und die Somaweihe Munchen, 1917.

Hillebrandt A.

Vedische Mythologie, (reprint) Hildesheim, 1965.

Hubert H., Mauss M.

"sacri" = "Essai sur la nature et la fonction du sacrifice", L'Anne e Sociologique, Vol.2, 1899, p.29ff.

James E. O.

Priesthood = The nature and function of priesthood
London, 1955.

Johanson K. F.

Dhisana = Über die altindische Göttin Dhisana und Verwandtes, Uppsala, 1919.

Jolly J.

Medicin, Strassburg, 1901.

Kane P. V.

History of Dharmasastra, II, Poona, 1941, IV, Poona 1953.

Keith A. B.

The Sankhayana-Aranyaka, London, 1908.

Keith A. B.

The Aitareya-Aranyaka, Oxford, 1909.

Keith A. B.

<u>VBYT</u> = The Veda of the Black Yajus School Translated, Cambridge (Massachusetts) 1914.

Keith A. B.

RBT = Rgveda-Brahmanas Translated, Cambridge (Mass)
1920.

Keith A. B.

RPV, The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanisads, Cambridge (Mass). 1925.

Konnow, Sten

Das Samavidhana-Brahmana, Halle, 1893.

van der Leeuw, G.,

REM = Religion in Essence and Maniefestations, London, 1938.

Levi S.

La doctrine du Sacrifice dans les Brahmanas, Paris, 1898.

Lindner B.

Die Diksa oder Weihe füt der Soma - opfer, Leipzig 1878.

Lowwel H.

"Wiedergeburt aus embryonalem Zustand in der Symbolik des attindischen Rituals" in C. Hentze, Tod Auferstehung, Weltordnung, Zürich, 1953.

Lommel H.

"Zarathustra's Priesterlohn" Studia Indologica, Festschrift für W. Kierfel, Bonn, 1955.

Macdonell A.A., Keith A.B.

Vedic Index of Names and Subjects, London, 1912.

Masson-Oursel P.

La Pensée en Orient, Paris, 1949.

Mauss M.

"Anna-Virāj" <u>Mélanges d'indianisme</u> (Festschrift a S. <u>Lévi</u>) Paris, 1911, p.333ff.

Max Muller F.

HASL = A History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature,
Varanasi, 1968 (reprint)

Mayrhofer M.

Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen, Heidelberg, II, 1957f.

Meyer J.J.

Trilogie = Trilogie altindische Machte und Feste der Vegetations, Zurich-Leipzig, 1937.

Minard A.

Trois énigmes = Trois énigmes sur les cent chemins, Recherches sur le Satapatha-Brahmana, Paris, I, 1949, II, 1956.

Monier-Williams M.

A Sanskrit English Dictionary, Oxford, 1899.

Mus P.

"Cultes indiens et indiginés au champa" <u>BEFEO</u>, 33, 1934, p. 367ff.

Mus P.

"Barahudur" BEFEO. 33, 1934, p.577ff.

v. Negelein J.

"Atharvanaprayascittani" JAOS 34, 1915, p.229ff.

Oldenberg H.

"Das altindische Äkhyana mit besonder Rücksicht auf das Suparnakhyana" ZDMG, 37, 1883, p.54ff.

Oldenberg H.

"Zu Mythologie und Cultus des Vedaz ZDMG, 49, 1895 p. 172ff.

Oldenberg H.

"Vedische Untersuchungen" ZDMG, 50, 1896, p.423ff.

Oldenberg,

Die Religionen des Veda, Berlin, 1894.

Oldenberg H.

Die Lehre der Upanishaden und Anfänge der Buddhismus, Göttingen, 1915.

Oldenberg H.

Weltanschauung = Die Weltanschauung der Brahmanatexte, Göttingen, 1919.

Oltramare P.

L'histoire des Idées théosophiques dans l'inde, I, Paris, 1906.

Pischel R., Geldner K.F.

Vedische Studien, II, Stuttgart, 1892.

Pokorny J.

Indogermanisches åtymologisches Wörterbuch, Bern-Munchen, 1959. Potdar K. R.

Sacrifice in the Rgveda, Bombay, 1953.

Przyluski J.

"Un ancien penple du Penjab" JA, 214, 1929, p.311ff.

Renon L.

"Les Relations du Satapatha-Brahmana avec la Brhadaranyaka-Upanişad et la personalité de Yajnavalkya" IC, 14, 1947f, p.75ff.

Renou L.

"La valeur du silence dans le culte védique" JAOS, 69, 1949, p.11ff.

Renou L.

"La notion du brahman" JA, 237, 1949, p.lff.

Renou L.

"Études Védiques" JA, 240, 1952, p.405ff.

Renou_L.

"Études Védiques" JA, 241, 1953, p.167ff.

Renou L.

"Le passage des Brahmana aux Upanisad" JAOS, 73, 1953, p.138ff.

Renou L.

Vocabulaire = Vocabulaire du Rituel védique,
Paris, 1954.

Renou L., Silburn L.

"Nirukta and Anirukta* in Vedic Sarupa-Bharati
1954, p.68ff.

Renou_L.
"Études védiques" JA, 243, 1955, p.405ff.

Renou L.

"Védique Nírrti" S.K.Chatterji Jabilee Volume,

Madras, 1955.

Renou L.

Anthologie Sanscrite, Paris, 1964.

Ronnow_K.

Trita Āptya, Uppsala, 1927.

Rönnow K.

"Zur Erklärung der Pravargya, des Agnicayana und der Sautramani" MO (Le Monde Orientale) 23, 1929, p.113ff.

<u>Das altindische Thieropfer</u>, Erlangen, 1886.

Silburn L.

Instant et Cause, Paris, 1955.

Survakanta

Kathakasamkalanam, Lahore, 1953.

Wackernagel J., Debrunner A.

Altindische Grammatik, Göttingen, 1957 (new edition)

Walde A., Pokorny J.

Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der indoiranischen

Sprach und Religionsgeschichte, I Berlin, Leipzig,

1939.

Weber A.

"über den Väjapeya" Sitzungberichte Berlin, 1892,

p. 765ff.

- weber A.

 "uber Menschenoffer bei den Indern der vedischen

 Zeit" ZDMG, 18, 1864, p.262ff (= Indische Streifen

 by the same author, I, Berlin, 1868, p.54ff).
- Weber A.

 "Zwei Sagen aus dem Satapatha-Brahmana über

 Einwanderung und Verbreitung der Arier in Indien

 ..." IS (= Indische Studien) I, 1850, p.16lff.
- Weber A.

 "Über Haugs Aitareya-Brahmana" IS, IX, 1865,
 p.177ff.
- "Collectanea über die Kastenverhältnisse in den Brahmana und sutra" IS, X, 1867, p.1ff.
- Weber A.

 "Zur Kenntniss des Vedischen Rituals" <u>IS</u>, X

 1867, p.321f, XIII, 1873, p.221ff.
- Whitney W. D.

 "On the second volume of Eggeling's Translation

 of the Satapatha-Brahmana", JAOS, 14, 1890, p.5ff.
- Whitney W.D.

 Sanskrit Grammar, Delhi, 1962 (reprint)

Whitney W.D.

Roots, Verbforms and primary derivatives of the Sanskrit-Lenguage, Delhi, 1963, (reprint)

Willman-Grabowska,

L'expiation (<u>Prayascitti</u>) dans les Brahmanas"

<u>Bulletin Internationale</u> de l'academie Polonaise des science, et des lettres ... 1935.

Wilson H.H.

"On the sacrifice of human beings as an element of the ancient Indian religion of India," JRAS Vol. 13, 1852, p.96ff.

Winternitz M.,

GIL = Geschichte der Indischen Litteratur, I, Leipzig, 1908.

Winternitz M.

HIL = History of Indian Literature, I.1, Calcutta, 1962.

INDEX

(Authors, important subjects and words)

abhicara-rites - 384ff

abuse - 221

ahimsa - 321ff

Agni - 81ff, 562ff.

Agnicayana - 66, 403ff.

Agnihotra - 31, 67f, 80, 104.

Agnyadheya - 19

Agrayanesti - 107

ajamita - 573f.

ancillary rites - 236ff

animal-sacrifice - 47,303ff

apri-verses - 314

Arbman - 139, 146

arthavadas - 715

asithila - 598

Asuras - 44f

Asvamedha - 48, 54, 72, 112,

193ff.

Asvins - 155f.

avabhrtha - 376ff

ayatayamatva - 595f.

barsanaddhi - 578

Bergaigne - 143

bhakti - 526

Blanford - 142

Bloomfield - 4, 186, 350, 454, 652.

Bohtling K., Roth, - 252, 331

Bollee - 343

Brahman (priest) -450ff

Brahmana- 101

Brahmanas - 11, 61, 484, 502

Brhaspati Angirasa - 40f.

v. Buitnen - 271, 281f.

Burnel - 361

Caturmasya-sacrifices - 104ff, 116ff.

Caland - 215f, 225, 469, 507, 622, 624

Caland, Henry - 241, 295, 376,

Chowdhury - 432

Colbrook - 56

compromise - 95

confession - 131

counter-reasonings - 85

daksinas - 330ff.

Dandekar - 138, 142f.

Death - 82

Deussen - 705

Devasthali - 32f

dhrti - 597f.

differences of opinions - 62ff.

diksa - 39, 241ff.

Diksit - 125

discussions - 61ff

disease - 121ff.

Eggeling - 57f, 167, 248,276, 281,283f, 525.

elevation - 102ff, 277, 309f.

Eliade - 256, 413, 642.

evil spirits - 286, 300, 324.

fertility rites - 212, 217

Filliozat - 124

Frazer - 57, 133, 146, 384, 399, 472, 520.

Friedlander - 217

Gampert - 357,359, 361.

Garbe - 271, 276f, 705.

Gavamayana - 66

Geldner, Pischel - 284

Generation - 239f, 256, 284. 531ff.

Ghosh - 381, 386

Grohman - 145

Gods and sacrifice - 19f

Gods and result of sacrifice - 514ff.

Gonda - 12, 36, 102, 117, 119, 123, 136, 168f, 178f, 184, 186, 200, 204, 211, 217ff, 241, 246, 250ff, 277, 281, 297, 299, 302, 330, 333, 341, 346, 359, 362, 373, 376, 378, 425, 404, 472, 478f, 481, 493, 498ff, 502, 508, 510, 517, 529, 555, 565, 587, 600, 605, 620, 642,653, 684, 695.

Gosava - 211ff

guiding principles - 568ff

Guntert - 250

Hastings James - 121, 124, 129, 133, 652

Hauer - 218, 241, 252, 281.

Haug - 4, 47, 271.

Heiman Betty - 652

Heesterman - 10, 99, 117, 119, 178, 246, 313, 330, 340f, 350, 379, 432, 477, 491, 535.

Heiler - 399

Henoritualism - 652ff

Henry - 252, 261, 399

Hillebrandt - 3f, 58, 102, 116f, 127,131,142,155,178, 184,190,199,217f,

241f, 249f, 256, 261f, 269, 276f, 281, 311, 331, 343, 384f, 393, 399, 403, 455, 469, 534.

Hubert, Mauss - 60, 241, 254, 255, 313, 319, 353, 376, 498, 503, 527, 536, 577, 676.

hinkara - 63, 354.

immortality - 498

Indra - 560f.

Isu (sacri.) - 391f.

James - 472, 508.

Johanson - 54, 102, 119, 132 136, 200, 203f 218, 229.

Jolley - 125

Kaegi - lf.

Kama - 503.

Kane - 117,241,357,362.

Keith - 4,9,36,47,57,92,97,

102,116f, 130,133,167,
171,180,193,196,217f,
222,241,247,250,254,256,
258,269,271,399,403,413,
428,430,440,442,455,472,
504,513,517,529f,555,
617,622,624,625,709.

knowledge - 518,619f.

krtsánatva - 509f.

v.der Leeuw - 124,133,309, 313,396,399, 478,481,555, 641. Leuman - 359

Lévi - 6ff, 30, 44,132,254, 256,259,269,313,353,472, 498,517f,527,535,545,549, 565,623,696.

Lindner - 241

Lommel - 256, 338.

Luders - 271, 276

Macdonell, Keith - 97

Mahavrata - 66, 217ff.

makha - 615f.

man (men) and sacrifice - 31, 559ff.

Masson-Oursel - 707, 709ff.

Mauss - 588

Max Müller - 5f, 10, 56, 98, 398, 652.

Mayerhofer - 247,359,615.

measurement - 581ff.

Meyer - 119, 126,128,133,178f, 181,198,200,203f,212, 221,276,281,286,298,311, 324ff,340,382,425,536, 602,623.

microcosm, macrocosm - 238f, 641ff.

mimamsa - 92f, 98.

Minard - 166f, 246, 333, 378, 442.

mind and speech - 27, 688 mistakes - 353ff, 517,615,693ff mithuna - 536ff.

mitravinda-offering - 17

Monier-Williams -252,331,358.

Mus - 256, 413, 714.

namah - 525f.

name - 399ff.

v. Negelein - 358,365,476, 684.

niskraya, niskri - 313ff, 527f.

non-attractive daksinas - 341ff.

obscenity of dialogue - 201ff.

Oertel - 544

Oldenberg - 1f, 6f, 56f,

97,117,129,131,143,167,
184,218,247,250,252,252,
281,284,311,350,358,373,
376ff,384,399,402,426,
442,553,455,472,475,481,
488,498,502f,513,520,
641.

Oltramare - 256,513,708.

Origin of sacrifice -33ff

Pankta - 583ff.

philosophical thoughts - 81f, 701ff.

plurality of significances, causes, results - 11,502.

Pokorny - 251

popular rites - 102,177f,198f, 212, 222.

Potdar - 3f.

Prajapati - 15f, 38,46,52f,92, 505ff, 591ff,665.

pratistha - 371,496f,598ff.

Pravargya - 9,105f,27ff.

Prayascitti - 70f,353ff.

Przyluski - 254

purodasa - 317ff.

Purusamedha - 48ff, 57, 105, 329.

questions and answers -74ff

Rajwade - 145

reasonings - 83ff, 345ff, 504ff

Renou - 167,241,248,250,339, 341,378,453,465,546, 565ff,618,709;

Renou, Filliozat - 276

result of the sacrifice - 487ff

Rgveda hymns - opinions about - 1; ritual words - 3.

Ritual of the masses, classes - 116.

rna - 527f.

Rönnow - 44, 102,276,283,319,602.

renunciation - 519f.

rta - 129, 568.

rtvijs - 437ff.

Rudra - 138, 142ff.

Sacrifice - before the

Brahmana-texts - 1,

Chassification - 225ff;

doctrine - 521ff;

expansion - 33f;

growth - 36;

importance - 521f;

names - 229ff;

nature, comparisons,

identifications - 612ff;

running away - 37f, 617f.

Sacrificer - 419ff, 472, his wife - 432.

sacrificial performancenature - 523ff.

salomata - 607f.

Sambhr, sambhavas - 73,392, 524ff.

samrddhi, samrddha -603ff.

samsava - 385ff.

samstha - 600ff.

sankasuka - 695.

santati - 577

sarasata - 595f.

sarvatva - 608f.

satarudriya - 77ff

Sautramani - 182ff.

Scheftelowitz - 155.

Schelling - 652

v. Schroeder, 128

Schwab - 295, 303,313,324.

seers 28.

<u>Silburn</u> - 101, 331,350,504,517 546,577,599f,604,696,708

Silburn, Renou - 333.

spiritualism, ritualism -14, 60, 101, 350, 352, 374f 416,435,486,517,567,611,640 651,680ff,687ff.

śraddha - 350,436,517f,695ff.

Sten Konnow - 376

Stenzler - 358, 362.

substitute - 365.

Suryakant - 150

Syena-sacrifice -342f, 370f.

Sunasira - 147, 150.

Sura - 183f.

Tanunaptra - 25, 266ff, 480.

trivrt/tryavrt - 581ff.

una/nuna, atirikta - 54lff, 579ff.

vac - 630ff.

Vajapeya - 166ff.

vajra - 298, 661f, a sacrifice 392.

vapa - 307ff.

vasa - 342.

vedas - 632ff.

vedi - 237ff.

Videgha Mathava - 33ff.

vicissitudes - 33f.

virāj - 585ff.

Vișnu - 35, 563ff.

vyahrtis - 365ff.

vyrddha, vyrddhi - 604f.

Wackernagel, Debrunner - 167, 247, 358.

Weber - 47, 53,f, 56f, 117, 131,166f, 203,241,247, 269,271,313,318,343,377, 403,469,479.

Wilman-Grabowska - 358.

Walde, Pokorny - 251.

Whitney - 248f.

Wilson - 56.

Whnternitz - 2,4,6,10,13,57, 99,101,132,331,353,361, 502,504,610,653f,681,709.

year - 148ff, 151,491,503.

yupa - 45,65,288ff.